Conducting barriers for direct contact of PZT thin films on reactive substrates
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Abstract
Several bottom electrode systems for ferroelectric oxide thin film deposition onto reactive substrates or reactive metal films have been investigated with respect to chemical barrier properties and contact resistivity. Such electrode systems should not deteriorate by oxidation, and should prevent oxygen diffusion into the underlying base metal. First, the protective performance of Pt, Ru, RuO$_2$/Ru and Cr has been evaluated on reactive substances such as W, Zr, Mo and TiN. On most materials, a reactive and passivating metal such as Cr offers protection up to a higher temperature than noble metals. This is explained by preferential oxidation. On Cr, a RuO$_2$ electrode allowed oxidation resistance to more than 800°C without any Cr diffusion: the RuO$_2$ serves both as an electrode and as a barrier to Cr. In order to reduce the contact resistance due to the formation of a Cr$_2$O$_3$ film at the RuO$_2$/Cr interface, a Ru interlayer was inserted, giving a RuO$_2$/Ru/Cr. This combination allowed to maintain a low contact resistance up to 700°C.

Introduction
Recently, thin films of Pb(Zr,Ti)O$_3$ (PZT) and other oxide ferroelectrics have attracted considerable attention due to their possible application in memories and miniature sensors and actuators [1]. However, severe integration problems are encountered in many cases, since PZT thin films need to be grown at rather high deposition temperatures (550 to 700°C) in an oxidizing atmosphere. Deterioration of properties or even delamination may result from interdiffusion and oxidation. The problem is usually solved by adding a chemically very stable oxide (as, e.g. SiO$_2$) or nitride (as e.g. Si$_3$N$_4$) barrier layer between a reactive substrate like silicon, and the bottom electrode (usually Pt/Ti or Ta) on which the PZT is grown. This procedure is not always satisfying, because these barrier layers are electrical insulators. For high density FRAM’s a direct electrical contact between drain and bottom electrode are needed [2]. In ultrasonic applications, where high ac currents are flowing through the bottom electrode, a well conductive, i.e., a few micrometer thick electrode of another material than the expensive platinum would be desirable [3]. In the latter case it might be advantageous to take a metal foil as substrate, which than could carry the ac current.

In what follows the reactive substance below the electrode system is called base metal, be it a substrate like a metal foil, silicon, etc., or be it a metal layer such as a tungsten or nickel etc. serving as a conductor on an arbitrary substrate. Due to extensive oxidation and diffusion,
direct deposition of PZT on common metals such as Cr, Zr and Ni is not possible [4]. With a Pt / Ti / Si sequence, extensive out-diffusion of Ti and Si takes place during SrTiO$_3$ capacitor film growth [5]. Replacing Ti with Ta reduces diffusion, but leads to very high contact resistance, presumably due to oxidation of the Ta to Ta$_2$O$_5$. Dhote et al. [6] replaced Ti with TiN (Pt/TiN/Si), allowing deposition of LSCO / PZT / LSCO stacks up to 550°C. However, this solution is limited to that temperature, above which TiN oxidizes rapidly [7]. Dormans et al. [2] used a Pt / RuPt alloy / Ti / W plug on silicon that allowed PZT deposition up to 700°C without detectable contact resistance. In a previous paper [8], we demonstrated PZT deposition at 570°C on a very reactive metal (Zr), using a RuO$_2$ / Cr metal combination (Fig. 1). The same bilayer was found to work on Si [9] using an additional TiN or TiW barrier layer between Si and Cr.

Figure 1. Example electrode system for deposition of PZT onto Zr [8].

Our earlier work showed that Cr does not diffuse through RuO$_2$ and protects well against oxygen diffusion by oxide scale formation. As chemical barrier, electrode layers containing a Cr thin film are far superior to Pt, Ru and RuO$_2$ / Ru electrodes. However, contact resistance could be high and dependent on the thermal budget, due to formation of a Cr$_2$O$_3$ layer between Cr and RuO$_2$. In this work, we (i) extend the study of the protective effect of Cr to other reactive substances (W, Zr, Mo and TiN), in order to have a general understanding of protection and (ii) we examine the effect of introducing a Ru interlayer between RuO$_2$ and Cr (giving the RuO$_2$ / Ru / Cr sequence) in order to lower the contact resistance. We expect Cr will oxidize first in the grain boundaries of the Ru, retarding the formation of a continuous Cr$_2$O$_3$ layer.

**Experimental**

All samples were deposited as film sequences onto SiO$_2$ (1 µm) / Si substrates, using a Nordiko 2000 sputtering system. The metal / electrode sequences were put down at 500°C, in order to ensure dense, high quality films. Thin (10 to 20 nm) Ti adhesion layers, given in parentheses (Ti) in Table I, were used where delamination problems were encountered or expected.

The oxidation resistance of each layer sequence, composed of an electrode on a base metal (Zr, W, Mo, TiN) as defined in Table I, was tested by means of heat treatments in an oxygen ambient. Each sample was subjected to cumulative 10 min oxidation periods at 200, 300,
400, 450, then every 50°C up to 800°C, in a lamp furnace and in a pure oxygen stream at atmospheric pressure. After each oxidation period, the samples were cooled to room temperature for ex situ electrical and thickness measurements (see below). Alternatively, to assess the effect of thermal cycling up to 700°C, a second set of samples was subjected to a single oxidation run having a thermal budget roughly equivalent to that of the cycled specimens, as shown in Fig. 2.

Global degradation of the samples due to oxidation was assessed by measuring the effect of oxidation treatments on the electrical resistance of a strip of film (typically 3-5 mm wide, see Fig. 3a). This method is similar to that used by Cvelbar et al. [11], except that all our measurements were carried out ex-situ at room temperature in between oxidation tests. Additionally, the thickness of each stack was measured using an Alpha-Step profilometer. Thus test indicates the degree of degradation due to the volume increase brought about by oxidation. Table I lists the samples tested for oxidation resistance. These may be divided into three categories

1. Individual layers (reference)
2. Protection against oxidation by Cr or noble metals
3. RuO$_2$ electrode on Cr or Ru/Cr, as a complement to contact resistance measurements.

![Figure 2. Comparison of the 2 oxidation treatments at 700°C. The rise and fall times for the cycled samples (1 min) are not shown.](image)

![Figure 3. Samples for measurement of electrical resistance along the film (a) and contact resistance (b).](image)
### Table I. List of samples for characterization of global oxidation resistance.

| Stack | Individual layer thicknesses | Total thickness | Individual films (references) | [nm] | [nm] | Protection with Cr alone | Cr / Zr | 270 / 1355 | 1625 | Cr / (Ti) / W / (Ti) | 270 / 13 / 642 / 20 | 945 | Cr / (Ti) / W / (Ti) | 500 / 13 / 522 / 20 | 1055 | Cr / (Ti) / Mo / (Ti) | 490 / 13 / 900 / 20 | 1423 | Cr / (Ti) / TiN / (Ti) | 490 / 13 / 134 / 13 | 650 | Protection with Pt, Ru, RuO$_2$ / Ru | Ru / Zr | 130 / 1373 | 1523 | Pt / (Ti) / W / (Ti) | 250 / 13 / 543 / 20 | 826 | Ru / (Ti) / W / (Ti) | 250 / 13 / 547 / 20 | 830 | RuO$_2$ / Ru / (Ti) / W / (Ti) | 300 / 150 / 13 / 434 / 13 | 910 | RuO$_2$ electrode on Cr or Ru / Cr | RuO$_2$ / (Ti) / Cr / (Ti) | 340 / 28 / 500 / 13 | 881 | RuO$_2$ / Ru / Cr / (Ti) | 290 / 150 / 447 / 13 | 900 |

### Table II. Samples for contact resistance measurements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sequences (top x bottom leg)</th>
<th>Thicknesses [nm]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RuO$_2$ / (Ti) × Ru / Cr / (Ti)</td>
<td>300 / (10) × 150 / 430 / (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RuO$_2$ / (Ti) × Cr / (Ti)</td>
<td>300 / (10) × 500 / (10)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For contact resistance measurements, two strips (the top and bottom film) are deposited perpendicular to each other forming a cross (Fig. 3b). This allows evaluation of the contact resistance by a four-wire method, eliminating the effect of the electrical resistance of the cross legs. Moreover, we used finite difference calculations to compensate the error due to an inhomogeneous voltage distribution inside the contact area when contact resistance is small. Table II lists these samples, which both have corresponding analogues in Table I. Finally, selected samples were examined by transmission electron microscopy (TEM).

Results

Reactive metals and TiN alone
The relative conductance (conductance of the sample after relative to as-deposited) as a function of cumulative oxidation temperature is given in Fig. 4 for the reactive films (Zr, Mo, W and TiN), with and without a Cr protective layer. All of the unprotected layers oxidize extensively at quite low temperatures, as evidenced from the rapid loss of electrical conductivity. Similar results were obtained for other reactive substances such as Ti, Nb and Ta. These substances definitely need protection against oxidation for the 550-700°C PZT deposition temperature range.

Oxidation resistance of stacks protected with Cr
As evidenced from Fig. 4, a Cr overlayer clearly has a protective behavior on all substances. However, this protection is limited to about 600°C in the case of Zr. Above 650°C, the structure delaminates. On the other hand, Cr is very effective at protecting all the other films at least up to 800°C, forming a layer of Cr₂O₃ on the sample surface. While conductivity does eventually drop by oxidation and eventually alloying (the increases of conductance in the 550-700°C range is attributed to annealing of Cr), no delamination is observed. Also, in these instances, the corresponding thickness increases (Fig. 5) agree roughly with that of the single Cr film and the results of other oxidation studies on Cr [12]. This confirms the protective effect; the degradation is governed mainly by the oxidation of Cr. The small observed differences can be ascribed to (i) slight temperature inhomogeneities in our lamp furnace, (ii) measurement errors (±40 nm), (iii) influence of growth kinetics of the Cr₂O₃ scale by small W, Mo or Ti additions and (iv) influence of the underlayer on the Cr film properties (density, etc.). From our earlier studies [8,13], we expected good protective behavior due to the reactivity of Cr and the formation of a dense, protective scale of Cr₂O₃. Obviously, this does not work fully in the case of Zr. As compared to the oxidation of unprotected Zr, the oxidation below the Cr layer is slowed down, but is not stopped.

Oxidation resistance of stacks protected with Pt, Ru and RuO₂ / Ru
The relative conductance results are given in Fig. 6 for this second set of stacks. Unlike Cr, these nobler compounds showed limited protective behaviour in all circumstances, with failure temperatures in the 600-700°C range. Additional tests of Pt on Ti, Zr, Nb, Ta, TiN and ZrN, which were carried out in less detail, also showed similar failure temperatures. On W, the failure temperatures are somewhat lower (100°C) than that of the very similar Pt / RuPt alloy / Ti stack used by Dormans et al. [2].
Figure 4. Relative conductance as a function of cumulative oxidation temperature of Cr-protected films. Unprotected versions are given as a reference.

Figure 5. Thickness increase as a function of cumulative oxidation temperature of Cr-protected samples (except Zr). Cr alone deposited in the same conditions is given as a reference.
Figure 6. Relative conductance as a function of cumulative oxidation temperature of films protected by Ru, RuO₂/Ru or Pt. Unprotected versions are given as a reference.

Effect of stresses due to thermal cycling on oxidation resistance

The effect of thermal cycling was assessed by comparing the results obtained on samples subjected to cumulative oxidation runs up to 700°C (cycled) to those obtained from samples subjected to a single oxidation run having a similar thermal budget (Fig. 2). The results are given in Table III. While no significant change is observed for samples which clearly failed below 700°C (Ru/Zr, Pt/W) or withstood higher temperatures (Cr/W), some "borderline" samples such as Cr/Zr, Ru/W and RuO₂/Ru/W do perform significantly better, indicating the stresses due to thermal cycling can contribute significantly to degradation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stack</th>
<th>Relative conductance</th>
<th>Relative conductance</th>
<th>Thickness increase</th>
<th>Thickness increase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cer 700°C</td>
<td>Single run to 700°C</td>
<td>Cer 700°C</td>
<td>Single run to 700°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr 270 nm / Zr</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru 150 nm / Zr</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr 270 nm / W</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt 250 nm / W</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru 250 nm / W</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>1640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RuO₂ / Ru / W</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table III. Effect of thermal cycling on various samples. The measurement error on thickness variation is about ±40 nm. (x = delamination).
Contact resistance of RuO₂ × Cr and RuO₂ × Ru / Cr
The contact resistance of the two samples listed in Table II are shown in Fig. 7. Both samples do not delaminate or structurally fail in any way up to 800°C. RuO₂ × Cr already has a significant contact resistance in the as-deposited state. As the sample starts to react (450-650°C), the resistance drops, than increases again beyond this range to very high values. Simpler behavior is observed when a Ru layer is inserted: the sample keeps a low contact resistance up to 700°C, above which an increase is observed. Adding a Ru layer therefore tends to suppress the initial contact resistance and also shifts the temperature above which an insulating layer forms by 50°C.

![Figure 7. Evolution of contact resistance as a function of cumulative oxidation temperature.](image)

In-plane conductivity of RuO₂ / Cr and RuO₂ / Ru / Cr
The relative and square conductances as a function of cumulative oxidation temperature are given in Fig. 8 for the in-plane analogues to the contact resistance measurement samples. The effect of annealing, followed by oxidation of Cr can be seen on the curves of Cr and RuO₂ / Cr (hump in the 600-800°C range) in the upper part of Fig. 8. Since the three 3 stacks have been deposited using the same individual layer thicknesses and deposition conditions, comparison of the absolute conductance values allows one to discriminate the contributions from the different layers (bottom part of Fig. 8). Neglecting any interaction between the layers, the first and the succeeding two interval curves show a rough estimate of the contribution of Cr, RuO₂ and Ru, respectively. For example, the contribution of the Ru layer can be estimated by subtracting the square conductance of Ru/Cr from the one of RuO₂/Ru/Cr. In this view, one can see that the Ru contribution is abruptly reduced around 600°C, even though the Ru layer is still present (see TEM below). On the other hand, the RuO₂ contribution seems pretty much unchanged.
Figure 8. Relative conductance and square conductance as a function of cumulative oxidation temperature of RuO₂ / Cr and RuO₂ / Ru / Cr, with Cr alone as a reference. In the square conductance view, the three labeled intervals approximately represent the respective individual contributions to the stack conductance, and the results for RuO₂ / Ru / Cr are multiplied by 1.1 to compensate for correspondingly thinner Cr and RuO₂ layers.

**TEM observations of RuO₂ / Ru / Cr**

A cross-section of the RuO₂ / Ru / Cr interfaces was observed by TEM after the tests (all anneals up to 800°C), and compared to the corresponding cross-section of RuO₂ / Cr, as shown in Fig. 9. In both cases, a thick layer of Cr₂O₃ has formed at the RuO₂ / Cr interface and no Cr₂O₃ is detected at the top of the RuO₂. The presence of Cr₂O₃ was confirmed by electron diffraction and electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS).

Since Cr is much more reactive with oxygen than Ru [14], Ru essentially plays the role of an inert metal marker for Cr and oxygen diffusion - as Cr will oxidize preferentially. Cr₂O₃ has formed below, inside and above Ru, with about twice more above Ru than below it, justifying *a posteriori* the choice of the RuO₂ × Ru / Cr configuration instead of RuO₂ / Ru × Cr. From the Cr₂O₃ distribution, we find the growth of the Cr₂O₃ scale occurs through both Cr and oxygen diffusion, in agreement with published results on oxidation of bulk alloys [15,16], with a larger Cr contribution.
Discussions

Performance and limitations of the oxidation barriers
At high temperatures, interdiffusion is likely to occur between the protective metal layer (Cr or noble metals) and the reactive material it protects. As a consequence, the stack surface will effectively become an alloy, and the preferred oxide formed will usually be the stabler one (selective oxidation) [17]. Hence, if Cr is more reactive than the underlying metal, a protective Cr$_2$O$_3$ scale will form. Conversely, an underlayer more reactive than Cr and whose oxide is not protective (such as Zr) will catastrophically oxidize above a certain temperature. Comparing oxidation free energies per mole of oxygen [14], we can predict, in the case the underlying layer has reached the film surface:
Cr will not protect Zr, as Zr is more reactive than Cr.
2. Cr will protect W, Mo and TiN.
3. Ru and Pt will protect none of the abovementioned reactive substances.

These predictions are in very good agreement with our results. While one would expect a bigger difference between Ru and Cr on Zr because of the considerable difference in oxygen reactivity, this effect may be counterbalanced by greater diffusivity between Cr and Zr. While both the Ru-Zr and Cr-Zr systems show extended miscibility [18], Cr has a lower melting point than Ru (1857° vs. 2310°C [14]).

As to TiN, its reactivity with oxygen is smaller than that of Cr (contrarily to Ti, which exhibits a higher reactivity). Also, diffusion of TiN through Cr is unlikely up to very high temperatures. These features of TiN can thus be exploited to separate Cr from highly reactive materials such as silicon, which readily forms a silicide with Cr at ferroelectric film processing temperatures [9,19]. In this way, a separation of the two barrier problems, oxygen diffusion on one hand and reactive metal diffusion on the other, can be separated. The other oxidation mechanism (diffusion of oxygen through the Cr) is bound to be very slow through a dense film because the high reactivity of Cr imposes a very low maximum activity of oxygen: the activity calculated from thermodynamic data [14] is in the $10^{-27}$ Pa range at 700°C.

On the other hand, oxygen diffusion through noble metals is likely to occur because of the corresponding high equilibrium metal-oxide activities. Therefore, a noble metal barrier may fail both by (i) out-diffusion and selective oxidation of the underlying metal and (ii) by in-diffusion of oxygen. These phenomena are basically the same as those occurring in bulk alloys: selective oxidation at the surface (scale formation) or in the sample (internal oxidation) [17].

Fig. 8a is a direct confirmation of the bad barrier characteristics of noble metals. As Cr$_2$O$_3$ is found both below and above Ru, one can conclude that Ru is neither a good barrier against oxygen, nor against Cr diffusion. This might be generalized to any noble metal / reactive metal stack: in a recent paper [20], we show the oxidation behavior of Ti, Zr and Ta adhesion layers for Pt metallizations (whether the adhesion layer oxidizes in and above the Pt or below it) is determined by the respective cation and oxygen mobilities in the corresponding oxide. Finally, one should note that pure Cr was chosen rather than an alloy for simplicity in this study. In practice, Cr$_2$O$_3$-forming alloys may show a better oxidation resistance [Whittle 19]. Our preliminary results on stainless steel films also show much better resistance to oxidation than pure Cr films.

**Contact resistance**

Without a Ru layer, the evolution of the RuO$_2$ / (Ti) / Cr contact resistance can be separated into three separate steps:

1) oxidation of the Cr surface and Ti adhesion layer by the reactive plasma used during RuO$_2$ deposition, giving a relatively resistive interface,

2) lowering of the contact resistance in the 400-600°C temperature range by oxidereduction or intermixing of Cr$_2$O$_3$, TiO$_2$ and RuO$_2$ without diffusion of atmospheric oxygen through the RuO$_2$, and

3) formation of a thick, insulating layer of Cr$_2$O$_3$ at higher temperatures, where RuO$_2$ is no longer a barrier against oxygen diffusion.
The insertion of a Ru layer, by avoiding contact between Cr and RuO₂, suppresses the initial contact resistance (1) and hence step 2, and retards step 3 by about 50°C. The reason for this latter result is evident from the TEM pictures, although they were taken above the temperatures at which this mechanism is effective: initially, Cr₂O₃ forms in the Ru grain boundaries, and this does not give rise to contact resistance as long as the Cr₂O₃ has not extended to a continuous layer between RuO₂ and Ru or Ru and Cr, which, from the contact resistance measurements, happens between 700 and 750°C.

Indirect confirmation of prior Cr₂O₃ formation in Ru is given by the in-plane resistance measurements (Fig. 8), which show that the Ru contribution to in-plane conductance diminishes around 600°C. Obviously, an insulating layer must have formed between the Ru columnar grains (the in-plane conductance of Ru is lowered) but not above or below (the contact resistance does not increase).

Up to 700°C, the measured contact resistance is low enough for piezoelectric devices. However, for memory applications, more sensitive measurement techniques and layouts are needed in order to judge the performance of this barrier type. Compared to the version without Ru, this new barrier structure does not depend on a particular heat treatment to lower the contact resistance, removing the necessity of a special annealing treatment. Also the Ru and Cr based thin films are more easily plasma etched than the platinum based electrodes and therefore should be compatible with high density integration.

**Conclusions**

We have shown that the protection of reactive layers, needed for successful deposition of oxide ferroelectric films onto reactive substrates such as metals or Si, obeys the same basic rules as the oxidation behavior of bulk alloys. Namely, a sufficiently reactive element, such as Cr, is needed. When this element is more reactive than the layer it protects, outstanding protection is obtained, as in the case of Cr on W, Mo and TiN, where protection is maintained up to at least 800°C. However, when Cr is deposited on an even more reactive element such as Zr, protection is limited by interdiffusion between the metals, followed by selective oxidation of Zr.

Hence, noble metals always have limited effectiveness as barriers, and oxidation of the underlying reactive layer will occur by in-diffusion of oxygen or out-diffusion of the metal, depending on the diffusion characteristics of the formed oxide.

RuO₂ is a good electrode for PZT on Cr, as it prevents Pb and Cr diffusion. However, the trapping of Cr underneath the RuO₂ favors the formation of a continuous Cr₂O₃ layer, possibly giving rise to a high contact resistance. Insertion of a Ru layer between RuO₂ and Cr alleviates this problem, giving a well conducting RuO₂ / Ru / Cr barrier scheme, which shows low contact resistance up to above 700°C. The Ru layer effectively "short-circuits" the Cr₂O₃, which forms first as a discontinuous film in the Ru grain boundaries. Moreover, the initial contact resistance due to oxidation of the Cr during RuO₂ deposition is suppressed. The contact resistance of this barrier is low enough for high-frequency piezoelectric and possibly for memory applications.
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