Kinetics and mechanism of the reduction of nitric oxides by H₂ under lean-burn conditions on a Pt–Mo–Co/α-Al₂O₃ catalyst
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Abstract

The kinetics and the mechanism of the selective reduction of nitric oxides (NOₓ) by hydrogen is studied on a trimetallic Pt–Mo–Co/α-Al₂O₃ catalyst under oxidising conditions. This system is interesting in view of an exhaust gas control of power plants or lean-burn cars. It can be shown that the NO dissociation is the crucial step, dominating the overall reaction behaviour and that it depends on temperature and on the partial pressure of H₂. With increasing temperatures the reaction reveals an autocatalytic behaviour resulting in bistability and hysteresis. At higher temperatures, where no bistability is found, the NO/H₂ as well as the competing O₂/H₂ reaction occur only above a certain critical partial pressure of H₂. The kinetics of the NO/H₂/O₂ reaction are established using a modified Langmuir–Hinshelwood model ($T=142°C–160°C$, $y_{O_2}>4\%$) which takes into account the critical H₂ partial pressure. The model describes the experimental data within ±15%. The determined activation energies are: 63 kJ/mol for the NOₓ consumption, 77 and 45 kJ/mol for the N₂ and N₂O formation, respectively, and 130 kJ/mol for the O₂/H₂ reaction. Adsorption enthalpies are determined to $-59$ kJ/mol for the adsorption of H₂, $-77$ kJ/mol for the adsorption of NO and $-97$ kJ/mol for the adsorption of O₂. An interesting feature of the reaction is the enhancement of the NO/H₂ reaction by oxygen for low partial pressures of O₂. This appears to be the first study where a promoting effect of oxygen for the NO/H₂ reaction is found on a platinum supported catalyst. © 1998 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.
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1. Introduction

Today, the concern about carbon dioxide emissions leads to the demand of lean-burn petrol engines and improved diesel engines. Both engines work under oxidising conditions where an appreciable lower quantity of fuel is needed and consequently less carbon dioxide is produced. For the moment this development is mainly restricted to Europe and Japan, where fuel is expensive compared to the United States. The main problem in oxidising atmospheres is the reduction of NO, since the actually used three-way catalyst in automobiles using Pt, Pd and Rh is not able to reduce nitric oxide under oxidising conditions.

Since relatively few knowledge existed up to the 1990’s to reduce NOₓ, CO and hydrocarbon emissions...
in an overall oxidising atmosphere, much activity has been done in this subject since then. Up to now the reduction of NO under oxidising conditions using platinum catalysts was mostly investigated using hydrocarbons [1–5]. Hydrogen as reducing agent, which is also present in the exhaust of automobiles, is only considered in few studies [6,7]. Wildermann [8] showed that the reduction of nitric oxide by hydrogen can be achieved under oxidising conditions (8% O2) as present in the exhaust gas of power plants. A Pt–Mo catalyst supported on α-Al2O3 appeared to be the most effective one when water is present in the exhaust gas [8]. Hydrogen might be used to reduce NOx emissions of stationary sources or of automobiles. It was further shown that Co as third component increases the NO reduction activity of the Pt–Mo/α-Al2O3 catalyst in an oxidising atmosphere when up to 0.6% CO are present [9]. This CO concentration corresponds to that present in the exhaust of lean-burn cars. Trimetallic catalysts are often found to be superior to bi- or monometallic catalysts. Another group reported recently that the addition of Na and Mo to a Pt/SiO2 catalyst improves the reaction characteristics of the NOx reduction by CO and hydrocarbons under lean static conditions [10].

These features suggest that the Pt–Mo–Co catalyst is an interesting candidate for an application in exhaust-gas control under lean-burn conditions. Such a catalyst is further desirable since it does not contain the scarce and thus expensive metal Rhodium.

In the present study the reduction of NOx by H2 is, therefore, examined on the 0.2% Pt–0.7% Mo–0.1% Co catalyst supported on α-Al2O3 in an oxidising atmosphere when up to 0.6% CO are present [9]. This CO concentration corresponds to that present in the exhaust of lean-burn cars. Trimetallic catalysts are often found to be superior to bi- or monometallic catalysts. Another group reported recently that the addition of Na and Mo to a Pt/SiO2 catalyst improves the reaction characteristics of the NOx reduction by CO and hydrocarbons under lean static conditions [10].

In all experiments 0.75 g of a 0.2% Pt–0.7% Mo–0.1% Co catalyst supported on α-Al2O3 is used (particle diameter 1<dP<1.25 mm) which is prepared by a dry impregnation technique [8]. The BET-surface of the catalyst is below 3 m2/g and the mean pore diameter is 400 nm. It is pretreated by an oxidation in flowing O2 (10% in Ar) for 1 h at 400°C, a reduction by hydrogen (10% in Ar) for 2 h at 400°C and a subsequent conditioning for 12 h at 450°C using a feed of 2% NO and 1% CO in 100 ml/min (NTP3) flowing Ar. The used fixed-bed reactor with external recycle loop is a special construction consisting of two concentric tubes of quartz glass. The reaction mixture flows upwards in the outer tube where it heats up preliminary and then downwards in the inner tube passing the catalytic bed, the catalyst is held in place by quartz wool. The reactor is described in detail in [9] and [13]. The reactor effluent is measured by a gas chromatograph for H2, N2O and CO2 (Shimadzu GC-14A), an infrared detector for CO2 (Ultramat 22P, Siemens) and a chemiluminescence analyser for NOx (Thermo Environmental Instruments/10AR), described in [9] and [13]. Heat and mass transfer limitations are estimated by the Mears criteria and the Weisz-modul [13]. For the highest observed reaction rate the overheating of the catalyst particles is below 1°C and the effectiveness factor η is 0.98, thus external heat or internal mass limitations can be excluded.

In all experiments (except in the experiment shown in Figs. 2 and 3) the outlet mole fraction of NOx in the reactor is held at a defined value. The inlet mole fractions of H2 or O2 are varied while maintaining the overall volume flow constant at 150 ml/min. Thus the recycle ratio φ (φ=QVloop/QV,out) is always 138 corresponding to a space velocity of 6500 h⁻¹. Each experimental point is kept constant for 3.5 h. Under the used conditions the recycle reactor is shown to hydrodynamically behave like an ideal

2. Experimental

The experimental set-up used in this work is shown in Fig. 1 and consists of three parts: gas supply, recycle loop reactor and analysis part. As gases CO and NO and H2 (all 10% in Ar), O2 and Ar are used with purities of >99.996% (NO 99.9%) without further treatment (Carbagas, CH). Gases are supplied by mass flow controllers (F-201, Bronkhorst, NL).

In all experiments 0.75 g of a 0.2% Pt–0.7% Mo–0.1% Co catalyst supported on α-Al2O3 is used (particle diameter 1<dP<1.25 mm) which is prepared by a dry impregnation technique [8]. The BET-surface of the catalyst is below 3 m²/g and the mean pore diameter is 400 nm. It is pretreated by an oxidation in flowing O2 (10% in Ar) for 1 h at 400°C, a reduction by hydrogen (10% in Ar) for 2 h at 400°C and a subsequent conditioning for 12 h at 450°C using a feed of 2% NO and 1% CO in 100 ml/min (NTP3) flowing Ar. The used fixed-bed reactor with external recycle loop is a special construction consisting of two concentric tubes of quartz glass. The reaction mixture flows upwards in the outer tube where it heats up preliminary and then downwards in the inner tube passing the catalytic bed, the catalyst is held in place by quartz wool. The reactor is described in detail in [9] and [13]. The reactor effluent is measured by a gas chromatograph for H2, N2O and CO2 (Shimadzu GC-14A), an infrared detector for CO2 (Ultramat 22P, Siemens) and a chemiluminescence analyser for NOx (Thermo Environmental Instruments/10AR), described in [9] and [13]. Heat and mass transfer limitations are estimated by the Mears criteria and the Weisz-modul [13]. For the highest observed reaction rate the overheating of the catalyst particles is below 1°C and the effectiveness factor η is 0.98, thus external heat or internal mass limitations can be excluded.

In all experiments (except in the experiment shown in Figs. 2 and 3) the outlet mole fraction of NOx in the reactor is held at a defined value. The inlet mole fractions of H2 or O2 are varied while maintaining the overall volume flow constant at 150 ml/min. Thus the recycle ratio φ (φ=QVloop/QV,out) is always 138 corresponding to a space velocity of 6500 h⁻¹. Each experimental point is kept constant for 3.5 h. Under the used conditions the recycle reactor is shown to hydrodynamically behave like an ideal
continuous stirred tank reactor (CSTR) with a mean residence time of 192 s and a total reactor volume of 480 ml [13].

As the used mole fractions of reactants are small, the maximum possible difference of the overall volume flow \( Q_V \) is \( \pm 2\% \) at 100% conversion of NO and H\(_2\). Changes of the volume flow rate \( Q_V \) as well as of the density can, therefore, be neglected. Conversion \( X \), selectivity \( S \) and the yield \( Y \) can then be calculated as

\[
X_i = \frac{y_{i,0} - y_i}{y_{i,0}} \quad i = \text{NO or H}_2 \quad (Q_V = \text{const.}) \quad (1)
\]

\[
S_i = \frac{y_{j}}{y_{NO,0} - y_{NO}} \quad \left| \frac{\nu_{NO}}{\nu_i} \right| \quad i = \text{N}_2, \text{N}_2\text{O}
\]

\[
(Q_V = \text{const.}) \quad (2)
\]

\[
Y_i = \frac{y_{j}}{y_{H_2,0}} \cdot \left| \frac{\nu_{H_2}}{\nu_i} \right| \quad i = \text{N}_2, \text{N}_2\text{O} \text{ or H}_2\text{O}\ast
\]

\[
(Q_V = \text{const.}) \quad (3)
\]

The stoichiometric ratio \( \lambda \) is given by

\[
\lambda = \frac{y_{NO,0} + 2y_{O_2,0}}{y_{H_2,0}}
\]

\[
(4)
\]

The reaction rate \( R_i \) of each species \( i \) is obtained by

\[
R_i = \frac{(y_{i,0} - y_i)}{m_{\text{cat}}} \cdot \left( \frac{p \cdot Q_V}{RT} \right)_{\text{NTP}} \quad (Q_V = \text{const.}) \quad (5)
\]

The following reactions take place in the NO/H\(_2\)/O\(_2\) system:

\[
2\text{NO} + 2\text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{N}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \text{reaction 1} \quad (6)
\]

\[
2\text{NO} + \text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{N}_2\text{O} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \text{reaction 2} \quad (7)
\]

\[
0.5\text{O}_2 + \text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}\ast \quad \text{reaction 3} \quad (8)
\]

Furthermore the homogeneous oxidation of NO to NO\(_2\) occurs (up to 30%), but is not considered separately. It is assumed that NO and NO\(_2\) have a comparable reactivity: In Fig. 2 the mole fractions of NO\(_x\) (NO+NO\(_2\)), NO\(_2\) and NO are plotted as a function of temperature. At 140°C about 20% of NO\(_x\) is present as NO\(_2\) and at \( T > 142^\circ\text{C} \) (ignited state) no NO\(_2\) is observed any more. It must be concluded that all NO\(_2\) which was present at 140°C has reacted. Nevertheless, not only 100% NO\(_2\) but also 50% of the present NO is consumed at 142°C. This indicates that the reactivity of the two species is of the same order of magnitude justifying that the two species are considered as a common NO\(_x\) species during the kinetic measurements [13]. The consecutive reaction of N\(_2\)O with H\(_2\) was independently studied and shown to be negligible. The formation rate of water produced by reaction 3 (Eq. (8)) is determined by the mass balance and is always denoted by an asterix (H\(_2\)O\ast\).

\[
R_{\text{H}_2\text{O}\ast} = R_{\text{H}_2} - 2R_{\text{N}_2} - R_{\text{N}_2\text{O}} \quad (9)
\]

Furthermore, the formation rate of N\(_2\) is calculated by

\[
R_{\text{N}_2} = 0.5 \cdot R_{\text{NO}_2} - R_{\text{N}_2\text{O}} \quad (10)
\]
3. Results

3.1. Selectivity and N₂ yield

Since nitrous oxide is found to be an effective greenhouse gas, increasing attention is given in only producing N₂ and avoiding the formation of N₂O. The selectivity towards N₂ ($S_{N_2}$) is found to have the following tendencies (Table 1): increase with the higher partial pressures of O₂ and temperature and decrease with higher partial pressures of NO. However, the highest yield of N₂ is obtained for low partial pressures of O₂, high partial pressures of NO and H₂ and low temperatures. These conditions are not favourable for the N₂ selectivity compared to that toward N₂O. Higher partial pressures of O₂ and higher temperatures are advantageous for the N₂ selectivity, but this is counteracted by the disadvantage of increasing yields of water. Water is produced by reaction 1–3 (Eqs. (6)–(8)). The H₂O⁺ yield is found to have the following tendencies (Table 1): increase with increasing partial pressures of O₂ and temperature and decrease with increasing partial pressures of NO and H₂ (Table 1 and Fig. 4).

The selectivity of the reaction of hydrogen with nitric oxide in comparison with the reaction of hydrogen with oxygen is of interest in view of the efficiency of the catalyst. The ratio of the H₂ consumption of reaction 1 and 2 (Eqs. (6) and (7)) to the H₂ consumption by reaction 3 (Eq. (8)) is given by

$$S'_{1+2,3} = \frac{R_{H_2,1} + R_{H_2,2}}{2 \cdot R_{H_2,3}}$$

(11)

This corresponds to the ratio of the rate at which hydrogen reacts with nitric oxide to that at which it reacts with oxygen. Since there is a large excess of oxygen over NO (50–100-fold), most of the hydrogen reacts with oxygen (50–95% of H₂). Therefore, $S'_{1+2,3}$ must be related to the ratio of nitrogen monoxide to oxygen $f_{NO}$ (Eq. (12)).

$$S'_{NO} = \frac{S'_{1+2,3} / f_{NO}}{2 \cdot p_{O_2}}$$

(12)

A $S'_{NO}$ value of one represents the case where hydrogen reacts with the two oxidants in the proportion of their presence in the gas phase. Higher values of $S'_{NO}$ indicate that hydrogen reacts preferentially with nitrogen monoxide and lower values that hydrogen reacts preferentially with oxygen. The average value of $S'_{NO}$ in the kinetic measurements is 3 for 142°C and decreases to 2 at 160°C. This signifies that the reaction rate of hydrogen with nitric oxide is in an average two to three times faster as compared to proportion of nitric oxide in the gas phase and that the reaction becomes less selective at higher temperatures.

### Table 1

Average yields for N₂, N₂O and H₂O⁺ for different H₂ partial pressures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>142°C</th>
<th>160°C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO₂</td>
<td>0.16 kPa</td>
<td>0.16 kPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₂</td>
<td>7.3 kPa</td>
<td>7.3 kPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y(H₂O⁺) (%)</td>
<td>58.7</td>
<td>47.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y(N₂) (%)</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y(N₂O) (%)</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S(N₂) (%)</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>49.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4. Reaction rates of the NO/H₂/O₂ reaction (filled symbols) and reproduced run (open symbols) on a Pt–Mo–Co catalyst (0.32 kPa NOₓ, 12.3 kPa O₂, $T$=142°C).
3.2. Kinetics of the NO/H\textsubscript{2}/O\textsubscript{2} reaction

An increasing as well as a decreasing temperature ramp, depicted in Fig. 3, show that below 127°C and above 142°C the NO\textsubscript{x} conversion is the same within the experimental accuracy. In the temperature range from 127°C to 142°C a bistable behaviour is found. Coming from low temperatures the conversion of NO\textsubscript{x} rests below 10% up to 142°C. At this temperature the reaction ignites and within some degree the NO\textsubscript{x} conversion increases to 60%. Up to 155°C it remains at 60% and for further increasing temperatures the conversion slowly decreases. For decreasing temperatures the conversion rests high for \(T<142°C\) and the reaction extincts only at 127°C. This shows that an autocatalytic behaviour is present for the NO/H\textsubscript{2}/O\textsubscript{2} reaction. The observed hysteresis corresponds to a saddle-node bifurcation [13]. In a saddle-node bifurcation a system jumps from one activity branch to another activity branch after a small perturbation without the occurrence of oscillations.

For temperatures above 140°C a model to describe the reaction kinetics can be established. Fig. 4 summarises the formation or consumption rates of N\textsubscript{2}O, N\textsubscript{2}, H\textsubscript{2}O*, NO\textsubscript{x}, and H\textsubscript{2} for different partial pressures of H\textsubscript{2} at 142°C. The reproducibility of the experiments is manifested by a repeated run given by the open symbols. All of the reaction rates increase with increasing partial pressures of H\textsubscript{2}. A comparison between the consumption rates of H\textsubscript{2} and the formation rate of H\textsubscript{2}O* shows again that the majority of the consumed hydrogen reacts with oxygen. It was observed that below a H\textsubscript{2} partial pressure of 0.28 kPa \((\gamma_{H_2,0} = 0.8\%)\) the reaction rate decreased sharply and the rate was then within the experimental error. Thus, for the onset of the reaction \(p_{H_2}\) has to be larger than a certain minimum value.

Exemplary experimental results for the formation of N\textsubscript{2}, N\textsubscript{2}O and H\textsubscript{2}O* are shown in Figs. 5–7, superimposed by calculated curves of the proposed model (Eqs. (13)–(15)). Figs. 5 and 6 resume the results at different partial pressures of NO and O\textsubscript{2}, respectively. The higher the partial pressure of NO or O\textsubscript{2} the more the onset of the reaction is shifted toward higher partial pressures of hydrogen. The higher the temperature the more the onset of the reaction is shifted to lower partial pressures of H\textsubscript{2} pressures as demonstrated in Fig. 7. The ‘shift’ is an important feature of the kinetic behaviour of the reaction and is taken into account in the model.

Based on the experimental results a developed model describes three parallel reactions: formation of N\textsubscript{2} by Eq. (6), of N\textsubscript{2}O by Eq. (7) and of H\textsubscript{2}O* by Eq. (8). The reactions are supposed to be irreversible and all of the products to desorb fast, which is the case on Pt [14,15]. The reaction rates assuming a Langmuir–Hinshelwood mechanism between competing adsorbed NO, O\textsubscript{2} and H\textsubscript{2} can be written as:

- **formation rate of N\textsubscript{2}:**

\[
R_{N_2} = k_{N_2} \frac{K_{NO}p_{NO} \cdot K_{H_2}(p_{H_2} - p_0)}{(1 + K_{NO}p_{NO} + K_{H_2}(p_{H_2} - p_0) + K_{O_2}p_{O_2})^2} \tag{13}
\]

- **formation rate of N\textsubscript{2}O:**

\[
R_{N_2O} = k_{N_2O} \frac{K_{NO}p_{NO} \cdot K_{H_2}(p_{H_2} - p_0)}{(1 + K_{NO}p_{NO} + K_{H_2}(p_{H_2} - p_0) + K_{O_2}p_{O_2})^2} \tag{14}
\]
formation rate of $H_2O^*$:

$$R_{H_2O^*} = k_{H_2O^*} \cdot \frac{K_{O2} \cdot p_{O2} \cdot K_{H2} \cdot (p_{H2} - p_0)}{(1 + K_{NO} \cdot p_{NO} + K_{H2} \cdot (p_{H2} - p_0) + K_{O2} \cdot p_{O2})^2}$$

(15)

The model considers the adsorption of NO, H$_2$ and O$_2$ expressed by three adsorption equilibrium constants $K_{NO}$, $K_{H2}$ and $K_{O2}$ ($K_i = k_{i,ad}/k_{i,des}$). Identical adsorption equilibrium constants of NO, H$_2$ and O$_2$ are used in the three expressions, since the reactions take place at the same surface with the same adsorption and desorption rates for each species. The parameters $p_0$ and $p_0'$ are introduced to account for the ‘shift’ of the curves demonstrated in Figs. 5 and 6.

The model with the three formation rates Eqs. (13)–(15) is introduced in the commercially available program SimuSolv$^\text{1}$ and subsequently the six parameters $k_{N2}$, $k_{NO}$, $k_{H2O^*}$, $K_{NO}$, $K_{H2}$ and $K_{O2}$ are optimised at the same time for a constant temperature using a Nelder–Mead search. The parameters $p_0$ and $p_0'$ are estimated graphically and adjusted for each experiment in order to give the best fit. The dependence of $p_0$ can be reasonably expressed by an empirical relationship (Eq. (16)).

$$p_0 = (-a \cdot T + b) \cdot \exp(c \cdot p_{NO} + d \cdot p_{O2})$$

(16)

$a = 4.95 \times 10^{-4}$ kPa K$^{-1}$, $b = 0.224$ kPa, $c = 4.59$ kPa$^{-1}$ and $d = 8.25 \times 10^{-2}$ kPa$^{-1}$

This relationship characterises the increase of $p_0$ with increasing partial pressures of NO and O$_2$ as well as the decrease with increasing temperatures. $p_0'$ is roughly about 0.5-$p_0$, but exhibits large variations, thus it hasn’t been fitted.

The determined reaction rate constants $k_i$ and the equilibrium rate constants of adsorption $K_i$ follow the Arrhenius law (Eq. (17)) and the van’t Hoff law.
Table 2
Activation energies or heat of adsorption and preexponential factors of the model Eqs. (13)–(15) for the NO/H2/O2 reaction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$E_{a,n}$ (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>$k_{n0}$ (mol/g/s)</th>
<th>$\Delta H_i$ (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>$K_{i0}$ (kPa$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$k_{N2}$</td>
<td>76.9 ($\pm$4.6)</td>
<td>8157</td>
<td>$K_{H_2}$</td>
<td>$K_{NO}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{N2O}$</td>
<td>45.0 ($\pm$8.9)</td>
<td>0.716</td>
<td>$K_{O_2}$</td>
<td>2.12E+07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{NO}^a$</td>
<td>129.0 ($\pm$5.6)</td>
<td>4.92E+11</td>
<td>2.83E+09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{O_2}^a$</td>
<td>63.16 ($\pm$6.5)</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>1.19E+13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Calculated by Eq. (10).

(Eq. (18)), respectively.

$$
k_n = k_{n0} \cdot \exp \left( -\frac{E_{a,n}}{RT} \right)
$$

$$
K_i = k_{ad,i}/k_{det,i} = \frac{k_{ad,i}}{k_{des,i}} \cdot \exp \left( \left( \frac{E_{ad,i} - E_{des,i}}{RT} \right) \right)
= K_{i0} \cdot \exp \left( -\frac{\Delta H_i}{RT} \right)
$$

From Arrenhius plots activation energies and preexponential factors are estimated by the slope and the intersect with the ordinate, respectively, resumed in Table 2. Determined regression factors of the straight lines vary from 0.927 to 0.998. The agreement between the experimental and the calculated reaction rates is good, the relative error is within 15% (Fig. 8).

3.3. Enhancement of the NO reduction by O2

With competing Langmuir–Hinshelwood expressions for the NO removal and the H2O* formation one expects an increase of the formation rate of H2O* and a decrease of the consumption rate of NO$_x$ with higher partial pressures of O2.

In Fig. 9 the reaction rates of NO$_x$ and H2O* are plotted versus the inlet mole fraction of O2 and the stoichiometric ratio $\lambda$ at different temperatures. One can note that the reaction rate of NO$_x$ increases by a factor of 3 upon introduction of 2% O2 ($\lambda=4$) compared to the reaction rate for 0.52% O2 ($\lambda=1$). For higher mole fractions of O2 the reaction rate decreases, thus the reaction rate of NO$_x$ passes through a maximum at a stoichiometric ratio of $\lambda=4$. In parallel to the increase of the NO$_x$ reaction rate at low inlet mole fractions of O2 a strong decrease in the H2O* formation takes place. For higher temperatures, the maximum value of the NO$_x$ reaction rate increases and the maximum is slightly shifted toward lower inlet mole fractions of O2 (Fig. 9). Such an enhancement of the NO reduction for low pressures of oxygen cannot be explained by the proposed Langmuir–Hinshelwood mechanism. Fig. 10 presents the results upon an increasing and decreasing variation of O2. The two
curves superimpose perfectly and no hysteresis arises. The selectivity toward N\textsubscript{2} is higher during the increase of O\textsubscript{2}, thus coming from reducing conditions. This might be rationalised in an increase of the NO dissociation on reduced Pt sites as proposed by [16].

In this context another interesting feature is observed. In Fig. 11 the reaction rate of NO\textsubscript{x} for different inlet partial pressures of O\textsubscript{2} is plotted versus the partial pressure of H\textsubscript{2}. Here the reaction rate of NO\textsubscript{x} as well as of H\textsubscript{2}O\textsuperscript{*} (not shown) increase with higher partial pressures of H\textsubscript{2} as was already found during the kinetic measurements. However, for 2% O\textsubscript{2} the reaction rate of NO\textsubscript{x} passes through a maximum and decreases with higher partial pressures of H\textsubscript{2}. At the same time the reaction rate of H\textsubscript{2}O\textsuperscript{*} increases strongly (not shown). Thus, the positive reaction order of hydrogen changes into a negative reaction order of H\textsubscript{2}. A negative reaction order of H\textsubscript{2} can also not be explained by a Langmuir–Hinshelwood mechanism.

4. Discussion

4.1. Kinetics of the NO/H\textsubscript{2}/O\textsubscript{2} reaction

The kinetic study is carried out at sufficiently high temperatures (142–160°C) where the bistable behaviour is overcome and λ>4 (with partial pressures of O\textsubscript{2} above 4%). In this region the reaction rate of NO\textsubscript{x} decreases with increasing partial pressures of O\textsubscript{2} due to the increase of the competing H\textsubscript{2}/O\textsubscript{2} reaction. The partial pressure of H\textsubscript{2} has to be larger than a certain minimum value \( p_0 \), for lower partial pressures of H\textsubscript{2}, no reaction takes place. Both of these features are well described by the proposed modified Langmuir–Hinshelwood expressions.

The results of the kinetic study reveal that NO is the most strongly adsorbed species whereas O\textsubscript{2} is only weakly adsorbed. The order \( E_{a,H_2O} > E_{a,N_2} > E_{a,N_2O} \).
is in agreement with the experimental findings that the selectivity of 
\( \text{H}_2\text{O}^* \) as well as the ratio \( S_{\text{N}_2}/S_{\text{N}_2\text{O}} \) increase with increasing temperature. The same tendency is found by Wildermann on a Pt–Mo catalyst [8], who determined a similar activation energy for the \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) formation (46 kJ/mol) but slightly smaller activation energies for the formation of \( \text{N}_2 \) (57 kJ/mol) and the \( \text{O}_2/\text{H}_2 \) reaction (93 kJ/mol). The determined activation energy of the \( \text{NO}_x \) consumption via Eq. (10) is in good correspondence with the value of 62.8 kJ/mol given by Otto and Yao for the reduction of \( \text{NO} \) by \( \text{H}_2 \) over Pt/\( \gamma \)-Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) in an excess of NO [17]. The value of the heat of adsorption of \( \text{H}_2 \) is in good agreement with other reported values on platinum: 46 kJ/mol [18], 68.1 kJ/mol [19] and 51.8 kJ/mol [20]. Values of desorption energies of \( \text{O}_2 \) found in the literature (230 kJ/mol [21]) are substantially higher than the heat of adsorption found in this study. This discrepancy might be due to the fact that the dissociative adsorption of oxygen is an activated process. In this case the heat of adsorption is lower than the activation energy for desorption. Only when the adsorption is non-activated (\( E_{\text{ad}}^0 \)) the heat of adsorption can be directly compared to the desorption energy [22].

The mechanism of the reaction can be represented by the following elementary steps.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{NO} + \text{Z} & \rightarrow \text{NO}_{\text{ad}} \quad (19) \\
\text{H}_2 + 2\text{Z} & \rightarrow 2\text{H}_{\text{ad}} \quad (20) \\
\text{O}_2 + 2\text{Z} & \rightarrow 2\text{O}_{\text{ad}} \quad (21) \\
\text{NO}_{\text{ad}} + \text{Z} & \rightarrow \text{N}_{\text{ad}} + \text{O}_{\text{ad}} \quad (22) \\
2\text{N}_{\text{ad}} & \rightarrow \text{N}_2 \uparrow + 2\text{Z} \quad (23) \\
\text{NO}_{\text{ad}} + \text{N}_{\text{ad}} & \rightarrow \text{N}_2\text{O} \uparrow + 2\text{Z} \quad (24) \\
\text{O}_{\text{ad}} + \text{H}_{\text{ad}} & \rightarrow \text{OH}_{\text{ad}} + \text{Z} \quad (25) \\
\text{OH}_{\text{ad}} + \text{H}_{\text{ad}} & \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} \uparrow + 2\text{Z} \quad (26) \\
\end{align*}
\]

In this mechanism \( \text{Z} \) represents a free adsorption site and \( \text{X}_{\text{ad}} \) an adsorbed species \( \text{X} \). The adsorption of \( \text{H}_2 \) and \( \text{O}_2 \) on platinum is reported to be dissociative [14,17,23]. The products \( \text{N}_2, \text{N}_2\text{O} \) and \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) are assumed to desorb easily on platinum ([14], p. 544).

In the region where the Langmuir–Hinshelwood model describes the kinetics of the reaction the adsorption of all species as well as the dissociation of NO easily take place and the surface reactions Eqs. (23) and (26) are the rate-determining steps. In this case the product distribution corresponds to the well-established competition between \( \text{NO}_{\text{ad}}, \text{N}_{\text{ad}} \) and \( \text{H}_{\text{ad}} \) [16]. For conditions where more \( \text{N}_{\text{ad}} \) is present, that are high temperatures and low partial pressures of \( \text{NO} \), the selectivity towards \( \text{N}_2 \) increases.

In this study it is furthermore found that a minimum partial pressure of \( \text{H}_2 \) (\( p_0 \) in the Langmuir–Hinshelwood model) is necessary to start the reaction. This minimum partial pressure of \( \text{H}_2 \) is smaller at higher temperatures. It is well-accepted that the onset of the \( \text{NO}/\text{H}_2 \) reaction is linked to the beginning of the \( \text{NO} \) dissociation [24]. Obviously the \( \text{NO} \) dissociation depends not only on temperature, but also on the partial pressure of \( \text{H}_2 \). Since increasing quantities of hydrogen shift the onset of the reaction toward lower temperatures the observed behaviour can be interpreted as an enhancement of the \( \text{NO} \) dissociation by hydrogen.

Several authors report from an enhancement of reaction rates by hydrogen, e.g. for the \( \text{CO}/\text{O}_2 \) reaction on Pt [25–27] and for the \( \text{NO}/\text{CO} \) reaction on Pt [28,29]. The exact mechanism is unclear for both reactions. Furthermore, it was reported that the \( \text{NO} \) dissociation is promoted by \( \text{H}_{\text{ad}} \) on platinum [23,24,30–32]. During co-adsorption of \( \text{NO} \) and \( \text{CO} \) at 160°C on a Au/NaY catalyst Salama et al. did not observe a -NCO band [30]. Only upon introduction of \( \text{H}_2 \) an intense -NCO developed, indicating the presence of \( \text{N}_{\text{ad}} \). The authors conclude that the H-atom had promoted the N–O bond fission. Hecker and Bell found a positive order dependence of the \( \text{NO}/\text{H}_2 \) reaction on \( \text{H}_2 \), although they assume that the \( \text{NO} \) dissociation is the rate-limiting step [32]. The authors postulate that the \( \text{NO} \) dissociation proceeds with the assistance of an adsorbed H atom via the following mechanism:

\[
\text{NO}_{\text{ad}} + \text{H}_{\text{ad}} \rightarrow \text{N}_{\text{ad}} + \text{OH}_{\text{ad}} \quad (27)
\]

However, such a mechanism is not consistent with the observed behaviour in the present study, because it would lead to a slow increase of the \( \text{NO} \) removal with temperature and not to an autocatalytic onset as in Fig. 3. Another mechanism is proposed by Pirug and Bonzel on Pt [24]. By flash desorption experiments they found that the activation energy for \( \text{NO} \) dissociation decreases with decreasing coverages of \( \text{NO} \). They suggest that co-adsorbed hydrogen can displace
molecularly adsorbed NO leading to the needed decrease in NO coverage where the NO dissociation can take place. This mechanism is coherent with the observed features in the present study: thus, the autocatalytic onset of the reaction is not solely attained by higher temperatures but also through a displacement of surface NO by adsorbed hydrogen. At higher H₂/NO ratios the competitive adsorption of H₂ and NO is more and more shifted toward hydrogen. As a consequence the onset of the reaction is shifted to lower temperatures. This is in perfect agreement with the observed decrease of the minimum partial pressure of hydrogen \( p_0 \) at higher temperatures. The mechanism is also coherent with an increase in \( p_0 \) for higher partial pressures of NO. Obviously more hydrogen is needed to decrease the NO coverage sufficiently. The increase of \( p_0 \) with higher quantities of oxygen is also in agreement with this model. To decrease the NO coverage sufficiently the supplementary removal of O\(_{ad}\) increases the H₂ consumption and thus \( p_0 \).

As hydrogen has a lower adsorption energy on platinum as NO\(_{ad}\) the question arises if adsorbed hydrogen atoms are actually capable to displace NO\(_{ad}\). Confirmation is given by some groups who found that atomic hydrogen is able to displace small amounts of molecularly adsorbed NO on Pt(111) surfaces pre-saturated with NO [15,33]. Nonetheless, the proposed mechanism is based on the hypothesis that although H\(_{ad}\) can displace NO\(_{ad}\), H\(_{ad}\) does not further inhibit the dissociation. This seems possible as hydrogen is quite small and thus very flexible and may even spill-over to the support.

### 4.2. Enhancement of the NO reduction by O₂

In three-component reactions NO/O₂/CO or NO/O₂/H₂ the reducing agent is partitioned between NO and O₂. If NO and O₂ compete for the same surface sites, as assumed in the proposed Langmuir–Hinshelwood model, the rate of NO reduction should always decrease in the presence of oxygen. However, for O₂ partial pressures below 2% the reaction rate of NO\(_x\) increases strongly with increasing partial pressures of O₂, while the H₂O\(^+\) formation decreases (Fig. 9). This increase is not autocatalytic and no bistable behaviour arises during increasing and decreasing variation of O₂ (Fig. 10). In this context it is also interesting to note that for small O₂ and high H₂ partial pressures the reaction order of hydrogen becomes negative (Fig. 11).

It is known that the mutual influence of reactants in heterogeneous catalytic reactions can lead to an enhancement of reaction rates under certain conditions [34]. Thus, several authors reported of a promoting effect of oxygen on the NO/CO reaction on Pt catalysts when stoichiometric or reducing conditions were previously used [35–37]. One of the first were Alikina et al. who found increasing NO conversions on a Pt/γ-Al₂O₃ catalyst up to \( \lambda=1.15 \) [35]. As they used a ratio CO : NO of 1.63 they supposed that with increasing O₂ concentrations platinum passes in a partially oxidised state lowering the Pt–CO bond. Barton reported that the addition of small amounts of oxygen up to \( \lambda=1.6 \) resulted in a significant increase in the NO conversion on a LaMnPtO₃ catalyst at 250°C (ratio CO : NO = 1) [37]. The influence of oxygen on the NO/NH₃ reaction on Pt(100) was also reported to be accelerating [38]. For both reactions the exact mechanism is still subjected to speculations and the enhancing role of oxygen is not yet fully understood.

More commonly known is the promoting effect of oxygen of the NO reduction by hydrocarbons [39,40]. This has recently been reported for Pt-containing catalysts with oxygen contents up to 2% [4,16,31]. However, also here the mechanism is still unclear. The promoting effect of oxygen is attributed to either a partial oxidation of the hydrocarbon or the higher activity of the formed NO₂ [40]. Other authors explain it in terms of an oxidative removal of blocking carbonaceous species on the active platinum sites [16]. For the NO/H₂ reaction a promoting effect of oxygen has not been reported up to now for a platinum containing catalyst. Jones et al. [7] and Heil [41] notified a reduced NO removal upon an increase of the oxygen level.

In the present study a mechanism as the removal of a carbonaceous species or an activation of the hydrocarbon cannot account to the enhancement by O₂. A higher activity of NO₂ or of nitrates formed on the surface of the catalyst might be considered. Nonetheless, this does not explain why in a large excess of hydrogen the order of H₂ is negative.

Based on the results found in the present study the following mechanism is, therefore, proposed: abundant surface hydrogen separates the N atoms formed
by dissociation decreasing the likelihood that two N atoms are in close proximity and thus the N-pairing. In this case the reaction order of hydrogen becomes negative, although hydrogen enhances the NO dissociation. The enhancement by oxygen is then due to the removal of the abundant H\textsubscript{ad}. When the recombination of N\textsubscript{ad} is again possible, O\textsubscript{2} exercises the expected negative order influence on the NO reduction. The reason that no NH\textsubscript{3} is formed might be due to the fact that the promoting effect of oxygen is measured in oxidising atmospheres for stoichiometric ratios up to $\lambda$=4. This is among the highest ratios reported for such an enhancement. The slight shift of the maximum of NO\textsubscript{x} conversion at higher temperatures towards lower mole fractions of O\textsubscript{2} in Fig. 9 can be rationalised in a higher mobility of the surface species and, therefore, less inhibition by H\textsubscript{ad}. This mechanism does not include a change in the reaction mechanism nor of the catalyst surface structure and is fully supported by the absence of a hysteresis upon variation of the oxygen content as shown in Fig. 10.

5. Conclusions

The proposed mechanism based on a coverage-dependant activation energy of NO dissociation elucidates all of the here observed reaction features. Low temperatures lead to high NO coverages impeding the NO dissociation. When the surface coverage decreases with temperature the autocatalytic character leads to a sudden onset of the NO/H\textsubscript{2} reaction resulting in bistability and hysteresis. Hydrogen promotes the dissociation of NO by decreasing the NO coverage and thus the activation energy for dissociation down to a value, where the dissociation can occur. However, high coverages of H\textsubscript{ad} reduce the likelihood of two N\textsubscript{ad} to recombine and the reaction rate of NO\textsubscript{x} decreases. In this study an accelerating effect of oxygen on the NO/H\textsubscript{2} reaction on Pt is for the first time reported (up to $\lambda$=4). It is attributed to the lowering of abundant H\textsubscript{ad} by O\textsubscript{2} permitting again the N-pairing.

6. Notation

$\Delta H_i$ kJ/mol adsorption enthalpy of species $i$

$K_i$ 1/Pa equilibrium constant of adsorption of species $i$

$k_n$ reaction rate constant of reaction $n$

$m_{cat}$ g mass of catalyst

$p_i$ Pa partial pressure of species $i$

$R$ J/mol/K ideal gas law constant

$R_i$ mol/g/s reaction rate of species $i$

$S_i$ – selectivity of species $i$

$Q_V$ m$^3$/s volume flow rate

$X_i$ – conversion of species $i$

$y_{i,0}$ – inlet mole fraction of species $i$

$y_i$ – mole fraction of species $i$

$Y_i$ – yield of species $i$

$\lambda$ – stoichiometric ratio

$\nu_i$ – stoichiometric coefficient of species $i$
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