The aerobic oxidation of alcohols with a ruthenium porphyrin catalyst in organic and fluorinated solvents

Vasily N. Korotchenko,^a Kay Severin^b and Michel R. Gagné^{*a}

Received 14th January 2008, Accepted 26th March 2008

First published as an Advance Article on the web 14th April 2008

DOI: 10.1039/b800583d

Carbonylruthenium tetrakis(pentafluorophenyl)porphyrin Ru(TPFPP)(CO) was utilized for the aerobic oxidation of alcohols. The *in situ* activation of the catalyst with mCPBA provided a species capable of catalyzing the oxidation of alcohols with molecular oxygen. The choice of solvent and additive was crucial to obtaining high activity and selectivity. Secondary aromatic alcohols were oxidized in the presence of the ruthenium porphyrin and tetrabutyl ammonium hydroxide in the solvent bromotrichloromethane, enabling high yields to be achieved (up to 99%). Alternatively, alcohols could be oxidized in perfluoro(methyldecalin) with the ruthenium porphyrin at higher temperatures (140 °C) and elevated oxygen pressures (50 psi).

Introduction

The oxidation of an alcohol to a carbonyl compound is an important transformation, and although there are many different methods for such functional group manipulations, catalytic procedures that are environmentally friendly, atom efficient, and occur under aerobic conditions, are significantly less common. The use of molecular oxygen as a stoichiometric oxidant is very attractive since innocuous byproducts result (H₂O or H₂O₂).¹ Modern, metal-catalyzed aerobic oxidation of alcohols has recently been reviewed,^{2,3} and these reviews outline significant progress in the elaboration of homogeneous and/or heterogeneous catalysts in general, and in ruthenium catalysts in particular.^{4,5} Since Groves' pioneering discovery of the aerobic epoxidation of olefins catalyzed by the cytochrome P450 analog dioxo(tetramesitylporphyrinato)ruthenium,⁶ numerous investigations have focused on aerobic and anaerobic oxidative transformations catalyzed by ruthenium porphyrin complexes.⁷ Although Hirobe⁸ and Groves⁹ have reported high turnover numbers (TONs) using 2,6-disubstituted pyridine-*N*-oxides as the stoichiometric oxidant, similarly effective aerobic oxidations of alcohols remain largely unreported.^{10,11}

Results and discussion

We recently prepared an immobilized Ru(*meso*-tetraarylporphyrin) complex and investigated its catalytic activity in the epoxidation of olefins and oxidation of alcohols and alkanes using 2,6-dichloropyridine-*N*-oxide.¹² Unfortunately, our attempts to utilize this catalyst to mediate oxidation reactions using molecular oxygen failed. Stimulated by the high performance of ruthenium complexes in polyhalogenated porphyrins,^{8,9,13} we next studied the catalytic activity of carbonylruthenium (tetrakis(pentafluorophenyl)porphyrin) Ru(TPFPP)(CO) **1** for the homogeneous

aerobic oxidation of alcohols. The stability of these halogenated derivatives to oxidative destruction and their modified redox potentials were properties that we hoped would successfully overcome the inactivity of the 1st-generation catalyst. In this article we outline our efforts to discover an active aerobic oxidation catalyst, with an emphasis on deconvoluting the role of ruthenium-catalyzed and autooxidation pathways. We document experimental conditions under which either (and likely both) mechanisms contribute to a highly efficient oxidation (quantitative oxidation was documented at 0.01 mol% ruthenium loading).

The catalytic activity of **1** was examined for the aerobic oxidation of benzhydrol **3** to benzophenone **4** in various solvents under an O₂ atmosphere (1 atm) at different temperatures (Table 1). The conversion of **3** to **4** was monitored by ¹H NMR of the reaction mixture using *tert*-butylbenzene as an internal standard. Complex **1** itself was almost inactive at 60 °C until oxidized to the dioxoruthenium form **2** (entry 1). Complex **2** was prepared *in situ* by oxidation of **1** with two equivalents of *meta*-chloroperbenzoic acid (mCPBA) at 60 °C and used without isolation (eqn 1).¹⁴

The choice of solvent was essential to the activity of the catalyst. Hirobe⁸ and later Iida¹⁵ reported that the mineral acids HCl and HBr could be used to enhance the activity of ruthenium porphyrin complexes, presumably by forming more active halo-ruthenium porphyrin complexes. Dihalogen ruthenium porphyrins can also be prepared from carbonyl ruthenium precursors by reaction with CCl₄ or CBr₄.^{9b,16} Che has improved the catalytic activity of ruthenium carbonyl porphyrin by first refluxing in CCl₄.¹⁷ In this contribution we report that CBrCl₃ may also be used as a soft non-acidic activator and solvent and that it can improve the activation of the ruthenium porphyrin complex; non-acidic activators being especially important for the oxidation of

^aDepartment of Chemistry, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, 27599-3290, USA. E-mail: mgagne@unc.edu

^bInstitut des Sciences et Ingénieries Chimiques, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), 1015, Lausanne, Switzerland

Table 1 Optimization of the catalytic system

Entry	Catalyst	Solvent/additives	Temperature/°C	Time/h	Yield of 4 (%) ^a
1	1	CBrCl ₃	60	18	4
2	2 ^b	CCl ₄	60	4	1.5
3	2 ^b	CD ₃ CN	60	4	2
4	2 ^b	PhCl	100	4	4
5	2 ^b	C ₆ F ₉ OMe–CBrCl ₃ (9 : 1, v/v)	60	4	<1
6	2 ^b	CBrCl ₃	60	3	10
7 ^c	2 ^b	CBrCl ₃	80	22	31
8 ^c	2 ^b	CBrCl ₃	100	18	74
9 ^d	2 ^b	CBrCl ₃ –D ₂ O–NaOD (250 mol%)	90	4	63
10 ^d	2 ^b	CBrCl ₃ –D ₂ O–NaOD (250 mol%)	100	3	30
11	2 ^b	CBrCl ₃ –H ₂ O–Bu ₄ NOH (25 mol%)	90	24	99
12	1	CBrCl ₃ –H ₂ O–Bu ₄ NOH (25 mol%)	90	24	96
13	(TPFPP)H ₂ + mCPBA	CBrCl ₃ –H ₂ O–Bu ₄ NOH (25 mol%)	90	24	22
14	Fe(TPFPP)Cl + mCPBA	CBrCl ₃ –H ₂ O–Bu ₄ NOH (25 mol%)	90	24	66

^a Determined by ¹H NMR, internal standard *tert*-butylbenzene, S/C ratio = 100. ^b Catalyst **2** prepared *in situ* from **1**. ^c Conversion was accompanied by the side products **5**, **6**, and **7**. ^d Reaction stopped after destruction of ruthenium porphyrin.

acid-sensitive compounds. Of the studied solvents (Table 1, entries 2–6), only CBrCl₃ provided significant oxidation of **3**.

The combination of CBrCl₃ and **2** was nearly inert without O₂, as demonstrated by heating **3** with CBrCl₃ and catalyst **2** at 100 °C under argon. Analysis after 20 h showed a 4% conversion to benzophenone, which could be attributed to stoichiometric oxidation of **3** with dioxoruthenium complex **2**. Catalytic oxidations of **3** to **4** in CBrCl₃, however, were accompanied by significant amounts of the undesirable **5**, **6** and **7** (eqn 2).¹⁸ Higher temperatures accelerated the oxidation (Table 1, entries 6–8) but also increased the proportion of side products. These compounds could be suppressed with an inorganic base (entries 9 and 10), but at the cost of accelerated catalyst destruction. Catalyst longevity could be recovered by adding an aqueous solution of Bu₄NOH (25 mol% to **3**) to act as a phase transfer reagent and base. Under these optimum conditions the selectivity increased to 99% (entry 11), and **1** did not need preactivation with mCPBA to be effective (entry 12). Free porphyrin ligand (TPFPP)H₂ and iron porphyrin complex Fe(TPFPP)Cl are also catalytically active (entries 13 and 14), but observed conversions of **3** are less than for the ruthenium catalyst.

As shown in Fig. 1, the progression of the oxidation was investigated at different loadings of catalyst. In each case an induction period was observed and a relatively constant growth of benzophenone ensued.

The viability of an autooxidation process was investigated by similarly following the reaction in the absence of catalyst (see Table 2). In this manner it was established that over the first 24 h period, the autooxidation was much slower (6% conversion) than with ruthenium (Fig. 1, entry 1 in Table 2). When this same reaction was quantified after 65 h, however, complete oxidation had occurred (entry 1). The autocatalytic nature of this oxidation was traced to a benzophenone-mediated photo-autooxidation under normal fumehood light (entry 2). It was additionally established that the autooxidation was almost

Fig. 1 Oxidation of **3** to **4** with different S/C ratios.

completely suppressed (7% conversion at 48 h) in the dark even with added benzophenone (entry 3). Repeating catalytic reactions under the optimum conditions, but wrapped in foil, indicated that the ruthenium-mediated oxidation was insensitive to light (entries 4 and 5). BHT (2,6-di-*tert*-butyl-4-methylphenol) also effectively terminated the autooxidation pathway (entry 6), while it only inhibited the ruthenium-catalyzed oxidation (entry 7). The outcome of these experiments were thus consistent with a scenario wherein ruthenium-catalyzed oxidation occurs in parallel with, and perhaps independent of, a radical chain autooxidation that does not take place in the dark or in the presence of a radical trap. Conditions could be engineered to exclude the autooxidation pathway but under typical conditions it seems, at least for the photo sensitizer benzophenone, that both pathways occur to some degree.

This optimized catalytic system (Table 2, footnote *a*) was used in the oxidation of a set of secondary alcohols (Table 3). To evaluate the maximal turnover number (TON), experiments with decreasing catalyst concentration (higher substrate to catalyst ratio, S/C) were carried out. With a S/C ratio of 10 000, an 82% yield was achieved for benzhydrol **3**; even higher yields were observed for **8** and **10**. The corresponding ketones **4**, **9**, and **11** were isolated in high yields. 4,4'-Dimethoxybenzhydrol **12** also oxidized to the ketone **13** in good yield; however, the oxidation was complicated

Table 2 Control experiments outlining the role of autoxidation pathways

Entry	Catalyst	Conditions ^a	Time/h	Yield of 4 (%) ^b
1	None	Standard	24	6
			65	99
2	None	Standard + 25 mol% Ph ₂ CO	12	100
3	None	Standard + 25 mol% Ph ₂ CO, dark	48	7
4	2 ^{c,d}	Standard	20	92
5	2 ^{c,d}	Dark	24	82
6	None	Dark + 10 mol% BHT	72	NR
7	2 ^c	Dark + 10 mol% BHT	24	61

^a All reactions were performed with the standard conditions (0.2 mmol of **3**, 10 mL of CBrCl₃, 0.05 mL of 1 M aqueous solution of Bu₄NOH) at 90 °C.

^b Determined by ¹H NMR, internal standard *tert*-butylbenzene. ^c Catalyst **2** was prepared *in situ* from **1**. ^d S/C = 10 000.

Table 3 Oxidation of alcohols in the CBrCl₃–Bu₄NOH system^a

Entry	Substrate	S/C	Yield of ketone (%) ^b	Product
1	3	100	95	4
2	3	1000	99 (97 ^c)	4
3	3	10 000	82	4
4		1000	95 (92 ^c)	
5	8	10 000	99	9
6		1000	99 (98 ^c)	
7	10	10 000	99	11
8		1000	77 (73 ^c)	
	12			

^a Alcohol (200 μmol), catalyst **2**, CBrCl₃ (10 mL), Bu₄NOH 1 M aqueous solution (0.05 mL), 90 °C, 24 h. ^b Determined by ¹H NMR, internal standard *tert*-butylbenzene. ^c Isolated yield.

by the formation of unidentified side products. Oxidation of other alcohols – 1-phenylethanol and benzyl alcohol – resulted in complex product mixtures.

In addition to the above CBrCl₃ activation approach, we sought reaction conditions that might be less susceptible to autooxidation pathways. Cognizant of the safety risks of hydrocarbon-based solvents and knowing that highly fluorinated solvents have high oxygen solubility (as well as being non-flammable),¹⁹ we developed alternative conditions based on the relatively high boiling (137–160 °C) perfluoro(methyldecalin) PFMD. Like numerous fluorinated solvents, PFMD is immiscible with regular organic solvents at room temperature and miscible at elevated temperatures, thus facilitating the separation of products and the recovery of the fluorosolvent.¹⁹ As before, the catalytic activity of **2** was investigated with alcohol **3**. Table 4 collects the data showing that the catalytic activity of **2** was dependent on both reaction temperature and oxygen pressure. Expressed as a TON (initial S/C = 100), the conversion of **3** to **4** increased as the oxygen pressure was increased from 12.5 to 25 to 50 psi (TONs 9, 28, and 45, respectively). Increasing the temperature from 120 to

Table 4 Oxidation of alcohol **3** by oxygen in PFMD solvent

Entry	Catalyst ^a	O ₂ pressure/psi	Temp./°C	TON to 4
1	2	12.5	140	9
2	2	25	140	28
3	2	50	140	45
4	2	50	160	42
5	2	50	120	15
6	1	50	140	12
7	None	50	140	— ^b
8 ^c	2	50	140	35
9 ^d	2	50	140	27
10 ^e	2	50	140	15

^a Catalyst **2** was prepared *in situ* from **1**. ^b Only ether **7** was isolated.

^c Benzophenone (10 mol%) was added. ^d BHT (10 mol%) was added.

^e Reaction was carried out in the dark.

140 °C tripled the yield of ketone, but additional increases were not beneficial. Under optimum conditions (140 °C, 50 psi of O₂), the unactivated ruthenium carbonyl catalyst **1** could be directly used, but it was less effective (entry 6).

Table 5 Oxidation of alcohols in the PFMD system^a

Entry	Substrate	TON ^b	Product
1	3	45	4
2	8	99	9
3	10	42	11
4	12	68	13

^a Alcohol (200 μ mol), catalyst **2** (2 μ mol, S/C = 100), PFMD (5 mL), O₂ (50 psi), 140 °C, 24 h. ^b Determined by ¹H NMR, internal standard *tert*-butylbenzene.

An important component of reaction efficiency was a concurrent condensation process that converted **3** into tetraphenyldimethyl ether **7**. In fact, only **7** and **4** were observed at the completion of the reaction. This thermal process converts the more reactive substrate into one that is considerably less so, as demonstrated by oxidation reactions of the isolated ether **7** (12% conversion after 24 h). The condensation occurs quickly in the absence of catalyst (<3 h at 140 °C). Hypothesizing that accelerating the reverse hydration process might lead to a more efficient oxidation process, the reaction was repeated with added water, and a slight inhibition was observed (28% of **4** after 24 h); the high temperatures almost certainly help to drive the dehydration. As described above, it was established that **3** quantitatively converted to **7** under heating without catalyst (entry 7); and most importantly no autooxidation was observed. Since addition of benzophenone did not accelerate oxidation (entry 8), and reactions in the dark or in the presence of BHT were only slowed (entries 9, 10), we propose a metal-catalyzed aerobic oxidation under these conditions.

To evaluate the scope of this variant on the catalytic system, we investigated the oxidation of a number of alcohols (Table 5). Non-enolizable alcohols **3**, **8**, **10**, and **12** were smoothly oxidized to their corresponding ketones, fluorenol **8** being particularly well behaved (entry 2). It is worth noting that no dimer analogous to **7** was observed with the other alcohols, and unreacted alcohol was observed in these cases. Oxidation of other alcohols – 1-phenylethanol and benzyl alcohol – resulted in the formation of multiple products, although corresponding carbonyl compounds were detected by ¹H NMR. For example, benzaldehyde and benzoic acid (along with other unidentified products) were observed for benzyl alcohol.

Conclusions

In summary, we report that the combination of **2** with 25 mol% Bu₄NOH in CBrCl₃ effectively oxidizes secondary alcohols to ketones in good to excellent yields with molecular oxygen. Control experiments indicated that under the optimum reaction conditions ruthenium catalysis and autooxidation were both viable, though conditions could be engineered (radical traps or absence of light) wherein the autooxidation pathway was shut down and only ruthenium catalysis converted alcohol to ketone, high turnover efficiencies being achieved. Alternatively, these alcohols could be oxidized using **2** and oxygen in a fluorinated solvent (PFMD). Numerous control experiments suggested that autooxidation did not occur under these modified conditions.

Experimental

General

The synthesis of complex **1** was performed as described.^{9b} *meta*-Chloroperbenzoic acid (mCPBA, 77%, Aldrich) was used without purification. Perfluoro(methyldecalin) (PFMD, 80%, Aldrich) was degassed by a standard freeze–pump–thaw procedure and saturated with oxygen prior to use. The identity of the product ketones were confirmed by comparison with commercially available compounds. ¹H NMR analyses were performed on a Bruker 400 MHz AVANCE spectrometer. The UV analyses were performed with Hewlett Packard 8453 UV-Visible spectrometer.

In situ activation of ruthenium carbonyl porphyrin complex **1**

Complex **1** (2.2 mg, 2 μ mol) was first dissolved in CBrCl₃ (5 mL) at 60 °C (oil bath), and then mCPBA (0.9 mg, 4 μ mol) was added in one portion to the solution. At 60 °C complete oxidation of **1** to dioxoruthenium complex **2** required only a few seconds. The oxidation could be monitored by UV/Vis or more routinely by the color change from crimson to brown. The solution of **2** was used within 1 hour of preparation.

General procedure for the oxidation of alcohols in CBrCl₃

To a catalyst solution, containing 2 μ mol (S/C ratio = 100), 0.2 μ mol (S/C ratio = 1000) or 0.02 μ mol (S/C ratio = 10000) of **2** in CBrCl₃ (10 mL), prepared by dilution of the stock solution (see above), was added an aqueous solution of tetrabutylammonium hydroxide (50 μ mol, 50 μ L of a 1 M solution) and substrate (200 μ mol). Oxygen was bubbled through the reaction mixture for 15 min while heating to 90 °C. The mixture was stirred at 90 °C for 24 h under an oxygen atmosphere (1 atm). After complete consumption of starting material, the reaction mixture was cooled to rt, concentrated under vacuum and chromatographed (hexanes–EtOAc) to give the ketone.

General procedure for the oxidation of alcohols in perfluoro(methyldecalin)

A catalyst solution, containing 2 μ mol of **2** in chloroform (0.2 mL, prepared as described above) was added to a high pressure glass reaction vessel equipped with a pressure gauge, and diluted with PFMD (5 mL). Alcohol (200 μ mol, S/C ratio = 100) was added in one portion, and the reaction vessel was then filled with oxygen (50 psi) and heated to 140 °C (oil bath). Once 140 °C was achieved, the pressure was then released and the vessel was refilled with oxygen. The reaction mixture was stirred at 140 °C under oxygen for 24 h, the pressure was then released and the reaction mixture cooled to room temperature. The organics were extracted with CDCl₃ (2 $\times$ 1 mL) and the mixture analyzed by ¹H NMR.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the Army Research Office (Grant # W911NF0510081) and the Defense Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA) for funding. KS thanks the Swiss National Science Foundation and the EPFL for kind support.

References

- 1 *Modern Oxidation Methods*, ed. J.-E. Bäckvall, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2004.
- 2 For general reviews, see: (a) R. A. Sheldon and I. W. C. E. Arends, 'Catalytic oxidations of alcohols', in *Advances in Catalytic Activation of Dioxygen by Metal Complexes*, ed. L. I. Simándi, Kluwer Academic Publishers, London, 2003, pp. 123–155; (b) I. W. C. E. Arends and R. A. Sheldon, 'Modern oxidation of alcohols using environmentally benign oxidants', in *Modern Oxidation Methods*, ed. J.-E. Bäckvall, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2004, pp. 83–118; (c) I. E. Markó, P. R. Giles, M. Tsukazaki, A. Gautier, R. Dumeunier, K. Doda, F. Philippart, I. Chellé-Regnault, J.-L. Muttonkole, S. M. Brown and C. J. Urch, 'Aerobic, metal-catalyzed oxidation of alcohols', in *Transition Metals for Organic Synthesis* (2nd edn), ed. M. Beller and C. Bolm, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2004, pp. 437–478; (d) B.-Z. Zhan and A. Thompson, *Tetrahedron*, 2004, **60**, 2917–2935; (e) T. Mallat and A. Baiker, *Chem. Rev.*, 2004, **104**, 3037–3058; (f) M. J. Schultz and M. S. Sigman, *Tetrahedron*, 2006, **62**, 8227–8241; (g) M. S. Sigman and D. R. Jensen, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2006, **39**, 221–229.
- 3 For Ru-catalyzed aerobic oxidations, see: (a) B. R. James, 'Selective oxidations with dioxygen catalyzed by ruthenium and rhodium complexes', in *Dioxygen Activation and Homogeneous Catalytic Oxidation*, ed. L. I. Simándi, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1991, pp. 195–212; (b) T. Naota, H. Takaya and S.-I. Murahashi, *Chem. Rev.*, 1998, **98**, 2599–2660; (c) M. Pagliaro, S. Campestrini and R. Ciriminna, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2005, **34**, 837–845.
- 4 (a) I. E. Markó, P. R. Giles, M. Tsukazaki, I. Chellé-Regnault, C. J. Urch and S. M. Brown, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1997, **119**, 12661–12662; (b) N. Komiya, T. Nakae, H. Sato and T. Naota, *Chem. Commun.*, 2006, 4829–4831; (c) F. Shi, M. K. Tse and M. Beller, *Chem.-Asian J.*, 2007, **2**, 411–415.
- 5 For the application of heterogeneous ruthenium catalysts in aerobic oxidation of alcohols, see: (a) K. Yamaguchi and N. Mizuno, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2002, **41**, 4538–4542; (b) K. Yamaguchi and N. Mizuno, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2003, **9**, 4353–4361; (c) H.-Y. Shen, S.-C. Zhou, M.-H. Wei and H.-X. Zong, *React. Funct. Polym.*, 2006, **66**, 827–831; (d) T. Matsumoto, M. Ueno, J. Kobayashi, H. Miyamura, Y. Mori and S. Kobayashi, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2007, **349**, 531–534.
- 6 J. T. Groves and R. Quinn, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1985, **107**, 5790–5792.
- 7 For the reviews of ruthenium porphyrin catalyzed oxidations, see: (a) B. Meunier, *Chem. Rev.*, 1992, **92**, 1411–1456; (b) T. Młodnicka and B. R. James, 'Oxidations Catalyzed by Ruthenium Porphyrins', in *Metalloporphyrins Catalyzed Oxidations*, ed. F. Montanari and L. Casella, Kluwer Academic Publishers, London, 1994, pp. 121–148; (c) J. T. Groves, K. Shalyaev and J. Lee, 'Oxometalloporphyrins in oxidative catalysis', in *The Porphyrin Handbook*, Vol. 4, ed. K. Kadish, K. Smith and R. Guilard, Academic Press, New York, 2000, pp. 17–40; (d) M. B. Ezhova and B. R. James, 'Catalytic oxidation using ruthenium porphyrins', in *Advances in Catalytic Activation of Dioxygen by Metal Complexes*, ed. L. I. Simándi, Kluwer Academic Publishers, London, 2003, pp. 1–77.
- 8 (a) T. Higuchi, H. Ohtake and M. Hirobe, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1989, **30**, 6545–6548; (b) T. Higuchi, H. Ohtake and M. Hirobe, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1991, **32**, 7435–7438; (c) H. Ohtake, T. Higuchi and M. Hirobe, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1992, **114**, 10660–10662; (d) H. Ohtake, T. Higuchi and M. Hirobe, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1992, **33**, 2521–2524; (e) H. Ohtake, T. Higuchi and M. Hirobe, *Heterocycles*, 1995, **40**, 867–903; (f) T. Higuchi and M. Hirobe, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 1996, **113**, 403–422.
- 9 (a) J. T. Groves, M. Bonchio, T. Carofiglio and K. Shalyaev, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1996, **118**, 8961–8962; (b) C. Wang, K. V. Shalyaev, M. Bonchio, T. Carofiglio and J. T. Groves, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2006, **45**, 4769–4782.
- 10 TONs of about 1.5 per day have been realized for oxidation of isopropanol: S. Y. S. Cheng, N. Rajapakse, S. J. Rettig and B. R. James, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1994, 2669–2670.
- 11 The aerobic oxidation of alcohols in the presence of a sacrificial reductant and a ruthenium porphyrin catalyst has been reported: H.-B. Ji, Q.-L. Yuan, X.-T. Zhou, L.-X. Pei and L.-F. Wang, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2007, **17**, 6364–6368. See also: M. T. Zhou, H.-B. Ji, Q.-L. Yuan, J. C. Xu, L. Y. Pei and L.-F. Wang, *Chin. Chem. Lett.*, 2007, **18**, 926–928.
- 12 (a) O. Nestler and K. Severin, *Org. Lett.*, 2001, **3**, 3907–3909; (b) E. Burri, M. Öhm, C. Daguene and K. Severin, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2005, **11**, 5055–5061; (c) E. Burri, S. M. Leader, K. Severin and M. R. Gagné, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2006, **348**, 1640–1644; (d) E. Burri and K. Severin, *Chimia*, 2006, **60**, 182–184.
- 13 (a) S.-I. Murahashi, T. Naota and N. Komiya, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1995, **36**, 8059–8062; (b) E. R. Birnbaum, J. A. Labinger, J. E. Bercaw and H. B. Gray, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1998, **270**, 433–439.
- 14 Formation of **2** in the oxidation of **1** with mCPBA was described recently: see ref. 9b.
- 15 S. Ogawa, T. Iida, T. Goto, N. Mano, J. Goto and T. Nambara, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2004, **2**, 1013–1018.
- 16 Z. Gross and C. M. Barzilay, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1995, 1287–1288.
- 17 R. Zhang, W.-Y. Yu, K.-Y. Wong and C.-M. Che, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2001, **66**, 8145–8153.
- 18 The identity of compounds **5–7** was established by the comparison of their ¹H NMR spectra with literature data: (a) L. J. Stangeland and J. Songstad, *Acta Chem. Scand.*, 1970, **24**, 356–358; (b) K. J. Miller and M. M. Abu-Omar, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2003, 1294–1299.
- 19 (a) *Handbook of Fluorous Chemistry*, ed. J. A. Gladysz, D. P. Curran and I. T. Horvath, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2004; (b) E. P. Wesseler, R. Iltis and L. C. Clark, Jr., *J. Fluorine Chem.*, 1977, **9**, 137–146.