

Quantum Random Walks and Piecewise Deterministic Evolutions *

Ph. Blanchard
Universität Bielefeld
Fakultät für Physik and BiBoS
D-33619 BIELEFELD (Germany)

and

M.-O. Hongler[†]
STI/ Institut de Production et Robotique/ LPM
Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne
CH-1015 LAUSANNE (Switzerland)

March 8, 2004

Abstract

In the continuous space and time limit, we show that the probability density to find the Quantum Random Walk (QRW) driven by the Hadamard “coin” solves an hyperbolic evolution equation similar to the one obtained for a random two-velocity evolution with spatially inhomogeneous transition rates between the velocity states. In spite of the presence of a non-linear drift term, it is remarkable that the QRW position can easily be described in simple analytical terms. This allows us to derive the quadratic time dependence of the variance typical for the QRW.

PACS number(s): 0.367.Lx, 05.40.Fb

*Supported by FCT, POCTI – Programa Operacional Ciência, Tecnologia, Inovação, FEDER.

[†]Partially supported by the Fonds National Suisse pour la Recherche Scientifique

Key-words: quantum random walk, non-linear diffusion, random velocity model, hyperbolic evolution.

Introduction

In the last ten years a sustained attention has been devoted to the study of quantum random walks (QRW) on graphs and lattices and a recent introductory survey is given in the paper by J. Kempe [1]. The term QRW was coined in 1993 in [2]. QRW are systems analogous to classical random walks but exhibit a radically different behavior. Consider the simplest situation, namely a discrete time walk on the one dimensional lattice \mathbb{Z} . The QRW possesses an extra “coin” degree of freedom. Exactly as in the classical random walk the direction that the walker moves is determined by the outcome of a coin flip. In the case of a quantum walk the flip of the coin as well as the conditional motion of the walker are both given by unitary transformations, making therefore possible interferences of paths. For the classical walk the probability $P(n, \tau)$ to find the particle at time τ in $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a binomial distribution with a variance σ^2 growing linearly with time, so the expected distance from the origin is of the order $\sigma \sim \tau^{1/2}$. By contrast and due to the presence of interferences, the variance of the QRW scales as $\sigma^2 \sim \tau^2$, from which it follows that the expected distance from the origin is of the order $\sigma \sim \tau$. In other words the quantum random walk propagates quadratically faster as the classical one. The unitary transformation C describing the coin flip in the two dimensional coin space \mathcal{H}_c is frequently given by the Hadamard transformations H :

$$H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

The total Hilbert space \mathcal{H} describing the position and the coin dynamics is in this simple situation nothing else as

$$\mathcal{H} = \ell^2 \otimes \mathbf{C}^2$$

and the conditional translation of the particle is given by a unitary operator S .

Let us briefly recall ([2], [3]) that to describe the motion QRW, one usually introduces a spin-like degree of freedom (i.e. often called the *chirality*) which can take the values LEFT and RIGHT or a superposition. One then considers a two- component vector wave function:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi_L(n, \tau) \\ \psi_R(n, \tau) \end{pmatrix}$$

characterizing the amplitudes of the QRW to be at the point n at time τ . The 1-D QRW is then defined to be the motion on of a test particle on the lattice \mathbb{Z} which at each time step suffers a modification of its chirality according to the rule given by Eq.(??) and then the particle moves to its (new) chirality state given by:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_L(n, \tau + 1) &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \psi_L(n + 1, \tau) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \psi_R(n - 1, \tau) \\ \psi_R(n, \tau + 1) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \psi_L(n + 1, \tau) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \psi_R(n - 1, \tau),\end{aligned}\quad (2)$$

with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. As it is pointed out in [3], the relations given by Eq.(??) are both equivalent to:

$$a(n, \tau + 1) - a(n, \tau - 1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [a(n - 1, \tau) - a(n + 1, \tau)] \quad (3)$$

with either $a(n, \tau) = \psi_L(n, \tau)$ or $a(n, \tau) = \psi_R(n, \tau)$. To explicitly restore the dual role played by the ψ_R and the ψ_L in Eq.(??), we further introduce:

$$a(n, \tau) = A^+(n, \tau) + (-1)^\tau A^-(n, \tau), \quad (4)$$

in terms of which Eq.(??) reads:

$$A^\pm(n, \tau + 1) - A^\pm(n, \tau - 1) = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [A^\pm(n - 1, \tau) - A^\pm(n + 1, \tau)]. \quad (5)$$

We now can rewrite Eq.(??) as a second order recurrence, namely:

$$\mathbb{T}(\tau)A^+(n, \tau) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Delta(n)A^+(n, \tau) - \sqrt{2} [A^+(n + 1, \tau) - A^+(n, \tau)]. \quad (6)$$

and

$$\mathbb{T}(\tau)A^-(n, \tau) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Delta(n)A^-(n, \tau) + \sqrt{2} [A^-(n, \tau) - A^-(n - 1, \tau)]. \quad (7)$$

where the two-steps difference operators are defined as:

$$\mathbb{T}(\tau)A^\pm(n, \tau) =$$

$$A^\pm(n, \tau + 1) - 2A^\pm(n, \tau) + A^\pm(n, \tau - 1) + 2 [A^\pm(n, \tau) - A^\pm(n, \tau - 1)]$$

and

$$\Delta(n)A^\pm(n, \tau) = A^\pm(n+1, \tau) - 2A^\pm(n, \tau) + A^\pm(n-1, \tau).$$

Except at the origin $n = 0$, the continuous limit of Eqs.(??) and (??) can be written unambiguously in terms of the continuous variables $\tau \mapsto t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $n \mapsto x \in \mathbb{R}$ as:

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} A^\pm(x, t) + 2\frac{\partial}{\partial t} A^\pm(x, t) = \sqrt{2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} A^\pm(x, t) \pm \frac{\partial}{\partial x} A^\pm(x, t) \right], \quad (8)$$

The hyperbolic Eq. (??) is the Chapman-Kolmogorov Eq. for probability densities governing piecewise deterministic evolution models [8]. When time $t \rightarrow \infty$ the densities $A^\pm(x, t)$ will reach a diffusive regime [4], [8] in characterized by a left respectively a right drifted Gaussian:

$$A^\pm(x, t) \simeq \mathcal{N} e^{-\frac{(x \pm \sqrt{2}t)^2}{2\sqrt{2}t}} \quad \text{for } t \rightarrow \infty, \quad (9)$$

with \mathcal{N} a normalization factor. Returning to the original QRW, the probability $P(n, \tau)$ to find the walker at position n at the (discrete) time τ is given by:

$$P(n, \tau) \simeq [p_1 A^+(n, \tau) + (-1)^\tau p_2 A^-(n, \tau)]^2 \quad \tau \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (10)$$

where p_1 and p_2 are two constants that are determined by the initial condition and the normalization constraint. In the following the calculations will be performed with $p_1 = p_2$ which corresponds to a symmetric initial condition $P(n, 0) = \delta_{n,0}$. Note however that we can proceed along the same lines for $p_1 \neq p_2$. The rapid and bounded oscillations induced by $(-1)^\tau$ contributions in Eq.(??), will be smeared out in the large time limit. Hence for the asymptotically large times, we can write:

$$P(n, \tau) \simeq [A^+(n, \tau)]^2 + [A^-(n, \tau)]^2 \quad \tau \gg 1. \quad (11)$$

In view of Eq.(??), the time and space continuous limit of Eq.(??) can be written as:

$$P(x, t) \simeq \mathcal{N}' e^{-\sqrt{2}t} \cosh(2x) e^{-\frac{x^2}{\sqrt{2}t}} \quad \text{for } t \rightarrow \infty. \quad (12)$$

It is known [5] and [6] that Eq.(??) solves itself a (diffusive) Fokker-Planck equation with a non-linear drift. Indeed, introducing the rescaling $s \mapsto 2\sqrt{2}t$ and $y = 2x$, it is immediate to see that Eq.(??) solves:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} P(y, s) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} P(y, s) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} [\tanh(y) P(y, s)]. \quad (13)$$

Note that the probability density given by Eq.(??) exhibits a transition from a uni- to a bi-modal shape at the time $t_c = \sqrt{2}t$ a behavior typical for th QRW.

Clearly Eq.(??) is a parabolic PDE whereas the original model given by Eqs.(??) and (??) are basically hyperbolic evolutions, (i.e. they involve a second order recurrence in time). To restore the hyperbolic character in the continuous limit, we observe that Eq.(??) itself describes the diffusive regime of the probability density $P_h(y, s)$ governing a random two-velocities model of the Kac's type [7] with spatially inhomogeneous transitions rates between the velocities. This class of random evolutions is discussed in [8]. For this two-velocities model, the transition probability reads:

$$\frac{1}{2\beta^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2} P_h(y, s) + \frac{\partial}{\partial s} P_h(y, s) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} P_h(y, s) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} [\tanh(y) P_h(y, s)]. \quad (14)$$

As it is explained in [8], the parameter $\beta > 1$ is the rate of change between the two-velocity states of the random evolution. The solution of Eq.(??) follows directly if one introduces the transformation:

$$P_h(y, s) = e^{-\beta^2 s} \cosh(y) Q(y, s). \quad (15)$$

In terms of $Q(y, s)$ Eq.(??) reads:

$$\frac{1}{\beta^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2} Q(y, s) - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} Q(y, s) + (1 - \beta^2) Q(y, s) = 0. \quad (16)$$

From now on, we shall adopt the notation:

$$u = \beta s \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma = \sqrt{\beta^2 - 1} \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

For the initial conditions $P_h(y, 0) = A(y)$ respectively $\dot{P}_h(y, s) |_{s=0} = B(y)$ which, in view of Eq.(??) implies $\cosh(y) Q(y, 0) = A(y)$ respectively $\cosh(y) \dot{Q}(y, u) |_{u=0} = \beta^2 A(y) + B(y)$, the final solution reads as [4], [9]:

$$P_h(y, s) = \frac{\cosh(y)}{2} e^{-\beta u} \times \left\{ \left[\frac{A(y+u)}{\cosh(y+u)} + \frac{A(y-u)}{\cosh(y-u)} \right] + \Gamma_1(y, u) + \Gamma_2(y, u) \right\}, \quad (17)$$

with Γ_1 and Γ_2 given by

$$\Gamma_1(y, u) = \int_{y-u}^{y+u} \mathcal{I}_0 \left[\gamma \sqrt{u^2 - (y-z)^2} \right] \frac{\beta^2 A(z) + B(z)}{\cosh(z)} dz$$

and

$$\Gamma_2(y, u) = \gamma u \int_{y-u}^{y+u} \frac{\mathcal{I}_1 \left[\gamma \sqrt{u^2 - (y-z)^2} \right]}{\sqrt{u^2 - (y-z)^2}} \frac{A(z)}{\cosh(z)} dz,$$

where $\mathcal{I}_\nu(x)$ with $\nu = 0, 1$ stands for the modified integer order Bessel's functions. Let us study the behavior of the solution given by Eq.(??) as a function of s . We consider symmetric walks, characterized by:

$$P_h(y, 0) = \delta(y) \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{P}_h(y, 0) = 0 \quad (18)$$

which, in view of Eq.(??) with $A(y) = \delta(y)$ and $B(y) = 0$, implies an evolution as:

$$P_h(y, u) = e^{-\beta u} \frac{\cosh(y)}{2}$$

$$\times \{[\delta(y-u) + \delta(y+u)] + \psi(y, u)\} \Theta(|y| - u), \quad (19)$$

with

$$\psi(y, u) := \beta^2 \mathcal{I}_0 \left[\gamma \sqrt{u^2 - y^2} \right] + \gamma u \frac{\mathcal{I}_1 \left[\gamma \sqrt{u^2 - y^2} \right]}{\sqrt{u^2 - y^2}}, \quad (20)$$

and $\Theta(x)$ is 1 for positive x and 0 otherwise. Clearly, the solution given by Eq.(??) has a compact support and exhibits two propagating point measures, (i.e. $\delta((y \pm u))$) located on the characteristics of the hyperbolic evolution Eq.(??). In addition, a bimodal shape of $P_h(y, u)$ arises at the critical time u^* defined by the sign change of the curvature $\mathcal{R}(u)$ at $y = 0$. We namely have:

$$\mathcal{R}(u^*) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} P_h(y, u^*) \Big|_{y=0} = 0. \quad (21)$$

A direct calculation yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(u) &:= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} P_h(y, u) \Big|_{y=0} = \\ &= \frac{e^{-\beta u}}{2} \left\{ \beta^2 \mathcal{I}_0(\gamma u) + \gamma \mathcal{I}_1(\gamma u) - \frac{1}{\gamma u} [\beta^2 \mathcal{I}_1(\gamma u) + \gamma \mathcal{I}_2(\gamma u)] \right\} \quad (22) \end{aligned}$$

The curvature $\mathcal{R}(u)$ given by Eq.(??) behaves as $\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{R}(u) < 0$ and conversely, using the fact that $\mathcal{I}_\nu(y) \simeq \frac{e^y}{\sqrt{2\pi y}}$ for $y \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{R}(u) > 0$. This behavior together with the symmetry of $P_h(y, u)$ indicates a transition from a uni- to a bimodal shape for $P_h(y, u)$. Note that $P_h(y, u)$ can only be bimodal as it is the product of a $\cosh(y)$ with the concave symmetric function $\psi(y, u)$ given in Eq.(??). This bimodal character of the probability density is typical for the symmetric QRW [1], [3]. Finally, the variance $\sigma_{QRW}^2(u)$ of the QRW reads as:

$$\sigma_{QRW}^2(u) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} P_h(y, u) y^2 dy. \quad (23)$$

For $u \rightarrow \infty$ we are in the diffusive regime and we can use Eq.(??) to approximately write:

$$\sigma_{QRW}^2(u) \simeq \frac{e^{-\frac{u}{2\beta}} \sqrt{\beta}}{\sqrt{2\pi u}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \cosh(y) e^{-\frac{\beta y^2}{2u}} y^2 dy = \left(\frac{u}{\beta}\right)^2 + \frac{u}{\beta}. \quad (24)$$

This quadratic dependence characterizes the behavior expected for the QRW [1].

It is important to emphasize that while only the Hadamard coin was here used to derive Eq.(??), the general class of unitary evolutions for the spin, will lead to a general class of hyperbolic equations describing piecewise deterministic motions. Note however that the use of the Hadamard coin offers a great analytical simplicity which is due to the fact that the Sturm-Liouville problem arising when solving Eq.(??) is in this case trivial.

References

- [1] J. Kempe, Quantum random walks - an introductory overview, arXiv: quant-ph/0303081, March 13, 2003.
- [2] Y. Aharonov, L. Davidovich and N. Zagurs, Phys. Rev. **A 48**, 1687 (1993).
- [3] P. L. Knight, E. Roldan and J. E. Sipe, Phys. Rev. **A 68**, 020301(R) (2003).
- [4] P. C. Hemmer, Physica **27**, 79 (1961).
- [5] M.-O. Hongler, Phys. Lett. **A 75**, 3 (1979).
- [6] L. C. G. Rogers and J. W. Pitman, Annals of Prob. **9**, 573 (1981).

- [7] M. Kac, Rocky Mount. J. Math. **4**, 497, (1974).
- [8] R. Filliger and M.-O. Hongler, Physica **A 332** , 141, (2004).
- [9] S. Goldstein, Quarterly J. of Mechanics and Applied Mathematics, **4**, 129, (1951).