Chemistry A European Journal

**Chemistry
Europe**
European Chemical
Societies Publishing

Accepted Article

Title: Photochemical Functionalization of Heterocycles with EBX
Reagents; C-H Alkynylation versus Deconstructive Ring
Cleavage

Authors: Errika Voutyritsa, Marion Garreau, Maroula G. Kokotou,
Ierasia Triandafillidi, Jérôme Waser, and Christoforos G.
Kokotos

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: *Chem. Eur. J.* 10.1002/chem.202002868

Link to VoR: <https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202002868>

WILEY-VCH

Photochemical Functionalization of Heterocycles with EBX Reagents; C-H Alkynylation versus Deconstructive Ring Cleavage

Errika Voutyritsa,^[a,b] Marion Garreau,^[b] Maroula G. Kokotou,^[a] Ierasia Triandafillidi,^[a] Jérôme Waser^{*[a]} and Christoforos G. Kokotos^{*[a]}

[a] Dr. E. Voutyritsa, Dr. M. G. Kokotou, Dr. I. Triandafillidi, Prof. Dr. C. G. Kokotos
Laboratory of Organic Chemistry, Department of Chemistry, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Panepistimiopolis 15771, Athens, Greece.
E-mail: ckokotos@chem.uoa.gr

[b] M. Garreau, Prof. Dr. J. Waser
Laboratory of Catalysis and Organic Synthesis, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland.
E-mail: jerome.waser@epfl.ch

Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.

Abstract: The development of novel methodologies for the functionalization of saturated heterocycles is highly desirable. Herein, we report a cheap and efficient photochemical method for the C-H functionalization of saturated O-heterocycles, as well as the deconstructive ring-cleavage of S-heterocycles, employing hypervalent iodine alkynylation reagents (Ethynylbenziodoxolones, EBX). This photochemical alkynylation is performed utilizing phenylglyoxylic acid as the photoinitiator, leading to the corresponding products in good to high yields, under household fluorescent light bulb irradiation. When O-heterocycles were employed, the expected α -C-H alkynylation took place. In contrast, oxidative ring-opening to form a thioalkyne and an aldehyde was observed with S-heterocycles. Preliminary mechanistic experiments are presented to give first insights into this puzzling divergent reactivity.

Introduction

In recent years, C-H bond functionalization has attracted increasing interest in Organic Chemistry. Synthetic strategies based on C-H functionalization have been applied in multiple fields, including natural product synthesis and medicinal chemistry.^[1] Saturated heterocycles are important building blocks in organic synthesis.^[2] Therefore, the development of strategies for the C-H functionalization at the α position of the heterocycle has been intensively investigated. The main focus of research has been on N-heterocycles based on transition-metal catalysis,^[3] whereas the functionalization of O- or S-heterocycles has been less investigated and involve usually radical pathways.^[4] In addition to direct C-H functionalization, more complex "deconstructive" processes involving cleavage of the C-X bond of the heterocycles have attracted strong interest recently, as they give access selectively to multi-substituted acyclic building blocks. Most successes have been met with N-heterocycles^[5] and S-heterocycles,^[6] but for the latter, product mixtures were obtained due to oxidation of the sulfur atom under the required strong oxidative/light irradiation conditions. Among possible transformations, the α -alkynylation of saturated cyclic ethers is a particularly useful reaction, since this motif is

present in natural products and the obtained building blocks widely serve as key intermediates for the synthesis of bioactive compounds.^[7] In 1996, Fuchs introduced alkynyl triflone reagents for the thermally-induced or photochemical (UV-light) radical alkynylation of tetrahydrofuranyl derivatives, under high temperature using AIBN as the initiator (Scheme 1, A).^[8] This seminal work inspired other researchers to develop alkynylation methods based on radical or carbocation intermediates, but most protocols require relatively harsh conditions to generate the reactive intermediate.^[9] In recent years, photoredox catalysis has become a powerful synthetic methodology to perform challenging transformations using visible light irradiation by accessing reactive intermediates under mild conditions.^[10] Most examples rely on the use of

Previous Methods

Acetylenic Triflones as Alkynylation Reagents, Fuchs, ref. 8

This Method

Scheme 1. Approaches for the α -alkynylation of heterocycles.

metal-based catalysts, mainly ruthenium or iridium, thanks to the advantage of rational tuning of their electronic properties via ligand manipulation. Unfortunately, these types of complexes can be toxic, expensive, and some are not commercially-available. In order to solve this problem, a number of organic molecules have been employed as photocatalysts, which in many cases provide similar reactivities as the metal catalysts making photo-organocatalysis a low-cost and easy-to-use alternative.^[11] In 2013, Inoue presented a stoichiometric photocatalytic method for the alkylation of 2-pyrrolidinone derivatives with tosyl alkynes, employing benzophenone in stoichiometric quantities.^[12] Guin later showed that the reaction also worked with a catalytic amount of a benzophenone derivative, but only two examples of cyclic ether scaffolds were reported in this work (Scheme 1, **B**).^[13]

In order to further expand the scope of alkylation reactions, the development of new reagents able to react rapidly with radical intermediates is crucial. In this context, hypervalent iodine compounds are attractive reagents, due to their high reactivity towards both nucleophiles and radicals.^[14] In particular, ethynylbenziodoxolones (EBX) reagents have been increasingly investigated for alkylation reactions over the last decades.^[15] They were used for the alkylation of radicals generated by traditional or photoredox-based methods,^[16] and of heteroatom nucleophiles, such as thiols.^[17] Yu and co-workers, as well as Xu and co-workers, reported a method for the alkylation of saturated heterocycles using EBX reagents.^[18] This method is metal-free, but requires heating and peroxides as oxidants (Scheme 1, **C**). There is currently no mild photoredox-based methods for the α -alkynylation of cyclic ethers using EBX reagents.

We have recently developed a photochemical methodology, employing a small organic molecule, phenylglyoxylic acid, as the photoinitiator which is cheap and commercially available.^[19] This protocol works with cheap household lamps as the irradiation source. Herein, we report a simple and efficient method for the mild alkylation of cyclic ethers, using phenylglyoxylic acid as the photochemical promoter and EBX reagents, as the alkylation source, under photochemical conditions (Scheme 1, **D**, path a). We further demonstrate that a different, unprecedented pathway is followed in the case of thioethers: deconstructive oxidative ring cleavage to form acyclic bifunctionalized building blocks bearing an aldehyde and a thioalkyne (Scheme 1, **D**, path b). In contrast to previously C-S bond cleavage methodologies,^[6] a clean reaction was observed without side products resulting from sulfur oxidation. The reaction was successful both for cyclic and benzylic thioethers.

Results and Discussion

We first established the optimum reaction conditions for the photochemical alkylation of THF, starting from the identification of the appropriate photocatalyst for the reaction between THF (**2a**) and TIPS-EBX (**1a**) (Scheme 2). A variety of known photocatalysts or photoinitiators (**4a-j**) led to moderate yields. Phenylglyoxylic acid (**4a**) proved to be an ideal promoter, providing **3a** in high yield. Interestingly, benzophenone, which has been successful with sulfone reagents, gave only a very low yield with TIPS-EBX (**1a**). Attempts to decrease the amount of THF and use other solvents (organic or water) led to decreased

Scheme 2. Catalyst screening for the photochemical synthesis of **3a**.

yields.^[20] It has to be highlighted that no special precautions for excluding air or moisture were undertaken.

The optimized reaction conditions were subsequently applied to a variety of hypervalent iodine reagents (Scheme 3). Silyl-substituted EBX reagents (**1a-c**) reacted well, leading to the desired products in good to high yields (**3a-c**). Applying the developed photocatalytic protocol in a gram scale experiment with **1a** as the starting material, the desired product **3a** was isolated in a similar yield, proving the efficiency of the protocol. Aryl-substituted EBX reagents, containing either electron-donating groups or electron-withdrawing groups (**1d-k**) reacted smoothly leading to **3d-k** in moderate to high yields. In addition, alkyl-substituted EBX reagents (**1l** and **1m**) were tested, leading to the formation of **3l** and **3m** in moderate to good yields.

Scheme 3. Substrate scope for the photochemical alkylation of THF with different EBX reagents.

Scheme 4. Substrate scope of ethers in the photochemical reaction with EBX reagents.

good yields (**3t** and **3u**). When 2-methyl tetrahydrofuran reacted with **1a**, two regioisomers were observed (**3t**). In the case of **3u**, a single regioisomer was formed. The reaction between 1,3-dioxolone and Ph-EBX (**1d**) under thermal radical conditions provides mainly the regioisomer where the alkynyl moiety is placed on the carbon atom between the two oxygens.^[18a] Interestingly, in our case, this reaction led to an inversed regioselectivity (**3w**). A similar outcome was also observed in the case of **3v**. Unfortunately, when non-cyclic ethers were employed, they did not afford the desired products.

In order to extend our methodology, we envisaged the application of other heterocycles. The alkylation of *N*-heterocycles was not successful. In contrast, when the reaction was run with tetrahydrothiophene (THT, **2f**), an alkylation product was obtained in 67% (Scheme 5). However, to our surprise this was not the expected product **6a**, but the oxidative deconstructive thio-alkynylated aldehyde **5a**. To the best of our knowledge, such a product has never been observed, as only α -alkynylation in moderate yield has been reported so far with other methods.^[8,9b,18b] Except from small amounts of disulfide, no side products from sulfur oxidation were obtained, in contrast to previously reported methods.^[6] When considering that the reaction gave two highly useful functionalities (an aldehyde and a thioalkyne, which is easily converted into thioesters or participate to a broad range of cycloadditions),^[17,21] we decided

Scheme 5. Unexpected formation of aldehyde **5a** obtained when attempting α -alkynylation of tetrahydrothiophene (**2f**).

Scheme 6. Catalyst screening for the photochemical synthesis of **5a**.

to optimize this process. We first established the optimum reaction conditions for the photochemical alkylation of tetrahydrothiophene (THT, **2f**) (Scheme 6). Attempts to optimize the reaction conditions and decrease the amount of THT, using other organic solvents, led to decreased yields.^[20] However, when H₂O was employed as the co-solvent, **5a** was formed in higher yield.^[20] It should be highlighted that, when the reaction was tested in the presence of molecular sieves, no product was formed. In consequence, H₂O is necessary for the reaction to proceed. The next step was the identification of the appropriate photocatalyst for the reaction between THT (**2f**) and TIPS-EBX (**1a**) (Scheme 6). A variety of known photocatalysts or photoinitiators (**4a-k**) led to moderate yields. Phenylglyoxylic acid (**4a**) proved to be an ideal promoter, providing **5a** in high yield. Attempts to further lower the amount of **2f** led to diminished yields.

The optimized reaction conditions were subsequently applied to a variety of hypervalent iodine reagents (Scheme 7). Silyl-substituted EBX reagents reacted well, leading to the desired products in good to high yields (**5a-c**). Alkyl-substituted EBX reagents were tested, leading to the formation of **5d-g** in

Scheme 7. Substrate scope for the photochemical alkylation of THT with different EBX reagents.

Scheme 8. Photochemical alkynylation of tetrahydrothiopyran with different EBX reagents.

moderate to good yields. In addition, aryl-substituted EBX reagents, containing either electron-donating groups, or electron-withdrawing groups reacted smoothly leading to **5h-m** in moderate to good yields. Moving from five-membered to six-membered, tetrahydrothiopyran (**2g**), led to **5n** and **5o** in good yields (Scheme 8).

Next, we turned our attention to non-cyclic thioethers (Scheme 9). A range of benzyl sulfides were synthesized and tested in the reaction conditions, as the benzylic position was expected to be easier to oxidize as recently reported by Masson and co-workers.^[22] In this case, the amount of sulfide could be reduced to 5 equiv. with no loss in reactivity, while using CH_2Cl_2 as the solvent.^[20] Unfortunately, further reduction of the amount of the thioether led to diminished yields. Both aromatic and aliphatic sulfides reacted well, leading to the alkynylated products (**5p-5u**) in good to high yields. Protected carbohydrates and amino acids also reacted smoothly, albeit in lower yields (**5v, 5w**).

In order to understand the reaction mechanism, experiments were performed, starting with fluorescence quenching studies (Figure 1).^[20] After irradiation of phenylglyoxylic acid (1 mM in MeCN) at 360 nm, its fluorescence was measured at 402 nm. Increasing the added amount of THF, a slight decrease in the fluorescence was observed (Figure 1, **A**). Increasing the added amount of **1a**, a small decrease in the fluorescence was also observed (Figure 1, **B**).

Scheme 9. Substrate scope of benzylic thioethers in the photochemical reaction with TIPS-EBX reagent.

Figure 1. **A.** Stern-Volmer plot of the fluorescence quenching of PhCOCO₂H (1 mM in MeCN) with THF. **B.** Stern-Volmer plot of the fluorescence quenching of PhCOCO₂H (1 mM in MeCN) with **1a**. **C.** Stern-Volmer plot of the fluorescence quenching of PhCOCO₂H (1 mM in MeCN) with THT.

Increasing the added amount of THT, no decrease in the fluorescence was observed (Figure 1, **C**). In all cases, quenching is minimal, thus excited PhCOCO₂H is most probably not directly responsible for the initiation of the process.

Next UV-Vis experiments were performed. The association of an electron-rich molecule with an electron-acceptor can lead to the formation of an aggregate, called electron donor-acceptor (EDA) complex. EDA complexes are known in literature since the 1950s,^[23,24] and recently Melchiorre and others have identified them as active species in photochemical reactions.^[25] Upon mixing phenylglyoxylic acid and **1a** in THF or THT, no significant increase in the UV absorbance was observed.^[20]

The photodecomposition of PhCOCO₂H in THF and the reaction mixture in THF were monitored by ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR.^[20] As in previous studies,^[19g] the photodecomposition of PhCOCO₂H seems to be crucial for the initiation of the reaction. In THF, PhCOCO₂H photodecomposes to benzaldehyde (via benzoyl radical, see Scheme 11, **III**) and diphenyltartaric acid (via dimerization of radical **I**, Scheme 11).^[20] In THT, similar photodecomposition takes place.^[20] Similar photodecompositions were also observed in both reaction mixtures.^[20]

Further mechanistic studies supported the radical nature of the reaction, since the addition of TEMPO or BHT prevents the reaction from occurring for both THF (**2a**) and THT (**2f**) (Scheme 10, **A** and **B**). When **1a** reacted with TEMPO in the presence of

Scheme 10. Control experiments and quantum yield determination.

performed glyoxylic acid in THF (**2a**) or THT (**2f**), an adduct was formed and was identified by GC-MS.^[20] In the case of THF (**2a**), this strongly supports the existence of a carbon radical α to the oxygen, whereas for THT (**2f**), the same radical is plausible, but formation of an adduct on sulfur by reaction of a radical cation can also be considered. In the absence of light, the reactions did not proceed, even upon heating the reaction mixture at 60 °C, suggesting that a photochemical and not a thermal pathway is operative. In the presence of a cut-off filter (below 400 nm, sodium nitrite), the products were also not formed (0%). Without catalyst, no **3a** was formed and **5a** was obtained in very low yield (<15%). As oxygen has been reported as an efficient oxidant for the cleavage of C-S bonds under light irradiation conditions,^[6a] we then performed the reaction with **2f** under an argon atmosphere. **5a** was obtained in low yield (12%), suggesting that oxygen plays a role in the reaction mechanism. Using potassium ferrioxalate as the actinometer,^[26,27] we calculated the quantum yield of the reactions. Values of Φ of 1.93 and 3.41 were obtained respectively for the two reactions, indicating a chain propagation mechanism.^[20]

Based on the above experiments, a speculative reaction mechanism is proposed in Scheme 11. Upon irradiation, PhCOCO_2H (**4a**) is excited to the singlet state **4a**^{1*}, followed by intersystem crossing, leading to triplet phenylglyoxylic acid (**4a**^{3*}).^[19g,28] In THF, PhCOCO_2H photodecomposes to benzaldehyde or to diphenyltartaric acid via the homolytic cleavage of triplet excited PhCOCO_2H from benzoyl radical **III** and radical **I**, respectively.^[20] In other solvents (*i*PrOH), the homolytic cleavage leads only to radical **I**,^[25] or only to benzoyl radical **III**.^[19g,28]

With THF present, one of the radicals formed by the irradiation of PhCOCO₂H (**I** or **III**) abstracts a hydrogen (Hydrogen Atom Transfer, HAT), leading to the first α-radical of THF (**IV**) (trapped by TEMPO, observed by GC-MS^[20]), which initiates the process. Addition of radical **IV** to **1a** leads to the desired product **3a** and radical intermediate **VI**.^[18a] Although this step has been proposed to occur stepwise in the past, a concerted mechanism via transition state **V** has been recently demonstrated to be feasible based on computation.^[16d] Intermediate **VI** is responsible for the slow propagation of the reaction with THF via HAT.

The divergent reactivity observed for THT (**2f**) is puzzling. In principle, radical **IV** could react with oxygen present in the reaction mixture (see experiment under argon, Scheme 10) to give peroxide **VIII** after HAT. Peroxide **VIII** would then fragment

Scheme 11. Proposed reaction mechanism.

to thiol radical **IX** and a hydroxy radical.^[6a] Fast reaction of **IX** with thiol **1a** would then lead to **VI**. The presence of radical **IX** is supported by the isolation of disulfide **7** as side product from the reaction mixture. However, this mechanism does not rationalize the essential role of water in the reaction. An explanation could be that fragmentation of **VIII** is not productive, and elimination of peroxide proceeds instead to give sulfonium **X**, which could be trapped by water to give thio-hemiacetal **XI**, in equilibrium with free thiol **XII**. In the presence of numerous radical intermediates, HAT from **XII** to give **IX** is expected to be fast. Intermediates **VIII**, **X** and **7** were detected by HRMS, while intermediates **I**, **III**, **IV**, **IX** and **XII** were trapped by TEMPO and detected by HRMS.^[20] Although this mechanism hypothesis is plausible, the complete divergence of reactivity of α -heteroatom radical **IV** observed for oxygen and sulfur cannot be easily rationalized. Indeed, at least small amounts of α -alkynylation of **2f** would have been expected based on literature precedence.^[8,9b,18b] Therefore, other pathways to generate intermediate **X** should be considered. In fact, oxidation of the sulfur atom of tetrahydrothiophene by single electron transfer (SET) to give radical cation **XIII** is known to be easy, as it has been observed for example in the presence of copper (II) as the oxidant.^[29] This oxidation is also facilitated by the stabilization of **XIII** as its dimer **XIV**.^[30] Reaction of **XIII** with TEMPO may also lead to the adduct observed by mass spectrometry. Radical **VI** is expected to be competent oxidant for this step. **XIII** would then be further oxidized to **X** via a second oxidation step coupled with a loss of proton. This could occur for example by recombination with triplet oxygen, followed by HAT and loss of hydrogen peroxide. Alternatively, sensitization of triplet oxygen by **4a**^{3*} could generate singlet oxygen, which reacts very fast with thiophene to give intermediate **XV**.^[6a] Elimination of peroxide would then lead to **X**. However, **4a** is known to be a mediocre sensitizer for singlet oxygen generation,^[19] and side-products resulting from sulfur overoxidation would have been expected in the presence of singlet oxygen.^[6a] Finally, a mechanism involving alkynylation of sulfur, followed by attack of water and oxidation appears less probable, as side product **7** would not have been observed in

this case, and the alkynylation process is known to require a copper catalyst and high temperature to proceed.^[17c]

Conclusion

In conclusion, we have developed an efficient method for the direct C-H alkynylation of ethers and the deconstructive alkynylation of thioethers using hypervalent iodine-alkynes. The first efficient photocatalytic alkynylation of thioethers using EBX reagents was developed. The deconstructive alkynylation observed for thioethers is unprecedented and proceeded in high yield with only very few sulfur oxidation side products. Mechanistic studies shed first light in this puzzling divergence of reactivity, although further investigations will be needed to fully understand the deconstructive alkynylation process of thioethers.

Experimental Section

General Procedure for the Photochemical Reaction of Substituted Tetrahydrofurans with EthynylBenziodoxolone (EBX) Reagents: In a glass vial with a screw cap containing ethynylbenziodoxolone (EBX) reagent (0.50 mmol) in heterocycle (1 mL), phenylglyoxylic acid (15 mg, 0.10 mmol) was added. The vial was sealed with a screw cap and left stirring under household bulb irradiation (2 x 85W household lamps) for 18 h. The desired product was isolated after purification by column chromatography.

General Procedure for the Photochemical Reaction of Tetrahydrothiophene and Tetrahydro-2H-thiopyran with EthynylBenziodoxolone (EBX) Reagents: In a glass vial with a screw cap containing ethynylbenziodoxolone (EBX) reagent (0.50 mmol) in heterocycle (0.5 mL) and water (0.5 mL), phenylglyoxylic acid (15 mg, 0.10 mmol) was added. The vial was sealed with a screw cap and left stirring under household bulb irradiation (2 x 85W household lamps) for 18 h. The desired product was isolated after purification by column chromatography.

General Procedure for the Photochemical Reaction of Benzyl Sulfides with TIPS-EBX: In a glass vial with a screw cap containing TIPS-EBX (214 mg, 0.50 mmol) in dichloromethane (0.5 mL) and water (0.5 mL), phenylglyoxylic acid (15 mg, 0.10 mmol) and benzyl sulfide (5.00 equiv.) were added. The vial was sealed with a screw cap and left stirring under household bulb irradiation (2 x 85W household lamps) for 18 h. The desired product was isolated after purification by column chromatography.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the Hellenic Foundation for Research and Innovation (HFRI) for financial support through a grant, which is financed by 1st Call for H.F.R.I. Research Projects to Support Faculty Members & Researchers and the procurement of high-cost research equipment grant (grant number 655). The authors would like to thank Prof. V. Constantinou from the Agricultural University of Athens for access to the fluorescence spectrometer. E.V. would like to thank the State Scholarships Foundation (IKY) for financial support through a PhD fellowship. M. G. K. and I. T. would like to thank State Scholarships Foundation (IKY) for financial support through a post-doctoral research scholarship funded by

the "Supporting Post-Doctoral Researchers-Call B" (MIS 5033021), action of the Operational Programme "Human Resources Development, Education and Lifelong Learning" and co-funded by the European Social Fund (ESF) and Greek National Resources. Also, COST Action C-H Activation in Organic Synthesis (CHAOS) CA15106 is acknowledged for helpful discussions and for financing the Short-Term Scientific Mission of E.V. to Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne in Switzerland. M. G. thanks the NCCR chemical biology of the Swiss National Science Foundation for financial support.

Keywords: Photochemistry • Hydrogen Atom Transfer • C-H activation • EBX-reagents • Metal-free processes

- [1] For selected reviews, see: a) J. Yamaguchi, A. D. Yamaguchi, K. Itami, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 8960-9009; b) X.-X. Guo, D.-W. Gu, Z. Wu, W. Zhang, *Chem. Rev.* **2015**, *115*, 1622-1651; c) Y. Wei, P. Hu, M. Zhang, W. Su, *Chem. Rev.* **2017**, *117*, 8864-8907; d) Y. Qin, L. Zhu, S. Luo, *Chem. Rev.* **2017**, *117*, 9433-9520.
- [2] a) I. Vilotijevic, T. F. Jamison, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 5250-5281; b) J. Cornil, L. Gonnard, C. Bensoussan, A. Serra-Muns, C. Gnam, C. Commandeur, M. Commandeur, S. Reymond, A. Guérinot, J. Cossy, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2015**, *48*, 761-773; c) C. M. Marson, in *Heterocyclic Chemistry in the 21st Century: A Tribute to Alan Katritzky*, Vol. 121 (Eds.: E. F. V. Scriven, C. A. Ramsden), **2017**, pp. 13-33.
- [3] D. Antermite, J. A. Bull, *Synthesis* **2019**, *51*, 3171-3204.
- [4] Selected examples: a) J. Li, J. Zhang, H. Tan, D. Z. Wang, *Org. Lett.* **2015**, *17*, 2522-2525; b) S. Kamijo, G. Takao, K. Kamijo, M. Hirota, K. Tao, T. Murafuji, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 9695-9699; c) S. Kamijo, K. Kamijo, K. Maruoka, T. Murafuji, *Org. Lett.* **2016**, *18*, 6516-6519; d) H.-P. Deng, X.-Z. Fan, Z.-H. Chen, Q.-H. Xu, J. Wu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 13579-13584; e) X.-Z. Fan, J.-W. Rong, H.-L. Wu, Q. Zhou, H.-P. Deng, J. D. Tan, C.-W. Xue, L.-Z. Wu, H.-R. Tao, J. Wu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 8514-8518; f) B. Abadie, D. Jardel, G. Pozzi, P. Toullec, J.-M. Vincent, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2019**, *25*, 16120-16127.
- [5] a) T. J. Osberger, D. C. Rogness, J. T. Kohrt, A. F. Stepan, M. C. White, *Nature* **2016**, *537*, 214-219; b) J. B. Roque, Y. Kuroda, L. T. Göttemann, R. Sarpong, *Nature* **2018**, *564*, 244-248; c) R.-H. Liu, Y.-H. He, W. Yu, B. Zhou, B. Han, *Org. Lett.* **2019**, *21*, 4590-4594.
- [6] a) T. Takata, K. Ishibashi, W. Ando, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1985**, *26*, 4609-4612; b) E. Baciocchi, E. Fasella, O. Lanzalunga, M. Mattioli, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **1993**, *32*, 1071-1073; c) A. Touthkine, D. Aebisher, E. L. Clennan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 4966-4973.
- [7] a) M. C. Willis, *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 725-748; b) R. Chinchilla, C. Najera, *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114*, 1783-1826.
- [8] J. Gong, P. L. Fuchs, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 4486-4487.
- [9] For selected examples, see: a) Y. Yang, H. Huang, X. Zhang, W. Zeng, Y. Liang, *Synthesis* **2013**, *45*, 3137-3146; b) M. Wan, Z. Meng, H. Lou, L. Liu, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 13845-13849; c) J. Zhang, P. Li, L. Wang, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2014**, *12*, 2969-2978; d) Y.-X. Ye, Y.-L. Ou, H. Liang, H.-Y. Zhou, B.-H. Ye, *Catal. Commun.* **2018**, *106*, 96-100; e) Y. Cui, W. Lin, S. Ma, *Chem. Sci.* **2019**, *10*, 1796-1801; f) X. Xie, J. Liu, L. Wang, M. Wang, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2020**, *10*, 1534-1538.
- [10] For selected reviews, see: a) C. K. Prier, D. A. Rankic, D. W. C. MacMillan, *Chem. Rev.* **2013**, *113*, 5322-5363; b) K. L. Scubi, T. R. Blum, T. P. Yoon, *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *116*, 10035-10074; c) M. D. Karkas, J. A. Porco Jr., C. R. J. Stephenson, *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *116*, 9683-9747; d) D. Cambie, C. Bottecchia, N. j. W. Straathof, V. Hessel, T. Noel, *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *116*, 10276-10341; e) M. N. Hopkinson, A. Tlahuext-Aca, F. Glorius, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2016**, *49*, 2261-2272; f) J. Schwarz, B. König, *Green Chem.* **2018**, *20*, 323-361.
- [11] For selected reviews, see: a) M. Fagnoni, D. Dondi, D. Ravelli, A. Albini, *Chem. Rev.* **2007**, *107*, 2725-2756; b) D. Ravelli, S. Protti, M. Fagnoni, *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *116*, 9850-9913; c) M. Silvi, P. Melchiorre, *Nature* **2018**, *554*, 41-49; d) I. K. Sideri, E. Voutyritsa, C. G. Kokotos, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2018**, *16*, 4596-4614; For a selection of recent

- contributions, see: e) R. Brimiouille, T. Bach, *Science* **2013**, *342*, 840-842; f) I. Ghosh, T. Ghosh, J. I. Bardagi, B. König, *Science* **2014**, *346*, 725-728; g) N. A. Romero, K. A. Margrey, N. E. Tay, D. A. Nicewicz, *Science* **2015**, *349*, 1326-1330; h) L. Pitzer, F. Sandfort, F. Strieth-Kalthoff, F. Glorius, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 13652-13655.
- [12] T. Hoshikawa, S. Kamijo, M. Inoue, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2013**, *11*, 164-169.
- [13] S. Paul, J. Guin, *Green Chem.* **2017**, *19*, 2530-2534.
- [14] a) V. V. Zhdankin, *Hypervalent Iodine Chemistry: Preparation, Structure, and Synthetic Applications of Polyvalent Iodine Compounds*, Wiley ed.; **2014**; b) J. Charpentier, N. Früh, A. Togni, *Chem. Rev.* **2015**, *115*, 650-682; c) A. Yoshimura, V. V. Zhdankin, *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *116*, 3328-3435. d) Y. Li, D. P. Hari, M. V. Vita, J. Waser, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 4436-4454; For selected pioneering examples, see: e) R. M. Moriarty, S. C. Gupta, H. Hu, D. R. Berenschot, K. B. White, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1981**, *103*, 686-688; f) R. M. Moriarty, I. Prakash, O. Prakash, W. A. Freeman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1984**, *106*, 6082-6084; g) R. M. Moriarty, B. R. Bailey III, O. Prakash, I. Prakash, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1985**, *107*, 1375-1378; h) I. Papoutsis, S. Spyroudis, A. Varvoglis, *J. Heterocyclic Chem.* **1996**, *33*, 579-581; i) S. Nikas, N. A. Rodios, A. Varvoglis, *J. Heterocyclic Chem.* **1996**, *33*, 997-999; j) A. Varvoglis, *Tetrahedron*, **1997**, *53*, 1179-1255; k) I. Papoutsis, S. Spyroudis, A. Varvoglis, *Tetrahedron*, **1998**, *54*, 1005-1012.
- [15] a) M. Ochiai, Y. Masaki, M. Shiro, *J. Org. Chem.* **1991**, *56*, 5511-5513; b) V. V. Zhdankin, C. J. Kuehl, A. P. Krasutsky, J. T. Bolz, A. J. Simonsen, *J. Org. Chem.* **1996**, *61*, 6547-6551; c) D. P. Hari, S. Nicolai, J. Waser, Alkynylations and Vinylations. *PATAI'S Chemistry of Functional Groups* **2018**; d) D. P. Hari, P. Caramenti, J. Waser, *J. Acc. Chem. Res.* **2018**, *51*, 3212-3225.
- [16] For selected examples see: a) X. Liu, Z. Wang, X. Cheng, C. Li, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 14330-14333; b) Q. Q. Zhou, W. Guo, W. Ding, X. Wu, X. Chen, L. Q. Lu, W. J. Xiao, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 11196-11199; c) F. Le Vaillant, T. Courant, J. Waser, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 11200-11204; d) F. Le Vaillant, M. D. Wodrich, J. Waser, *Chem. Sci.* **2017**, *8*, 1790-1800; e) M. Garreau, F. Le Vaillant, J. Waser, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 8182-8186.
- [17] For selected examples see: a) R. Frei, M. D. Wodrich, D. P. Hari, P. A. Borin, C. Chauvier, J. Waser, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 16563-16573; b) M. D. Wodrich, P. Caramenti, J. Waser, *Org. Lett.* **2016**, *18*, 60-63; c) J. Borrel, G. Pisella, J. Waser, *Org. Lett.* **2020**, *22*, 422-427.
- [18] a) R.-Y. Zhang, L.-Y. Xi, L. Zhang, S. Liang, S.-Y. Chen, X.-Q. Yu, *RSC Adv.* **2014**, *4*, 54349-54353; b) Z.-F. Cheng, Y.-S. Feng, C. Rong, T. Xu, P.-F. Wang, J. Xu, J.-J. Dai, H.-J. Xu, *Green Chem.* **2016**, *18*, 4185-4188.
- [19] For examples with phenylglyoxylic acid, see: a) G. N. Papadopoulos, D. Limnios, C. G. Kokotos, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2014**, *20*, 13811-13814; b) G. N. Papadopoulos, C. G. Kokotos, *J. Org. Chem.* **2016**, *81*, 7023-7028; c) G. N. Papadopoulos, C. G. Kokotos, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2016**, *22*, 6964-6967; d) D. Limnios, C. G. Kokotos, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2017**, *359*, 323-328; e) N. Kaplaneris, A. Bisticha, G. N. Papadopoulos D. Limnios, C. G. Kokotos, *Green Chem.* **2017**, *19*, 4451-4456; f) G.N. Papadopoulos, E. Voutyritsa, N. Kaplaneris, C. G. Kokotos, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2018**, *24*, 1726-1731; g) E. Voutyritsa, C. G. Kokotos, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59*, 1735-1741; For examples with other photocatalysts from our group, see: h) G. S. Koutouligenis, M. G. Kokotou, E. Voutyritsa, D. Limnios, C. G. Kokotos, *Org. Lett.* **2017**, *19*, 1760-1763; i) E. Voutyritsa, I. Triandafillidi, C. G. Kokotos, *ChemCatChem* **2018**, *10*, 2466-2470; j) I. Triandafillidi, M. G. Kokotou, C. G. Kokotos, *Org. Lett.* **2018**, *20*, 36-39; k) N. F. Nikitas, I. Triandafillidi, C. G. Kokotos, *Green Chem.* **2019**, *21*, 669-674; l) N. F. Nikitas, D. I. Tzaras, I. Triandafillidi, C. G. Kokotos, *Green Chem.* **2020**, *22*, 471-477.
- [20] For extensive optimization and mechanistic experiments, see Supporting Information.
- [21] For selected examples, see: a) A. L. Braga, T. L. C. Martins, C. C. Silveira, O. E. D. Rodrigues, *Tetrahedron* **2001**, *57*, 3297-3300; b) S. T. Ding, G. C. Jia, J. W. Sun, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 1877-1880; c) S. Racine, B. Hegedüs, R. Scopelliti, J. Waser, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2016**, *22*, 11997-12001; d) P. Destito, J. R. Couceiro, H. Faustino, F. Lopez, J. L. Mascarenas, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 10766-10770.
- [22] M. Lanzi, J. Merad, D. V. Boyarskaya, G. Maestri, C. Allain, G. Masson, *Org. Lett.* **2018**, *20*, 5247-5250.
- [23] R. S. Mulliken, *J. Phys. Chem.* **1952**, *56*, 801-822.
- [24] I. R. Gould, S. Farid, S. Acc. Chem. Res. **1996**, *29*, 522-528.
- [25] a) E. Arceo, I. D. Jurberg, A. Álvarez-Fernández, P. Melchiorre, *Nature Chem.* **2013**, *5*, 750-756; b) L. Woźniak, J. J. Murphy, P. Melchiorre, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 5678-5681; c) J. J. Murphy, D. Bastida, S. Paria, M. Fagnoni, P. Melchiorre, *Nature* **2016**, *532*, 218-222; d) A. Bahamonde, P. Melchiorre, *P. J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 8019-8030.
- [26] M. A. Cismesia, T. P. Yoon, *Chem. Sci.* **2015**, *6*, 5426-5434.
- [27] a) E. Fernandez, J. M. Figuera, A. Tobar, *J. Photochem.* **1979**, *11*, 69-71; b) W. D. Bowman, J. N. Demas, *J. Phys. Chem.* **1976**, *20*, 2434-2435; c) P. Klán, J. Wirz, *Photochemistry of Organic Compounds: From Concepts to Practice 1st Edition*, Wiley-Blackwell, **2009**, 112.
- [28] a) P. A. Leermakers, G. F. Vesley, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1963**, *85*, 3776-3779; b) T. Fujisawa, B. M. Monroe, G. S. Hammond, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1970**, *92*, 542-544; c) T. Fujisawa, G. S. Hammond, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1972**, *94*, 4175-4177; d) A. Defoin, R. Defoin-Straatmann, K. Hildenbrand, E. Bittersmann, D. Kreft, H. J. Kuhn, *J. Photochem.* **1986**, *33*, 237-255.
- [29] N. Yanagihara, S. Tanikawa, N. Suzuki, M. Rivera, T. Ogura, *Main Group Chemistry* **1997**, *2*, 161-163.
- [30] W. K. Musker, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1980**, *13*, 200-206.

Entry for the Table of Contents

Photochemical C-H and Deconstructive C-S Alkynylation of Heterocycles Utilizing EBX Reagents

Errika Voutyritsa, Marion Garreau,
Maroula G. Kokotou, Ierasia
Triandafillidi, Jérôme Waser* and
Christoforos G. Kokotos*

Page No. – Page No.

Photochemical Functionalization of
Heterocycles with EBX Reagents; C-H
Alkynylation versus Deconstructive
Ring Cleavage

Photochemistry: A mild, metal-free and easy-to-execute protocol for the direct photochemical C-H alkynylation of cyclic ethers and the deconstructive alkynylation of thioethers utilizing EBX reagents was developed with phenylglyoxylic acid as the photoinitiator.

Chemistry—A European Journal

Supporting Information

Photochemical Functionalization of Heterocycles with EBX Reagents: C—H Alkynylation versus Deconstructive Ring Cleavage**

Errika Voutyritsa,^[a, b] Marion Garreau,^[b] Maroula G. Kokotou,^[a] Ierasia Triandafillidi,^[a]
Jérôme Waser,^{*[b]} and Christoforos G. Kokotos^{*[a]}

	Page
General Remarks	S2
Optimization of the Reaction Conditions for the Photochemical Reaction of 1-[(Tri<i>iso</i>-propylsilyl)ethynyl]-1,2-benziodoxol-3(1<i>H</i>)-one (TIPS-EBX) with Tetrahydrofuran	S3
Optimization of the Reaction Conditions for the Photochemical Reaction of 1-[(Tri<i>iso</i>-propylsilyl)ethynyl]-1,2-benziodoxol-3(1<i>H</i>)-one (TIPS-EBX) with Tetrahydrothiophene	S5
Synthesis of Starting Materials	S7
General Procedure for the Photochemical Reaction of Substituted Tetrahydrofurans with EthynylBenziodoXolone (EBX) Reagents	S24
General Procedure for the Photochemical Reaction of Tetrahydrothiophene and Tetrahydro-2<i>H</i>-thiopyran with EthynylBenziodoXolone (EBX) Reagents	S35
General Procedure for the Photochemical Reaction of Benzyl Sulfides with TIPS-EBX	S43
Gram Scale Photochemical Reaction of Tetrahydrofuran with EthynylBenziodoXolone (EBX) Reagent	S47
Determination of the Quantum Yield	S48
Photochemical reaction of tetrahydrofuran with TIPS-EBX (Cut-off Filter below 400 nm)	S50
Photochemical reaction of tetrahydrothiophene with TIPS-EBX (Cut-off Filter below 400 nm)	S51
Investigations with UV-Vis Absorption Spectra	S52
Fluorescence Quenching Studies	S55
¹³C-NMR Mechanistic Experiments	S58
¹H-NMR Mechanistic Experiments	S63
Photochemical Reaction between TIPS-EBX, THF and TEMPO	S67
Photochemical Reaction between TIPS-EBX, THT and TEMPO	S68
High Resolution Mass Spectrometry Studies	S69
References	S90
NMR Spectra	S92

General Remarks

Chromatographic purification of products was accomplished using forced-flow chromatography on Merck[®] Kieselgel 60 F₂₅₄ 230-400 mesh. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on aluminum backed silica plates (0.2 mm, 60 F₂₅₄). Visualization of the developed chromatogram was performed by fluorescence quenching using phosphomolybdic acid, anisaldehyde or potassium permanganate stains. Mass spectra (ESI) were recorded on a Finnigan[®] Surveyor MSQ LC-MS spectrometer. HRMS spectra were recorded on Bruker[®] Maxis Impact QTOF spectrometer. ¹H, ¹⁹F and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on Varian[®] Mercury (200 MHz, 188 MHz and 50 MHz) and on a Bruker DPX-400 (400 MHz, 376 MHz and 100 MHz) spectrometer in CDCl₃ and are internally referenced to residual solvent signals. Data for ¹H NMR are reported as follows: chemical shift (δ ppm), integration, multiplicity (s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, m = multiplet, br s = broad signal), coupling constant and assignment. Data for ¹³C NMR are reported in terms of chemical shift (δ ppm). ¹⁹F NMR spectra are internally referenced to fluoroform (376 MHz) or trifluoroacetic acid (188 MHz). Mass spectra and conversions of the reactions were recorded on a Shimadzu[®] GCMS-QP2010 Plus Gas Chromatograph Mass Spectrometer utilizing a MEGA[®] column (MEGA-5, F.T.: 0.25 μ m, I.D.: 0.25mm, L: 30m, T_{max}: 350 °C, Column ID# 11475). A Varian[®] Cary 50 UV-Vis spectrophotometer was used as the light source for the quantum yield measurements and the UV-Vis data. A Scinco[®] FS-2 fluorescence spectrometer was used for the fluorescence studies. All reactions were performed with commercially available solvents or compounds, without any previous manipulation or purification (e.g. distillation) and under no special conditions, unless otherwise stated. No precaution for oxygen or moisture was taken. ***CAUTION:*** *Although we did not observe any problems in our reactions, extra caution should be given, since ether peroxides may arise either from the starting material or the reaction mixtures, which could be explosive.*

**Optimization of the Reaction Conditions for the Photochemical
Reaction of 1-[(Triisopropylsilyl)ethynyl]-1,2-benziodoxol-3(1*H*)-one
(TIPS-EBX) with Tetrahydrofuran**

Entry	Solvent	Catalyst Loading (mol%)	Yield (%) ^a
1	THF	30	68
2	THF	20	71
3	THF/Pet. Eth. (1:1)	20	13
4	THF/AcOEt (1:1)	20	8
5	THF/CH ₂ Cl ₂ (1:1)	20	5
6	THF/MeCN (1:1)	20	9
7	THF/toluene (1:1)	20	6
8	THF/CHCl ₃ (1:1)	20	7
9	THF/H ₂ O (1:1)	20	12
10	THF	10	traces
11	THF	0	0
12 ^b	THF	20	6
13 ^c	THF	20	0
14 ^d	THF	20	0
15 ^e	THF	20	0

[a] Isolated yield. The reaction was performed with TIPS-EBX **1a** (214 mg, 0.50 mmol), phenylglyoxylic acid **4a** (0-30 mol%, 0-0.15 mol) and THF (0.5-1 mL), under household bulb irradiation for 18 h. [b] The reaction was performed in the dark. [c] The reaction mixture was heated at 60 °C for 18 h, under dark conditions. [d] 1 equiv. BHT was added. [e] 1 equiv. TEMPO was added.

Entry	Catalyst	Catalyst loading (%)	Yield (%) ^a
1	 4a Phenylglyoxylic acid	20	71
2	 4b Trifluoromethylacetophenone	20	35
3	 4c Benzophenone	20	17
4	 4d Methoxy benzoin	20	58
5	 4e 2,2-Dimethoxy-2-phenylacetophenone	20	50
6	 4f Benzoin	20	51
7	Ru(bpy) ₃ Cl ₂ •6H ₂ O 4g	1	0
8	Ir(ppy) ₃ 4h	1	0
9	Eosin Y 4i	20	0

[a] Isolated yield. The reaction was performed with TIPS-EBX **1a** (214 mg, 0.50 mmol), catalyst (1-20 mol%, 0.005-0.1 mol) and THF (1 mL), under household bulb irradiation for 18 h.

**Optimization of the Reaction Conditions for the Photochemical
Reaction of 1-[(Triisopropylsilyl)ethynyl]-1,2-benziodoxol-3(1*H*)-one
(TIPS-EBX) with Tetrahydrothiophene**

Entry	Solvent	Catalyst Loading (mol%)	Yield (%) ^a
1	THT	20	67
2	THT	50	81
3	THT/Pet. Eth. (1:1)	20	10
4	THT/AcOEt (1:1)	20	5
5	THT/CH ₂ Cl ₂ (1:1)	20	6
6	THT/MeCN (1:1)	20	11
7	THT/toluene (1:1)	20	8
8	THT/CHCl ₃ (1:1)	20	5
9	THT/H₂O (1:1)	20	81
10	THT	0	15
11 ^b	THT/H ₂ O (1:1)	20	0
12 ^c	THT/H ₂ O (1:1)	20	0
13 ^d	THT/H ₂ O (1:1)	20	0
14 ^e	THT/H ₂ O (1:1)	20	0
15 ^f	THT/H ₂ O (1:1)	20	12
16 ^g	THT	20	0

[a] Isolated yield. The reaction was performed with EBX **1a** (214 mg, 0.50 mmol), phenylglyoxylic acid **4a** (0-30 mol%, 0-0.15 mol) and THT **2f** (0.5-1 mL), under household bulb irradiation for 18 h. [b] The reaction was performed in the dark. [c] The reaction mixture was heated at 60 °C for 18 h, under dark conditions. [d] 1 equiv. BHT was added. [e] 1 equiv. TEMPO was added. [f] The reaction was performed under Argon atmosphere. [g] Molecular sieves were added.

Entry	Catalyst	Catalyst loading (%)	Yield (%) ^a
1	 4a Phenylglyoxylic acid	20	81
2	 4c Benzophenone	20	59
3	 4d Methoxy benzoin	20	51
4	 4e 2,2-Dimethoxy-2-phenylacetophenone	20	67
5	 4f Benzoin	20	0
6	Eosin Y 4i	20	36
7	 4j Thioxanthone	20	69
8	 4k Anthraquinone	20	0
9	Ru(bpy) ₃ Cl ₂ •6H ₂ O 4g	1	0
10	Ir(ppy) ₃ 4h	1	0

[a] Isolated yield. The reaction was performed with EBX **1a** (214 mg, 0.50 mmol), catalyst (1-20 mol%, 0.005-0.1 mol) and THT/H₂O (1 mL, 1:1), under household bulb irradiation for 18 h.

Synthesis of Starting Materials

1-Hydroxy-1,2-benziodoxol-3-(1*H*)-one¹

NaIO₄ (17.68 g, 83.00 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) and 2-iodobenzoic acid (20.50 g, 83.00 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) were suspended in 30% (v:v) aq. AcOH (48 mL). The reaction mixture was vigorously stirred and refluxed for 4 h. The reaction mixture was then diluted with cold water (109 mL) and allowed to cool to room temperature, protecting it from light. After 1 h, the crude product was collected by filtration, washed on the filter with ice water (3 x 20 mL) and acetone (3 x 20 mL), and air-dried in the dark to give the pure product (19.30 g, 73.00 mmol, 88%) as a colorless solid. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 8.02 (1H, dd, *J* = 7.5 and 1.4 Hz, ArH), 7.99-7.94 (1H, m, ArH), 7.85 (1H, d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, ArH), 7.71 (1H, t, *J* = 7.5, Hz, ArH); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 168.3, 135.4, 131.3, 131.0, 129.8, 126.0, 120.2; MS (ESI) *m/z* (%) 265 [M+H, 75%]⁺.

tert-Butyldiphenylsilyl trimethylsilylacetylene¹

n-Butyllithium (2.5 M in hexanes, 0.5 mL, 5.35 mmol, 0.98 equiv.) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of ethynyltrimethylsilane (0.76 mL, 5.46 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) in THF (7 mL) at -78 °C. The reaction mixture was warmed to 0 °C and stirred for 5 min. The reaction mixture was cooled back to -78 °C and *tert*-butylchlorodiphenylsilane (1.4 mL, 5.46 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred overnight. A saturated aqueous solution of ammonium

chloride (7 mL) was added, and the reaction mixture was extracted with diethyl ether (2 x 12 mL). The organic layer was washed with water (3 x 20 mL) and brine (3 x 20 mL), then dried over MgSO_4 , filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to obtain a colorless liquid, which was further purified by column chromatography (pentane) to yield a colorless liquid (268 mg, 4.37 mmol, 80%). $^1\text{H NMR}$ (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ : 7.86-7.74 (4H, m, ArH), 7.43-7.31 (6H, m, ArH), 1.07 (9H, s, *t*Bu), 0.26 (9H, s, TMS); $^{13}\text{C NMR}$ (100 MHz, CDCl_3) δ : 135.6, 133.2, 129.4, 127.8, 119.0, 108.6, 27.0, 18.4, 0.1; **MS (ESI)** m/z (%) 337 [$\text{M}+\text{H}$, 70%] $^+$.

1-[(*tert*-Butyldiphenylsilyl)ethynyl]-1,2-benziodoxol-3(1*H*)-one (1b) 1

Trimethylsilyltriflate (1.58 mL, 8.70 mmol, 1.10 equiv.) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of 2-iodosylbenzoic acid (2.07 g, 7.90 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) in acetonitrile (30 mL). *tert*-Butyldiphenyl((trimethylsilyl)ethynyl)silane (2.95 g, 3.70 mmol, 1.10 equiv.) was added dropwise, followed, after 15 min, by the addition of pyridine (710 μL , 3.70 mmol, 1.10 equiv.). The reaction mixture was stirred 10 min. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the yellow crude oil was dissolved in dichloromethane (20 mL). The organic layer was washed with HCl (1 M, 15 mL) and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 x 15 mL). The organic layers were combined, washed with a saturated solution of NaHCO_3 (15 mL), dried over MgSO_4 , filtered and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting oil was stirred in hexane (20 mL) and ether (20 mL) and then reduced under vacuum to afford a colorless solid. Recrystallization from acetonitrile (20 mL) afforded a colorless solid (484 mg, 8.26 mmol, 95%). $^1\text{H NMR}$ (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ : 8.41 (1H, d, $J = 7.4$ Hz, ArH), 8.25 (1H, d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, ArH), 7.88 (4H, d, $J = 6.6$ Hz, ArH), 7.65 (1H, t, $J = 7.8$ Hz, ArH), 7.55-7.40 (7H, m, ArH), 1.17 (9H, s, *t*Bu); $^{13}\text{C NMR}$ (100 MHz, CDCl_3) δ : 166.5, 135.6, 134.9, 132.6, 131.7, 131.3, 130.3, 128.2, 126.2, 116.0, 112.6, 68.9, 27.0, 18.8; **MS (ESI)** m/z (%) 511 [$\text{M}+\text{H}$, 74%] $^+$.

1-[Phenylethynyl]-1,2-benziodoxol-3(1*H*)-one (Ph-EBX) (1c)¹

A three-necked flask was charged with 2-iodobenzoic acid (10.00 g, 40.30 mmol), 4-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate (7.65 g, 40.30 mmol), dichloroethane (60 mL) and trifluoroethanol (60 mL) and stirring was started. 3-Chloroperbenzoic acid (9.88 g, 44.00 mmol, 1.10 equiv.) was added in portions over 10 min, through a funnel. After the addition of *m*CPBA, the flask was placed in an oil bath at 55 °C and stirred. The reaction mixture turned from a white suspension to a clear yellow color solution during 20 min. After 1.5 h, ethynylbenzene (10.20 g, 12.56 mL, 56.00 mmol) was added via a syringe and stirring was continued for the next 24 hours. After cooling at room temperature, the yellow color solution was transferred to a flask and the reaction flask was rinsed with dichloromethane (20 mL). The reaction mixture was concentrated via rotary evaporation to afford a pale yellow solid. Dichloromethane (200 mL) was added to the pale yellow solid, followed by a saturated aq. solution of NaHCO₃ (200 mL), which was added in a 10 min-period. The biphasic mixture was stirred for 1 h. The reaction mixture was transferred to a separatory funnel and was rinsed with dichloromethane (20 mL). The two layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with additional portions of dichloromethane (2 x 50 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄, filtered into a flask and concentrated via rotary evaporation to afford a pale yellow solid. The crude product was purified by recrystallization using acetonitrile (24 mL) to afford a white solid (4.91 g, 14.11 mmol, 35%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 8.44-8.39 (1H, m, ArH), 8.27-8.22 (1H, m, ArH), 7.79-7.74 (2H, m, ArH), 7.63-7.56 (2H, m, ArH), 7.51-7.41 (3H, m, ArH); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 166.6, 134.9, 132.9, 132.5, 131.6, 131.3, 130.8, 128.8, 126.2, 120.5, 116.1, 106.7, 50.3; MS (ESI) *m/z* (%) 349 [M+H, 75%]⁺.

(4-Methylphenylethynyl)trimethylsilane²

1-Iodo-4-methylbenzene (2.18 g, 10.00 mmol 1.00 equiv.) was dissolved in Et₃N (15 mL). After three freeze-thraw-pump cycles, PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂ (0.07 g, 0.10 mmol, 0.01 equiv.) and CuI (0.04 g, 0.20 mmol, 0.02 equiv.) were added under N₂. After the addition of trimethylsilylacetylene (2.2 mL, 15.00 mmol, 1.50 equiv.), the green suspension was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. The reaction solvent was evaporated under vacuum, the mixture was dissolved in dichloroethane (60 mL), washed with 5% aq. EDTA solution (60 mL) and water (60 mL). The organic layers were dried over MgSO₄, filtered and evaporated under vacuum. The resulting oil was purified by column chromatography to afford the product (1.78 g, 9.50 mmol, 95%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.35 (2H, d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, ArH), 7.09 (2H, d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, ArH), 2.33 (3H, s, CH₃), 0.23 (9H, s, TMS); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 138.6, 131.9, 128.9, 120.0, 105.3, 93.2, 21.9, 0.0; MS (ESI) *m/z* (%) 189 [M+H, 85%]⁺.

1-[4-Methylphenylethynyl]-1,2-benziodoxol-3(1*H*)-one (1d)³

In a 100 mL round-bottomed flask, 2-iodosylbenzoic acid (1.50 g, 5.68 mmol) was placed in dichloromethane (19 mL), giving a white suspension under a nitrogen atmosphere. The flask was cooled with an ice bath and TMSOTf (1.12 mL, 6.25 mmol, 1.10 equiv.) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was warmed up to room temperature and stirred for 1 h to afford a yellow suspension. Trimethyl(p-tolyethynyl)silane (1.18 g, 6.25 mmol, 1.10 equiv.) was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 6 h. A saturated aq. solution of NaHCO₃ (19 mL) was added and the

reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min. The resulting suspension was filtered. The two layers of the filtrate were separated and the aqueous phase was backextracted with dichloromethane (19 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with a saturated aq. solution of NaHCO₃ (19 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated under vacuum to afford a yellow solid. The solid obtained by filtration was added and the crude product was recrystallized in acetonitrile (28 mL) to afford a pale yellow solid (1.42 g, 3.92 mmol, 69%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 8.45-8.38 (1H, m, ArH), 8.26-8.21 (1H, m, ArH), 7.79-7.73 (2H, m, ArH), 7.49 (2H, d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, ArH), 7.23 (2H, d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, ArH), 2.42 (3H, s, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 166.5, 141.5, 134.8, 132.8, 132.4, 131.5, 129.5, 126.2, 117.4, 116.2, 49.2, 21.7; MS (ESI) *m/z* (%) 363 [M+H, 77%]⁺.

1-((4-Ethylphenyl)ethynyl)-benzo[1,2]iodaoxol-3(1*H*)-one (1e)

In a sealed tube, 2-iodobenzoic acid (1.00 g, 4.00 mmol, 1.00 equiv.), 4-methylbenzenesulfonic acid (0.78 g, 4.00 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) and *m*CPBA (0.99 g, 4.40 mmol, 1.10 equiv.) were suspended in DCE:TFE 1:1 (12 mL) and stirred for 1 h at 55 °C. 1-Ethynyl-4-pentylbenzene (1.08 mL, 5.64 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at 55 °C for 24 h. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was redissolved in dichloromethane (20 mL) and stirred vigorously with a saturated aq. solution of NaHCO₃ (30 mL). After 1 h, the reaction mixture was transferred into a separating funnel and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane (2 x 50 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with a saturated aq. solution of NaHCO₃ (50 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated under vacuum. The resulting solid was boiled in MeCN (20 mL), then purified by column chromatography using pure ethyl acetate to afford a pale yellow solid (189 mg, 0.45 mmol, 10 % yield). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 8.45-8.37 (1H, m, ArH), 8.26-8.20 (1H, m, ArH), 7.78-7.70 (2H, m,

ArH), 7.50 (2H, d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, ArH), 7.23 (2H, d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, ArH), 2.65 (2H, t, $J = 7.9$ Hz, 2 x CHH), 1.68-1.56 (2H, m, 2 x CHH), 1.38-1.24 (4H, m, 4 x CHH), 0.89 (3H, t, $J = 6.9$ Hz, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 166.5, 146.5, 134.8, 132.9, 132.5, 131.6, 131.4, 128.9, 126.2, 117.6, 116.2, 107.2, 49.3, 36.0, 31.4, 30.8, 22.4, 14.0; HRMS exact mass calculated for [M+H]⁺ (C₂₀H₂₀IO₂⁺) requires m/z 419.0430, found m/z 419.0425.

1-[4-Bromophenylethynyl]-1,2-benziodoxol-3(1*H*)-one (1f)¹

In a 100 mL round-bottomed flask, 2-iodosylbenzoic acid (1.00 g, 3.79 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) was placed in dichloromethane (12 mL), giving a white suspension under a nitrogen atmosphere. The flask was cooled with an ice bath and TMSOTf (0.75 mL, 4.17 mmol, 1.10 equiv.) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was warmed up to room temperature and stirred for 1 h to afford a yellow suspension. ((4-Bromophenyl)ethynyl)trimethylsilane (1.05 g, 4.17 mmol, 1.10 equiv.) was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 6 h. A saturated aq. solution of NaHCO₃ (12 mL) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min. The resulting suspension was filtered. The two layers of the filtrate were separated and the aqueous phase was backextracted with dichloromethane (12 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with a saturated aq. solution of NaHCO₃ (12 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated under vacuum to afford a yellow solid. The solid obtained by filtration was added and the crude product was recrystallized in acetonitrile (19 mL) to afford a pale yellow solid (1.60 g, 3.75 mmol, 99%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 8.44-8.40 (1H, m, ArH), 8.23-8.19 (1H, m, ArH), 7.80-7.74 (2H, m, ArH), 7.58 (2H, d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, ArH), 7.45 (2H, d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, ArH); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 166.5, 135.0, 134.1, 132.5, 132.1, 131.8, 131.3, 126.2, 125.5, 119.4, 116.4, 105.2, 52.0; MS (ESI) m/z (%) 427 [M+H, 79%]⁺.

1-[2-Bromophenylethynyl]-1,2-benziodoxol-3(1*H*)-one (1g)¹

In a 100 mL round-bottomed flask, 2-iodosylbenzoic acid (1.00 g, 3.79 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) was placed in dichloromethane (12 mL), giving a white suspension under a nitrogen atmosphere. The flask was cooled with an ice bath and TMSOTf (0.75 mL, 4.17 mmol, 1.10 equiv.) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was warmed up to room temperature and stirred for 1 h to afford a yellow suspension. ((4-Bromophenyl)ethynyl)trimethylsilane (1.05 g, 4.17 mmol, 1.10 equiv.) was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 6 h. A saturated aq. solution of NaHCO₃ (12 mL) was added and the reaction mixture stirred for 30 min. The resulting suspension was filtered. The two layers of the filtrate were separated and the aqueous phase was backextracted with dichloromethane (12 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with a saturated aq. solution of NaHCO₃ (12 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated under vacuum to afford a yellow solid. The solid obtained by filtration was added and the crude product was recrystallized in acetonitrile (19 mL) to afford a pale yellow solid (1.25 g, 2.92 mmol, 77%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 8.46-8.40 (2H, m, ArH), 7.82-7.75 (2H, m, ArH), 7.70-7.65 (1H, m, ArH), 7.63-7.53 (1H, m, ArH), 7.42-7.28 (2H, m, ArH); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 166.5, 135.0, 134.6, 132.8, 132.5, 131.7, 131.2, 127.4, 126.6, 126.3, 123.1, 116.3, 104.1, 55.3; MS (ESI) m/z (%) 427 [M+H, 78%]⁺.

1-[4-Fluorophenylethynyl]-1,2-benziodoxol-3(1*H*)-one (1h)⁴

In a 100 mL round-bottomed flask, 2-iodosylbenzoic acid (1.00 g, 3.79 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) was placed in dichloromethane (12 mL), giving a white suspension under a nitrogen atmosphere. The flask was cooled with an ice bath and TMSOTf (0.75 mL, 4.17 mmol, 1.10 equiv.) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was warmed up to room temperature and stirred for 1 h to afford a yellow suspension. ((4-Fluorophenyl)ethynyl)trimethylsilane (0.80 g, 0.85 mL, 4.17 mmol, 1.10 equiv.) was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 6 h. A saturated aq. solution of NaHCO₃ (12 mL) was added and the mixture stirred for 30 min. The resulting suspension was filtered. The two layers of the filtrate were separated and the aqueous phase was backextracted with dichloromethane (12 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with a saturated aq. solution of NaHCO₃ (12 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated under vacuum to afford a yellow solid. The solid obtained by filtration was added and the crude product was recrystallized in acetonitrile (19 mL) to afford a white solid (1.18 g, 3.22 mmol, 85%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 8.45-8.40 (1H, m, ArH), 8.24-8.20 (1H, m, ArH), 7.80-7.74 (2H, m, ArH), 7.63-7.56 (2H, m, ArH), 7.17-7.08 (2H, m, ArH); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 166.6, 163.8 (d, *J* = 253.8 Hz), 135.1 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz), 134.9, 132.4, 131.6, 131.4, 126.3, 116.7 (d, *J* = 3.5 Hz), 116.3, 116.2 (d, *J* = 22.3 Hz), 105.3, 50.3; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: -105.8; MS (ESI) *m/z* (%) 367 [M+H, 67%]⁺.

1-[3-Fluorophenylethynyl]-1,2-benziodoxol-3(1*H*)-one (1i)

In a 100 mL round-bottomed flask, 2-iodosylbenzoic acid (1.00 g, 3.79 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) was placed in dichloromethane (12 mL), giving a white suspension under a nitrogen atmosphere. The flask was cooled with an ice bath and TMSOTf (0.75 mL, 4.17 mmol, 1.10 equiv.) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was warmed up to room temperature and stirred for 1 h to afford a yellow suspension. ((4-Fluorophenyl)ethynyl)trimethylsilane (0.80 g, 0.85 mL, 4.17 mmol, 1.10 equiv.) was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 6 h. A saturated aq. solution of NaHCO₃ (12 mL) was added and the reaction mixture stirred for 30 min. The resulting suspension was filtered. The two layers of the filtrate were separated and the aqueous phase was backextracted with dichloromethane (12 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with a saturated aq. solution of NaHCO₃ (12 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated under vacuum to afford a yellow solid. The solid obtained by filtration was added and the crude product was recrystallized in acetonitrile (19 mL) to afford a pale yellow solid (963 mg, 2.65 mmol, 70%). **¹H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 8.46-8.39 (1H, m, ArH), 8.25-8.19 (1H, m, ArH), 7.81-7.75 (2H, m, ArH), 7.43-7.37 (2H, m, ArH), 7.31-7.27 (1H, m, ArH), 7.22-7.17 (1H, m, ArH); **¹³C NMR** (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 166.4, 162.3 (d, J = 247.4 Hz), 135.0, 132.6, 131.7, 131.1, 130.5 (d, J = 8.5 Hz), 128.8, 126.2, 122.3 (d, J = 9.2 Hz), 119.6 (d, J = 23.2 Hz), 118.3 (d, J = 21.2 Hz), 116.1, 104.7, 52.0; **¹⁹F NMR** (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : -111.2; **HRMS** exact mass calculated for [M+H]⁺ (C₁₅H₉FIO₂⁺) requires m/z 366.9553, found m/z 366.9557.

1-[4-Trifluoromethylphenylethynyl]-1,2-benziodoxol-3(1*H*)-one (1j)¹

In a 100 mL round-bottomed flask, 2-iodosylbenzoic acid (1.00 g, 3.79 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) was placed in dichloromethane (12 mL), giving a white suspension under a nitrogen atmosphere. The flask was cooled with an ice bath and TMSOTf (0.75 mL, 4.17 mmol, 1.10 equiv.) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was warmed up to room temperature and stirred for 1 h to afford a yellow suspension. Trimethyl((4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)ethynyl)silane (0.98 mL, 4.17 mmol, 1.10 equiv.) was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 6 h. A saturated aq. solution of NaHCO₃ (12 mL) was added and the reaction mixture stirred for 30 min. The resulting suspension was filtered. The two layers of the filtrate were separated and the aqueous phase was backextracted with dichloromethane (12 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with a saturated aq. solution of NaHCO₃ (12 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated under vacuum to afford a yellow solid. The solid obtained by filtration was added and the crude product was recrystallized in acetonitrile (19 mL) to afford a white solid (725 mg, 1.74 mmol, 46%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 8.44-8.40 (1H, m, ArH), 8.25-8.20 (1H, m, ArH), 7.80-7.75 (2H, m, ArH), 7.72-7.67 (4H, m, ArH); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 166.6, 135.1, 133.1, 132.6, 132.3 (q, *J* = 33.0 Hz), 131.8, 131.2, 126.2, 125.7 (q, *J* = 3.5 Hz), 124.3, 123.5 (q, *J* = 272.4 Hz), 116.1, 104.3, 53.8; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: -63.1; MS (ESI) *m/z* (%) 417 [M+H, 67%]⁺.

3,3-Dimethylbutynyl-1,2-benziodoxol-3(1H)-one (1k)¹

2-Iodobenzoic acid (0.50 g, 2.02 mmol, 1.00 equiv.), *para*-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate (0.38 g, 2.02 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) and *meta*-chloroperoxybenzoic acid (0.38 g, 2.22 mmol, 1.10 equiv.) were dissolved in dichloromethane (4 mL) and 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol (4 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature under nitrogen for 1 hour, after which diisopropyl (3,3-dimethylbut-1-yn-1-yl)boronate (0.59 g, 2.82 mmol, 1.40 equiv.) was added in one portion. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 hour at room temperature, filtered and concentrated *in vacuo*. The resulting oil was dissolved in dichloromethane (40 mL) and under vigorous stirring a saturated aq. NaHCO₃ (40 mL) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h, the two layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with additional portions of dichloromethane (3 x 50 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated *in vacuo*. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (ethyl acetate) to afford a white solid (530 mg, 1.66 mmol, 82%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 8.42-8.37 (1H, m, ArH), 8.14-8.09 (1H, m, ArH), 7.77-7.71 (2H, m, ArH), 1.37 (9H, s, 3 x CH₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 166.5, 134.6, 132.4, 131.4, 131.4, 125.8, 117.5, 115.6, 38.2, 30.6, 29.6; MS (ESI) *m/z* (%) 329 [M+H, 77%]⁺

Hexadec-1-yn-1-yltrimethylsilane¹

To a solution of trimethylsilylacetylene (1.19 g, 12.07 mmol, 1.20 equiv.) in dry THF (6.5 mL) at -78 °C under nitrogen, nBuLi 2.5 M in hexanes (1.12 mL, 12.07 mmol, 1.20 equiv.) was added over a 10 minute-time period. The resulting light yellow solution was stirred at -78 °C for 1 h, after which a solution of 1-bromotetradecane (3 mL, 2.80 g, 10.06 mmol, 1.00 equiv.), hexamethylphosphoramide (HMPA, 1.9 mL, 11.07 mmol, 1.10 equiv.) in dry THF (3.2 mL) was slowly added via cannula over a 20 minute-time period. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at -78 °C, followed by stirring at room temperature for 24 h. The reaction mixture was quenched at 0 °C with saturated aq. NH₄Cl (7 mL) and diluted with water (2 mL) and EtOAc (7 mL). The two layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with additional portions of EtOAc (3 x 10 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with water (2 x 20 mL), brine (20 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated *in vacuo*. The light brown crude liquid was finally pushed through a small plug of silica gel with pentane as eluent to afford pure hexadec-1-yn-1-yltrimethylsilane (3.32 g, 11.35 mmol, 94%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 2.19 (2H, t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2 x CHH), 1.53-1.44 (2H, m, 2 x CHH), 1.38-1.23 (22H, m, 22 x CHH), 0.86 (3H, t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, CH₃), 0.13 (9H, s, TMS); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 107.8, 84.2, 31.9, 29.7, 29.6, 29.5, 29.4, 29.1, 28.8, 28.6, 22.7, 29.9, 14.1, 0.2; MS (ESI) *m/z* (%) 295 [M+H, 87%]⁺.

Hexadecynyl-1,2-benziodoxol-3(1*H*)-one (11)¹

2-Iodobenzoic acid (1.80 g, 7.30 mmol, 1.00 equiv.), *para*-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate (1.38 g, 7.30 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) and *meta*-chloroperoxybenzoic acid (*m*CPBA-70%, 1.38 g, 8.00 mmol, 1.10 equiv.) were dissolved in dichloromethane (15 mL) and 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol (15 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature under nitrogen for 1 hour, after which hexadec-1-yn-1-yltrimethylsilane (3 g, 10.20 mmol, 1.40 equiv.) was added in one portion. The reaction mixture was stirred for 14 hours at room temperature, filtered and concentrated *in vacuo*. The resulting oil was dissolved in dichloromethane (100 mL) and was stirred for 1 h, the two layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with additional portions of dichloromethane (3 x 25 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated *in vacuo*. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (ethyl acetate) to afford a white solid (1.26 g, 2.70 mmol, 37%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 8.43-8.35 (1H, m, ArH), 8.22-8.12 (1H, m, ArH), 7.79-7.69 (2H, m, ArH), 2.59 (2H, t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2 x CHH), 1.69-1.59 (2H, m, 2 x CHH), 1.50-1.17 (22H, m, 22 x CHH), 0.87 (3H, t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 166.5, 134.6, 132.4, 131.5, 131.4, 126.1, 115.5, 109.8, 39.2, 31.9, 29.7, 29.6, 29.5, 29.4, 29.3, 22.7, 29.9, 14.1; MS (ESI) *m/z* (%) 469 [M+H, 88%]⁺.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Benzyl Sulfides⁵

Benzyl mercaptan (1.07 g, 5.00 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) or thiol (for naturally-occurring compounds, 5.00 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) was dissolved in dry methanol (10 mL). Sodium methoxide (270 mg, 5.00 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) was added and the reaction mixture was left stirring at -10 °C for 10 min. Alkyl or benzyl bromide (5.50 mmol, 1.10 equiv.) was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was left stirring at room temperature for 2-24 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated *in vacuo*. The resulting oil was dissolved in ethyl acetate (20 mL) and washed with water (3 x 25 mL). The organic layer was dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated *in vacuo*. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (petr. ether) to afford the desired benzyl sulfide.

Dibenzylsulfide⁶

Colorless viscous oil (96%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.26-7.14 (10H, m, ArH), 3.55 (4H, s, 2 x SCH₂); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 138.3, 129.5, 128.6, 126.8, 35.7; MS (ESI) m/z (%) 215 [M+H, 75%]⁺.

Benzyl dodecylsulfide⁷

Colorless viscous oil (92%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.36-7.24 (5H, m, ArH), 3.70 (2H, s, SCH₂), 2.40 (2H, t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, SCH₂), 1.61-1.55 (2H, m, 2 x CHH), 1.38-1.25 (18H, m, 18 x CHH), 0.90-0.81 (3H, m, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ:

138.5, 128.6, 128.4, 126.9, 36.4, 31.9, 31.4, 29.6, 29.5, 29.4, 29.3, 29.2, 28.7, 22.8, 14.1; **MS (ESI) m/z (%)** 293 [M+H, 73%]⁺.

Benzyl(phenethyl)sulfide⁸

Colorless viscous oil (89%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.31-7.14 (10H, m, ArH), 3.71 (2H, s, SCH₂), 2.80 (2H, t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, PhCH₂), 2.65 (2H, t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, SCH₂); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 139.5, 138.1, 128.9, 128.7, 128.4, 128.1, 126.9, 126.3, 36.4, 35.9, 31.4; **MS (ESI) m/z (%)** 293 [M+H, 58%]⁺.

Benzyl(phenyl)sulfide⁹

Colorless solid (81%); m.p.: 50-52 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.11-7.04 (10H, m, ArH), 4.01 (2H, s, SCH₂); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 137.2, 136.7, 129.6, 128.8, 128.5, 128.2, 127.1, 126.2, 39.1; **MS (ESI) m/z (%)** 201 [M+H, 63%]⁺.

Benzyl(2-methoxyphenyl)sulfide¹⁰

Colorless solid (75%); m.p.: 88-90 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.31-7.24 (7H, m, ArH), 7.01-6.85 (2H, m, ArH), 4.00 (2H, s, SCH₂), 3.75 (3H, s, OCH₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 158.9, 138.2, 134.1, 128.8, 128.1, 126.9, 126.2, 114.5, 55.5, 41.0; **MS (ESI) m/z (%)** 231 [M+H, 83%]⁺.

Benzyl(4-nitrophenyl)sulfide¹¹

Pale yellow solid (68%); m.p.: 97-99 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 8.21-8.04 (2H, m, ArH), 7.41-6.25 (7H, m, ArH), 4.20 (2H, s, SCH₂); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 147.1, 145.5, 135.2, 128.9, 128.8, 127.8, 126.5, 124.1, 37.1; MS (ESI) m/z (%) 246 [M+H, 76%]⁺.

(2R,3R,4S,5R,6S)-2-(Acetoxymethyl)-6-(benzylthio)tetrahydro-2H-pyran-3,4,5-triyl triacetate¹²

White solid (73%); m.p.: 97-99 °C; [α]_D²⁶ -110.0 (c 0.5, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.28-7.25 (5H, m, ArH), 5.17-5.00 (3H, m, 3 x OCH), 4.27 (1H, d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, OCH), 4.23 (1H, dd, *J* = 12.5 and 5.0 Hz, OCHH), 4.10 (1H, dd, *J* = 12.5 and 2.4 Hz, OCHH), 3.92 (1H, d, *J* = 12.9 Hz, SCHH), 3.80 (1H, d, *J* = 12.9 Hz, SCHH), 3.60-3.52 (1H, m, OCH), 2.09 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.99 (6H, s, 2 x CH₃), 1.97 (3H, s, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 170.5, 170.1, 169.4, 169.3, 136.7, 129.0, 128.6, 127.4, 81.9, 75.6, 73.8, 69.7, 68.3, 62.1, 33.7, 20.7, 20.6, 20.5, 20.4; MS (ESI) m/z (%) 455 [M+H, 81%]⁺.

Methyl (*S*)-benzyl-*N*-(*tert*-butoxycarbonyl)-*L*-cysteinate¹³

Viscous colorless oil (82%); $[\alpha]_D^{26}$ -2.0 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); **¹H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 7.28-7.22 (5H, m, ArH), 5.35 (1H, br s, NH), 4.49 (1H, d, J = 6.4 Hz, NCH), 3.68 (2H, s, PhCH₂), 3.67 (3H, s, OCH₃), 2.88-2.69 (2H, m, SCH₂), 1.42 (9H, s, 3 x CH₃); **¹³C NMR** (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 171.4, 154.9, 137.4, 128.7, 128.3, 127.0, 79.8, 52.8, 52.3, 36.3, 33.2, 28.0; **MS (ESI) m/z (%)** 326 [M+H, 72%]⁺.

General Procedure for the Photochemical Reaction of Substituted Tetrahydrofurans with Ethynylbenziodoxolone (EBX) Reagents

In a glass vial with a screw cap containing ethynylbenziodoxolone (EBX) reagent (0.50 mmol) in heterocycle (1 mL), phenylglyoxylic acid (15 mg, 0.10 mmol) was added. The vial was sealed with a screw cap and left stirring under household bulb irradiation (2 x 85W household lamps, see photos below) for 18 h. The solvent was removed *in vacuo* and the desired product was isolated after purification by column chromatography.

CAUTION: *Although we did not observe any problems in our reactions, extra caution should be given since ether peroxides may arise in the reaction mixtures, which could be explosive.*

A **B**

Scheme. **A:** 2 x 85W fluorescent household lamps utilized for the photocatalytic reaction. Bulbs are placed symmetrically 3 cm away from the reaction tube. **B:** Beginning of the reaction.

Triisopropyl((tetrahydrofuran-2-yl)ethynyl)silane (3a)¹

Pale yellow oil (71%); ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 4.65-4.55 (1H, m, OCH), 4.01-3.73 (2H, m, 2 x OCHH), 2.20-1.77 (4H, m, 4 x CHH), 1.04 (21H, s, TIPS); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 107.7, 85.0, 68.5, 67.5, 33.6, 25.1, 18.5, 11.1; MS (ESI) m/z (%) 253 [M+H, 34%]⁺.

Trimethyl((tetrahydrofuran-2-yl)ethynyl)silane (3b)¹⁴

Colorless oil (67%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 4.44-4.36 (1H, m, OCH), 3.83-3.74 (1H, m, OCHH), 3.66-3.60 (1H, m, OCHH), 2.01-1.67 (4H, m, 4 x CHH), 0.00 (9H, s, TMS); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 105.5, 89.0, 68.4, 67.9, 33.3, 25.4, 0.1; MS (ESI) m/z (%) 169 [M+H, 54%]⁺.

tert-Butyldiphenyl((tetrahydrofuran-2-yl)ethynyl)silane (3c)

Pale yellow oil (75%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.74-7.68 (4H, m, ArH), 7.35-7.27 (6H, m, ArH), 4.72-4.66 (1H, m, OCH), 4.00-3.92 (1H, m, OCHH), 3.86-3.78 (1H, m, OCHH), 2.20-2.12 (1H, m, CHH), 2.09-2.01 (2H, m, 2 x CHH), 1.94-1.83 (1H, m, CHH), 1.01 (9H, s, 3 x CH₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 135.6, 133.2, 129.5, 127.7, 110.1, 84.2, 68.7, 67.8, 33.9, 27.0, 25.3, 18.5; HRMS exact mass calculated for [M+H]⁺ (C₂₂H₂₇OSi⁺) requires m/z 335.1826, found m/z 335.1829.

2-(Phenylethynyl)tetrahydrofuran (3d)¹⁵

Yellow oil (71%); **¹H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 7.47-7.37 (2H, m, ArH), 7.33-7.23 (3H, m, ArH), 4.86-4.75 (1H, m, OCH), 4.08-3.95 (1H, m, OCHH), 3.90-3.78 (1H, m, OCHH), 2.26-1.88 (4H, m, 2 x CH₂); **¹³C NMR** (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 131.7, 128.2, 128.1, 122.8, 89.0, 84.4, 68.6, 67.9, 33.4, 25.5; **MS (ESI) m/z (%)** 173 [M+H, 71%]⁺.

2-(4-Tolylethynyl)tetrahydrofuran (3e)¹⁵

Yellow oil (73%); **¹H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 7.25 (2H, d, J = 8.1 Hz, ArH), 7.02 (2H, d, J = 8.1 Hz, ArH), 4.78-4.69 (1H, m, OCH), 3.99-3.89 (1H, m, OCHH), 3.82-3.73 (1H, m, OCHH), 2.26 (3H, s, CH₃), 2.19-2.09 (1H, m, CHH), 2.05-1.95 (2H, m, 2 x CHH), 1.91-1.82 (1H, m, CHH); **¹³C NMR** (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 138.3, 131.6, 128.9, 119.7, 88.3, 84.6, 68.6, 67.9, 33.4, 25.5, 21.4; **MS (ESI) m/z (%)** 187 [M+H, 73%]⁺.

2-((4-Pentylphenyl)ethynyl)tetrahydrofuran (3f)¹⁶

Colorless oil (77%); **¹H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 7.27 (2H, d, J = 8.1 Hz, ArH), 7.03 (2H, d, J = 8.1 Hz, ArH), 4.78-4.70 (1H, m, OCH), 3.99-3.90 (1H, m, OCHH), 3.84-3.76 (1H, m, OCHH), 2.51 (2H, t, J = 7.6 Hz, PhCH₂), 2.21-2.10 (1H, m, CHH), 2.07-1.95 (2H, m, 2 x CHH), 1.92-1.82 (1H, m, CHH), 1.59-1.46 (2H, m, 2 x CHH), 1.30-1.16 (4H, m, 4 x CHH), 0.81 (3H, t, J = 6.9 Hz, CH₃); **¹³C NMR** (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 143.4,

131.6, 128.3, 119.9, 88.3, 84.6, 68.7, 67.9, 35.8, 33.4, 31.4, 30.9, 25.5, 22.9, 14.0; **MS (ESI) m/z (%)** 243 [M+H, 63%]⁺.

2-((4-Bromophenyl)ethynyl)tetrahydrofuran (3g)¹⁷

Yellow oil (60%); **¹H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 7.36 (2H, d, J = 8.4 Hz, ArH), 7.22 (2H, d, J = 8.4 Hz, ArH), 4.76-4.68 (1H, m, OCH), 3.98-3.89 (1H, m, OCHH), 3.83-3.76 (1H, m, OCHH), 2.23-2.11 (1H, m, CHH), 2.05-1.98 (2H, m, 2 x CHH), 1.93-1.82 (1H, m, CHH); **¹³C NMR** (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 133.1, 131.5, 122.5, 121.7, 90.3, 83.4, 68.5, 68.0, 33.3, 25.5; **MS (ESI) m/z (%)** 251 [M+H, 70%]⁺.

2-((2-Bromophenyl)ethynyl)tetrahydrofuran (3h)¹⁶

Yellow oil (55%); **¹H NMR** (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 7.55-7.45 (1H, m, ArH), 7.43-7.37 (1H, m, ArH), 7.18-7.15 (1H, m, ArH), 7.13-7.06 (1H, m, ArH), 4.85-4.78 (1H, m, OCH), 4.02-3.93 (1H, m, OCHH), 3.86-3.78 (1H, m, OCHH), 2.23-2.14 (1H, m, CHH), 2.11-2.04 (2H, m, 2 x CHH), 1.95-1.85 (1H, m, CHH); **¹³C NMR** (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 133.4, 132.3, 129.4, 126.9, 125.7, 124.9, 93.9, 83.0, 68.6, 67.9, 33.3, 25.3; **MS (ESI) m/z (%)** 251 [M+H, 71%]⁺.

2-((4-Fluorophenyl)ethynyl)tetrahydrofuran (3i)¹⁸

Pale yellow oil (61%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.38-7.30 (2H, m, ArH), 6.92 (2H, t, *J* = 8.7 Hz, ArH), 4.75-4.68 (1H, m, OCH), 3.96-3.91 (1H, m, OCHH), 3.84-3.74 (1H, m, OCHH), 2.21-2.11 (1H, m, CHH), 2.06-1.96 (2H, m, 2 x CHH), 1.91-1.84 (1H, m, CHH); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 162.5 (d, *J* = 249.3 Hz), 133.6 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz), 118.9 (d, *J* = 3.4 Hz), 115.5 (d, *J* = 22.0 Hz), 88.7, 83.4, 68.5, 68.0, 33.4, 25.5; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: -111.1; MS (ESI) *m/z* (%) 191 [M+H, 80%]⁺.

2-((3-Fluorophenyl)ethynyl)tetrahydrofuran (3j)¹⁹

Pale yellow oil (55%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.18-7.11 (2H, m, ArH), 7.08-7.02 (1H, m, ArH), 6.97-6.90 (1H, m, ArH), 4.77-4.70 (1H, m, OCH), 3.98-3.90 (1H, m, OCHH), 3.84-3.76 (1H, m, OCHH), 2.22-2.12 (1H, m, CHH), 2.08-1.97 (2H, m, 2 x CHH), 1.93-1.84 (1H, m, CHH); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 162.3 (d, *J* = 246.3 Hz), 129.8 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz), 127.6 (d, *J* = 3.0 Hz), 124.6 (d, *J* = 9.5 Hz), 118.5 (d, *J* = 22.8 Hz), 115.6 (d, *J* = 21.2 Hz), 90.1, 83.3, 68.5, 68.0, 33.3, 25.5; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: -113.1; MS (ESI) *m/z* (%) 191 [M+H, 72%]⁺.

2-((4-(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl)ethynyl)tetrahydrofuran (3k)¹⁷

Yellow oil (58%); **¹H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 7.62-7.52 (4H, m, ArH), 4.87-4.79 (1H, m, OCH), 4.08-3.99 (1H, m, OCHH), 3.95-3.84 (1H, m, OCHH), 2.33-2.21 (1H, m, CHH), 2.16-2.07 (2H, m, 2 x CHH), 2.04-1.93 (1H, m, CHH); **¹³C NMR** (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 131.9, 130.0 (q, J = 32.6 Hz), 126.6, 125.1 (q, J = 3.7 Hz), 123.9 (q, J = 272.1 Hz), 91.6, 83.1, 68.4, 68.1, 33.3, 25.5; **¹⁹F NMR** (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : -63.0; **MS (ESI)** m/z (%) 241 [M+H, 62%]⁺.

2-(3,3-Dimethylbut-1-yn-1-yl)tetrahydrofuran (3l)

Yellow oil (54%); **¹H NMR** (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 4.50-4.43 (1H, m, OCH), 3.92-3.83 (1H, m, OCHH), 3.74-3.66 (1H, m, OCHH), 2.10-1.99 (1H, m, CHH), 1.98-1.89 (1H, m, CHH), 1.86-1.76 (2H, m, 2 x CHH), 1.14 (9H, s, 3 x CH₃); **¹³C NMR** (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 93.4, 78.2, 68.4, 67.6, 33.6, 31.0, 27.3, 25.3; **HRMS** exact mass calculated for [M+H]⁺ (C₁₀H₁₇O⁺) requires m/z 153.1274, found m/z 153.1278.

2-(Hexadec-1-yn-1-yl)tetrahydrofuran (3m)

Colorless oil (68%); **¹H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 4.53-4.46 (1H, m, OCH), 3.92-3.85 (1H, m, OCHH), 3.74-3.69 (1H, m, OCHH), 2.12 (2H, t, J = 7.1 Hz, 2 x CHH), 2.09-2.01 (1H, m, CHH), 1.99-1.91 (1H, m, CHH), 1.88-1.77 (2H, m, 2 x CHH), 1.46-1.37 (2H, m,

2 x CHH), 1.25-1.12 (22H, m, 22 x CHH), 0.81 (3H, t, $J = 6.8$ Hz, CH₃); **¹³C NMR** (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 85.3, 79.9, 68.4, 67.6, 33.5, 31.9, 29.7, 29.7, 29.6, 29.5, 29.4, 29.1, 28.9, 28.6, 25.4, 22.7, 18.8, 14.1; **HRMS** exact mass calculated for [M+H]⁺ (C₂₀H₃₇O⁺) requires m/z 293.2839, found m/z 293.2835.

Triisopropyl(oxetan-2-ylethynyl)silane (3n)

Colorless oil (77%); **¹H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 5.41-5.32 (1H, m, OCH), 4.72-4.61 (2H, m, 2 x OCHH), 3.03-2.92 (1H, m, CHH), 2.83-2.71 (1H, m, CHH), 1.10 (21H, s, TIPS); **¹³C NMR** (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 107.2, 89.7, 70.3, 68.9, 30.1, 18.6, 11.1; **HRMS** exact mass calculated for [M+H]⁺ (C₁₄H₂₇OSi⁺) requires m/z 239.1826, found m/z 239.1829.

2-(Phenylethynyl)oxetane (3o)²⁰

Colorless oil (75%); **¹H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 7.53-7.44 (2H, m, ArH), 7.40-7.32 (3H, m, ArH), 5.64-5.56 (1H, m, OCH), 4.77-4.68 (2H, m, 2 x OCHH), 3.09-3.00 (1H, m, CHH), 2.97-2.89 (1H, m, CHH); **¹³C NMR** (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 131.7, 128.6, 128.3, 122.4, 88.7, 88.5, 70.4, 69.0, 29.8; **MS (ESI) m/z (%)** 159 [M+H, 55%]⁺.

Triisopropyl((tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)ethynyl)silane (3p)¹

Pale yellow oil (40%); $^1\text{H NMR}$ (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ : 4.44-4.35 (1H, m, OCH), 4.05-3.91 (1H, m, OCHH), 3.60-3.48 (1H, m, OCHH), 1.94-1.77 (2H, m, 2 x CHH), 1.65-1.45 (4H, m, 4 x CHH), 1.05 (21H, s, TIPS); $^{13}\text{C NMR}$ (100 MHz, CDCl_3) δ : 106.3, 86.2, 66.9, 65.6, 32.1, 25.7, 21.1, 19.0, 11.1; **MS (ESI) m/z (%)** 267 [$\text{M}+\text{H}$, 78%] $^+$.

2-(Phenylethynyl)tetrahydro-2H-pyran (3q)¹⁶

Yellow oil (46%); $^1\text{H NMR}$ (200 MHz, CDCl_3) δ : 7.51-7.38 (2H, m, ArH), 7.34-7.21 (3H, m, ArH), 4.57-4.44 (1H, m, OCH), 4.13-3.96 (1H, m, OCHH), 3.66-3.49 (1H, m, OCHH), 2.04-1.48 (6H, m, 6 x CHH); $^{13}\text{C NMR}$ (50 MHz, CDCl_3) δ : 131.7, 128.3, 128.2, 122.7, 88.1, 85.2, 67.4, 66.7, 32.2, 25.7, 21.8; **MS (ESI) m/z (%)** 287 [$\text{M}+\text{H}$, 88%] $^+$.

((1,4-Dioxan-2-yl)ethynyl)triisopropylsilane (3r)

Colorless oil (74%); $^1\text{H NMR}$ (200 MHz, CDCl_3) δ : 4.42-4.29 (1H, m, OCH), 3.92-3.74 (2H, m, 2 x OCHH), 3.70-3.55 (4H, m, 4 x OCHH), 1.05 (21H, s, TIPS); $^{13}\text{C NMR}$ (50 MHz, CDCl_3) δ : 102.5, 87.9, 70.5, 66.5, 66.3, 65.6, 18.5, 11.0; **HRMS** exact mass calculated for [$\text{M}+\text{H}$] $^+$ ($\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{29}\text{O}_2\text{Si}^+$) requires m/z 269.1931, found m/z 269.1935.

2-(Phenylethynyl)-1,4-dioxane (3s)¹⁷

Yellow oil (54%); **¹H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 7.50-7.39 (2H, m, ArH), 7.35-7.22 (3H, m, ArH), 4.61-4.50 (1H, m, OCH), 3.99-3.85 (2H, m, 2 x OCHH), 3.78-3.63 (4H, m, 4 x OCHH); **¹³C NMR** (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 131.9, 128.7, 128.3, 122.0, 86.6, 84.2, 70.4, 66.5, 66.4, 65.8; **MS (ESI) m/z (%)** 189 [M+H, 86%]⁺.

Triisopropyl((5-methyltetrahydrofuran-2-yl)ethynyl)silane, Triisopropyl((2-methyltetrahydrofuran-2-yl)ethynyl)silane (3t)¹⁵

Colorless oil (75%); **¹H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 4.78-4.65 (0.5H, m, OCH), 4.29-4.14 (0.5H, m, OCH), 4.00-3.82 (1H, m, OCH₂), 2.26-1.85 (4H, m, 4 x CHH), 1.54 (3H, m, CH₃), 1.04 (21H, s, TIPS); **¹³C NMR** (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 111.0, 108.3, 84.8, 82.7, 77.2, 74.7, 68.4, 67.3, 34.4, 34.0, 33.0, 32.6, 27.3, 25.4, 21.8, 20.9, 18.6, 11.1; **MS (ESI) m/z (%)** 267 [M+H, 69%]⁺.

2-Methyl-2-(phenylethynyl)tetrahydrofuran (3u)¹⁵

Yellow oil (42%); **¹H NMR** (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 7.48-7.36 (2H, m, ArH), 7.33-7.16 (3H, m, ArH), 4.09-3.88 (2H, m, OCH₂), 2.35-1.78 (4H, m, 4 x CHH), 1.63 (3H, s, CH₃); **¹³C NMR** (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 131.7, 128.2, 128.1, 123.0, 92.3, 82.7, 68.3, 67.6, 40.1, 27.7, 25.7; **MS (ESI) m/z (%)** 187 [M+H, 51%]⁺.

((1,3-Dioxolan-2-yl)ethynyl)triisopropylsilane, ((1,3-Dioxolan-4-yl)ethynyl)triisopropylsilane (3v)

Colorless oil (66%); **¹H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 5.60 (0.2H, s, OCH), 4.96 (1.6H, d, J = 11.2 Hz, OCH₂), 4.65 (0.8H, t, J = 5.7 Hz, OCH), 4.09-4.03 (0.8H, m, OCHH), 4.03-3.98 (0.4H, m, 2 x OCHH), 3.90-3.85 (0.4H, m, 2 x OCHH), 3.74 (0.8H, dd, J = 7.6 and 5.7 Hz, OCHH), 1.00 (21H, s, TIPS); **¹³C NMR** (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 104.1, 102.4, 94.9, 92.7, 87.8, 86.8, 70.8, 70.8, 65.7, 64.2, 18.5, 11.0; **HRMS** exact mass calculated for [M+H]⁺ (C₁₄H₂₇O₂Si⁺) requires m/z 255.1702, found m/z 255.1706.

2-(Phenylethynyl)-1,3-dioxolane, 4-(Phenylethynyl)-1,3-dioxolane, (3w)¹⁵

Colorless oil (59%); **¹H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 7.49-7.43 (2H, m, ArH), 7.41-7.34 (3H, m, ArH), 5.82 (0.25H, s, OCH), 5.01 (1.5H, d, J = 7.9 Hz, OCH₂), 4.86 (0.75H, t, J = 6.1 Hz, OCH), 4.16-4.09 (0.75H, m, OCHH), 4.08-4.04 (0.5H, m, 2 x OCHH), 3.95-3.91 (0.5H, m, 2 x OCHH), 3.86 (0.75H, dd, J = 7.8 and 5.9 Hz, OCHH); **¹³C NMR** (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 134.1, 133.1, 131.8, 130.8, 129.6, 128.3, 122.0, 95.2, 93.5, 86.9, 86.2, 85.6, 77.2, 70.6, 68.6, 65.8, 64.6; **MS (ESI) m/z (%)** 175 [M+H, 69%]⁺.

**General Procedure for the Photochemical Reaction of
Tetrahydrothiophene and Tetrahydro-2*H*-thiopyran with
EthynylBenziodoXolone (EBX) Reagents**

In a glass vial with a screw cap containing ethynylbenziodoxolone (EBX) reagent (0.50 mmol) in heterocycle (0.5 mL) and water (0.5 mL), phenylglyoxylic acid (15 mg, 0.10 mmol) was added. The vial was sealed with a screw cap and left stirring under household bulb irradiation (2 x 85W household lamps, see photos below) for 18 h. The solvent was removed *in vacuo* and the desired product was isolated after purification by column chromatography.

A **B**

Scheme. **A**: 2 x 85W fluorescent household lamps utilized for the photocatalytic reaction. Bulbs are placed symmetrically 3 cm away from the reaction tube. **B**: Beginning of the reaction.

4-(((Triisopropylsilyl)ethynyl)thio)butanal (5a)

Colorless oil (81%); **¹H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 9.78 (1H, s, CHO), 2.75 (2H, t, J = 6.8 Hz, SCH₂), 2.65 (2H, t, J = 7.0 Hz, COCH₂), 2.05-2.25 (2H, m, CH₂), 1.04 (21H, s, TIPS); **¹³C NMR** (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 201.3, 97.7, 94.7, 41.8, 34.7, 21.2, 18.6, 11.3; **HRMS** exact mass calculated for [M+H]⁺ (C₁₅H₂₉OSSi⁺) requires m/z 285.1703, found m/z 285.1697.

4-(((*tert*-Butyldiphenylsilyl)ethynyl)thio)butanal (5b)

Colorless oil (69%); **¹H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 9.76 (1H, s, CHO), 7.84-7.71 (4H, m, ArH), 7.45-7.30 (6H, m, ArH), 2.86 (2H, t, J = 6.8 Hz, SCH₂), 2.67 (2H, t, J = 7.0 Hz, COCH₂), 2.07-2.21 (2H, m, CH₂), 1.09 (9H, s, 3 x CH₃); **¹³C NMR** (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 201.0, 135.5, 133.1, 129.5, 127.7, 98.0, 96.5, 41.7, 34.8, 27.0, 26.9, 21.5; **HRMS** exact mass calculated for [M+H]⁺ (C₂₂H₂₇OSSi⁺) requires m/z 367.1546, found m/z 367.1540.

4-(((Trimethylsilyl)ethynyl)thio)butanal (5c)

Colorless oil (70%); **¹H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 9.81 (1H, s, CHO), 2.77 (2H, t, J = 6.8 Hz, SCH₂), 2.66 (2H, t, J = 7.0 Hz, COCH₂), 2.17-1.99 (2H, m, CH₂), 0.17 (9H, s, 3 x

CH₃); **¹³C NMR** (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 201.2, 101.1, 94.0, 41.8, 34.6, 21.3, -0.1; **HRMS** exact mass calculated for [M+H]⁺ (C₉H₁₇OSSi⁺) requires m/z 201.0764, found m/z 201.0756.

4-(Hexadec-1-yn-1-ylthio)butanal (5d)

Pale yellow oil (52%); **¹H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 9.80 (1H, s, CHO), 2.75-2.56 (2H, m, SCH₂), 2.27 (2H, t, J = 7.0 Hz, COCH₂), 2.01-2.16 (2H, m, CH₂), 1.52-1.18 (26H, m 26 x CHH), 0.87 (3H, t, J = 5.4 Hz, CH₃); **¹³C NMR** (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 201.4, 95.0, 67.2, 41.9, 34.4, 31.9, 29.7, 29.6, 29.5, 29.4, 29.1, 28.9, 28.4, 22.7, 21.4, 20.1, 14.1; **HRMS** exact mass calculated for [M+H]⁺ (C₂₉H₃₇OS⁺) requires m/z 325.2560, found m/z 325.2567.

4-(Oct-1-yn-1-ylthio)butanal (5e)

Colorless oil (52%); **¹H NMR** (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 9.80 (1H, s, CHO), 2.75-2.53 (4H, m, SCH₂ και COCH₂), 2.33-2.22 (2H, m, CH₂), 2.06 (2H, t, J = 6.7 Hz, CH₂), 1.39-1.17 (8H, m 8 x CHH), 0.87 (3H, t, J = 6.2 Hz, CH₃); **¹³C NMR** (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 201.3, 90.0, 68.4, 42.0, 39.0, 34.5, 31.3, 28.7, 22.5, 21.5, 20.1, 14.0; **HRMS** exact mass calculated for [M+H]⁺ (C₁₂H₂₁OS⁺) requires m/z 213.1308, found m/z 213.1311.

4-((3,3-Dimethylbut-1-yn-1-yl)thio)butanal (5f)

Colorless oil (49%); **¹H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 9.80 (1H, s, CHO), 2.74-2.58 (4H, m, SCH₂ και COCH₂), 2.00-2.18 (2H, m, CH₂), 1.21 (9H, s, 3 x CH₃); **¹³C NMR** (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 201.5, 102.8, 77.2, 41.9, 34.5, 30.9, 29.9, 21.2; **HRMS** exact mass calculated for [M+Na]⁺ (C₁₀H₁₆OSNa⁺) requires m/z 207.0814, found m/z 207.0818.

4-((6-(Trimethylsilyl)hex-1-yn-1-yl)thio)butanal (5g)

Colorless oil (64%); **¹H NMR** (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 9.81 (1H, s, CHO), 2.77-58 (4H, m, SCH₂ και COCH₂), 2.50-2.39 (2H, m, 2 x CHH), 2.35-2.28 (2H, m, 2 x CHH), 2.27-2.18 (2H, m, 2 x CHH), 2.11-2.01 (2H, m, 2 x CHH), 1.67-1.57 (2H, m, 2 x CHH), 0.14 (9H, s, TMS); **¹³C NMR** (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 201.3, 107.0, 94.3, 41.9, 34.5, 29.8, 28.0, 27.7, 21.5, 19.4, -0.1; **HRMS** exact mass calculated for [M+H]⁺ (C₁₃H₂₅OSSi⁺) requires m/z 257.1390, found m/z 257.1398.

4-((Phenylethynyl)thio)butanal (5h)

Pale yellow oil (56%); **¹H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 9.82 (1H, s, CHO), 7.44-7.34 (2H, m, ArH), 7.33-7.22 (3H, m, ArH), 2.84 (2H, t, J = 6.8 Hz, SCH₂), 2.69 (2H, t, J = 7.1 Hz, COCH₂), 2.60-2.73 (2H, m, CH₂); **¹³C NMR** (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 201.3, 131.4, 128.3, 128.2, 123.2, 93.4, 78.5, 41.9, 34.8, 21.5; **HRMS** exact mass calculated for [M+H]⁺ (C₁₂H₁₃OS⁺) requires m/z 205.0682, found m/z 205.0679.

4-((*p*-Tolylethynyl)thio)butanal (5i)

Yellow oil (60%); **¹H NMR** (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 9.82 (1H, s, CHO), 7.35-7.22 (2H, d, J = 7.2 Hz, ArH), 7.14-7.02 (2H, d, J = 7.2 Hz, ArH), 2.82 (2H, t, J = 6.9 Hz, SCH₂), 2.69 (2H, t, J = 7.1 Hz, COCH₂), 2.33 (3H, s, CH₃), 2.04-2.23 (2H, m, CH₂); **¹³C NMR** (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 201.3, 138.4, 131.5, 129.1, 120.1, 93.4, 77.2, 41.9, 34.8, 21.6, 21.5; **HRMS** exact mass calculated for [M+H]⁺ (C₁₃H₁₅OS⁺) requires m/z 219.0838, found m/z 219.0847.

4-(((4-Bromophenyl)ethynyl)thio)butanal (5j)

Colorless oil (40%); **¹H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 9.82 (1H, s, CHO), 7.42 (2H, d, J = 8.2 Hz, ArH), 7.24 (2H, d, J = 8.2 Hz, ArH), 2.84 (2H, t, J = 6.5 Hz, SCH₂), 2.69 (2H, t, J = 7.0 Hz, COCH₂), 2.06-2.23 (2H, m, CH₂); **¹³C NMR** (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 201.1, 132.8, 132.0, 127.2, 122.3, 92.3, 80.1, 41.9, 34.8, 21.6; **HRMS** exact mass calculated for [M+H]⁺ (C₁₂H₁₂BrOS⁺) requires m/z 282.9787, found m/z 282.9781.

4-(((2-Bromophenyl)ethynyl)thio)butanal (5k)

Colorless oil (45%); **¹H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 9.83 (1H, s, CHO), 7.61-7.51 (1H, m, ArH), 7.46-7.35 (1H, m, ArH), 7.22-7.06 (2H, m, ArH), 2.88 (2H, t, J = 6.7 Hz, SCH₂), 2.71 (2H, t, J = 7.1 Hz, COCH₂), 2.33-2.12 (2H, m, CH₂); **¹³C NMR** (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 201.3, 133.5, 132.8, 132.3, 129.0, 127.0, 124.9, 92.1, 84.0, 41.9, 34.8, 21.6; **HRMS** exact mass calculated for [M+H]⁺ (C₁₂H₁₂BrOS⁺) requires m/z 282.9787, found m/z 282.9780.

4-(((4-Fluorophenyl)ethynyl)thio)butanal (5l)

Colorless oil (35%); **¹H NMR** (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 9.82 (1H, s, CHO), 7.44-7.32 (2H, m, ArH), 7.04-6.91 (2H, m, ArH), 2.83 (2H, t, $J = 7.0$ Hz, SCH₂), 2.69 (2H, t, $J = 7.3$ Hz, COCH₂), 2.02-2.24 (2H, m, CH₂); **¹³C NMR** (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 201.1, 157.3 (d, $J = 266.1$ Hz), 133.5 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz), 129.4 (d, $J = 13.9$ Hz), 115.6 (d, $J = 22.6$ Hz), 92.2, 78.2, 41.9, 34.8, 21.6; **¹⁹F NMR** (188 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : -68.7; **HRMS** exact mass calculated for [M+H]⁺ (C₁₂H₁₂FOS⁺) requires m/z 223.0587, found m/z 223.0586.

4-(((3-Fluorophenyl)ethynyl)thio)butanal (5m)

Pale yellow oil (51%); **¹H NMR** (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 9.83 (1H, s, CHO), 7.27-6.92 (4H, m, ArH), 2.85 (2H, t, $J = 6.8$ Hz, SCH₂), 2.70 (2H, t, $J = 7.0$ Hz, COCH₂), 2.04-2.25 (2H, m, CH₂); **¹³C NMR** (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 201.1, 162.3 (d, $J = 246.5$ Hz), 133.3 (d, $J = 15.5$ Hz), 129.9 (d, $J = 8.9$ Hz), 127.2 (d, $J = 3.0$ Hz), 118.0 (d, $J = 22.9$ Hz), 115.4 (d, $J = 21.1$ Hz), 99.4, 92.3, 41.8, 34.8, 21.6; **¹⁹F NMR** (188 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : -70.9; **HRMS** exact mass calculated for [M+Na]⁺ (C₁₂H₁₁FOSNa⁺) requires m/z 244.0407, found m/z 244.0418.

5-(((Triisopropylsilyl)ethynyl)thio)pentanal (5n)

Colorless oil (70%); **¹H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 9.77 (1H, s, CHO), 2.72 (2H, t, J = 6.7 Hz, SCH₂), 2.46 (2H, t, J = 6.2 Hz, COCH₂), 1.85-1.13 (4H, m, 2 x CH₂), 1.05 (21H, s, TIPS); **¹³C NMR** (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 201.9, 97.4, 95.3, 43.3, 35.3, 28.3, 20.5, 18.6, 11.3; **HRMS** exact mass calculated for [M+H]⁺ (C₁₆H₃₁OSSi⁺) requires m/z 299.1859, found m/z 299.1863.

5-((Phenylethynyl)thio)pentanal (5o)

Colorless oil (65%); **¹H NMR** (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 9.78 (1H, s, CHO), 7.45-7.34 (2H, m, ArH), 7.33-7.19 (3H, m, ArH), 2.87-2.71 (2H, m, SCH₂), 2.56-2.42 (2H, m, COCH₂), 1.93-1.74 (4H, m, 2 x CH₂); **¹³C NMR** (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 201.9, 137.6, 131.4, 128.3, 128.1, 89.9, 77.2, 43.3, 35.3, 29.7, 28.7; **HRMS** exact mass calculated for [M+H]⁺ (C₁₃H₁₅OS⁺) requires m/z 219.0838, found m/z 219.0832.

General Procedure for the Photochemical Reaction of Benzyl Sulfides with TIPS-EBX

In a glass vial with a screw cap containing TIPS-EBX (214 mg, 0.50 mmol) in dichloromethane (0.5 mL) and water (0.5 mL), phenylglyoxylic acid (15 mg, 0.10 mmol) and benzyl sulfide (5.00 equiv.) were added. The vial was sealed with a screw cap and left stirring under household bulb irradiation (2 x 85W household lamps, see photos below) for 18 h. The solvent was removed *in vacuo* and the desired product was isolated after purification by column chromatography.

Scheme. **A**: 2 x 85W fluorescent household lamps utilized for the photocatalytic reaction. Bulbs are placed symmetrically 3 cm away from the reaction tube. **B**: Beginning of the reaction.

((Benzylthio)ethynyl)triisopropylsilane (5p)²¹

Colorless oil (63%); ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.42-7.17 (5H, m, ArH), 3.93 (2H, s, SCH₂), 1.02 (21H, s, TIPS); ¹³C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 136.7, 129.0, 128.5, 127.6, 98.7, 96.2, 43.5, 18.6, 11.3; MS (ESI) m/z (%) 305 [M+H, 86%]⁺.

Triisopropyl((dodecylthio)ethynyl)silane (5q)

Colorless oil (60%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 2.74 (2H, t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, SCH₂), 1.83-1.73 (2H, m, 2 x CHH), 1.48-1.39 (2H, m, 2 x CHH), 1.37-1.22 (16H, m, 16 x CHH), 1.09 (21H, s, TIPS), 0.91 (3H, t, *J* = 6.9 Hz, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 96.8, 77.2, 35.9, 31.9, 29.7, 29.6, 29.5, 29.4, 29.3, 29.2, 29.1, 28.2, 22.7, 18.6, 14.1, 11.4; HRMS exact mass calculated for [M+H]⁺ (C₂₃H₄₇SSi⁺) requires *m/z* 383.3162, found *m/z* 383.3169.

Triisopropyl((phenethylthio)ethynyl)silane (5r)

Colorless oil (66%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 7.39-7.13 (5H, m, ArH), 3.17-3.04 (2H, m, SCH₂), 2.96-2.82 (2H, m, PhCH₂), 1.09 (21H, s, TIPS); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 139.7, 128.7, 128.6, 126.6, 97.8, 95.5, 37.0, 35.5, 18.7, 11.4; HRMS exact mass calculated for [M+H]⁺ (C₁₉H₃₁SSi⁺) requires *m/z* 319.1910, found *m/z* 319.1915.

Triisopropyl((phenylthio)ethynyl)silane (5s)

Colorless oil (75%); ^1H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl_3) δ : 7.48-7.15 (5H, m, ArH), 1.11 (21H, s, TIPS); ^{13}C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl_3) δ : 133.0, 129.4, 126.5, 126.2, 103.5, 91.3, 18.9, 11.6; HRMS exact mass calculated for $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ ($\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{27}\text{SSi}^+$) requires m/z 291.1597, found m/z 291.1592.

Triisopropyl(((2-methoxyphenyl)thio)ethynyl)silane, (5t)

Colorless oil (65%); ^1H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl_3) δ : 7.70-7.60 (1H, m, ArH), 7.23-7.11 (1H, m, ArH), 7.06-6.94 (1H, m, ArH), 6.88-6.77 (1H, m, ArH), 3.87 (3H, s, OCH_3), 1.12 (21H, s, TIPS); ^{13}C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl_3) δ : 155.1, 127.2, 126.6, 121.6, 103.4, 91.1, 55.9, 18.6, 11.4; HRMS exact mass calculated for $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ ($\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{29}\text{OSSi}^+$) requires m/z 321.1630, found m/z 321.1633.

Triisopropyl(((4-nitrophenyl)thio)ethynyl)silane, (5u)²²

Yellow oil (80%); ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ : 8.23 (2H, d, $J = 9.0$ Hz, ArH), 7.60 (2H, d, $J = 9.0$ Hz, ArH), 1.17 (21H, s, TIPS); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3) δ : 146.3, 142.9, 125.8, 124.3, 106.8, 88.0, 18.7, 11.4; MS (ESI) m/z (%) 336 $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$, 82%⁺.

(2*R*,3*R*,4*S*,5*R*,6*S*)-2-(Acetoxymethyl)-6-(((triisopropylsilyl)ethynyl)thio)tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-3,4,5-triyl triacetate (5v)

Viscous colorless oil (32%); $[\alpha]_D^{26}$ -85.0 (c 0.2, CHCl₃); **¹H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 5.29-5.22 (2H, m, 2 x OCH), 5.14-5.07 (1H, m, OCH), 4.61-4.56 (1H, m, OCH), 4.28 (1H, dd, J = 12.5 and 4.8 Hz, OCHH), 4.14 (1H, dd, J = 12.5 and 2.2 Hz, OCHH), 3.77 (1H, ddd, J = 10.1, 4.7 and 2.2 Hz, OCH), 2.09 (3H, s, CH₃), 2.08 (3H, s, CH₃), 2.04 (3H, s, CH₃), 2.02 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.11 (21H, s, TIPS); **¹³C NMR** (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 170.6, 170.2, 169.2, 168.9, 102.2, 88.8, 84.8, 76.4, 73.7, 69.8, 67.7, 61.9, 20.7, 20.6, 20.5, 20.4, 18.6, 18.5, 11.2; **HRMS** exact mass calculated for $[M+H]^+$ (C₂₅H₄₁O₉SSi⁺) requires m/z 545.2235, found m/z 545.2230.

Methyl *N*-(*tert*-butoxycarbonyl)-(*S*)-(((triisopropylsilyl)ethynyl)-*L*-cysteinate (5w)²²

Yellow oil (34%); $[\alpha]_D^{26}$ -24.0 (c 0.25, CHCl₃); **¹H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 5.47 (1H, d, J = 7.4 Hz, NH), 4.75-4.66 (1H, m, NCH), 3.80 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.27 (1H, dd, J = 13.6 and 4.1 Hz, SCHH), 3.15 (1H, dd, J = 13.6 and 5.6 Hz, SCHH), 1.47 (9H, s, 3 x CH₃), 1.09 (21H, s, TIPS); **¹³C NMR** (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 170.7, 155.1, 98.1, 94.5, 80.3, 53.9, 52.7, 38.4, 28.2, 18.6, 11.2; **MS (ESI) m/z (%)** 416 $[M+H, 78\%]^+$.

Gram Scale Photochemical Reaction of Tetrahydrofuran with EthynylBenziodoXolone (EBX) Reagent

A round-bottomed flask was charged with 1-((triisopropylsilyl)ethynyl)benzo[1,2]iodaoxol-3(1*H*)-one **1a** (1.00 g, 2.33 mmol), tetrahydrofuran (15.6 mL) and phenylglyoxylic acid **4a** (70 mg, 0.47 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred and irradiated using 2 x 85W household lamps for 18 h. After irradiation, the temperature was measured between the lamps (thermometer reading: 44 °C) and inside of the flask (thermometer reading: 45 °C). The solvent was removed *in vacuo* and the desired product was isolated in 68% yield after purification by column chromatography (Pet. Ether:Ethyl acetate 90:10).

Determination of the Quantum Yield

Determination of the photon flux

The photon flux of the lamps was determined following the work of Yoon and coworkers,²³ utilizing standard ferrioxalate actinometry,^{24,25} and was calculated to be 6.66×10^{-10} einstein s^{-1} .

Determination of the quantum yield for the photochemical reaction of tetrahydrofuran with TIPS-EBX

A cuvette was charged with 1-((triisopropylsilyl)ethynyl)benzo[1,2]iodaoxol-3(1*H*)-one **1a** (32 mg, 0.075 mmol), tetrahydrofuran (0.5 mL) and phenylglyoxylic acid **4a** (2.3 mg, 0.015 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred and irradiated using 2 x 85W household lamps for 21600 s (6 h). After irradiation, the solvent was removed and the yield of the product was determined by ^1H NMR (37%). The quantum yield was determined with the following equation:

$$\Phi = \frac{\text{mol product}}{\text{flux} \times t \times f} = \frac{27.8 \times 10^{-6} \text{ mol}}{6.66 \times 10^{-10} \text{ einstein s}^{-1} \times 21600 \text{ s} \times 0.99999} = 1.93$$

Determination of the quantum yield for the photochemical reaction of tetrahydrothiophene with TIPS-EBX

A cuvette was charged with 1-((triisopropylsilyl)ethynyl)benzo[1,2]iodaoxol-3(1*H*)-one **1a** (32 mg, 0.075 mmol), tetrahydrothiophene (0.25 mL), water (0.25 mL) and phenylglyoxylic acid **4a** (2.3 mg, 0.015 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred and irradiated using 2 x 85W household lamps for 21600 s (6 h). After irradiation, the solvent was removed and the yield of the product was determined by ^1H NMR (66%). The quantum yield was determined with the following equation:

$$\Phi = \frac{\text{mol product}}{\text{flux} \times t \times f} = \frac{49 \times 10^{-6} \text{ mol}}{6.66 \times 10^{-10} \text{ einstein s}^{-1} \times 21600 \text{ s} \times 0.99999} = 3.41$$

Photochemical reaction of tetrahydrofuran with TIPS-EBX

(Cut-off Filter below 400 nm)

In a glass vial with a screw cap containing phenylglyoxylic acid **4a** (2.3 mg, 0.015 mmol), 1-((triisopropylsilyl)ethynyl)benzo[1,2]iodaoxol-3(1*H*)-one **1a** (32 mg, 0.075 mmol), tetrahydrofuran (0.5 mL). The vial was sealed with a screw cap and was placed in a solution of NaNO₂ (1M) in a tube-in-tube arrangement. The vial was sealed with a screw cap and left stirring under household bulb irradiation for 18 h. After irradiation, the yield of the product was determined by ¹H-NMR.

Photochemical reaction of tetrahydrothiophene with TIPS-EBX**(Cut-off Filter below 400 nm)**

In a glass vial with a screw cap containing phenylglyoxylic acid **4a** (2.3 mg, 0.015 mmol), 1-((triisopropylsilyl)ethynyl)benzo[1,2]iodaoxol-3(1*H*)-one **1a** (32 mg, 0.075 mmol), tetrahydrothiophene (0.25 mL) and water (0.25). The vial was sealed with a screw cap and was placed in a solution of NaNO₂ (1M) in a tube-in-tube arrangement. The reaction was left stirring under household bulb irradiation for 18 h. After irradiation, the yield of the product was determined by ¹H-NMR.

Mechanistic Investigations with UV-Vis Absorption Spectra

UV-Vis absorption of phenylglyoxylic acid (10^{-2} M), TIPS-EBX (0.05 M) and phenylglyoxylic acid (10^{-2} M) with TIPS-EBX (0.05 M) in THF

Phenylglyoxylic acid (10^{-2} M) in THF

TIPS-EBX (0.05 M) in THF

Phenylglyoxylic acid (10^{-2} M) and TIPS-EBX (0.05 M) in THF

THT (3×10^{-2} M), phenylglyoxylic acid (7×10^{-4} M), TIPS-EBX (4×10^{-3} M) their mixtures in CH_2Cl_2

Fluorescence Quenching Studies

After irradiation of phenylglyoxylic acid (1 mM in solvent) at 360 nm, its fluorescence was measured at 402 nm. Increasing the added amount of THF, a low decrease in the fluorescence was observed. The corresponding Stern-Volmer plots in acetonitrile (diagram **A**) or in CH_2Cl_2 (diagram **B**) are presented below.

A

B

After irradiation of phenylglyoxylic acid (1 mM in MeCN) at 360 nm, its fluorescence was measured at 402 nm. Increasing the added amount of TIPS-EBX, a constant decrease in the fluorescence was observed. The corresponding Stern-Volmer plot is presented below.

After irradiation of phenylglyoxylic acid (1 mM in MeCN) at 360 nm, its fluorescence was measured at 402 nm. Increasing the added amount of THT, no decrease in the fluorescence was observed. The corresponding Stern-Volmer plot is presented below.

^{13}C -NMR Mechanistic Experiments

Initially, the ^{13}C -NMR spectra of TIPS-EBX in CDCl_3 were recorded before and after irradiation for 4 h (in THF, then evaporation). The two spectra are the same. No photodecomposition is observed.

^{13}C NMR spectrum of TIPS-EBX in CDCl_3

^{13}C NMR spectrum of TIPS-EBX CDCl_3 , after irradiation for 4 h (in THF)

^{13}C -NMR spectra (in CDCl_3) of PhCOCO_2H , before and after irradiation for 4 h (in THF) were recorded. After irradiation, additional peaks were observed, that can be attributed mainly to the dimerization product²⁶ (product from photodecomposition, **101** and **100 ppm**) and partly to decomposition to benzaldehyde (**196 ppm**).²⁷

Finally, the reaction mixture (PhCOCOOH and TIPS-EBX) (in CDCl₃) presented all expected signals. Similar photodecomposition of PhCOCOOH is observed (dimerization product, **100 ppm** and benzaldehyde, **197 ppm**).

^{13}C -NMR spectra (in CDCl_3) of PhCOCO_2H , before (See S58, top) and after irradiation for 4 h (in THT and water) were recorded. After irradiation, additional peaks were observed, that can be attributed mainly to the disulfide **7** bearing an aldehyde, **201 ppm** and photodecomposition of PhCOCO_2H (dimerization product, **100 ppm** and benzaldehyde, **197 ppm**).

^{13}C NMR spectrum of PhCOCO_2H in CDCl_3 , after irradiation for 4 h (in THT/ H_2O)

^{13}C -NMR spectra of TIPS-EBX in CDCl_3 were recorded before (See S57, top) and after irradiation for 4 h (in THT and water). Additional peaks were observed, that can be attributed mainly to **7** and product **5a**.

¹H-NMR Mechanistic Experiments

Initially, the ¹H-NMR spectra of TIPS-EBX in CDCl₃ were recorded before and after irradiation for 4 h (in THF, then evaporation). The two spectra are the same.

¹H NMR spectrum of TIPS-EBX in (in THF)

¹H NMR spectrum of TIPS-EBX in CDCl₃, after irradiation for 4 h (in THF)

^1H -NMR spectra (in CDCl_3) of PhCOCO_2H , before and after irradiation for 60 min (in THF) were recorded. After irradiation, additional peaks were observed, that can be attributed mainly to the dimerization product²⁶ (product from photodecomposition, **8.01-7.93 ppm**) and benzaldehyde (**9.71 ppm**).²⁷

Finally, the reaction mixture (PhCOCOOH and TIPS-EBX) (in CDCl₃) presented all expected signals.

¹H NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture in CDCl₃ (in THF)

¹H NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture in CDCl₃, after irradiation for 4 h (in THF)

Compound **7** (deriving from water addition to THT radical) was isolated by column chromatography. The ^1H -NMR spectrum is presented below.

Furthermore, when TIPS-EBX (**1a**) (21.4 mg, 0.05 mmol), phenylglyoxylic acid (**4a**) (1.5 mg, 0.010 mmol) and benzyl mercaptan (31.0 mg, 0.25 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂/H₂O (1 mL), were added in a glass vial with a screw cap and left stirring for 18 h, **5p** was isolated in 57% yield. When the reaction was kept in dark, **5p** was not formed.

Photochemical Reaction between TIPS-EBX, THF and TEMPO

In a glass vial with a screw cap containing TIPS-EBX (**1a**) (214 mg, 0.50 mmol), TEMPO (78 mg, 0.50 mmol), phenylglyoxylic acid (**4a**) (15 mg, 0.10 mmol) in THF (1 mL), was added. The vial was sealed with a screw cap and left stirring under household bulb irradiation for 18 h. The reaction mixture was analyzed by Gas Chromatograph Mass Spectrometer (GC-MS). The spectra recorded are presented below. 2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-1-((tetrahydrofuran-2-yl)oxy)piperidine and THF-TEMPO were observed, hinting the formation of a benzoyl radical and α -THF radical.

The adducts formed from the reaction between TIPS-EBX and TEMPO in THF, in the presence of phenylglyoxylic acid, identified by GC-MS.

Photochemical Reaction between TIPS-EBX, THT and TEMPO

In a glass vial with a screw cap containing TIPS-EBX (**1a**) (214 mg, 0.50 mmol), TEMPO (78 mg, 0.50 mmol), phenylglyoxylic acid (**4a**) (15 mg, 0.10 mmol) in THT/H₂O (1 mL, 1:1), was added. The vial was sealed with a screw cap and left stirring under household bulb irradiation for 18 h. The reaction mixture was analyzed by Gas Chromatograph Mass Spectrometer (GC-MS). The spectra recorded are presented below. 2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-1-((tetrahydrothiofuran-2-yl)oxy)piperidine was observed, hinting the formation of α -THT radical.

The adduct formed from the reaction between TIPS-EBX and TEMPO in THT, in the presence of phenylglyoxylic acid, identified by GC-MS.

High Resolution Mass Spectrometry Studies

Instrumentation

The High Resolution Mass Spectra were recorded with a Q-TOF (Time of Flight Mass Spectrometry) Bruker Maxis Impact with ESI source and U-HPLC Thermo Dionex Ultimate 3000 pump and autosampler. N₂ was used as collision gas and electrospray ionization (ESI) – positive and negative mode - was used for the MS experiments. The data acquisition was carried out with Data Analysis from Bruker Daltonics (version 4.1). For the MS experiments, a solution approximately of 10 mg/L in acetonitrile for each analyte was used. Acetonitrile LC-MS gradient was obtained from Carlo Erba Reagents (Chaussée du Vexin, France). (Source conditions: End plate offset 500V, Capillary 4500V, Nebulizer 0.4 Bar, Dry gas 4.0 l/min, Dry temperature 180 °C and Quadrupole conditions: Ion energy 5 eV, Collision energy 10 eV, Transfer time 143 µs, Collision ion RF 3500 vpp, Pre pulse storage 1µs). The photochemical setup was placed next to the Q-TOF instrument and a direct infusion, directly from the irradiated reaction mixture, was performed, in order to minimize time without irradiation. Since the crude reaction mixture was analyzed by this method, there are a lot of peaks with different intensities. Most peaks assigned to intermediates are not visible in the full-scan spectra and thus zoomed-in insets are provided.

Reaction between THF and **1a** in the presence of **4a** under irradiation

In a glass vial with a screw cap containing 1-((triisopropylsilyl)ethynyl)benzo[1,2]iodaoxol-3(1*H*)-one **1a** (32 mg, 0.075 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (0.5 mL), phenylglyoxylic acid **4a** (2.3 mg, 0.015 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred and irradiated using 2 x 85W household lamps for 4 h. The photochemical setup was placed next to the Q-TOF instrument and a direct infusion, directly from the irradiated reaction mixture, was performed, in order to minimize time without irradiation. Since the crude reaction mixture was analyzed by this method, there

are a lot of peaks with different intensities. Most peaks assigned to intermediates are not visible in the full-scan spectra and thus zoomed-in insets are provided.

High Resolution Mass Spectrometry in Positive ESI mode

1 h

2 h

High Resolution Mass Spectrometry in Negative ESI mode

1 h

2 h

3 h

4 h

Reaction between THT and **1a** in the presence of **4a** under irradiation

In a glass vial with a screw cap containing 1-((triisopropylsilyl)ethynyl)benzo[1,2]iodaoxol-3(1*H*)-one **1a** (32 mg, 0.075 mmol) in tetrahydrothiophene (0.25 mL) and water (0.25 mL), phenylglyoxylic acid **4a** (2.3 mg, 0.015 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred and irradiated using 2 x 85W household lamps for 4 h. The photochemical setup was placed next to the Q-TOF instrument and a direct infusion, directly from the irradiated reaction mixture, was performed, in order to minimize time without irradiation. Since the crude reaction mixture was analyzed by this method, there are a lot of peaks with different intensities. Most peaks assigned to intermediates are not visible in the full-scan spectra and thus zoomed-in insets are provided.

High Resolution Mass Spectrometry in Positive ESI mode

0 min

1 h

2 h

3 h

4 h

High Resolution Mass Spectrometry in Negative ESI mode

0 min

1 h

2 h

3 h

4 h

Reaction between THT and **1a** in the presence of **4a** and Tempo under irradiation

In a glass vial with a screw cap containing 1-((triisopropylsilyl)ethynyl)benzo[1,2]iodaoxol-3(1*H*)-one **1a** (32 mg, 0.075 mmol) in tetrahydrothiophene (0.25 mL) and water (0.25 mL), phenylglyoxylic acid **4a** (2.3 mg, 0.015 mmol) and Tempo (12 mg, 0.075 mmol) were added. The reaction mixture was stirred and irradiated using 2 x 85W household lamps for 4 h. The photochemical setup was placed next to the Q-TOF instrument and a direct infusion, directly from the irradiated reaction mixture, was performed, in order to minimize time without irradiation. Since the crude reaction mixture was analyzed by this method, there are a lot of peaks with different intensities. Most peaks assigned to intermediates are not visible in the full-scan spectra and thus zoomed-in insets are provided.

High Resolution Mass Spectrometry in Positive ESI mode

1 h

2 h

3 h

4 h

High Resolution Mass Spectrometry in Negative ESI mode

1 h

2 h

3 h

4 h

Reaction between THT and **1a** in the presence of **4a** under argon and irradiation

In a glass vial with a screw cap containing 1-((triisopropylsilyl)ethynyl)benzo[1,2]iodaoxol-3(1*H*)-one **1a** (32 mg, 0.075 mmol) in tetrahydrothiophene (0.25 mL) and water (0.25 mL), phenylglyoxylic acid **4a** (2.3 mg, 0.015 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was freeze-pumped-thawed and stirred under argon. The reaction mixture was stirred and irradiated using 2 x 85W household lamps for 3 h. The photochemical setup was placed next to the Q-TOF instrument and a direct infusion, directly from the irradiated reaction mixture, was performed, in order to minimize time without irradiation. Since the crude reaction mixture was analyzed by this method, there are a lot of peaks with different intensities. Most peaks assigned to intermediates are not visible in the full-scan spectra and thus zoomed-in insets are provided.

High Resolution Mass Spectrometry in Positive ESI mode

3 h

High Resolution Mass Spectrometry in Negative ESI mode

3 h

Determination of the KIE with High Resolution Mass Spectrometry (HRMS)

Instrumentation

The High Resolution Mass Spectrometry spectra were recorded on a Q-TOF (Time of Flight Mass Spectrometry) Bruker Maxis Impact with ESI source and U-HPLC Thermo Dionex Ultimate 3000 pump and autosampler. N₂ was used as the collision gas and electrospray ionization (ESI) –positive mode- was used for the Auto MS experiments. Chromolith (RP) (100 x 4.6 mm, Merck) was used as a column and the mobile phase was consisted of MeCN/H₂O (80/20, v/v):0.5% formic acid at 0.3 mL/min as flow rate. The data acquisition was carried out with Data analysis from Bruker Daltonics (version 4.1). The same reaction procedure was performed as above.

Reaction between THF/THF-*d*8 and **1a** in the presence of **4a** under irradiation

In a glass vial with a screw cap containing 1-((triisopropylsilyl)ethynyl)benzo[1,2]iodaoxol-3(1*H*)-one **1a** (32 mg, 0.075 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (0.25 mL): tetrahydrofuran-*d*8 (0.25 mL), phenylglyoxylic acid **4a** (2.3 mg, 0.015 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred and irradiated using 2 x 85W household lamps for 3-5 h. The reaction conversion after 5 h was 20%. The photochemical setup was placed next to the Q-TOF instrument and a direct infusion, directly from the irradiated reaction mixture, was performed. Since the crude reaction mixture was analyzed by this method, there are a lot of peaks with different intensities. Most peaks assigned to intermediates are not visible in the full-scan spectra and thus zoomed-in insets are provided.

EICs (Extracted Ion Chromatograms) in Positive ESI mode

3 h

Kinetic Isotope Effect

$$d-0 / d-7 = 220193 / 57901 = 3.8$$

4 h

RT [min]	Area	Chromatogram
18.2	374735	EIC 253.1982

RT [min]	Area	Chromatogram
17.8	96720	EIC 260.2422

Kinetic Isotope Effect

$$d-0 / d-7 = 374735 / 96720 = 3.9$$

5 h

RT [min]	Area	Chromatogram
18.2	280087	EIC 253.1982

RT [min]	Area	Chromatogram
17.9	70570	EIC 260.2422

Kinetic Isotope Effect

$$d-0 / d-7 = 280087 / 70570 = 4.0$$

The results showed high mass accuracy (less than 5 ppm) and acceptable isotopic fit values (less than 50 mSigma).

Sigma: is a rate for the agreement of the theoretical and measured isotopic pattern of the mass peak of interest. It combines the standard deviation of the masses and intensities for all isotopic peaks. The values are given in [milliSigma] and lower numbers indicate a better fit.

Score: Score of the formula (a value between 0 and 100%). **rdb:** Number of rings and double bonds in the formula.

e⁻Conf: Indicates whether the electron configuration is even or odd.

N-Rule: Indicates whether the nitrogen rule is fulfilled.

References

1. F. Le Vaillant, T. Courant, J. Waser, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 11200-11204.
2. A. Köllhofer, H. Plenio, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2005**, *347*, 1295-1300.
3. D. P. Hari, J. Waser, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 2190-2193.
4. K. Jia, F. Zhang, H. Huang, Y. Chen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 1514-1517.
5. A. G. Katopodis, H. A. Smith, S. W. May, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 897-899.
6. X. Ma, J. Yu, R. Yan, M. Yan, Q. Xu, *J. Org. Chem.* **2019**, *84*, 11294-11300.
7. K. Ajiki, M. Hirano, K. Tanaka, *Org. Lett.* **2005**, *7*, 4193-4195.
8. V. T. Bhat, P. A. Duspara, S. Seo, N. S. B. A. Bakara, M. F. Greaney, *Chem. Commun.* **2015**, *51*, 4383-4385.
9. T. Itoh, T. Mase, *Org. Lett.* **2004**, *6*, 4587-4590.
10. J. Ham, I. Yang, H. Kang, *J. Org. Chem.* **2004**, *69*, 3236-3239.
11. J. Mondal, A. Modak, A. Dutta, S. Basu, S. N. Jha, D. Bhattacharyya, A. Bhaumik, *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, *48*, 8000-8002.
12. S.-S. Weng, Y.-D. Lin, C.-T. Chen, *Org. Lett.* **2006**, *8*, 5633-5636.
13. M. L. Di Gioia, A. Barattucci, P. Bonaccorsi, A. Leggio, L. Minuti, E. Romio, A. Temperini, C. Siciliano, *RSC Adv.* **2014**, *4*, 2678-2686.
14. D. S. B. Daniels, A. L. Thompson, E. A. Anderson, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 11506-11510.
15. R.-Y. Zhang, L.-Y. Xi, L. Zhang, S. Liang, S.-Y. Chen, X.-Q. Yu, *RSC Adv.* **2014**, *4*, 54349-54353.
16. Y. Yang, H. Huang, X. Zhang, W. Zeng, Y. Liang, *Synthesis* **2013**, *45*, 3137-3146.
17. J. Zhang, P. Li, L. Wang, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2014**, *12*, 2969-2978.
18. M. Wan, Z. Meng, H. Lou, L. Liu, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 13845-13849.
19. S. Hosseyni, C. A. Smith, X. Shi, *Org. Lett.* **2016**, *18*, 6336-6339.
20. K. Ito, M. Yoshitake, T. Katsuki, *Tetrahedron* **1996**, *52*, 3905-3920.
21. M. D. Wodrich, P. Caramenti, J. Waser, *Org. Lett.* **2016**, *18*, 60-63.

22. B. Waldecker, F. Kraft, C. Golz, M. Alcarazo, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 12538-12542.
23. M. A. Cismesia, T. P. Yoon, *Chem. Sci.* **2015**, *6*, 5426-5434.
24. E. Fernandez, J. M. Figuera, A. Tobar, *J. Photochem.* **1979**, *11*, 69-71.
25. W. D. Bowman, J. N. Demas, *J. Phys. Chem.* **1976**, *20*, 2434-2435.
26. N. Kaplaneris, A. Bisticha, G. N. Papadopoulos, D. Limnios, C. G. Kokotos, *Green Chem.* **2017**, *19*, 4451-4456.
27. E. Voutyritsa, C. G. Kokotos, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59*, 1735-1741.

167.1
165.5
96.1
90.2
111.2
12.0

167.4
165.5
161.1
159.1
156.1

167.4
165.5

167.4
165.5
161.1
159.1
156.1

7.52
7.51
7.50
7.49
7.48
7.47
7.46

4.87
4.86
4.85
4.84
4.83
4.82
4.81

1.98
1.97
1.96
1.95
1.94
1.93
1.92

32.81
27.20
26.11

69.55
68.42

76.15

80.77

C1CCCOC1C#CCCCCCCCCCCC

C1CCOC1C#CC2(C)C(C)(C)C(C)(C)C2
3n

1.09
1.00
0.97
0.88

4.77
4.68

5.64
5.56

7.53
7.44
7.40
7.32

1.91
1.87
1.86
1.85
1.84

7.22
7.20
7.19
7.18

0.84
0.87
0.90
1.20
1.46
2.03
2.06
2.10
2.23
2.33
2.51
2.76

0.80

2.07
2.11
2.14
2.17
2.21
2.66
2.70
2.73
2.81
2.85
2.88

6.92
7.37

9.84