Thermo-hydro-mechanical analysis of the complete lifetime of the bentonite barrier in the FEBEX in-situ test

Jose A. Bosch, Yafei Qiao, Alessio Ferrari, Lyesse Laloui





Please cite this article as: J.A. Bosch, Y. Qiao, A. Ferrari et al., Thermo-hydro-mechanical analysis of the complete lifetime of the bentonite barrier in the FEBEX in-situ test, *Geomechanics for Energy and the Environment* (2023), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gete.2023.100472.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

 \bigcirc 2023 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

- 1 Thermo-hydro-mechanical analysis of the complete lifetime of the bentonite barrier in the FEBEX
- 2 in-situ test
- 3
- 4 Jose A. Bosch^{1,*}, Yafei Qiao^{2,3}, Alessio Ferrari^{1,4}, Lyesse Laloui¹
- 5 ¹ École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, EPFL, Station 18, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland
- 6 ² Department of Geotechnical Engineering, College of Civil Engineering, Tongji University, Shanghai,
- 7 China
- 8 ³ Key Laboratory of Geotechnical and Underground Engineering of the Ministry of Education, Tongji
- 9 University, Shanghai, China
- 10 ⁴ Università degli Studi di Palermo. Palermo, Italy
- 11 * Corresponding author
- 12 Abstract

13 The FEBEX test was a large-scale demonstration project for the deep geological disposal concept of 14 nuclear waste involving bentonite seals that lasted 18 years. One of the objectives of the test was to 15 evaluate the capabilities of numerical methods to provide reliable predictions of the physical processes 16 in a geological repository. Although previous studies have demonstrated the performance of current 17 models of water, vapour and heat flow to capture the evolution of temperature and relative humidity, 18 some uncertainties remain in the capabilities of constitutive models to predict and interpret the stress-19 strain behaviour of the bentonite. In this paper a recently developed thermo-hydro-mechanical (THM) 20 elastoplastic constitutive model is used to analyse the bentonite barrier of the FEBEX test by means of 21 the Finite Element method. The model features a two-way hydro-mechanical coupling and includes 22 thermo-plasticity. The associated water retention formulation distinguishes the behaviour of adsorbed 23 water and free water. The predictive capabilities of the model are tested by calibrating the material 24 parameters on the sole basis of laboratory tests. Good predictions of total stress, dry density and water

- content are obtained and the analysis of the computed THM stress paths provides new insights on the
- 26 causes of the final heterogeneous state of the bentonite barrier.
- 27 Keywords: Nuclear waste disposal, Bentonite barriers, Elastoplasticity, Thermo-hydro-mechanical
- 28 coupling, Unsaturated soils.

29 1) Introduction

The Full-scale Engineered Barriers Experiment (FEBEX) was an 18 years-long experiment carried out 30 31 in the Grimsel underground laboratory, in Switzerland, in order to demonstrate the feasibility of a 32 geological disposal concept for high-level radioactive waste (HLW) (Huertas et al., 2006). It adopted 33 the Spanish reference concept for geological disposal of HLWs, involving a clay buffer constructed with 34 highly compacted blocks of bentonite, constituting the so-called engineered barrier system (EBS). One 35 of the main objectives of that experiment was to aid the development and evaluation of Thermo-Hydro-36 Mechanical (THM) numerical codes for predicting the long term evolution of nuclear waste repositories. 37 In this context the test was extensively monitored. Among other variables, temperature, water pressure, 38 relative humidity and total pressure were measured during the test at several locations in the bentonite, 39 providing 18 years of monitored data.

40

41 The layout of the FEBEX test is shown in Figure 1. A tunnel of 2.28 m in diameter was excavated in the central Aare granitic formation. Two heaters, with dimensions and weight representative of real 42 43 canisters, were emplaced inside a steel liner fixed along the axis of the tunnel, surrounded by highly 44 compacted bentonite blocks at unsaturated state, sealed by a concrete plug. The heating sequence started 45 in 1997 and after 5 years of continued heating, the first heater was switched off and the partial 46 dismantling of the test took place (Figure 1b). The second heater was not switched off until 2015 after 47 which the second and final dismantling of the test took place. The symmetrical design and two 48 dismantling stages provided the opportunity to measure the water content and dry density distribution 49 of the bentonite barrier at two different times.

51 Many studies have been devoted to the numerical simulation, prediction and interpretation of the 52 FEBEX test performance. In many cases, simple stress-strain relationships for bentonite have been 53 considered. Gens et al. (1998) performed a preliminary analysis of the THM response of the test, 54 featuring a one-dimensional analysis of a section representative of the contact with a heater. Using a 55 coupled THM formulation, the analysis highlighted the influence of a number of phenomena, such as 56 vapour diffusion due to the large thermal gradients. The mechanical behaviour of bentonite was 57 modelled using a state surface approach. A benchmark study comparing the results of predictive analysis 58 for the first five years of operation, involving several modelling groups was presented in Alonso et al., 59 (2005). The comparison highlighted the need to consider coupled THM formulations in order to obtain 60 a consistent reproduction of the evolution of all variables. As measurements after the dismantling were 61 not available, all models in that benchmark used elastic stress-strain relationships for the bentonite 62 behaviour.

63

64 However, the behaviour of bentonite may require more advanced constitutive models. As a matter of 65 fact, Lloret et al. (2003) demonstrated a clear stress path-dependent behaviour of the FEBEX bentonite 66 when it is subjected to hydro-mechanical loads representative of those in a deep repository. While some 67 modelling benchmarks of the in situ test have been reported recently (e.g. Gens et al. 2021), few analyses 68 in the literature report the ability of mechanical constitutive models to reproduce both laboratory and 69 full-scale emplacement tests, and therefore their full predictive capabilities are difficult to assess. Gens 70 et al. (2009) presented a comprehensive analysis of the test performance of the first 5 years of heating, 71 using the THM formulation presented by Olivella et al. (1994) and a modified BBM elasto-plastic model 72 for the bentonite (Alonso et al., 1990). The mechanical parameters for the analysis were calibrated with 73 a swelling pressure test. A good replication of the test performance in terms of the data monitored during 74 the test was obtained and the state of the barrier after the first dismantling of the test was well predicted. 75 With the same model, Sánchez et al. (2012; 2023) analysed the first and second dismantling of the test, 76 including the cooling effects and the unloading of the bentonite barrier upon retrieval of the heaters.

While globally the predictions were satisfactory, some discrepancies were found in the final saturation
state (Sánchez et al., 2023).

79 Dupray et al. (2013) performed a numerical analysis of the FEBEX test up to the first dismantling stage 80 focusing on the interpretation of bentonite based on a thermo-plasticity approach using the ACMEG-TS 81 model (François and Laloui 2008). Thus, additional plastic mechanisms were considered, highlighting 82 how the coupling between swelling pressure and water retention can influence the results, specifically 83 regarding the swelling-collapse of bentonite upon hydration and drying. The influence of water retention 84 behaviour was also highlighted by Sánchez et al. (2023), who reported a significant impact on the 85 predicted saturation front. However, the coupling of water retention with deformation was left outside 86 the scope of that study. In fact, in spite of many advances in the constitutive modelling of bentonite 87 behaviour at the laboratory scale (e.g. Masin 2017, Qiao et al. 2019, Wang et al. 2022, Navarro et al. 88 2022), including new water retention models (e.g. Dieudonné et al. 2017, Qiao et al., 2021) their use for 89 the assessment of bentonite barriers is not common.

90

91 This paper aims to fill this gap presenting a THM analysis of the bentonite barrier during the 18 years 92 of operation of the FEBEX in situ test, with the recent hydro-mechanical model presented in Bosch et 93 al. (2021, 2023) extended to thermo-plasticity. One of the novelties of the analysis concerns the two-94 way coupling between mechanics and water retention, which takes explicitly into account a distinction 95 between adsorbed water and free water. The main objectives are to provide an interpretation of the in 96 situ test that includes hydro-mechanical couplings, and to validate the predictive capabilities of the 97 constitutive model from laboratory tests to the repository scale. First the mathematical THM formulation 98 used is described. Then, the finite element model used to simulate the FEBEX test is presented, including 99 the determination of the material parameters. Since the focus of the study was the assessment of the 100 predictive capabilities of the stress-strain constitutive model, the material parameters of the bentonite 101 were calibrated on the sole basis of laboratory data. The modelling results of the THM bentonite 102 response are then analysed, with a focus on the water content and dry density distribution. Finally, a link

- 103 is made between the behaviour of bentonite measured at the elementary scale and the behaviour 104 modelled at field scale, revealing how the local response of bentonite influences the global distribution
- 105 of dry density.
- 106

107 2) THM formulation

108 2.1) Balance and field equations

109 The THM coupled formulation used in this work has been widely reported and validated (Collin et al., 110 2002; 2006; Collin, 2003) and it is implemented in the computer code LAGAMINE (Charlier 1987). 111 For the sake of conciseness only a summary is given in the following. The theory of mixtures following 112 the compositional approach is used to formulate the THM processes in geological media (Panday and 113 Corapcioglu, 1989). The balance equations are established in terms of species (i.e. solid, water and dry 114 air) and four primary state variables are used to describe the state of the material: gas pressure p_g , water 115 pressure p_{w} , temperature T, and the displacement vector **u**. Large deformations are considered using an 116 updated Lagrangian formulation (Collin et al., 2006). All fluid and thermal fluxes are expressed with 117 respect to the solid skeleton. The effects of skeleton deformation on fluxes are taken into account at the 118 nodal level (Collin et al. 2002).

119

120 Changes in porosity in a volume of mixture V, are computed from the mass balance of solids in the 121 current configuration:

122
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}[\rho_s(1-n)V] = 0 \ (1)$$

123 Where t is time, ρ refers to density and the subscript s to the solid species. The mass conservation

equations for the water (subscript w) and gas (subscript g) species are, respectively:

125
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho_w n S_r) + \operatorname{div}(\rho_w \mathbf{f}_w) - Q_w + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}[\rho_v n(1 - S_r)] + \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{i}_v + \rho_v \mathbf{f}_g) - Q_v = 0 \quad (2)$$

126
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} [\rho_a n(1 - S_r)] + \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{i}_a + \rho_a \mathbf{f}_g) - Q_a + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (H\rho_a nS_r) + \operatorname{div}(H\rho_a \mathbf{f}_w) - Q_{da} = 0 \quad (3)$$

where the subscript v stands for vapor phase, the subscript a for dry air and the subscript da for dissolved air in the liquid phase, **f** indicates advective fluxes, **i** diffusive fluxes, Q stands for the external sources, S_r is the degree of water saturation, and H refers to the Henry constant which indicates the proportion of dissolved air in the liquid. Assuming that the temperature is in equilibrium across the different components, the energy balance equation reads:

132
$$\frac{\partial S_T}{\partial t} + L \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [\rho_v n(1 - S_r)] + \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{f}_T) + L \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\mathbf{i}_v + \rho_v \mathbf{f}_g) - Q_T = 0 \quad (4)$$

133 where L is the latent heat of water vaporisation (considered constant as $2.5 \cdot 10^6$ J/kg), \mathbf{f}_T is the thermal

134 flux, Q_T refers to the heat source and S_T is the enthalpy of the medium, given by:

135
$$S_T = [(1-n)\rho_s c_{p,s} + nS_r \rho_w c_{p,w} + n(1-S_r)(\rho_a c_{p,a} + \rho_v c_{p,v})](T-T_0)$$
(5)

136 where $c_{p,i}$ corresponds to the heat capacity of the species *i*, *T* is the current temperature and T_0 is a

137 reference temperature. The equilibrium of the medium is established as:

$$\operatorname{div}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) + \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0} \quad (6)$$

139 where **b** is the body force vector.

140 2.2) Thermal and hydraulic constitutive laws

141 The bulk density of liquid water is assumed to depend on p_w and T according to:

142
$$\rho_w = \rho_{w0} [1 + \chi_w (p_w - p_{w0}) - \beta_w (T - T_r)]$$
(7)

143 Where p_w is water pressure, ρ_{w0} is the bulk water reference density at T_r and at reference pressure p_{w0} , 144 χ_w is the water compressibility and β_w is the water thermal expansion coefficient. The dynamic

145 viscosity of bulk water
$$\mu_w$$
 evolves with T according to the empirical equation (Ewen and Thomas 1989):

146
$$\mu_w = 0.6612(T - 229)^{-1.56}$$
 (8)

147 where μ_w is expressed in Pa·s and T in Kelvin degrees.

148 The advective water flux is modelled by means of Darcy's law assuming an isotropic permeability

149 tensor:

150
$$\mathbf{f}_w = -\frac{\mathbf{I}k_f k_{rw}}{\mu_w} [\operatorname{grad}(p_w + \rho_w gz)] \quad (9)$$

where, k_f is the intrinsic permeability, k_{rw} is the relative permeability, g is the acceleration of gravity and I is the identity matrix. The relative permeability evolves with the degree of saturation, S_r following an exponential law:

$$k_{rw} = S_r^{\alpha_k} \quad (10)$$

155 where α_k is a material parameter. The influence of deformation on the intrinsic permeability is taken

156 into account by means of a modified Kozeny-Carman formula (Collin 2003):

157
$$k_f = k_{f,0} \frac{(1-n_0)^{MKC}}{n_0^{NKC}} \frac{n^{NKC}}{(1-n)^{MKC}}$$
(11)

158 where $k_{f,0}$ is the initial intrinsic permeability for a porosity n_0 , n stands for the current porosity and

159 MKC and NKC are material parameters.

160 The vapour density is computed as:

161
$$\rho_{\nu} = \exp\left[-\frac{sM_{w}}{RT}\rho_{w}\right]p_{\nu 0}\frac{M_{w}}{RT} \quad (12)$$

where M_w is the molar mass of water, R = 8.314 J/mol K, is the gas constant, s is the suction, and p_{v0} is the saturated vapour pressure. The latter is computed as $p_{v0} = 112.659 \exp(-5192.74^{\circ}\text{K}/T)$ MPa (Collin 2003). The air density is computed considering that the gas phase as an ideal gas and that Dalton's law applies:

166
$$\rho_a = \frac{p_a M_a}{RT} = \frac{(p_g - p_v) M_a}{RT} = \left(\frac{p_g M_a}{RT} - \frac{\rho_v}{M_w}\right) M_a \quad (13)$$

167 where p_a is the air pressure, p_g is the gas pressure and $M_a = 28.8 \cdot 10^{-3}$ kg/mol is the molar mass of 168 dry air.

169 Vapor is assumed to flow according to Fick's law in porous medium:

170
$$\mathbf{i}_{v} = -\mathbf{i}_{a} = n(1 - S_{r})\tau D\rho_{g} \operatorname{grad}(\rho_{v}) \quad (14)$$

171 where $D = 5.893 \cdot 10^{-6} (T/p_g)$ is the air diffusion coefficient (Philip and de Vries 1957) and τ the

172 tortuosity. The gradient of vapor density is approximated as (Collin et al., 2002):

173
$$\operatorname{grad}(\rho_{\nu}) = \frac{\rho_0 M_w g R H}{RT} \operatorname{grad}\left(\frac{-s}{\rho_w g}\right) + R H \left[\frac{\partial \rho_0}{\partial T} + \frac{\rho_0 M_w}{\rho_w R T^2}\right] \operatorname{grad}(T)$$
(15)

174 where ρ_0 is the saturated density of water vapor *RH* is the relative humidity.

175 Heat transport is governed by both conduction and convection:

176
$$\mathbf{f}_T = -\Gamma \operatorname{grad}(T) + \left[c_{p,w} \rho_w \mathbf{f}_w + c_{p,a} \left(\mathbf{i}_a + \rho_a \mathbf{f}_g \right) + c_{p,v} \left(\mathbf{i}_v + \rho_v \mathbf{f}_g \right) \right] (T - T_0)$$
(16)

177 where Γ is the thermal conductivity of the mixture. In view of the available experimental results, Γ was

178 considered as a volume average of the conductivities of each phase Γ_i :

179
$$\Gamma = \Gamma_{\rm s}(1-n) + \Gamma_{\rm w}S_r n + \Gamma_{\rm a}(1-S_r)n \quad (17)$$

180 **2.3) THM elastoplastic model of bentonite**

181 An essential feature of the analysis presented concerns the THM stress-strain constitutive model for the

182 bentonite behaviour. For this, the hydro-mechanical model presented in Bosch et al. (2021) is extended

183 to non-isothermal conditions including thermoplasticity.

184 The total strain tensor $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ is divided into elastic and plastic strains:

185 $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^e + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^p \quad (18)$

186 where the superscripts e and p denote elastic and plastic strains respectively. The following Bishop-

187 type expression is used for the effective stress σ' (Nuth and Laloui 2008):

188
$$\mathbf{\sigma}' = \mathbf{\sigma} - [p_a - (p_a - p_w)S_r]\mathbf{I} \quad (19)$$

189 where σ is the total stress tensor and p_a is the pore air pressure. The equations of the model are written

190 in terms of the stress invariants
$$p' = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(\sigma')$$
, $q = \sqrt{3}J$ and $\sin(3\theta) = 3\sqrt{3} \det s/2J^3$, where $s = \sigma' - \sigma'$

191
$$p'\mathbf{I}$$
 and $J = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{s}^2)$. Likewise, the strain invariants $\epsilon_v = \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon})$ and $\epsilon_d = \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^2)$, where $\boldsymbol{\gamma} = \boldsymbol{\epsilon} - \mathbf{s}$

192 $\frac{1}{3}\epsilon_v \mathbf{I}$, are defined.

193 The elastic strains are related to changes in the effective stress and temperature, *T* according to:

194
$$d\epsilon_{v}^{e} = \frac{p'}{\kappa}dp' + \frac{1}{3}[\beta_{T0} + \beta_{T1}(T - T_{r})]dT, \qquad d\epsilon_{d}^{e} = \frac{9(1 - 2\nu)}{2(1 + \nu)}\frac{p'}{\kappa}dq \quad (20a, b)$$

195 where κ is the elastic volumetric compressibility parameter, ν is the poisson ratio, T_r is a reference

196 temperature and β_{T0} , β_{T1} are thermo-elastic parameters (Laloui and François, 2009). The yield surface,

197 f_Y in the stress space is defined after Collins and Kelly (2002):

198
$$f_Y = q^2 - M^2 \left[\alpha + (1 - \alpha) \left(\frac{2p'}{p_Y'} \right) \right]^2 (p_Y' - p')p' = 0 \quad (21)$$

199 where *M* is the critical stress ratio, which depends on θ , α is a material parameter, and p'_Y corresponds 200 to the yield pressure, which depends on the stress history and the current S_r and *T*. A dependency of 201 strength on the stress path is established by taking the critical stress ratio as a function of $\sin(3\theta)$ (van 202 Eekelen, 1980; Vilarrasa et al. 2017):

203
$$M(\theta) = M_c \left[\frac{1 + b_L \sin(3\theta)}{1 + b_L} \right]^{-0.229}$$
(22)

204 where b_L is defined as:

205
$$b_L = \frac{\left(\frac{M_c}{M_e}\right)^{1/-0.229} - 1}{\left(\frac{M_c}{M_e}\right)^{1/-0.229} + 1}$$
(23)

206 where $M_c = \frac{6 \sin \phi'_c}{3 - \sin \phi'_c}$, $M_e = \frac{6 \sin \phi'_e}{3 + \sin \phi'_e}$ and ϕ'_c and ϕ'_e are the shear strength angles at failure for

207 compression paths and extension paths respectively.

208 The yield pressure, p'_{Y} depends on the degree of saturation according to:

209
$$\frac{p_Y'}{p_r'} = \left(\frac{p'_{TY}}{p'_r}\right)^{\frac{\lambda_s - \kappa}{\lambda(S_r) - \kappa}}$$
(24)

where p'_{TY} is the saturated yield pressure at current temperature, p'_r is a reference stress, λ_s defines the elastoplastic compressibility during yielding for saturated states and $\lambda(S_r)$ is a function expressing the evolution of elastoplastic compressibility with the degree of saturation, using a modified version of the expression proposed by Zhou et al. (2012):

214
$$\lambda(S_r) = \lambda_s - r(\lambda_s - \kappa) \left(1 - S_r^{\zeta}\right)^{\xi} \quad (25)$$

where r, ζ and ξ are material parameters that generally depend on the initial compaction state. The dependency of yield on temperature is introduced after Laloui and Cekerevac (2003) and Laloui and François (2009):

218
$$p'_{TY} = p'_{Ys} \left[1 - \gamma_T \ln\left(\frac{T}{T_r}\right) \right]$$
(26)

where p'_{YS} is the hardening variable (corresponding to the yield pressure at $S_r = 1$ and $T = T_r$ for a fixed ϵ_v^p) and γ_T is a material parameter. A graphical representation of the yield surface in the (p', q, T) and (p', q, S_r) planes is shown in Figure 2.

Volumetric and deviatoric plastic strain increments are given by the following flow rule (Collins andKelly, 2002):

224
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\epsilon_d^p}{\mathrm{d}\epsilon_v^p} = \frac{q}{M^2(p'-p_Y'/2)\left[\alpha+(1-\alpha)\left(\frac{2p'}{p_Y'}\right)\right]^2} \tag{27}$$

225 p'_{Ys} evolves according to the hardening law:

226
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{Ys}'}{p_{Ys}'} = \frac{\mathrm{d}\epsilon_v^p}{\lambda_s - \kappa} \tag{28}$$

The water retention model is formulated in terms of the water ratio, e_w (ratio of water volume with respect to volume of solids) which is divided into free water ratio, $e_{w,f}$ (volume of non-adsorbed water

with respect to volume of solids) and adsorbed water, $e_{w,a}$ (volume of adsorbed water with respect to volume of solids) i.e., $e_w = e_{w,f} + e_{w,a}$. The degree of saturation is computed as $S_r = e_w/e$. The evolution of free water ratio $e_{w,f}$ is modelled using a similar expression to that proposed by Dieudonné et al. (2017) as:

233
$$e_{w,f} = (e - e_{w,a}) \left[1 + \left(a (e - e_{w,a})^b s \right)^n \right]^{1/n-1}$$
(29)

where *n*, *a* and *b* are material parameters and *s* stands for matric suction. $e_{w,a}$ follows a Freundlich isotherm (Revil and Lu, 2013):

236
$$e_{w,a} = e_{w,a}^C \left[\exp\left(-\frac{M_w}{\rho_{w,a} R T_r} s\right) \right]^{1/m}$$
(30)

where $\rho_{w,a}$ is the density of adsorbed water, $e_{w,a}^C$ is the adsorption capacity parameter and *m* is a material parameter. Note that while free water ratio depends on the current void ratio, the adsorbed water ratio depends solely on suction. The water content is computed accounting for the differences between the free water density $\rho_{w,f}$ and adsorbed water density $\rho_{w,a}$:

241
$$w = \frac{1}{\rho_s} \left(\rho_{w,f} e_{w,f} + \rho_{w,a} e_{w,a} \right)$$
(31)

In the present study no variations of the water retention behaviour with temperature were considered. The constitutive model has been implemented in the FEM code Lagamine. The numerical integration is performed using an extension of the explicit schemes with automatic error control proposed by Sloan (1987) and Sheng et al. (2003), incorporating S_r and T as stress-like variables.

246

247 3) Finite element model

248 **3.1)** Geometry, discretisation and boundary conditions

249 Figure 3 shows the geometry, discretisation and boundary conditions used in the finite element model.

250 In order to avoid undesired effects of the imposed boundary conditions, the distance of the external

boundary to the engineered barrier is located at 60 m in both the axial and radial directions. The test is modelled as an axisymmetric problem. The perpendicular displacements of all boundaries are prevented, except for the gallery surface of the service tunnel. Based on in situ measurements an initial isotropic total stress of 28 MPa was assumed for the granite. The initial water pressure and temperature are also assumed to be uniform with $p_w = 0.7$ MPa and $T = 12^{\circ}$ C, and are fixed at the external boundaries. Perfect contact is assumed between all materials.

257

258 The phases considered in the simulation of the experiment are summarized in Table 1, where day 0 259 corresponds to the time at which the heaters were switched on. The excavation process is simulated by 260 releasing the radial stress at the tunnel walls to 0 MPa during the first 35 days. The ventilation process 261 is simulated by setting the water pressure of the drift surface to atmospheric pressure for 243 days. 262 Subsequently, the bentonite buffer construction, canister installation and plug construction are modelled 263 by activating the bentonite, canister and plug elements. Given the relative humidity measured at the 264 beginning of the test, an initial suction of 130 MPa is considered for the bentonite buffer. Initially, no 265 external total stress is applied on the bentonite.

266

The temperature increase sequence involved a first stage of 1200 W per heater for 20 days and 267 268 subsequently 2000 W per heater over the following 33 days until reaching the target temperature of 269 100 °C. The thermal losses due to the presence of air in the construction gaps between the heaters and 270 the bentonite were estimated at 15% (Dupray et al., 2013). Accordingly, the power applied in the simulation is 85 % of the real power. After the temperature of the heater centre reached 100 °C, the 271 temperature on all heater nodes (both heater #1 and #2) is kept constant. After 1826 days of heating, the 272 273 power in heater #1 is switched off. The dismantling process is simulated by switching off the plug, 274 bentonite and canister elements from the model domain, following the same sequence of the dismantling 275 plan. The second plug construction is simulated by activating concrete plug elements in which the initial 276 water pressure is assumed to be at the atmospheric pressure. After 6607 days of heating, heater #2 is

switched off. The sequence used in the final dismantling is analogous to the one used in the firstdismantling phase, finishing at day 6717.

279

280 **3.2) Determination of FEBEX bentonite material parameters**

281 In addition to control the hydraulic conductivity and thermal conductivity, S_r is one of the main state 282 variables used in the mechanical constitutive model through the adopted effective stress form. Therefore, 283 the water retention curve was calibrated prior to calibrate the mechanical parameters. The data presented 284 by Lloret et al. (2003), shown in Figure 4, was used to calibrate the water retention parameters. The tests 285 consisted in wetting paths, performed at ambient temperature under constant volume conditions at 286 different dry densities that are representative of the EBS of the FEBEX test. The two parameters of the 287 adsorbed water content $(e_{w,a}^{C}, m)$ were found by fitting the curve to the high-suction range, where water 288 content is rather independent on dry density (Romero and Vaunat, 2000). Adsorbed water might present 289 densities that are higher than those of free water (Sposito and Prost, 1982). In this case in order to match the water contents at low values of suction, the adsorbed water density was set to 1.2 Mg/m³ which is in 290 291 line with previous studies (Jacinto et al., 2012). The simulated adsorbed water content is also shown in 292 Figure 4. No dependency of water retention properties on temperature were considered in the analysis, 293 as its effect was shown to be small experimentally by Villar and Lloret (2004) and numerically by 294 Dupray et al. (2013). Experiments by Villar (2002) showed a negligible retention hysteresis at high 295 suction, and since drying paths are only expected close to the heaters at high suctions, hysteresis was 296 neglected in the analysis.

297

The isothermal elastoplastic parameters were calibrated based on the suction-controlled oedometric tests reported by Lloret et al. (2003) shown in Figure 5. The initial state of the samples was characterised by a high compacted state with a void ratio of e = 0.58, a suction around 127 MPa and a low axial stress of $\sigma_a = 0.1$ MPa. These tests span several ranges of suction-stress values, following two stress paths that are relevant in an EBS. Both tests involved a first drying to high suction of s = 550 MPa, prior to be

303 compressed and then saturated (test S1) and saturated and then compressed (test S5). Although they 304 were conducted under iso-thermal conditions, both stress paths can be representative of the bentonite 305 inside a repository, where the high suction will be the result of the heating induced drying and the 306 compression will be induced by the neighbouring bentonite elements as they progressively saturate. In 307 the case of the inner bentonite, the compression would be induced at high suction (test S1) and for the 308 outer bentonite, the compression stage would happen at low suctions (test S5). The model is able to 309 reproduce consistently the results of both tests. The assumption of neglecting hysteresis in the high 310 suction range is also accepted in view of the good fit that is obtained in the drying-wetting cycle of the 311 test S5 (path A-B'-C'). Shear strength angle was derived from the values reported in Enresa (2000). All input parameters used to simulate these tests, are reported in Table 2 ($e_0 = 0.58$). 312

313

314 Lloret et al. (2003) highlighted the stress path dependent behaviour of the bentonite that is observed in 315 tests S1 and S5. Indeed, although the initial and final stress-suction states were the same in both samples, 316 the final void ratio is different. While the experimentally controlled variables are the total stress and 317 suction, the constitutive variables of the model are the generalised effective stress and the degree of 318 saturation, which unify the interpretation across different saturation states. Therefore it is worth 319 examining the model results in terms of the constitutive variables (p', e, S_r) as shown in Figure 6, as it 320 supports an explanation to the final state of the bentonite barrier of the FEBEX test that is discussed in 321 section 4.3. The initial state (point A) is characterised by the high p' arising from the high product sS_r . Upon drying to points B and B', p' does not increase due to the large decrease in S_r , which naturally 322 323 results into a shrinkage limit. The suction decrease stages, CD and B'C', involve an increase in S_r that 324 eventually implies reaching the loading collapse yield curve, which happens at a larger value of suction 325 in test S1 as a result of the higher axial stress applied during wetting. The higher axial load involves 326 higher plastic strains owing to the lower swelling and thus to the faster increase in S_r compared with test S5 where S_r increases slower due to the lower axial stress that allows significant swelling, leading 327 328 to smaller plastic strains during wetting. After compression, the sample S5 does not reach the void ratio 329 at which S5 equilibrated after wetting due to the different sequence of plastic strains between the tests.

Both tests resulted in stress states located close to the normal compression line at saturation defined by λ_s . Thus, the Febex bentonite response can also be interpreted using hydro-mechanical coupling effects, as an alternative to a double porosity model.

333 It is noted that while the bentonite blocks that constitute the buffer have a dry density of 1.7 Mg/m^3 the 334 overall dry density of the buffer is 1.6 Mg/m³, that is considering technological gaps between the blocks, 335 tunnel and heaters. As an alternative to modelling explicitly these gaps, the present analysis considers 336 an overall equivalent dry density. This assumption provided reasonable results in previous studies (Gens 337 et al., 2009; Dupray et al., 2013) and it is supported by the results of laboratory tests performed by Wang 338 et al. (2013) who observed a unique relationship between the swelling pressure and the overall dry 339 density considering different gap volumes. Accordingly, the initial dry density of the bentonite elements 340 is set as 1.6 Mg/m³, homogeneously distributed throughout the EBS.

341

342 In order to account for the difference between the initial density of the overall barrier and the oedometric 343 tests, the parameters r, ζ and ξ , which depend on the initial compaction state, are adjusted. They have 344 been independently calibrated against a suction-controlled swelling pressure test reported by Lloret et 345 al. (2003), performed at ambient temperature with a dry density close to 1.6 Mg/m³. Figure 7a shows 346 both the experimental results and the model calibration with the parameters reported in Table 2 for $e_0 =$ 347 0.70. The swelling pressure evolution (in terms of axial stress) with suction is captured fairly well 348 although the coupling between the loading collapse curve and the water retention in the model results 349 in a nonlinear development of swelling pressure. While the development of swelling pressure during 350 wetting is determined by ξ and ζ , the model predicts that at s = 0 the swelling pressure is given by the λ_s -line. This can be verified observing that the value of p' = 6 MPa in the λ_s -line plotted in Figure 5 351 352 corresponds to e = 0.70, i.e. a dry density of 1.6 Mg/m³.

353

In line with the above result, experimental evidence suggests that the decreasing trend of swelling pressure on temperature can be explained by means of the dependency of yield pressure on temperature

356 (Gens 2010). Accordingly, the thermal yield, which defines the position of the λ_s -line at different 357 temperatures (Eq. 24), is calibrated on the basis of the swelling pressure results presented by Villar and 358 Lloret (2004), who observed a logarithmic decrease of swelling pressure with increasing temperature. 359 The experimental results (with an average dry density of 1.58 Mg/m³) and the model calibration are

360 shown in Figure 7b. In spite of the scattering of experimental data, a value of $\gamma_T = 0.25$, and $T_r = 12^{\circ}C$

361 follows the decreasing trend of swelling pressure with temperature.

362

363 Figure 8a shows the calibration of the thermal conductivity of bentonite against experimental data from Villar (2002) for various S_r . Using Eq. 15, a good match is obtained with $\Gamma_s = 0.7 \text{ W/m}^\circ\text{C}$ and $\Gamma_w =$ 364 365 2.1 W/m°C, considering $\Gamma_a = 0$. Villar (2002) also reported the intrinsic permeability for a wide range 366 of void ratios, which is reproduced in Figure 8b together with the fit of k_{f0} that is obtained with MKC =367 6 and NKC = 4. The dependency of k_{rw} on S_r is accounted by using Eq. 10 with $\alpha_k = 3$ as proposed 368 by Pintado et al. (2002). All the input THM material parameters of the bentonite are summarised in 369 Table 2. The remaining water and heat flow parameters have been derived from the previous study by 370 Dupray et al. (2013) and they are summarised in Table 3.

371 **3.3)** Granite, steel and concrete parameters

The granite is assumed to be fully saturated throughout the analysis and its mechanical behaviour is considered linear elastic, defined by the Young modulus *E* and Poisson ratio ν , on the basis of laboratory results from early studies in the Grimsel laboratory (Fuentes-Cantillana et al., 1998). The parameters of the steel heaters, as well as the concrete plug, have been set in the range of usual parameters from previous studies (e.g. Dupray et al. 2013). Their mechanical behaviour is also modelled as linear elastic. The steel is considered as impermeable and the concrete plug as fully saturated. The mechanical, thermal and hydraulic parameters of the granite, steel and concrete are summarised in Table 3.

379

380 4) Model results and interpretations

381 4.1) Temperature, relative humidity and stresses

In the following, the model results are compared to the temperature, relative humidity and total stresses measured during the test operation, focusing on the EBS. Sections corresponding to the first heater comprise the first 5 years of operation and sections corresponding to the second heater involve data spanning 18 years. The sections are defined by their distance, x, to the initial concrete plug. Capital letters in the Figures relate to the different stages of the test as defined in Table 1.

387

388 The evolution of temperature is shown in Figure 9 for the four sections located at the edges of the heaters 389 at different radial distances. The experimental data is well captured by the model, including the cooling 390 phase (starting at D) induced by switching off the heater #1 at day 1826. This effect can be well 391 appreciated in section x=8.91 m before the first dismantling. After the first dismantling, the temperature 392 values in section x=9.91 m stabilised at a lower temperature until the end of the test. The temperature at 393 x=13.45 m was slightly affected close to the host rock (r=1.1 m) when the heater #1 was switched off 394 (denoted by D). The results in terms of relative humidity are shown in Figure 10 (sections before the 395 first dismantling) and Figure 11. In spite of the higher scattering of the experimental data, it can be 396 observed that the model captures the general trend of hydration and drying. At the points close to the 397 host rock (i.e. close to r = 1.14 m) a fast increase in RH occurs as soon as the EBS is emplaced. The 398 increase of RH close to the host rock in the hot section (x=6.69 m in Figure 10b) when heater #1 is 399 switched on (B) is noticeable compared to the negligible effect that it has on the cold section (x=1.80 400 m, Figure 10a). This increase is due to the vapour transfer induced by the significant thermal gradient. 401 The first dismantling (D) has a clear effect on the trend of RH modelled in the section between the two 402 heaters (x=9.5 m in Figure 11a), whereas it has a very limited effect on the evolution of RH in the hot 403 section at x=12.3 m (Figure 11b). Although the available data of RH after the first dismantling is not as 404 extensive as during the first years a similar trend is followed by the model.

406 The performance of the proposed THM constitutive model can be evaluated from the results in terms of 407 total stresses that are shown in Figures 12 (radial stresses) and in Figure 13 (axial stresses), at different 408 sections. While the precision of the measurements in terms of stresses is not high (Alonso et al. 2005) 409 they give an overall idea of the trend and the order of magnitude of pressure changes. The initial increase 410 in stress predicted by the model in all sections is due to the hydration of the bentonite, that in the model 411 is assumed to be in full contact with the host rock. Afterwards, the increase of temperature (B) in the 412 hot sections (Figure 12 and Figure 13b) induces a stress decrease that is related to the suction increase 413 and the coupling between yield pressure and temperature, according to the model hypothesis that the 414 thermal yield controls the dependency of swelling pressure on temperature (see Figure 7b). As the 415 hydration front advances, the swelling pressure increases again up to the point in which the heater #1 is 416 switched off (D). The decrease in temperature induces a stress unloading, in agreement with the data 417 monitored in the two sections corresponding to the heater #1 (Figure 12a and 12b). In that case the stress 418 decrease is due to the elastic contraction of the material. While the magnitude of stresses around heater 419 #2 (Figures 12c and 12d) is fairly well predicted, it develops a different radial trend to that measured in 420 the test, which could be consequence of a poor contact between the sensors and the heater induced by 421 the strong drying of bentonite (Alonso et al., 2005).

422

In terms of axial stress (Figure 13) the model also captures the overall trend of swelling pressure increase, although with a lower axial stress than that measured in the test. It is of particular interest the stress build-up monitored in the shotcrete plug (Figure 13b) that is well predicted by the model. This indicates a good capability of the constitutive model to reproduce the unloading-reloading behaviour of the bentonite in which thermal cycles are involved.

428

429 **4.2) Post-mortem results**

430 The post-mortem measurements after each dismantling stage allows the model performance to be 431 evaluated in terms of water content and dry density. In this way, the complete stress-strain relation can

432 be validated. Figure 14 shows the dismantling and simulated results of two cold sections, one analysed 433 after the first dismantling and the other after the second dismantling. The simulation results of the first 434 dismantling correspond to the dry density after the concrete plug was removed, which induced an axial 435 unloading, whereas the results of the second dismantling correspond to the removal of the second 436 shotcrete plug. In both cases the modelling results are in good agreement with the trend of the 437 experimental data, in particular with the water content measurements, which showed a lower degree of 438 scattering compared to the results in terms of dry density. When comparing the results of the final 439 dismantling with the partial dismantling, the water content increased mostly in the inner part of the 440 buffer, while it remained fairly constant near the host rock. In spite of the 13 years that elapsed between 441 the two dismantling stages, the two sections revealed a very similar gradient of dry density, slightly 442 lower in the case of the second dismantling.

443

444 Figure 15 shows the results of the dry density and water content distributions at two symmetrical hot 445 sections, one corresponding to the first dismantling and the other to the second dismantling (data from 446 Villar et al. 2018). The modelling results match quite well the trends in experimental data close to the 447 host rock, whereas they slightly deviate close to the heater. Although it evolved significantly with 448 respect to the relatively homogeneous state, the dry density did not change significantly between the 449 two dismantling stages, which is in line with the trend experienced by the cold sections. Also in these 450 sections, the water content increased mostly towards the inner parts of the buffer, while decreasing 451 slightly at the contact with the host rock, due to the compression originated by the swelling of the inner 452 parts.

453

454 **4.3)** Interpretation of the THM stress paths

The final dry density observed after the post-mortem analysis showed a heterogeneous distribution of dry density of the barrier, which did not differ significantly between symmetrical sections analysed at each dismantling stage. In view of the consistency between the model performance for both laboratory

and large-scale tests, the stress path of the bentonite in the EBS predicted by the numerical analysis isinterpreted in order to offer an explanation and to identify a possible source of the heterogeneity.

460

461 The stress paths in terms of the constitutive variables (p', S_r, e, T) in a hot and a cold section are shown 462 in Figure 16. For each section, the points located at r = 1.11 m and r = 0.5 m are represented. The general 463 trend is given by a decrease of p' induced by the decrease of sS_r upon hydration. It can be observed that 464 the initial hydration that takes place between the bentonite emplacement (A) and the start of heating (B) 465 already induces a decrease in p' at r = 1.11 m larger than that occurring in 18 years at r = 0.5 m. This 466 initial decrease reaches the initial LC curve in the plane $(p' - S_r)$ which implies an increase of plastic 467 strains and thus the void ratio does not evolve following the κ -line (which represents purely elastic 468 swelling). The differences between the hot and cold section are obviously due to the heating starting at 469 point B. The stress path is significantly modified in the hot section at B because of temperature and there 470 is a reversal in the stress path in plane (p', S_r) inside the elastic domain and thus e evolves following 471 the κ -line. This is due to the drying occurring at r = 0.5 m, that densifies the bentonite close to the heater 472 allowing the outer bentonite to swell under low external confinement. Although limited, this short elastic 473 response results in a difference in void ratio between the hot and cold sections that persists until the end, 474 adding up to the higher vapor transport that leads to different values of s. Note that this gradient does 475 not tend to homogenise upon saturation, given that the plastic strains developed in the inner and outer 476 radius differ as a result of the different stress sequence that occur. It is also observed that the λ_s -line 477 determines the stress-state upon saturation, indicating the importance of calibrating its position (see 478 Figure 5) to obtain reliable predictions, in agreement with recent studies (Bosch et al. 2021, Ferrari et 479 al. 2022).

480

481 The stress paths are in line with the results obtained in the suction-controlled oedometric tests (Figure 5 482 and Figure 6). The test S1, whose stress path was closer to an element close to the heater, equilibrated

483 at a void ratio lower than the test S5, that followed a stress path more similar to that obtained in the 484 contact with the host-rock.

485

Finally, the water retention behaviour in terms of S_r , resulting from the simulation in each of the four points represented in Figure 16, is shown in Figure 17. Note that the high density assumed for adsorbed water leads to an initial $S_r = 0.48$ lower than $S_r = 0.55$ that would result from considering an overall $\rho_w = 1 \text{ Mg/m}^3$. Despite the confined nature of the overall EBS, the local water retention curve develops differently in each of the four points studied, hence the importance of considering a dependency of void ratio in order to predict the evolution of water content and the degree of saturation.

492

493 5) Conclusions

494 This study provided an interpretation of the final heterogeneous state of the bentonite barrier (EBS) in 495 the FEBEX in-situ test by simulating its complete history with an advanced THM elastoplastic stress-496 strain model for bentonite. The main novelty with respect to previous studies is the consideration of a 497 two-way coupling between the water retention and volume change response of bentonite, including the 498 explicit distinction between the behaviour of adsorbed water and free water. Thermo-plasticity is also 499 incorporated allowing to model the dependency of swelling pressure on temperature. In order to evaluate 500 the predictive capabilities of the constitutive model and to increase confidence in the analysis, all its 501 material parameters have been established on the basis of laboratory testing. The remaining input 502 parameters for the THM formulation have been derived from previous studies.

503

In addition to the independent calibration based on well-controlled laboratory tests, the good agreement between field-scale modelling results and the monitored data, including cooling and partial dismantling stages, supports the use of the constitutive model for analysing the THM response of bentonite. The model provided an insight of the causes for the final heterogeneous dry density distribution, as well as

the small variations of dry density profiles between the first and second post-mortem analyses of the test. The analysis of the generalised stress paths reveals that the density gradient could be induced at the very beginning of the test operation, as a result of the strong gradients of temperature and relative humidity, that induce significant plastic strains. The dry density had a slight tendency to compensate as the hydration front progressed towards the inner parts of the EBS. However, irreversible strains that developed in the outer part of the EBS prevented the bentonite to recover the initial state, leading to permanent dry density gradients.

515

516 Acknowledgments

517 This work has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014–2018 under 518 grant agreement No 745942. The authors also thank the research group of Prof. R. Charlier and Prof. F. 519 Collin at the University of Liège for their software LAGAMINE and advice with the implementation of 520 the constitutive model.

521

522 References

- 523 Alonso E.E. et al. The FEBEX benchmark test: case definition and comparison of modelling approaches.
- 524 International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences 2005; 42(5–6):611–638.
- 525 Alonso, E. E., Gens, A. & Josa, A. (1990). A constitutive model for partially saturated soils.
- 526 Géotechnique 40, No. 3, 405–430.
- Bosch, J. A., Ferrari, A., & Laloui, L. (2021). Coupled hydro-mechanical analysis of compacted
 bentonite behaviour during hydration. *Computers and Geotechnics*, *140*, 104447.
- 529 Bosch, J. A., Ferrari, A., Olivier, L., & Laloui, L. (2023). Modelling the density homogenisation of a
- 530 block and granular bentonite buffer upon non-isothermal saturation. International Journal for Numerical
- 531 and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics (In Press).

- 532 Collin, F. 2003. Couplages thermo-hydro-mécaniques dans les sols et les roches tendres partiellement
- 533 saturés, Ph.D Thesis, Université de Liège in Department ArGEnCo, Liège.
- 534 Collin, F., Li, X. L., Radu, J. P., Charlier, R. 2002. Thermo-hydro-mechanical coupling in clay barriers.
- 535 Engineering Geology 64: 179–193.
- 536 Collin, F., Chambon, R., & Charlier, R. (2006). A finite element method for poro mechanical modelling
- 537 of geotechnical problems using local second gradient models. International journal for numerical
- 538 methods in engineering, 65(11), 1749-1772.
- 539 Collins, I.F. and Kelly, P.A., 2002. A thermomechanical analysis of a family of soil models.
- 540 *Geotechnique*, 52(7), pp.507-518.
- 541 Charlier, R. (1987) Approche unifiée de quelques problèmes non linéaires de mécanique des milieux
- 542 continus par la méthode des éléments finis. PhD Thesis, University of Liège. Belgium.
- 543 Dieudonne, A.C., Della Vecchia, G. and Charlier, R., 2017. Water retention model for compacted
- 544 bentonites. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 54(7), pp.915-925.
- 545 Dupray, F., Francois, B. and Laloui, L. 2013. Analysis of the FEBEX multi-barrier system including
- 546 thermoplasticity of unsaturated bentonite. International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods
- 547 in Geomechanics, 37: 399-422.
- 548 Enresa (2000). Febex Project: Full-scale engineered barriers experiment for a deep geological repository
- 549 for high level radioactive waste in crystalline host rock, Publicación técnica 1/2000, ENRESA.
- Ewen, J., & Thomas, H. R. (1989). Heating unsaturated medium sand. Geotechnique, 39(3), 455-470.
- 551 Ferrari, A., Bosch, J. A., Baryla, P., & Rosone, M. (2022). Volume change response and fabric evolution
- of granular MX80 bentonite along different hydro-mechanical stress paths. Acta Geotechnica, 17(9),
- 553 3719-3730.
- 554 Fuentes-Cantillana, J. L., García-Siñeriz, J. L., Obis, J., Pérez, A., Alberdi, J., Barcala, J. M., ... &
- 555 García, M. (1998). FEBEX Full-scale engineered barriers experiment in crystalline host rock. pre-
- 556 operational stage summary report. ENRESA Publicación Técnica, 1, 98.

- 557 François, B., and Laloui, L. 2008. ACMEG-TS: A constitutive model for unsaturated soils under non-
- isothermal conditions. International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics,
 32(16): 1955-1988.
- 560 Gens, A., 2010. Soil-environment interactions in geotechnical engineering. Géotechnique, 60(1), p.3.
- 561 Gens, A., Garcia-Molina, A. J., Olivella, S., Alonso, E. E. & Huertas, F. (1998). Analysis of a full scale
- in situ test simulating repository conditions. Int. J. Numer. Anal. Methods Geomech. 22, No. 7, 515–

563 548.

- 564 Gens A, Sánchez M, Guimarães LDN, Alonso EE, Lloret A, Olivella S, Villar MV. A full-scale in situ
- heating test for high-level nuclear waste disposal: observations, analysis and interpretation.
 Geotechnique 2009; 59(4):377–399.
- 567 Gens, A., Alcoverro, J., Blaheta, R., Hasal, M., Michalec Z., Takayama Y., Lee C., Lee J., Kim G. Y.,
- 568 Kuo C.W., Kuo W. J., & Lin, C. Y. (2021). HM and THM interactions in bentonite engineered barriers
- for nuclear waste disposal. International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences, 137, 104572.
- 570 Huertas, F., Farina, P., Farias. J., Garcia-Sineriz, J. L., Villar, M. V., Fernandez, A. M., Martin, P. L.,
- 571 Elorza, F. J., Gens, A., Sanchez, M., Lloret, A., Samper, J. & Martinez, M. A. (2006). Full-scale
- 572 engineered barrier experiment: Updated final report, Technical Publication 05-0/2006. Madrid:573 ENRESA.
- Jacinto, A.C., Villar, M.V. and Ledesma, A., 2012. Influence of water density on the water-retention
 curve of expansive clays. *Géotechnique*, 62(8), p.657.
- 576 Laloui, L. and Cekerevac, C., 2003. Thermo-plasticity of clays: an isotropic yield mechanism.
- 577 Computers and Geotechnics, 30(8), pp.649-660.
- 578 Laloui L, Francois B. ACMEG-T: Soil Thermoplasticity Model. Journal of Engineering Mechanics
 579 2009; 135(9):932–944.
- 580 Lloret, A., Villar, M. V., Sánchez, M., Gens, A., Pintado, X. & Alonso, E. E. (2003). Mechanical
- 581 behaviour of heavily compacted bentonite under high suction changes. *Géotechnique* 53, No. 1, 27-40.

- 582 Mašín, D. (2017). Coupled thermohydromechanical double-structure model for expansive soils. Journal
- of Engineering Mechanics, 143(9), 04017067.
- 584 Navarro, V., Cabrera, V., De la Morena, G., Asensio, L., Yustres, Á., & Torres-Serra, J. (2022). A new
- double-porosity macroscopic model of bentonite free swelling. Engineering Geology, 305, 106725.
- 586 Nuth M, Laloui L. Effective stress concept in unsaturated soils: Clarification and validation of a unified
- 587 framework. International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics 2008;
- 588 32(7):771-801.
- 589 Olivella, S., Carrera, J., Gens, A., and Alonso, E. E. 1994. Nonisothermal multiphase flow of brine and
- 590 gas through saline media. Transport in porous media, 15(3): 271-293.
- 591 Panday S, Corapcioglu MY. Reservoir transport equations by compositional approach. Transport in
- 592 Porous Media 1989; 4(4):369–393.
- 593 Philip, J. R., & De Vries, D. D. (1957). Moisture movement in porous materials under temperature
- gradients. Eos, Transactions American Geophysical Union, 38(2), 222-232.
- 595 Pintado, X., Ledesma, A. & Lloret, A. (2002). Backanalysis of thermohydraulic bentonite properties
- from laboratory tests. Engng Geol. 64, Nos 2–3, 91–115.
- 597 Qiao, Y., Tuttolomondo, A., Lu, X., Laloui, L., & Ding, W. (2021). A generalized water retention model
- 598 with soil fabric evolution. Geomechanics for Energy and the Environment, 25, 100205.
- 599 Qiao, Y., Xiao, Y., Laloui, L., Ding, W., & He, M. (2019). A double-structure hydromechanical
- 600 constitutive model for compacted bentonite. Computers and Geotechnics, 115, 103173.
- 601 Revil, A. and Lu, N., 2013. Unified water isotherms for clayey porous materials. *Water Resources*
- 602 *Research*, 49(9), pp.5685-5699.
- 603 Romero, E., & Vaunat, J. (2000). Retention curves of deformable clays. In Experimental evidence and
- 604 theoretical approaches in unsaturated soils (pp. 99-114). CRC Press.

- 605 Sánchez, M., Gens, A. and Guimarães, L., 2012. Thermal-hydraulic-mechanical (THM) behaviour of
- a large-scale in situ heating experiment during cooling and dismantling. *Canadian geotechnical journal*,
 49(10), pp.1169-1195.
- 608 Sánchez, M., Pomaro, B., & Gens, A. (2023). Coupled THM analysis of a full-scale test for high-level
- 609 nuclear waste and spent fuel disposal under actual repository conditions during 18 years of operation.

610 Géotechnique, 1-21.

- 611 Sheng, D., Sloan, S. W., Gens, A., & Smith, D. W. (2003). Finite element formulation and algorithms
- for unsaturated soils. Part I: Theory. International journal for numerical and analytical methods in
 geomechanics, 27(9), 745-765.
- 614 Sloan, S. W. (1987). Substepping schemes for the numerical integration of elastoplastic stress-strain
- relations. International journal for numerical methods in engineering, 24(5), 893-911.
- Sposito, G. and Prost, R., 1982. Structure of water adsorbed on smectites. *Chemical Reviews*, 82(6),
 pp.553-573.
- 618 Villar, M.V. (2002): Thermo-hydro-mechanical characterisation of a bentonite from Cabo de Gata: A
- 619 study applied to the use of bentonite as sealing material in high-level radioactive waste repositories,
- 620 Technical Publication 01/2002. Madrid: Enresa
- 621 Villar, M.V. and Lloret, A., 2004. Influence of temperature on the hydro-mechanical behaviour of a
- 622 compacted bentonite. Applied Clay Science, 26(1-4), pp.337-350.
- 623 Villar, M. V., Iglesias, R. J. and Garcia-Sineriz, J. L. 2018. State of the in situ Febex test (GTS,
- 624 Switzerland) after 18 years: a heterogeneous bentonite barrier. Environmental Geotechnics,
- 625 https://doi.org/10.1680/jenge.17.00093.
- Van Eekelen, H.A.M., 1980. Isotropic yield surfaces in three dimensions for use in soil mechanics.
- 627 International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics, 4(1), pp.89-101.

- 628 Vilarrasa, V., Parisio, F. and Laloui, L., 2017. Strength evolution of geomaterials in the octahedral plane
- under nonisothermal and unsaturated conditions. *International Journal of Geomechanics*, 17(7),
 p.04016152.
- 631 Wang, Q., Tang, A.M., Cui, Y.J., Delage, P., Barnichon, J.D. and Ye, W.M., 2013. The effects of
- 632 technological voids on the hydro-mechanical behaviour of compacted bentonite-sand mixture. Soils and
- 633 Foundations, 53(2), pp.232-245.
- 634 Wang, Y., Ye, W. M., Wang, Q., Chen, Y. G., & Cui, Y. J. (2022). A critical saturated state-based
- constitutive model for volumetric behavior of compacted bentonite. Canadian Geotechnical Journal,
 59(11), 1872-1886.
- 637 Zhou, A.N., Sheng, D., Sloan, S.W. and Gens, A., 2012. Interpretation of unsaturated soil behaviour in
- the stress-saturation space, I: volume change and water retention behaviour. Computers andGeotechnics, 43, pp.178-187.
- 640

641 List of tables

- Table 1. Stages of the FEBEX test included in the analysis.
- Table 2. Input parameters for the FEBEX bentonite. (1) corresponds to an initial void ratio $e_0 = 0.58$, whereas (2) corresponds to an initial void ratio of $e_0 = 0.70$.
- Table 3. THM input parameters for the bentonite, granite, concrete and steel.

646 List of figures

- 647 Figure 1. Layout of the FEBEX experiment (a) during the first 5 years of operation, between 1997 and
- 648 2002, and (b) after the first dismantling until 2015.
- Figure 2. Yield surface of the constitutive model in (a) the (p', q, T) space and (b) in the (p', q, S_r) space.
- Figure 3. Axisymmetric geometry and finite element mesh used in the analysis before and after the firstpartial dismantling. All units are in meters.
- Figure 4. Water retention model calibration (denoted by sim.) against the experimental results obtained
- by Lloret et al. (2003) upon wetting paths (denoted by exp.).
- Figure 5. Calibration (denoted by sim.) of the iso-thermal mechanical parameters of FEBEX bentonite
- upon stress and suction changes against the experimental data reported by Lloret et al. (2003) (denoted
- 657 by exp.). a) suction-stress paths. b) Response in the (σ_a, e) plane. c) Response in the (s, e) plane.
- Figure 6. Model simulation of tests S1 and S5 in terms of constitutive variables (p', S_r, e) .
- Figure 7. Adjustment of the model parameters against swelling pressure tests. a) Calibration of r, ζ and
- 660 ξ for an initial e = 0.7 against experimental data by Lloret et al. (2003). b) Calibration of the $γ_T$ and T_r 661 with swelling pressure results reported by Villar and Lloret (2004) at an average dry density of 1.58 662 Mg/m³
- Figure 8. a) Calibration of the thermal conductivity and b) calibration of the dependency of intrinsic permeability on void ratio. All the experimental data was reported by Villar (2002).
- Figure 9. Model and experimental results in terms of temperature at four sections. a) x=4.42 m (until
- first dismantling); b) x=8.91 m (until first dismantling); c) x=9.91 m and d) x=13.45 m. The capital
- 667 letters and the corresponding dashed lines indicate the different phases described in the text.
- 668 Figure 10. Model and experimental results in terms of relative humidity at two sections until the first
- dismantling. a) x=1.80 m and (b) x=8.91 m. The capital letters and the corresponding dashed lines
- 670 indicate the different phases described in the text.
- Figure 11. Model and experimental results in terms of relative humidity at two sections. a) x=9.50 m
- and (b) x=12.30 m. The capital letters and the corresponding dashed lines indicate the different phases
- 673 described in the text.

- Figure 12. Model and experimental results in terms of total radial stresses at four sections. a) x=5.52 m (until first dismantling); b) x=6.69 m (until first dismantling); c) x=12.20 m and d) x=13.45 m. The
- 676 capital letters and the corresponding dashed lines indicate the different phases described in the text.
- Figure 13. Model and experimental results in terms of total axial stresses at two sections: a) x=17.0 m
- and d) x=7.87 m. The capital letters and the corresponding dashed lines indicate the different phases
 described in the text.
- Figure 14. Post-mortem results in cold sections in terms of dry density and water content after the firstdismantling (a), (c) and second dismantling (b), (d).
- Figure 15. Post-mortem results in hot sections in terms of dry density and water content after the first
 dismantling (a), (c) and second dismantling (b), (d).
- Figure 16. Stress paths in the generalised constitutive stress space (p', S_r, e, T) . (a) Section x=15.65 (cold section) for a radial distance of r=0.5 m and r=1.11 m. (b) Section x=12.20 (hot section) for a radial distance of r=0.5 m and r=1.11 m. The capital letters indicate a change between phases as described in the text.
- Figure 17. Water retention behaviour of the bentonite simulated by the model at four points, located in
- a cold section (x=15.65 m), and a hot section (x=12.20 m) at radial distances of r=0.5 m and r=1.11 m.
- 690 A indicates the common initial state and H the final state of each point.

Phase	Start time	Task	Starting day (ref.)
-	25/09/1995	TBM excavation of FEBEX tunnel	-520
		- excavation: 35days	C.
		- ventilation period: 243 days	
-	01/07/1996	EBS construction and emplacement of	-242
		heaters	
А	15/10/1996	End of EBS construction	-135
В	28/02/1997	Heating at constant power	0
		- 1200 W from 0 to 20 days	
		- 2000 W from 20 to 53 days	
С	21/4/1997	Heating (Constant temperature)	53
D	28/02/2002	Switch off Heater #1	1826
Е	02/04/2002	Start of partial dismantling	1859
F	26/07/2002	Shotcrete plug construction	1975
G	2/04/2015	Switch off Heater #2	6607
Н	21/07/2015	End of dismantling	6717

693 Table 1. Stages of the FEBEX test included in the analysis.

Mechanical model		Water retention model		
Parameter	Value	Parameter	Value	
к	0.055	a	2 MPa ⁻¹	
ν	0.35	b	1.5	
λ_{sat}	0.075	n	1.8	
$\phi_c'=\phi_e'$	16°	m	2.5	
α	0.65	e ^C _{w,a}	0.48	
p'_r	10 ⁻⁷ MPa	ρ _{w,a}	1.2 Mg/m ³	
r	0.320 (1), 0.525 (2)			
ζ	5.50 (1), 3.17 (2)			
ξ	0.80 (1), 1.65 (2)			
β_{T0}	$1.8 \times 10^{-4} / ^{\circ} C$			
β_{T1}	0			
γ_T	0.25			
T_r	20°C			
e ₀	0.58 (1), 0.70 (2)			1

Table 2. THM constitutive parameters for the FEBEX bentonite. (1) corresponds to an initial void ratio $e_0 = 0.58$, whereas (2) corresponds to an initial void ratio of $e_0 = 0.70$. 695

696

Parameter	Bentonite	Granite	Concrete	Steel	
Γ [W/(m°C)]	-	3.34	1.7	52	
$c_p \left[J/(kg^{\circ}C) \right]$	-	1000	750	500	
p_{w0} [MPa]	0.1	0.1	0.1	-	
$\gamma_{\rm uv}$ [1/Pa]	4.4x10 ⁻¹⁰	4.4x10 ⁻¹⁰	4.4x10 ⁻¹⁰	-	
Xw []					
β _w [1/°C]	$4x10^{-4}$	4x10 ⁻⁴	$4x10^{-4}$	-	
$k_{\text{a.s.}} [\text{m}^2]$	2 10 ⁻²¹ 2	4.5x10-19	4x10-19	-	-
N _{f,0} [III]	3 x10 m				
[]	0.5	0.6	0.6		-
ι[-]	0.5	0.0	0.0		
n _o [-]	See ρ_0 in Table 1	0.01	0.15		-
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		0101	0.110	Y	
$a \left[\frac{kg}{m} \right]$	2720	2660	2500	7800	
$p_s [kg/m]$					
E [GPa]	_	50	30	2.00	-
			50	200	
ν[-]	-	0.35	0.2	0.3	
$\Gamma_{\rm s} [W/(m^{\circ}C)]$	0.7 W/(m°C)	-	-	-	-
					-
$\Gamma_{W} [W/(m^{\circ}C)]$	$2.1 \text{ W/(m^{\circ}C)}$	-	-	-	
$\Gamma_a [W/(m^{\circ}C)]$	0	- -)	-	-	
$c \left[\frac{1}{2}\right]$	1001				-
$c_{p,s}$ [J/ (Kg C)]	1091		-	-	
$c_{p,w} [J/(kg^{\circ}C)]$	4183	-	-	-	
$a \left[\frac{1}{4\pi^2 C}\right]$	1000				-
$c_{p,a} \left[J/(Kg^2C) \right]$	1000	-	-	-	
Н	0.017	0.017	0.017	-	
МКС	6	-	-	-	-
NWO					-
NKC	4	-	-	-	
α_k	2.9	-	-	-	
		1	1	1	1

Table 3. Water and heat flow parameters for the bentonite, granite, concrete and steel.



Figure 1. Layout of the FEBEX experiment (a) during the first 5 years of operation, between 1997 and

701 2002, and (b) after the first dismantling until 2015.



704

- Figure 2. Yield surface of the constitutive model in (a) the (p',q,T) space and (b) in the (p',q,S_r)
- 706 space.



709 Figure 3. Axisymmetric geometry and finite element mesh used in the analysis before and after the first

710 partial dismantling. All units are in meters.





712 Figure 4. Water retention model calibration (denoted by sim.) against the experimental results obtained

713 by Lloret et al. (2003) upon wetting paths (denoted by exp.).





Figure 5. Calibration (denoted by sim.) of the iso-thermal mechanical parameters of FEBEX bentonite upon stress and suction changes against the experimental data reported by Lloret et al. (2003) (denoted by exp.). a) suction-stress paths. b) Response in the (σ_a , e) plane. c) Response in the (s, e) plane.



Figure 6. Model simulation of tests S1 and S5 in terms of constitutive variables (p', S_r, e) .





Figure 7. Adjustment of the model parameters against swelling pressure tests. a) Calibration of r, ζ and ξ for an initial e = 0.7 against experimental data by Lloret et al. (2003). b) Calibration of the γ_T and T_r with swelling pressure results reported by Villar and Lloret (2004) at an average dry density of 1.58 Mg/m³.



Figure 8. a) Calibration of the thermal conductivity and b) calibration of the dependency of intrinsicpermeability on void ratio. All the experimental data was reported by Villar (2002).



Figure 9. Model and experimental results in terms of temperature at four sections. a) x=4.42 m (until

first dismantling); b) x=8.91 m (until first dismantling); c) x=9.91 m and d) x=13.45 m. The capital

736 letters and the corresponding dashed lines indicate the different phases described in the text.



Figure 10. Model and experimental results in terms of relative humidity at two sections until the first
dismantling. a) x=1.80 m and (b) x=8.91 m. The capital letters and the corresponding dashed lines
indicate the different phases described in the text.



Figure 11. Model and experimental results in terms of relative humidity at two sections. a) x=9.50 m
and (b) x=12.30 m. The capital letters and the corresponding dashed lines indicate the different phases
described in the text.

747



Figure 12. Model and experimental results in terms of total radial stresses at four sections. a) x=5.52 m

751 (until first dismantling); b) x=6.69 m (until first dismantling); c) x=12.20 m and d) x=13.45 m. The

capital letters and the corresponding dashed lines indicate the different phases described in the text.



Figure 13. Model and experimental results in terms of total axial stresses at two sections: a) x=17.0 m

and d) x=7.87 m. The capital letters and the corresponding dashed lines indicate the different phases
 described in the text.



Figure 14. Post-mortem results in cold sections in terms of dry density and water content after the first

761 dismantling (a), (c) and second dismantling (b), (d).

762



Figure 15. Post-mortem results in hot sections in terms of dry density and water content after the first

765 dismantling (a), (c) and second dismantling (b), (d).

766



767

Figure 16. Stress paths in the generalised constitutive stress space (p', S_r, e, T) . (a) Section x=15.65 (cold section) for a radial distance of r=0.5 m and r=1.11 m. (b) Section x=12.20 (hot section) for a radial distance of r=0.5 m and r=1.11 m. The capital letters indicate a change between phases as described in the text.



Figure 17. Water retention behaviour of the bentonite simulated by the model at four points, located in

a cold section (x=15.65 m), and a hot section (x=12.20 m) at radial distances of r=0.5 m and r=1.11 m.

A indicates the common initial state and H the final state of each point.

777

Highlights

- Analysis of the bentonite in the FEBEX test with an advanced THM constitutive model
- Input parameters derived from laboratory tests
- Good predictions of the dry density and water content at the two dismantling stages
- Interpretation of the THM stress paths that led to the final density gradients

Jose A. Bosch: Investigation, Formal analysis, Writing - original draft. Yafei Qiao: Investigation, Writing - review & editing. Alessio Ferrari: Supervision, Writing - review & editing. Lyesse Laloui: Supervision, Writing - review & editing.

Declaration of interests

 \boxtimes The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

□The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests:

