Numerical modelling and experimental investigation on welding residual stresses in large-scale tubular K-joints
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ABSTRACT This paper is devoted to the experimental and numerical assessment of residual stresses created by welding in the region surrounding the weld toe of tubular K-shaped joints (i.e. region most sensitive to fatigue cracking). Neutron-diffraction measurements were carried out on K-joints cut from large-scale truss beams previously subjected to high cycle fatigue. Tri-axial residual stresses in the transverse, longitudinal and radial direction were obtained from the weld toe as a function of the depth in the thickness of the tube wall. In addition, thermomechanical analyses were performed in three-dimensional using ABAQUS and MORFEO finite element codes. Experimental and numerical results show that, at and near the weld-toe surface, the highest residual stresses are critically oriented perpendicularly to the weld direction, and combined with the highest externally applied stresses. Based on a systematic study on geometric parameters, analytical residual stress distribution equations with depth are proposed.
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NOMENCLATURE

- $D =$ outside chord diameter
- $d =$ outside brace diameter
- $T =$ chord wall thickness, temperature
- $t =$ brace wall thickness
- $g =$ gap distance between the brace outer walls at the chord crown toe
- $\beta =$ diameters ratio $d/D$
- $\gamma =$ chord slenderness $D/(2T)$
- $\tau =$ wall thickness ratio $t/T$
- $\zeta =$ normalized gap parameter $g/D$
- $\theta =$ brace angle

INTRODUCTION

Modern steel tubular road bridges are most often composed of welded trusses with K-shaped joints; however, these joints are susceptible to fatigue under traffic loading.1 Fatigue cracks typically develop in the gap region, at the weld toe in between the tubes forming the K-joints,2,3 even though this is not the only location where the hot spot stresses reach local maximas. A detrimental combination of applied stress concentrations,4–6 residual stresses7 and imperfections8,9 induced by welding explains why cracks often initiate in the vicinity of the weld toe even under compressive loads. Currently, there is lack of data concerning the three-dimensional (3D) residual stresses in these joints.

Residual stresses were defined by Gurney10 as ‘locked-in stresses that exist in a body or a part of a body in the absence of any externally applied load’. Created by thermally induced deformations during welding, high tensile residual stresses are present in the gap area between braces of the K-joints. Combined with welding imperfections acting as crack initiators, the residual stress field has a strong influence on the onset of crack propagation.11–14

Several techniques exist to evaluate residual stresses (sectioning, layer removal, hole-drilling, X-ray, etc.) but the depth of measurement is small and/or the method is
destructive. Neutron-diffraction is a powerful and reliable method to measure non-destructively 3D residual strains from the surface until 15 mm depth in a complex geometry.\textsuperscript{15–17} Note that even if this method is not destructive in the area of measurement, it may be necessary to cut a window far from this area to minimize loss of neutrons through matter before reaching their target. In this investigation, neutron-diffraction measurements were conducted in the gap region of K-joints to evaluate the residual stress field along the usual position of the crack path and in its surroundings.

Residual stresses can also be predicted using a 3D thermomechanical finite element (FE) model. Some aspects of the problem were simplified to improve the simulation efficiency. Numerical simulations were performed with the FE code ABAQUS and the welding and manufacturing oriented code MORFEO (Manufacturing Oriented Finite Element tOol).

The first objective of this study was to assess, experimentally and numerically, welding residual stresses to understand how residual stresses are distributed in K-joints. Once the numerical results were experimentally validated, the second objective was to propose residual stress distribution equations based on a systematic FE study on different geometric configurations.

**NEUTRON-DIFFRACTION EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE**

**Test samples**

Experimental investigations to characterize the stress field induced by the welding process were conducted on tubular K-shaped joints, as shown in Fig. 1, cut-out from large-scale truss beams. A slow cutting with an electric saw equipped with a cooling system was used. A maximum stress relaxation of 20 MPa was measured by strain gauges after cutting. Moreover, for neutron-diffraction measurement purpose, windows were cut in the other side of the tube, without affecting stresses in the zone of interest, to prevent significant attenuation of the neutron beam.

The truss beams were 9 m long by 2 m high and were subjected to constant amplitude high-cycle fatigue. They were made of non-alloyed ferritic structural steel (mild steel) S355J2H. The designation S355J2H is used for a structural steel (S) with a minimum yield stress value of 355 MPa for a nominal thickness $t \leq 16$ mm and a minimum toughness of 27 J at $-20$ °C (J2). In this case, a tubular hollow section (H) is required.

Investigated joints were fabricated and welded under the same conditions as real bridges by a steel manufacturer. This is essential to reproduce welding imperfections and residual stresses induced by welding. The K-joint was composed of part of the chord with two brace branches welded onto it leaving a gap distance $g$ between brace outer walls to prevent overlapping (see Fig. 1). The chord wall had a thickness $T$ of 20 with an outside diameter $D$ of 168.3 mm; braces had a thickness $t$ of 8 mm and an outside diameter $d$ of 88.9 mm.

A flux cored arc welding MAG 136 process using electrode Filarc 1.4 mm was employed in accordance to AWS A5.20:E71 T-1.\textsuperscript{18} The welding torch was moved by the welder from the crown heel to the crown toe with a travel speed of 5.5 mm s\textsuperscript{-1}. The welding current and the arc voltage were respectively set to 270 A and 30 V. Seven weld passes were necessary to ensure full penetration. Each pass consisted of a sequence of four welding steps with intermediate cooling as illustrated in Fig. 1(b). A welding step lasted 25 s and a cooling step lasted 125 s, except the last which lasted 9000 s to ensure the complete cooling. The heat source is moving around the weld from the crown heel to the crown toe following a 3D bicylindrical curve (intersection curves of two cylinders which are oblique in that case).

The crack growth was monitored on several joints with a system using alternating current potential drop. It was seen from the fatigue tests that tensile residual stresses in K-joint gap region are sufficiently high to enable crack
propagation even under compressive applied stresses. Description and results are detailed by the authors in a paper devoted to fatigue tests.19

**Neutron-diffraction measurements**

Experimental investigations using neutron-diffraction were performed with the diffractometer SALSA [Institut Laue-Langevin (ILL), France] to evaluate the triaxial residual strain state deep inside samples taken from the truss specimens.20 Neutron-diffraction measurements15–17 were carried out on non-cracked K-joints within the truss beams. Neutron-diffraction is used to provide the accurate lattice spacing \( d \) of the atomic structure. Under stress, this spacing \( d \) increases. Knowing the stress-free lattice spacing \( d_0 \), the elastic strain can be calculated by \( \varepsilon = -\frac{d - d_0}{d_0} \). Stresses can be deduced from strains using the generalized Hooke’s law.

When diffraction occurs, the \( d \) spacing can be obtained using the Bragg’s law \( n\lambda = 2d \sin \theta \), where \( n\lambda \) is a multiple of the wavelength \( \lambda \), \( d \) is the lattice spacing and \( 2\theta \) is the diffraction angle. To determine \( d \) with the monochromatic strain diffractometer SALSA at ILL, the wavelength \( \lambda \) is fixed to 1.64 Å (ferritic steel with a diffraction angle around 90°) and the diffraction angle is measured.

The \( d_0 \) spacing was measured on stress-free reference samples machined with a diamond blade through the chord wall thickness. It has shown to be the same in the three principal directions and through the thickness. For ferritic steel such as S355J2H steel, strains were measured with the (211) diffracting plane as recommended by the Versailles Project on Advanced Materials and Standards VAMAS standard17 because this plane is weakly affected by intergranular strains and present a similar behaviour to that of the bulk. A gauge volume of \( 2 \times 2 \times 2 \) mm\(^3\) has been chosen to measure with sufficient statistics within a short period of time.

The orientation of the sample was particularly challenging to measure strains in the gap between the brace weld toes through the wall thickness. Because of complex shape of the joint, it was difficult to prevent the incident-diffracted neutron beam from entering twice the tube wall and consequently from exceeding the path length limit of 60 mm. Therefore, windows were cut in the tube away from the region of the weld (Fig. 1a). The plane of measurement presented in Fig. 2 was chosen because it has been shown by previous fatigue tests19 that crack always initiate at the weld toe in the gap region perpendicular to this plane. It was found using the hole-drilling method that maximum tensile residual stresses are oriented in the transverse direction (X-axis). The sample was reoriented in order that the bisector between the incident and the diffracted neutron beam coincided with the transverse

---

**Fig. 2** Plane of neutron-diffraction measurement through the thickness of the tube wall. Strains are measured in three directions (transverse \( X \), longitudinal \( Y \) and radial \( Z \)).

---

**THERMOMECHANICAL FEM MODEL**

Numerical analyses were performed to simulate 3D residual stress distributions using FE method in the K-joint presented in chapter 2. Uncoupled thermomechanical FE analyses were employed to predict the temperature field (transient heat transfer), and the induced stress field (see Fig. 4).

The following assumptions in the welding modelling, inspired by Refs. [21] and [22], were intended to generate a model able to simulate rapidly and efficiently residual stresses while providing quality results:

- A 3D FE analysis was used to accurately predict heating/cooling of welding sequences and avoid the overheating effect induced in two dimensions.
- An uncoupled thermomechanical FE formulation was chosen to solve the problem. The metallurgical aspects are neglected for this type of mild steel, except for the heat release because of phase transformations which is taken into account in the thermal cycle. Dong and Hong21 has shown that, for mild carbon steel, residual stress variations because of martensitic transformation are small and localized around the heat-affected zone. Because these transformations create local compression residual stress of approximately 5% of the total residual stresses, neglecting them is a conservative assumption.
- The seven-pass welding was simulated as a single pass with an equivalent heat source. As recommended by Lindgren22 to obtain the most accurate results in merging passes, the
heat input that corresponded to the total heat input of the seven weld passes was used. In ABAQUS, a spherical heat source was used whereas in MORFEO, a truncated circular cone model was employed. The heat source was composed of a volumetric flux moving on the weld path at a speed of 5.5 mm s$^{-1}$ (real welding speed). To verify the effect of the simplification in one single pass, an intermediate validation of the model consisted to control that the computed size of the weld pool matches the one measured by optical macrography. It has shown that the spherical heat source used with ABAQUS gave a fusion profile which is closer to reality than the truncated circular cone. It might also be explained by the better energy conservation in ABAQUS as it controls the temperature variation and the time step. Metal deposition is not considered, the FEs representing the weld were present in the model from the beginning.

- Plastic strains accumulated in the fusion zone are cancelled when the temperature is greater than the solidus temperature ("ANNEAL TEMPERATURE" option in ABAQUS) to ensure that there is no unrealistic residual stresses induced by a plastic strain accumulation at each of the four welding steps of a pass.
- The thermal analysis was formulated in terms of heat conduction, heat losses by radiation and convection and heat input simulating the welding source. Latent heat of fusion was also considered using a value of 247 000 J (kg °C)$^{-1}$. To consider the convection flow in the weld pool, an artificial increase in the thermal conductivity by three times was introduced above the melting temperature of the steel.$^{24,25}$ Thermal properties (thermal conductivity, material density, specific heat) taken from the Eurocode 3 recommendations on structural fire design$^{26}$ are given in Fig. 3 as a function of temperature.
- The mechanical analysis was based on an elasto-plastic model with linear isotropic work hardening ignoring rate-dependent (creep) effects at high temperatures. Temperature-dependent mechanical properties required to solve the mechanical problem are the Young’s modulus and the Poisson’s ratio for elastic strains, the yield stress and the stress-plastic strain evolution for plastic strains and the thermal expansion coefficient for thermal strains. These mechanical properties$^{27}$ are summarized in Fig. 3.

Two codes were chosen to perform thermomechanical analyses: ABAQUS$^{28}$ and MORFEO$^{29}$. ABAQUS presents large analysis capabilities (nonlinear, transient, dynamic, etc.) whereas MORFEO is rather dedicated to welding and manufacturing (Manufacturing Oriented Finite Element tOol; MORFEO).

The thermal and mechanical analyses were performed using 8-node linear hexahedral elements (i.e. heat transfer elements for the thermal analysis and stress/displacement elements for the mechanical analysis). Convergence studies have shown that a 2 × 2 × 5 mm mesh size in the gap region with a linear interpolation is a good compromise to obtain sufficiently accurate residual stress results (20% difference with a mesh of 1 × 1 × 2.5 mm or a quadratic interpolation) within an affordable computation time (nine times faster than for the mesh of 1 × 1 × 2.5 mm). To obtain enough computed data points close to the surface, the size of the mesh was increased from 1.25 mm at surface to a maximum of 4.5 mm in depth. The chosen mesh was identical for thermal and mechanical analyses (see Fig. 4), and comprised 48 549 elements and 53 966 nodes.

**EXPERIMENTAL VERSUS NUMERICAL RESULTS**

Figure 5 presents the transverse (along x) residual stress maps obtained by neutron-diffraction (Fig. 5c), and simulated with ABAQUS (Fig. 5a) and with MORFEO (Fig. 5b). These result maps were obtained also in the
The systematic error, the magnitude of which remained lower than the statistical error of the fit. The statistical error is not negligible for stresses measured in the sample of 20 mm thick because of the long path that the neutron beam has to travel, leading to poor counting statistics (low peak to background ratio).

Experimental results show that residual stress magnitude reaches the yield strength of the S355J2H steel at the weld toe (approximately 355 MPa). At and near the surface, the orientation of greater residual stresses is perpendicular to the weld toe, which is also the orientation of the externally applied stresses. This finding has led to a drastically different residual stress orientation than the one found in
the literature\cite{10,31} or in British Standards 7910\cite{32} for pipe and pressure vessels tubes where residual stresses parallel to the weld direction are dominant.

In addition, Fig. 5(c) gives evidence of the existence of a restraining effect in between the braces composing the joints creating high perpendicular residual stresses in the gap region.

As depicted in Figs 5 and 6, numerical results are in global good agreement with the experimental investigations. The simplified model demonstrated the ability to reproduce residual stress distributions in the region surrounding the welds, even though the peak values were not always well captured and the distribution was sometimes shifted (see Fig. 6). This difficulty to accurately simulate the peak may be attributed to the simplification of the seven passes into a single one. This numerical result could be improved by reducing the seven passes to three passes as recommended by Dong and Hong\cite{21} according to a sensitivity analysis of the number of passes needed for the model.

The transverse residual stress distribution obtained with ABAQUS was shifted to the right compared with the experimental distribution. This means that transverse residual stresses should be compressive at 13 mm depth but the ABAQUS models present tensile residual stresses through all the depth. This phenomenon may be because of an improper consideration of melting latent heat, however the same latent heat has been introduced in both numerical models.

In Fig. 6, it is seen that MORFEO welding reproduces the distribution of transverse residual stress measurements more accurately, whereas the ABAQUS results fit better with the longitudinal stress distribution. The difference between ABAQUS and MORFEO results are difficult to explain as their mesh, the transient heat conduction formulation and the material properties are the same. The difference might be attributed to the different heat source models (sphere versus truncated circular cone) and to the time increment techniques (based on the Newton–Raphson method in ABAQUS and more user-defined in MORFEO).

These results confirmed the residual stress orientation obtained experimentally. They also demonstrate the strong restraining effect that occurs in the gap area, keeping transverse residual stresses at a high value.

The geometry of the K-joint creates both high-applied stresses as well as, high-residual stresses (from welding) which is critical for fatigue. Therefore, with the applied stresses superimposed on the residual stresses, the location and direction of the fatigue crack propagation in the K-joints can be explained.

**PROPOSED RESIDUAL STRESS DISTRIBUTIONS**

A geometric parametric study is performed using the validated thermomechanical FE model in ABAQUS to quantify the influence of joint size (both absolute and non-proportional size effect) on residual stress distribution. A range of dimensional and non-dimensional joint parameters are investigated: $10 \text{ mm} < T < 60 \text{ mm}$, $0.2 < \tau = t/T < 0.6$, $0.53 < \beta = d/D < 0.64$, $2.8 < \gamma = D/2T < 8.4$, $0.3 < \xi = g/D < 1$ and brace angles $\theta$ of $60^\circ$. Each parameter is studied one by one, the others being kept constants. Table 1 presents the list of parameters used for this study.
Table 1 Dimensions and parameters defining the parametric joint models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref. models</th>
<th>Dimensions (mm)</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>168.3</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>168.3</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportional scaling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>244.5</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-proportional scaling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>168.3</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>168.3</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>168.3</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>168.3</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>168.3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>168.3</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>168.3</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The reference modelled geometries Ref-T20 and Ref-T30 are presented in grey.

The welding power \(Q\) is calculated for each configuration as a function of the weld geometry. The volumetric heat source \(s\) is supposed to be identical to the one used to weld the joint presented in chapter 2 \((s = 5.87 \text{ W mm}^{-3})\) for each weld size. The welding power is then defined as \(Q = s \cdot V\), where \(V\) is the volume of the spherical heat source heating the material. The spherical volume is directly proportional to the cube of the weld size. To be consistent, the type of FE and the mesh size are kept identical for all the models.

Based on these computed results, distributions of transverse, longitudinal and radial residual stresses are proposed in Eqs (1)–(3) in the form of third-order polynomial equations. These equations are only suitable for K-shape joints in mild steel welded with a metal active gas arc welding process and within the range of validity given above. They are given in MPa along a vertical line that starts from the weld toe and penetrates in depth.

Particularly relevant for K-joint design, the magnitude of tensile transverse residual stresses is strongly increased by a raise of \(T\) (in proportional scaling) or/and \(\tau\) (in non-proportional scaling). In previous studies by Borges, the same parameters were also shown to reduce the fatigue strength under applied loads. These parameters have a combined effect on residual stresses and applied loads influencing the fatigue strength of K-joints.

Note that, \(\beta\) and \(\gamma\) strongly influence the longitudinal and radial residual stress magnitudes. A raise of these parameters induces an increase of longitudinal and radial residual stresses.

\[
\sigma_{\text{res,tx}} = \frac{1}{(\xi/(\xi_0))^{0.15}} \left[ 300 \left( \frac{T}{T_0} \right)^{0.1} \left( \frac{T}{\tau} \right)^{0.25} - 300 \left( \frac{\beta}{\beta_0} \right)^{0.4} (\frac{T}{\tau})^{1.6} \left( \frac{\tau}{T} \right)^2 + 60 \left( \frac{\beta}{\beta_0} \right)^8 \left( \frac{T}{\tau} \right)^{1.2} \left( \frac{\tau}{T} \right)^3 \right].
\] (1)

\[
\sigma_{\text{res,lon}} = \left( \frac{\xi}{(\xi_0)^{0.25}} \right)^{0.25} \left( \frac{T}{T_0} \right)^{0.25} \left( \frac{\tau}{\tau_0} \right)^{0.9} \times \left[ 125 \left( \frac{\beta}{\beta_0} \right)^{3.2} \left( \frac{\tau}{T} \right)^{0.9} - 470 \left( \frac{\beta}{\beta_0} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\tau}{T} \right)^{1.65} \left( \frac{\tau}{T} \right)^2 + 270 \left( \frac{\beta}{\beta_0} \right)^{2.6} \left( \frac{\tau}{T} \right)^{2.2} \right].
\] (2)
where the reference geometry values are taken as $T_0 = 30 \text{ mm}; \tau_0 = 0.4; \beta_0 = 0.53$ and $\zeta_0 = 0.3$.

Residual stress profiles are presented in Fig. 7 and compared with residual stress values obtained experimentally at ILL (sample FAT-20). The ratios of experimental values versus proposed profile values are calculated. The average value and the covariance value of these ratios are given in Fig. 7.

These results show that the residual stress profile succeeds to evaluate the global magnitude of transverse stresses, even though the peak value is not captured accurately. For longitudinal and radial stresses, stress profiles are coarse and need to be improved. It is reminded that transverse stress distribution is of the most interest, because principal stresses due to the applied loads and the welding process are both oriented transversely.

Simulating multiple passes leading to an unaffordable computation time for the parametric study, an equivalent single pass was used to represent the seven passes. Therefore residual stress results can be improved by taking into account the multi-pass welding.

(a) Transverse residual stress ($\text{Mean} = 1.09; \text{COV} = 12\%$), (b) Longitudinal residual stress ($\text{Mean} = 2.05; \text{COV} = 66\%$) and (c) Radial residual stress ($\text{Mean} = 1.36; \text{COV} = 61\%$).

**CONCLUSIONS**

This study explains why tubular K-joints and especially the gap region of these joints are so sensitive to fatigue loading. Residual stress distributions based on experimental measurements (neutron-diffraction) and numerical results (ABAQUS and MORFEO codes) are given in this paper. Analytical equations for residual stress distributions with depth are proposed at the critical fatigue locations.

Main conclusions of this paper are the following:

- The database of 3D residual stress results, obtained by neutron-diffraction in thick specimen using the modern equipment of the Institute Laue-Langevin (spallation reaction and nuclear reaction), is a valuable source of information. It is shown from these measurements that welding induces high tensile residual stresses particularly in the direction perpendicular to the weld. These stresses reach the yield strength of the S355J2H steel at the weld toe. The geometry of the K-joint creates, in addition to high transverse residual stresses, high transverse applied stresses, which are critical for fatigue.
- The 3D thermomechanical model is able to accurately reproduce residual stresses with reduced computational complexity and computing time, especially by considering only one equivalent weld pass. Numerical results are in good agreement with experimental results obtained from neutron-diffraction. MORFEO gives the best estimation of transverse residual stress distribution and ABAQUS provides the best estimation of longitudinal residual stress distribution.
- Based on a geometric parametric study carried out with ABAQUS, residual stress distributions with depth at the critical fatigue locations are proposed. They provide designers with the main trends of residual stresses corresponding to joint geometry. It is found that the magnitude of tensile transverse residual stresses of interest is strongly increased by a raise of the chord thickness $T$ (in proportional scaling) or/and $\tau$ (in non-proportional scaling). In previous studies, the same parameters were also shown to
reduce the fatigue strength under applied loads. These parameters have a combined effect on residual stresses and applied loads which influence detrimentally the fatigue strength of K-joints.
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