Design and processing of low-range piezoresistive LTCC force sensors
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Abstract: Low-temperature cofired ceramic (LTCC) combines many advantageous features for low-range (force & pressure) piezoresistive sensors: ease of 3D structuration, availability of very thin sheets, low elastic modulus and yet reasonable mechanical strength. This work covers the design and fabrication low-range LTCC force sensors down to ideally 10 mN, together with mechanical characterisation of LTCC substrates. We show that LTCC is a viable substrate for piezoresistive sensing, and that 3D structuration can be used to both increase the output signal and simplify production. Moreover, very sensitive LTCC sensors may be manufactured, provided specific thick-film conductors with a sintering behaviour closely matched to that of the LTCC are applied.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Thick-film piezoresistive force and pressure sensors, using appropriate resistive compositions as sensitive materials, have found wide application in industry due to their simplicity, low cost and reliability 0. This technology has led in our laboratory to the development of a simple generic force sensor design (figure 1, 0.4 to 2.0 N force ranges), where a sensing beam is soldered onto a mechanical base, which also carries the amplification electronics. In almost all cases, the standard 96% alumina used as a substrate material for thick-film electronics is also used for the sensor elastic element, in spite of its moderate strength and high elastic modulus For (very) low range force sensing, which is the object of this paper, classic alumina substrates have other drawbacks:

− Scoring and breaking (the standard thick-film individualisation procedure) is not practical at very small dimensions, while laser cutting severely degrades the mechanical properties 0. Moreover, very thin alumina substrates are very fragile and therefore difficult to handle, or not available at all.
− While solder assembly is reasonably reliable 0, it creates parasitic stresses 0. Placement of the sensing resistors is therefore a compromise between maximising signal (placement near the solder joint) and minimising parasitic stresses (placement away from the joint).
− The nominal displacement increases with decreasing nominal load – the beam becomes too compliant.

Fig. 1. "MilliNewton" force sensor developed at our laboratory – principle diagram & photograph.
Recently, LTCC (low-temperature cofired ceramic) substrates have attracted interest as a platform for piezoresistive sensing 0. Their mechanical properties were found to be acceptable 0, with potential strain sensitivity estimated at ca. 50...100x that of alumina on the basis of modulus and thickness alone 0. Moreover, LTCC offers easy 3D structuration, which we demonstrate in this work to be a key advantage for low range force sensors.

2. STRENGTH OF LTCC

The short-term bending strength of DuPont 951 LTCC beams was previously 0 determined to be 360 ± 40 MPa (strength ± standard deviation). Being a quite glassy material, LTCC is expected to be subject to stress corrosion (static fatigue) in moist air 0. The corresponding experiments 0 were pursued over a longer time, giving the updated results in figure 2. The continuous line denotes the fit, and the dashed one gives the lower confidence boundary (fit − 3 standard deviations). The results were fitted the following way:

$$\log \sigma = \log \sigma_0 - \frac{1}{n} \log \left( \frac{t}{t_0} \right)$$

In the above relation, $\sigma$ is the long-term rupture stress, $t$ the time and $\sigma_0$ the short-term strength at time $t_0$. $t_0$ was defined here to be 1 s. The 10-year design stress $\sigma_d$ and strain $\varepsilon_d$ were then determined from these results, with the symbol $\Delta$ denoting the standard deviation from the fit, and taking $E = 110$ GPa 0 as the elastic modulus of LTCC.

$$\sigma_d = \sigma_0 \cdot \left( \frac{10 \text{ years}}{t_0} \right)^{1/n} \cdot 10^{-3\Delta} ; \varepsilon_d = \frac{\sigma_d}{E}$$

Our updated results give a design stress and strain of 110 MPa and 1'000 ppm, compared with 270 MPa and 800 ppm for alumina. LTCC therefore has a slight advantage in the design strain.
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**Fig. 2.** Static fatigue of DuPont 951 LTCC.

3. SENSOR DESIGN AND FABRICATION

In this work, we aim to design an LTCC sensing beam compatible with the MilliNewton sensing base (figure 1) and allowing measurement of much smaller forces while addressing the issues of excessive compliance and sensitivity to parasitic solder stresses by taking advantage of the 3D structuration capability. Let us first consider a bilayer cantilever whose cross section is depicted in figure 3. The moments of inertia $I_1$ and $I_2$ of both layers (vs. their centerplanes) and the position of the neutral plane $\delta$ are given by:

$$I_1 = \frac{1}{12} b_1 \cdot h_1^3, \quad I_2 = \frac{1}{12} b_2 \cdot h_2^3, \quad \delta = \frac{\frac{1}{2} b_1 \cdot h_1^2 - b_2 \cdot h_2^2}{b_1 \cdot h_1 + b_2 \cdot h_2}$$

where $b_1, b_2$ are the layer widths, and $h_1, h_2$ the layer thicknesses.
The total moment of inertia $I$ and the section modulus $Z$ are given by:

$$I = \frac{1}{12} b_1 \cdot h_1^3 + \left(\frac{1}{12} h_1 - \delta\right)^2 \cdot b_1 \cdot h_1 + \frac{1}{12} b_2 \cdot h_2^3 + \left(\frac{1}{12} h_2 + \delta\right)^2 \cdot b_2 \cdot h_2,$$

$$Z = \frac{I}{h_2 + \delta} = \frac{M}{\sigma_{\text{top}}}$$

Given a bending moment $M = FL$, $I$ determines the bending curvature and $Z$ determines the bending stress. $Z$ is here calculated as a function of the maximum tensile stress at the top of the bilayer $\sigma_{\text{top}}$ (which essentially determines failure in ceramics), at the top of the bilayer. This introduces a very advantageous feature: the compressive stress at the bottom $\sigma_{\text{bottom}}$ may be much higher in magnitude than this tensile stress. Let us introduce $r$ as their ratio:

$$r = \frac{-\varepsilon_{\text{bottom}}}{+\varepsilon_{\text{top}}} = \frac{-\sigma_{\text{bottom}}}{+\sigma_{\text{top}}} = \frac{h_1 - \delta}{h_2 + \delta}$$

In the special case where $b_1 \cdot h_1^3 = b_2 \cdot h_2^3$, e.g. $\delta = 0$, the neutral plane lies at the boundary between both layers, and the above relations take simplified forms:

$$I_{\delta=0} = \frac{1}{3} b_1 \cdot h_1^3 + \frac{1}{3} b_2 \cdot h_2^3, \quad Z_{\delta=0} = \frac{I}{h_2}, \quad r_{\delta=0} = \frac{h_1}{h_2} = \frac{b_2}{b_1}$$

We fabricated prototype force sensors (illustrated by the photographs of the sensor in figure 4), using DuPont 951 LTCC, 6146 conductors, and 2041 (also: ESL 3984) 10 kOhm resistors. Table 1 gives the corresponding data (neutral plane position $\delta$, nominal force $F$ and stress ratio $r$). We took $\sigma_\delta = 50$ MPa, integrating a ca. 2x safety margin compared to the long-term strength determined from our measurements. In all cases, $b_1 = 1$ mm and $b_2 = 3$ mm, and the effective length (from load point to resistor) was $L = 6$ mm. The sensors were designed based on the above calculations, according to the following principles:

1) The sensing resistors are screen printed on the bottom layer only, in a half bridge configuration (only one pair active).
2) The top layer is wide and thin, and the bottom layer narrow and thick, in order to maximise $r$.
3) The bottom layer is only narrowed at the location of the sensing resistors, maximising rigidity of the beam elsewhere: excessive bending is thus avoided.

**Fig. 3.** Cross section of a bilayer cantilever (layer under tensile stress).

**Tab. 1.** Calculated properties of our force sensors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$h_1$ [\mu m]</th>
<th>$h_2$ [\mu m]</th>
<th>$\delta$ [\mu m]</th>
<th>$F$ [mN]</th>
<th>$r$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>210</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>+14</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>+12</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 4.** Structured LTCC sensor ($b_1 = 3$ mm, $b_2 = 1$ mm). Left: blank LTCC ; right: screen printed (bottom).
The values in table 1 are very promising: we can almost go down to our goal of 10 mN, and the stress ratio (ca. 1.7) almost completely compensates the fact that we only screen print on one side. This means we can achieve ca. the same sensitivity as a full bridge sensor of rectangular cross section with much simpler processing. In spite of this and very promising early measurements, some issues remain, as evidenced in figure 5: the conductive tracks are not well shrinkage matched to the LTCC, leading to deformation of the thin sections upon firing. This must still be resolved if we want to achieve reliable fabrication of very thin beams.

Fig. 5. Side shot of the LTCC cantilevers, showing the deformation induced by the conductive tracks.

4. CONCLUSIONS

Our work shows that low-range LTCC force sensing beams can be designed successfully, with superior properties and easier processing than alumina ones. Manufacturing them still poses some challenges, however, due to the deformations of thin structures induced by differential sintering of LTCC and thick-film conductors. Work is underway to address these issues, by more careful layout of the conductive tracks and by modifying the conductor materials in order to match their shrinkage curve to that of LTCC.
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