# Investigating the effects of pre-stimulus activity on spatio-temporal feature integration

Maëlan Q. Menétrey<sup>1</sup> (maelan.menetrey@epfl.ch), Michael H. Herzog<sup>1</sup> & David Pascucci<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratory of Psychophysics, Brain Mind Institute, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Lausanne, Switzerland

# Introduction



- Visual detection is modulated by pre-stimulus alpha rhythms (8–12 Hz)<sup>1</sup>.
- · The effect of pre-stimulus activity in other tasks, beyond detection, remains unknown

Cue

Vernier

Leftward attention

Cued

Two offsets in the same stream

Performance is at chance level.

cancel each other

We investigate the role of ongoing EEG rhythms during non-retinotopic spatiotemporal integration, in which features of sequential stimuli are integrated over time3.

# Method

## The sequential metacontrast paradigm (SQM)

- Attend to the cued stream
- Report the perceived offset direction (left or right)
- The attended stream contains one line with a slight offset (V) or two lines with opposite offsets (V-AV).

# Non-retinotopique feature integration in the SQM

## V (Vernier)

Time





A single offset in the attended stream is attributed to the entire stream

Performance is above chance level.

#### EEG decoding and pre-stimulus analysis

- · Step 1: Identify EEG patterns of non-retinotopic integration. Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA) was used to discriminate trials with a single and correctly reported offset (V hits) from trials with a second offset (erroneously) reported (V-AV misses).
- Step 2: LDA-informative electrodes were used to evaluate whether pre-stimulus rhythms (power and phase) modulates non-retinotopic integration.



The classifier performance discriminating V hits from V-AV misses (mean and 95% CI). Significant time windows are highlighted (cluster-based permutation test, p < 0.05).

Cluster 2 : 484ms to 984ms

# B. Scalp topographies from discriminant signal

#### Cluster 1: 211ms to 305ms



LDA-derived scalp activation patterns at the two significant windows. Absolute power values are plotted and asterisks show electrodes with highest values.



Difference and effect size in spectral power between V-AV hits and V-AV misses, averaged over LDA-informative electrodes. Significant clusters are highlighted using permutation test and false-discovery rate control (FDR = 5%).

# Discussion

- · EEG LDA discriminated trials where the central vernier was correctly reported (V hits in V condition) from trials where the central vernier was not reported due to spatio-temporal feature integration with the second opposite vernier (V-AV misses in V-AV condition).
- As this integration is attributed to non-retinotopic processing<sup>4</sup>, the resulting EEG maps might be related to non-retinotopic processing.
- When analyzing the most active electrodes within these maps, results suggest that the relative dominance between the first and second offsets in V-AV condition could be modulated by pre-stimulus alpha power. However, no significant effects were found in pre-stimulus phase.

## References

0.45

0.4

0.35

0.3

0.25

- Busch, N. A., Dubois, J., & VanRullen, R. (2009). The phase of ongoing EEG oscillation predicts visual perception. Journal of Neuroscience, 29(24), 7869-7876.
- Iemi, L., Chaumon, M., Crouzet, S. M., & Busch, N. A. (2017). Spontaneous neural oscillations bias perception by modulating baseline excitability. Journal of Neuroscience, 37(4), 807-819.
- Drissi-Daoudi, L., Doerig, A., & Herzog, M. H. (2019). Feature integration within discrete time windows. Nature Communications. 10(1), 1-8
- Plomp, G., Mercier, M. R., Otto, T. U., Blanke, O., & Herzog, M. H. (2009), Non-retinotopic feature integration decreases response-locked brain activity as revealed by electrical neuroimaging. Neuroimage, 48(2), 405-414.

