Superluminal Motion-Assisted Four-Dimensional Light-in-Flight Imaging

Kazuhiro Morimoto,1,2,* Ming-Lo Wu,1,* Andrei Ardelean,1,* and Edoardo Charbon1†

1Advanced Quantum Architecture Laboratory, Ecole polytechnique fédérale de Lausanne, Neuchâtel 2002, Switzerland
2Device Research & Design Department, Canon Inc., Kanagawa 212-8602, Japan

(Received 23 July 2020; revised 5 October 2020; accepted 2 December 2020; published 8 January 2021)

Advances in high-speed imaging techniques have opened new possibilities for capturing ultrafast phenomena such as light propagation in air or through media. Capturing light in flight in three-dimensional \( xyt \) space has been reported based on various types of imaging systems, whereas reconstruction of light-in-flight information in the fourth dimension \( z \) has been a challenge. We demonstrate the four-dimensional light-in-flight imaging based on the observation of a superluminal motion captured by a new time-gated megapixel single-photon avalanche diode camera. A high-resolution light-in-flight video is generated without laser scanning, camera translation, interpolation, or dark noise subtraction. An unsupervised machine-learning technique is applied to analyze the measured spatiotemporal data set. A theoretical formula is introduced to perform least-square regression for numerically solving a nonlinear inverse problem, and extra-dimensional information is recovered without prior knowledge. The algorithm relies on the mathematical formulation equivalent to the superluminal motion in astrophysics, which is scaled by a factor of a quadrillionth. The reconstructed light-in-flight trajectory shows good agreement with the actual geometry of the light path. Applicability of the reconstruction approach to more complex scenes with multiple overlapped light trajectories is verified based on a data set generated by Monte Carlo simulations. Our approach could potentially provide novel functionalities to high-speed imaging applications such as non-line-of-sight imaging and time-resolved optical tomography.
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**I. INTRODUCTION**

Progress in high-speed imaging techniques has enabled observation and recording of light propagation dynamics in free space as well as in transparent, translucent, and scattering media. Various approaches for capturing the light in flight have been demonstrated: holographic techniques [1–3], photonic mixers [4], time-encoded amplified imaging [5], streak cameras [6,7], intensified charge-coupled devices [8], and silicon complementary metal-oxide-semiconductor image sensors [9]. In recent years, one- and two-dimensional arrays of single-photon avalanche diodes (SPAD) have been adopted for the light-in-flight imaging systems [10–15]. These sensors boost data acquisition speed by employing pixel-parallel detection of time stamping with picosecond time resolution and single-photon sensitivity. However, the sensors are capable of sampling only three-dimensional spatiotemporal information \((x, y, t)\) of the target light, and hence the tracking of light-in-flight breaking outside an \( xy \) plane is a challenge.

A method to reconstruct extra-dimensional position information \( z \) from measured spatiotemporal information has recently been investigated by researchers [16,17]. The authors remark that different propagation angles with respect to the \( xy \) plane result in the different apparent velocity of light. Thus, comparing the measured spatiotemporal data set with this theory could give an estimation of the \( z \) component in the light propagation vector. Yet, the analysis is limited to a simplified case with either single straight light paths within the \( xz \) plane passing a fixed point or folded light paths with the angles between the light propagation vector and focal plane no larger than 10 degrees; however, a complete four-dimensional reconstruction of light-in-flight in arbitrary paths remains to be verified. In addition, spatial resolution of the detector array is critical for accurate reconstruction of the four-dimensional trace; the reported SPAD sensor resolutions—e.g., \( 64 \times 32 \) [15], \( 32 \times 32 \) [10], and \( 256 \times 1 \) [13] pixels—are not sufficient, whereas significant improvement of the estimation error for \((x, y, z, t)\) is expected with orders-of-magnitude-larger arrays, typically
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in the megapixels range. Complementary metal-oxide-semiconductor sensors routinely achieve megapixel resolution; e.g., 0.3-megapixel resolution is reported for high-speed imaging [9]; however, the necessary timing resolution is not reached, and it is limited to 10 nanoseconds. In this paper, we demonstrate the four-dimensional light-in-flight imaging based on the time-gated megapixel SPAD camera [18]. In contrast to conventional time-correlated single-photon counting (TCSPC) approaches, where power- and area-consuming time-to-digital converter (TDC) circuits restrict the scaling of the pixel array [19–21], our time-gating approach [18] achieves a much more compact pixel circuit, suitable for large-scale arrays. Our camera achieves a megapixel format, while at the same time ensuring a time resolution comparable to that of a TDC. Owing to an unprecedented high-spatiotemporal resolution, our camera successfully captured an exotic behavior of laser pulse propagation. An object moving at the speed of light can appear to be moving faster than light in a camera image if one neglects to account for the travel time of light from the object to the camera. This “apparent” phenomenon is referred to as superluminal motion. We then introduce an equation to fit the measured data set in three-dimensional space for solving a nonlinear inverse problem. A four-dimensional point cloud appears to cross each other in the field of view.

II. PRINCIPLE AND EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

To illustrate our method, we introduce a Minkowski space that describes the spatiotemporal propagation of a laser pulse and scattered photons towards fixed observation points. Figure 1(a) shows a schematic illustration of the light-in-flight observation in Minkowski space at $y = 0$. A straight light propagation along the $x$ axis is depicted as $(x, y, z) = (ct, 0, 0)$, where $c$ is the speed of light. In Minkowski space, this equation corresponds to a single tilted line crossing the origin [purple arrow in Fig. 1(a)]. A camera is located at position $C_i$ ($i = 1, 2, 5$). The observation of the light in flight at the camera is mediated by scattered light at each point on the path (colored dots on the purple arrow). The propagation of scattered light from the points is described as light cones. Here, we define $t$ as the time at which the light propagating from a laser source reaches a point $(x, y, z)$, called the scattering point on the light path, and $t'$ as a time at which the light scattered by the medium at $(x, y, z)$ reaches the camera. Because of the finite speed of light, a time difference from $t$ to $t'$ is proportional to the spatial distance between the scattering point and the camera location. In Minkowski space, the observation time $t'$ can be visualized as an intersection point of the light cone and a vertical line $L$ passing $C_i$ (dotted line). The relation between the light position $x$ and the corresponding observation time $t'$ is shown in Fig. 1(b). The behavior is highly dependent on the camera position with respect to the scattering point; i.e., at certain points it appears to be faster than in others. For example, at $C_1$ where the light is coming towards the camera, the scattering light from all the points on the light path reaches the camera at the same time. The corresponding apparent velocity, defined as $v_{ap} = dx/dt'$, is infinite, or $t'$ appears not to change irrespective of the distance of the scattering point $(x, y, z)$ from the camera. At $C_5$, in contrast, the light propagating away from the camera is observed with the apparent velocity of $c/2$. For other scattering points corresponding to relative camera positions $C_2, C_3,$ and $C_4$, one observes intermediate apparent velocities, depending on the relative position and angle of the line of sight between the scattering point and the camera. Hence, analyzing the apparent velocity provides an estimated light propagation vector with extra-dimensional information. The discussion can be generalized for higher dimensions; the detailed
analysis of the measured \((x, y, t)\) data set and its local apparent velocity would enable a four-dimensional reconstruction of the light-in-flight history. A 2D-projected intuitive visualization for the propagation of scattered light is provided in Video 1 in Supplemental Material [22]. Note that angle-dependent apparent velocity of light can be seen in nature, for instance, in an astronomical phenomenon called superluminal motion. In this case, relativistic jets of matter are emitted from radio galaxies, quasars, and other celestial objects, appearing to travel faster than light to the observer on a timescale of months to years. Light echoes are also known to produce superluminal motion [23,24]. In addition, observation of superluminal motion in the scattering of a wavefront from a diffusive surface and diffusive media was recently reported [7,25]. In Ref. [7], compensation of the finite time offset from a scattering event to the detection point in a camera was performed based on separately acquired 3D scanning data of the scattering surface, whereas our approach does not require any additional measurement and relies only on the assumption that light propagates at constant speed.

Figure 1(c) is the experimental setup to verify the four-dimensional light-in-flight imaging. A 510-nm laser (average power, 2 mW; frequency, 40 MHz; optical pulse width, 130 ps; PicoQuant GmbH, Berlin, Germany) and the megapixel SPAD camera are synchronized by a pulse generator. The emitted laser pulses are reflected by four mirrors to construct a three-dimensional trajectory. The megapixel SPAD camera can be operated in the intensity-imaging mode to capture the background scene and in the time-gating mode to capture laser propagation [18].

III. THREE-DIMENSIONAL LIGHT-IN-FLIGHT IMAGING

Figure 2 describes a sequence of images showing three-dimensional light in flight in an actual setup. The principle of time-gating operation in the megapixel SPAD camera is depicted in Ref. [18]. The data acquisition time for full gate scanning (250 slices) is 15 to 30 seconds, much shorter than in previous works (e.g., hours [6,7] to 10 minutes [10]). This time can be reduced further by introducing a higher power laser.

Figure 2(a) shows the procedure to generate a three-dimensional light-in-flight video. The 250 captured slices of time-gating images form a photon intensity distribution for each pixel as a function of gate position. A rising edge position \(r'\) and high level of photon intensity \(I\) in the intensity profile can be extracted for each pixel. Time-of-arrival information \(t'\) over the array is obtained by...
subtracting independently measured pixel-position-dependent timing skews from $\tau_0$. The intensity profile is given as a convolution of the arriving photon distribution and the gating profile, and the laser pulse width appears to be elongated by 3.8 ns in the raw intensity profile. The laser pulse width can be narrowed to the picosecond scale by replacing the intensity profile with a Gaussian distribution having a mean value of $t_0$, a standard deviation of 150 ps (corresponding to the combined jitter of the laser and the detector), and integrated photon counts of $I$. Figure 2(b) shows the selected frames of a reconstructed three-dimensional light-in-flight video, where each frame is superimposed with a two-dimensional intensity image independently captured by the same SPAD camera. We start to observe a laser pulse around $t_0 = 0$ ns, which is reflected by multiple mirrors and goes out of sight around $t' = 5.832$ ns. The laser beam at $t' = 5.112$ ns appears to be stretched compared to the beam at $t' = 2.232$ ns, which implies an enhanced apparent velocity of the beam coming towards the camera at $t' = 5.112$ ns. Note that our system requires no mechanical laser scanning, spatial interpolation, or dark noise image subtraction owing to its high spatial resolution array and low dark count rate [18].

A full three-dimensional light-in-flight video with a frame interval of 36 ps is available in Video 2 in Supplemental Material [22].

### IV. FOUR-DIMENSIONAL RECONSTRUCTION OF LIGHT IN FLIGHT

Figure 3(a) shows a color-coded plot of the observation time $t'$ for the propagating light. To reconstruct the four-dimensional light path, the data set needs to be subdivided into straight paths. We adopt the 2D Gaussian mixture model (GMM) fitting for data clustering, which is a common unsupervised machine-learning technique. Figure 3(b) shows the data points separated into five clusters. Note that the data clustering is performed based on two-dimensional spatial information ($x$ and $y$), whereas the time information $t'$ is not taken into account.

Figure 3(c) depicts the coordinate system for the light-in-flight analysis, based on the assumption that all photons are scattered exactly once. Here, we derive a theoretical formula to perform the least-square regression for estimation of the four-dimensional light trajectory:
The fitted results show good agreement with the actual angles. (b,c) Comparison of fitted light propagation angles $\theta$ and $\phi$, respectively, with the actual angles for five data clusters. The fitted results show good agreement with the actual angles.

\[
(\vec{r}_i, \theta, \phi) = \arg \min_{\vec{r}_0, \theta, \phi} \left[ \sum_i \left( x'_i - \frac{z_p}{z_0 + cf(t')} \cos \theta \right) \right] \times \left( x_0 + cf(t') \sin \theta \cos \phi \right) + \sum_i \left( y'_i - \frac{z_p}{z_0 + cf(t')} \cos \theta \right) \times \left( y_0 + cf(t') \sin \theta \sin \phi \right) \right)^2,
\]

\[
f(t') = \frac{1}{2c^2t'^2} \left( \frac{c^2t'^2}{x_0^2 + y_0^2 + z_0^2} - (x_p^2 + y_p^2 + z_p^2) \right),
\]

where $N$ is the total number of measurement data points, $(x'_i, y'_i)$ the corresponding pixel position on the focal plane for the $i$th data point, $-z_p$ the focal length (12.5 mm), $t'$ the measured observation time for the $i$th data point, and $\vec{r}_0 = (x_0, y_0, z_0)$ the position of the beam at $t = 0$; the normalized light propagation vector is represented as $\vec{n} = (\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta)$ using a polar coordinate system. A single straight light trajectory can be expressed as $\vec{r} = \vec{r}_0 + c\vec{n}t$, defined by five fitting parameters, $x_0$, $y_0$, $z_0$, $\theta$, and $\phi$. These parameters are estimated by solving the above optimization problem for each data cluster.

FIG. 4. Reconstructed four-dimensional light-in-flight observation. (a) Four-dimensional point cloud reproduced from the measured three-dimensional spatiotemporal data. The origin of the Cartesian coordinate system is defined at the optical center of the lens for the SPAD camera. The $(x, y, z, t)$ coordinates of each data point are reproduced using the obtained fitting parameters for the corresponding data cluster. (b,c) Comparison of fitted light propagation angles $\theta$ and $\phi$, respectively, with the actual angles for five data clusters. The fitted results show good agreement with the actual angles.

The accuracy of the reconstruction is evaluated in Figs. 4(b) and 4(c). The figures show the fitted light propagation angles $\theta$ and $\phi$ as a function of the actual time.
geometrical angles. Five dots correspond to the fitting results of the five data clusters. All the dots are along the ideal trend (dashed line), indicating that the fitting results are in good agreement with the actual light propagation vectors.

A detailed analysis of the reconstruction performance for various parameter combinations is investigated based on Monte Carlo simulation in Supplemental Material [22]. The simulation shows that with the horizontal resolution of 1024 pixels, the estimation errors of the fitting parameters improve by a factor of 6 to 9 with respect to previously reported 32 × 32 pixel SPAD cameras, thereby justifying the necessity of megapixel resolution for high-precision four-dimensional light-in-flight imaging. The results also suggest that a further increase of the pixel array size could have a non-negligible impact on the stability and accuracy of light-in-flight measurements, along with the potential for further expansion of the measurement in distance and field of view.

To quantify the superluminal motion in this experiment, we introduce apparent transverse velocity \( v_{\text{ap}}^T \), which is often used to characterize the deviation of the observed velocity from the actual velocity in astrophysics. The apparent transverse velocity is defined as the apparent velocity projected onto the focal plane and can be expressed as

\[
v_{\text{ap}}^T = v_{\text{ap}} \sin \zeta
\]

\[
= c \frac{\sin \zeta}{1 - \cos \zeta},
\]

where \( \zeta \) is the angle between a light propagation vector and a line-of-sight vector. Figure 5 shows the reconstructed apparent transverse velocity distribution in \( xyz \) space. As expected, \( v_{\text{ap}}^T \) higher than \( c \) is observed for light paths coming towards the SPAD camera. A gradual change of \( v_{\text{ap}}^T \) in a single straight path is caused by the fact that the laser position is dynamically shifted during the propagation, thereby changing the angle \( \zeta \). The range of \( v_{\text{ap}}^T \) for each data cluster is summarized in Table I.

Note that precise observation of superluminal motion in astrophysics often takes months, whereas the observed laboratory-scale superluminal motion takes place in a nanosecond, corresponding to a \( 10^{15} \)-times reduction with respect to the astronomical observation. This case indicates that the high-speed SPAD camera enables us to reproduce the astrophysical phenomenon in a spatiotemporal dimension scaled by a factor of a quadrillionth.

### V. Generalization

In the previous section, we experimentally demonstrated the four-dimensional reconstruction for the trajectory of a single laser beam based on 2D GMM fitting. The measured data set consists of multiple straight paths, which are not spatially overlapped with each other. However, the assumption of constant speed of light over all trajectories allows the reconstruction approach to be extended to more complex situations, such as multiple beams propagating independently, possibly appearing to cross each other in the field of view.

Experimentally, both time-gating-based and TDC-based approaches are capable of recording multiple time stamps for each pixel with a single measurement, which ensures the acquisition of a full spatiotemporal data set for the apparently crossing paths. A promising approach to perform data clustering for such a complex data set is to utilize temporal information in addition to two-dimensional \( xy \)-space information. A straightforward extension of the proposed method is to perform 3D GMM fitting. When the data set can be expressed as \( U = \{ u^1, u^2, \ldots, u^i, \ldots \} \) with \( u^i = (x^i_p, y^i_p, t^i) \), the 3D GMM can be formulated as

\[
G(u) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k N(u; \mu_k, \Sigma_k),
\]

where \( K \) is the number of Gaussian components, \( \pi_k \) the \( k \)th mixing coefficient, \( N \) the multivariate Gaussian distribution, \( \mu_k \) the three-dimensional mean vector for the \( k \)th Gaussian component, and \( \Sigma_k \) the \( 3 \times 3 \)-dimensional
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**Table I. Summary of apparent transverse velocity for each data cluster.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data</th>
<th>( v_{\text{ap}}^T / c )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cluster 1</td>
<td>0.21–0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster 2</td>
<td>0.49–0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster 3</td>
<td>1.02–1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster 4</td>
<td>1.67–2.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster 5</td>
<td>2.35–3.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
covariance matrix for the $k$th Gaussian component. The data clustering can be performed by fitting the three-dimensional data set $\mathbf{u}^i$ with the 3D GMM, except for special cases where measured data clusters for multiple paths cross each other at a single point in the $xyt$ space. Note that instead of 3D GMM fitting, any other data clustering algorithms such as K-means clustering and DBSCAN clustering can also be applied.

To demonstrate the extension of the reconstruction method, a virtual data set for two apparently crossing paths is generated based on Monte Carlo simulation. Figures 6(a) and 6(b) show schematic illustrations for the top view and side view of the virtual experimental setup. The pixel array size, pixel pitch, and focal length are assumed to be $1024 \times 1024$, $9.4 \mu m$, and $25$ mm, respectively. The two beam paths are expressed as

$$ (x, y, z) = (-c/\sqrt{2}, 0, c/\sqrt{2})t + (0, 0, 1), \quad (5) $$

$$ (x, y, z) = (0, -c/\sqrt{2}, c/\sqrt{2})t + (0, 0, 1.5). \quad (6) $$

Figures 6(c) and 6(d) show the Monte Carlo-generated virtual data sets. Gaussian-distributed noise is added to the measured time stamps. In this setup, some of the pixels around the center of the field of view detect two distinct time stamps originating from the scattering events in two different paths. Figure 6(c) shows the distribution of the time stamps for primary events. The black region indicates that there is no measured time stamp. Time stamps for the secondary events detected later than the primary events are plotted in Fig. 6(d). The full data set for data clustering is formed by merging all the time stamps for the primary and secondary events.

Figures 7(a) and 7(b) show the result of 3D GMM-based data clustering, where the full data set is successfully classified into cluster 1 (red) and cluster 2 (blue). For each data cluster, the data are fitted by Eq. (1) to reproduce the four-dimensional point cloud. Figures 7(c) and 7(d) show the reconstructed four-dimensional point cloud projected onto the $xz$ plane and $yz$ plane, respectively. The reconstructed light trajectories are consistent with the virtual configuration shown in Figs. 6(a) and 6(b). The result confirms that the reconstruction method can be naturally extended to more complex situations where multiple light trajectories are apparently crossing in the field of view.

Although the analysis in this paper is conducted for propagation of pointlike light pulses, the proposed reconstruction approach can be partially extended to cases...
where the propagating wavefront forms a continuous line or curve at a fixed time, provided that time evolution of the wavefront can be analytically formulated. For instance, the trajectory of an arclike wavefront generated from a laser pulse through a one-dimensional diffuser could be reconstructed based on the extended model. In contrast, the reconstruction approach cannot be directly extended to cases where propagating light forms a continuous surface, i.e., a laser pulse scattered by a two-dimensional diffuser. The reconstruction approach implicitly assumes that temporal distribution of incident photons for each pixel can be approximated by a superposition of finite numbers of Dirac delta functions; this ensures that the measured data set can be expressed as the discrete point cloud \( U = \{ u^1, u^2, ..., u^l \} \), with \( u^l = (x^l, y^l, t^l) \). However, when capturing the propagation of a wavefront with a continuous surface (or some exceptional cases for a wavefront with a continuous curve), the temporal distribution of incident photons can be continuous, and the resulting data set is no longer discrete. This result gives additional complexity to the model because the continuous distribution function of the incident photons is dependent on local light intensity at a scattering point and its scattering angle towards the camera. Introducing some assumptions on light intensity distribution at the wavefront surface and the scattering model, e.g., Rayleigh scattering or Mie scattering, could be useful for building more sophisticated models to potentially address this issue, which lies beyond the scope of this paper.

VI. DISCUSSION

Our approach of reconstructing extra-dimensional light-in-flight information with a high spatiotemporal resolution single-photon camera can be applied to a wide range of high-speed imaging techniques. One of the promising applications is non-line-of-sight imaging [26–31]; instead of the direct detection of photons reflected by multiple surfaces and arriving back at the camera, introducing scattering media in the NLOS setup enables us to trace the propagation of emitted and reflected laser beams or wavefronts. Our reconstruction approach enables the estimation of the light propagation vector, in addition to the scattered time and position, even for cases where the photons reflected by the target object do not directly return to the camera and only the secondary photons scattered in air or media are detected by the camera. This technique brings extended functionalities for imaging around and behind obstructing objects, e.g., in a foggy environment or under water. Another potential application is the combination with optical tomography techniques [32–34]; generalization of our theory can be useful for noninvasive three-dimensional monitoring inside a target object structure as well as nondestructive measurement of the three-dimensional distribution of physical parameters such as the refractive index and transmittance.
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APPENDIX: DERIVATION OF THEORETICAL FORMULA FOR LEAST-SQUARE REGRESSION

The time evolution of the laser pulse position \( \vec{r}(t) \) can be described as
\[
\vec{r}(t) = (x(t), y(t), z(t)) = \vec{r}_0 + c t \vec{n},
\]
where \( \vec{r}_0 = (x_0, y_0, z_0) \) is the time-independent constant vector, \( c \) the speed of light in vacuum, and \( \vec{n} = (n_x, n_y, n_z) \) the normalized vector representing the direction of light propagation. Note that \( t \) is the time when the laser pulse reaches position \( \vec{r}(t) \), and it has an offset from \( t' \), the time when the laser pulse at \( \vec{r}(t) \) is observed at the camera position. The laser pulse position projected onto the image sensor plane (focal plane) is
\[
\vec{r}_p(t) = (x_p, y_p, z_p) = \alpha(t) \vec{r}(t),
\]
where \( \alpha(t) \) is the time-dependent coefficient and \( -z_p \) the focal length (12.5 mm). Given that \( z_p \) is time independent, \( \alpha(t) \) can be written as \( \alpha(t) = z_p / (z_0 + c t n_z) \). The movement of the laser pulse projected onto the image sensor is described as
\[
\begin{align*}
x_p(t) &= \frac{z_p}{z_0 + c t n_z} (x_0 + c t n_x), \\
y_p(t) &= \frac{z_p}{z_0 + c t n_z} (y_0 + c t n_y). \quad (A1)
\end{align*}
\]

Considering the light propagation time from \( \vec{r}(t) \) to the camera, the observation time \( t' \) can be written as
\[
t' = t + \frac{\left| \vec{r}(t) \right|}{c} = t + \frac{1}{c} \sqrt{\left| \vec{r}_0 \right|^2 + 2 c t (\vec{r}_0 \vec{n}) + c^2 t^2}. \quad (A2)
\]

Solving this equation gives
\[
t = f(t') = \frac{1}{2 c^2 t^2} \left( \frac{c^2 t^2 - \left| \vec{r}_0 \right|^2}{c^2 t' + \left( \vec{r}_0 \vec{n} \right)} \right). \quad (A3)
\]

By substituting Eq. (A3) into Eq. (A1), the projected laser pulse position as a function of the observation time \( t' \) is expressed as
\[
\begin{align*}
x_p(t') &= \frac{z_p}{z_0 + c f(t') n_z} (x_0 + c f(t') n_x), \\
y_p(t') &= \frac{z_p}{z_0 + c f(t') n_z} (y_0 + c f(t') n_y). \quad (A4)
\end{align*}
\]

From the time-resolved measurement, \( N \) sets of three-dimensional data points \( (x^i_p, y^i_p, t^i) \) are obtained \((i = 1, 2, ..., N)\). To reconstruct four-dimensional light-in-flight history, the six parameters \( x_0, y_0, z_0, n_x, n_y, \) and \( n_z \)
have to be determined by solving the following optimization problem:

\[
\begin{align*}
(\vec{r}_0, \vec{n}) &= \arg \min_{\vec{r}_0, \vec{n}} \left[ \sum_{i} \left( x_p - \frac{z_p}{z_0 + cf(t^i)n_z} \right)^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \left( x_0 + cf(t^i)n_z \right)^2 \right] \\
&\quad + \sum_{i} \left( y_p - \frac{z_p}{z_0 + cf(t^i)n_z} \right)^2 \left( y_0 + cf(t^i)n_y \right)^2 \right] \\
\end{align*}
\]

Equations (1) and (2) can be derived by converting Eqs. (A5) and (A3), respectively, to a polar coordinate system, where \( \vec{n} = (\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta) \).

Note that the data cluster comprised of a smaller number of data points could have a convergence problem during the least-square regression. This problem can be avoided by taking a continuity assumption for the light path into consideration; the starting point of the target data cluster should coincide with the end point of the previous data cluster. In practice, this assumption can be implemented by adding an extra cost function \( \lambda \cdot \{(x_c - x_0 - ct_c \cdot n_z)^2 + (y_c - y_0 - ct_c \cdot n_y)^2 + (z_c - z_0 - ct_c \cdot n_z)^2\} \) to Eq. (A5), where \( (x_c, y_c, z_c, t_c) \) is the four-dimensional coordinate for the end point of the previous data cluster and \( \lambda \) the positive coefficient.


