

Application of Thomson scattering to helicon plasma sources

R. Agnello^{1†}, Y. Andrebe,¹ H. Arnichand,¹ P. Blanchard,¹ T. De Kerchove,¹ I. Furno,¹ A. A. Howling,¹ R. Jacquier,¹ and A. Sublet²

¹Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Swiss Plasma Center (SPC), CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

²CERN, Geneva, Switzerland

(Received xx; revised xx; accepted xx)

The possibility of performing electron density and temperature measurements in a high power helicon plasma is a crucial issue in the framework of the AWAKE (Advanced WAKEfield Experiment) project, which demonstrates acceleration of particles using GeV/m electric fields in plasmas. For AWAKE, a helicon is currently envisaged as a candidate plasma source due to its capability for low electron and ion temperature, high electron density, and production of an elongated plasma column. A plasma diagnostic to accurately determine the electron density at AWAKE regimes would be a valuable supporting tool. A demonstration Thomson Scattering (TS) diagnostic was installed and successfully tested on the Resonant Antenna Ion Device (RAID) at the Swiss Plasma Center of Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne. RAID produces a helicon plasma column with characteristics similar to that of the AWAKE helicon source, and is therefore an optimal testbed for application to the AWAKE device. The spectrometer employed in RAID is based on polychromators which collect the light scattered by plasma electrons in spectrally filtered wavelength regions. Results from TS on RAID demonstrate conditions of $n_e = 1.10 (\pm 0.19) \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$ and $T_e = 2.3 (\pm 0.6) \text{ eV}$ in a steady state discharge in Ar plasma with 5 kW of RF power. If the same polychromator system is used for AWAKE, where the electron density attains $2 \times 10^{20} \text{ m}^{-3}$, the contribution to measurement error due to coherent scattering is $\sim 2.5\%$. Presented here are details of the TS diagnostic and the first tests in RAID, and the expectations for the system when employed on the AWAKE device.

1. Introduction

The next generation of particle accelerators for high energy particle physics require more efficient acceleration methods and more compact machines. One possible way to achieve gigaelectron volt (GeV) energies is plasma acceleration using the strong microelectric fields, called "wakefields", generated by plasma density fluctuations (Tajima & Dawson 1979). In AWAKE, proton bunches will be used to drive wakefields where electron bunches are subsequently accelerated to the target energies. Electrons can thus be linearly accelerated in a few meters up to multi-GeV energies. The AWAKE project is therefore a crucial step for the development of compact and efficient particle accelerators (AWAKEcollaboration 2018).

A challenging goal for the development of AWAKE plasma source is the achievement of an electron density near 10^{21} m^{-3} , with high homogeneity (better than 0.2 %) over a 10 m plasma cell (AWAKEcollaboration 2013). Such a stringent plasma density uniformity

† Email address for correspondence: riccardo.agnello@epfl.ch

is required for wakefield acceleration and electron bunch focussing (Plyushchev *et al.* 2018). Moreover, the electron temperature has to be kept below a few eV, since high electron temperatures tend to destroy the accelerating structure of the wakefield. A Rb vapor source was successfully employed to achieve the acceleration of electrons in the plasma wakefield generated by proton bunches (Adli *et al.* 2018). This kind of source however requires a laser pulse to convert the gas into a plasma. Helicon plasma cells are a promising candidate to generate the plasmas needed for AWAKE. Their main advantage is that they can produce elongated and centrally peaked plasma columns with high density (max achieved steady state is $\sim 10^{20} \text{ m}^{-3}$) and few eV of electron temperature. The target density for AWAKE is $7 \times 10^{20} \text{ m}^{-3}$ and it is suggested that helicon could reach such a target density. Still, some limitations due to neutral pumping, preventing to heat up the plasma center, have to be fully investigated (Buttenschon *et al.* 2018).

Thomson Scattering (TS) is a well established technique to measure electron density and temperature in plasmas, including those sustained by helicon waves (Biewer & Shaw 2014; Biewer *et al.* 2016; Bozhnikov *et al.* 2017; Seo *et al.* 2013). It is routinely used in Tokamak research to obtain electron density and temperature profiles in the plasma core where the density reaches about $2 \times 10^{20} \text{ m}^{-3}$ and the temperature several keV (Pitzschke 2011; Pasqualotto *et al.* 2004). In this work, we tested a TS diagnostic in a helicon plasma produced by a resonant antenna in a birdcage geometry (Guittienne *et al.* 2005). Helicon devices can sustain plasma discharges up to $n_e \approx 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$ and low electron and ion temperatures with a few kW power. In these regimes Langmuir Probes (LPs) suffer strong heating and, moreover, electrons are strongly affected by magnetic fields making measurements unreliable. In the Rb vapor source for AWAKE, the electron density was indirectly estimated by measuring the Rb gas pressure and considering the ionization of all Rb atoms in the laser beam volume (Plyushchev *et al.* 2018). The only way to directly measure plasma density in such high density regimes is to employ active or passive spectroscopic techniques which are far less invasive, such as TS. The advantages of TS diagnostics are: (1) measurements of local quantities; (2) time resolution of the order of the laser pulse duration; (3) interpretation of the signal reflects the velocity distribution of the particles without requiring any collisional-radiative code. The scattering signal is proportional to the number of the electrons scattering the electromagnetic pulse, therefore high density plasmas are well adapted. This makes argon plasma suitable to test the TS diagnostic since high degrees of ionization can be easily achieved with a few kW power on helicon devices. RAID represents a suitable testbench for a TS diagnostic for the AWAKE project, since helicon plasma columns in Ar can be easily produced at relative high densities (10^{19} m^{-3}).

This work describes the design, the calibration and the commissioning of a TS diagnostic on RAID which could be employed for AWAKE helicon plasma cell. Sec. 2 describes the design of the TS experimental setup including the description of RAID, the relative calibration of the polychromators, the absolute Raman calibration in nitrogen gas, and the precautions to mitigate the problem of undesired "stray light". Sec. 3 presents the measurements performed in steady-state argon plasma discharges. Sec. 4 discusses the physics and technical challenges of the implementation of the present TS system in AWAKE scenarios. In Sec. 5 we conclude with a summary and possible improvements.

2. Implementation of Thomson Scattering diagnostic on RAID

RAID is a linear plasma device developed at the Swiss Plasma Center of Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne for negative ion studies for fusion and for basic




FIGURE 1. Schematic of the Resonant Antenna Ion Device (RAID) showing the position of the Thomson Scattering vacuum flight tubes with respect to the antenna position.

plasma physics investigations (Furno *et al.* 2017). A schematic of RAID main components is shown in Fig. 1. The vacuum vessel is a cylinder 1.5 m long and 0.4 m wide. It is surrounded by six water-cooled electromagnets able to produce a magnetic field up to 800 G along the mechanical axis of the vessel and 30 G in the center of the antenna. A steady state plasma column is sustained by the propagation of helicon waves launched by a birdcage antenna (Guittienne *et al.* 2005) operating at a frequency of 13.56 MHz. The plasma column ends on a water cooled, electrically floating, copper target covered with a thin foil of molybdenum to minimize ion sputtering. RAID can sustain a variety of plasma discharges including H_2 , D_2 , relevant for fusion studies (Marini *et al.* 2017; Agnello *et al.* 2018) as well as He, Ar, N_2 and Ne for wider plasma physics investigations (Thompson *et al.* 2017). In this paper we will discuss only Ar plasmas, which are more suitable to test the TS diagnostic, since a larger number of photons is scattered, due to the higher densities compared to other gases. Moreover, high-Z gases are preferred for plasma acceleration, to minimize the background plasma motion (Vieira *et al.* 2018). Testing the TS in RAID can help assessing the possibility of using this technique for AWAKE in terms of accuracy, spatial resolution and the technical design of a TS diagnostic. A major difference between RAID and AWAKE is that, while RAID produces a steady state plasma column, AWAKE is a pulsed plasma device where discharges last a few ms (Buttenschon *et al.* 2018). The vacuum flight tubes for the laser beam used for Thomson Scattering shown in Fig. 1 are 1.1 m from the center of the antenna. At their extremities, windows tilted at the Brewster angle are installed. The TS probing photons are produced by a Q-switched Nd:YAG Lambda Laser of 1064 nm wavelength, 0.8 J pulse energy, 6 ns pulse duration and 10 Hz repetition rate. The laser beam exits the laser head with a diameter of 8 mm, a divergence < 0.5 mrad and a pointing stability $< 50 \mu\text{rad}$. The alignment of the Nd:YAG laser beam is performed with a He-Ne laser (632 nm) collinear with the Nd:YAG. In Fig. 2, the positioning of the laser beam path with respect to the RAID vessel is shown. The trajectory of the Nd:YAG beam is then checked with flash paper for fine adjustments. The laser is mounted on an optical table close to the vessel and the frame supporting the laser beam path is free standing from the vacuum vessel to minimize mechanical vibrations caused by pumping and cooling systems. The laser beam is directed to the vessel by mirror M1, then vertically directed by M2 and finally it enters vertically from the top of the vessel after having been steered by mirrors M3 and M4. Before entering the vessel, the laser beam is focused so that its diameter is 1 mm at




FIGURE 2. Laser path and optical arrangement of the Thomson Scattering diagnostics in RAID (not to scale). Before entering the vacuum vessel, the beam is focused by lenses and passes through a window tilted at the Brewster angle to minimize back reflections.

the measurement position. This is to increase the density of photons in the interaction volume. After the beam waist, the beam expands, exits from the bottom window and is collected in the beam dump, made of multiple tilted glass plates. The vacuum flight tubes on the top and bottom of the cylindrical vessel are 50 cm long and 11 cm wide. The light collection optics consists of camera lenses ($f/10$) pointing towards the center of the vessel to the plasma column and the line of sight is perpendicular to the laser beam. The collection lenses image an array of four 1 mm diameter glass optical fibers in the plasma column. The diameter of each light spot is 4 mm at the center of the plasma column. The intersection of the laser beam and the line of sight of the fibers defines the photon collection volume and thus the volume resolution of the system. The vertical spatial resolution with 4 fibers is then 16 mm. If fewer fibers are used, the spatial resolution increases but the signal-to-noise ratio decreases. The length of the optical fibers is 10 m so that the signal is delivered outside the laser interlock area. The scattered light is sent to a polychromator, described in Sec. 2.1, where it is analyzed.

To accurately estimate the electron temperature and density, two kinds of calibrations are required: (1) a relative calibration of the spectral transmissivity of the polychromator's filters and (2) an absolute calibration using Raman anti-Stokes lines of nitrogen, performed *in situ*, namely when the entire setup is installed on the plasma device.




FIGURE 3. Schematic of the polychromator. The TS light coming to the input is measured by four APDs detectors each one in a well defined spectral-range selected by filters. Reproduced from H. Arnichand et al., *New capabilities of the incoherent Thomson scattering diagnostics in the TCV tokamak: divertor and real-time measurements*, 2019 JINST **14** C09013, pag. 3., with permission of the authors and IOP Publishing.

2.1. Relative calibration of the polychromators

In Thomson scattering, the scattered photons are emitted in a spectrum broadened to the red and blue around the laser wavelength, whose width increases with the electron temperature. The scattered power P_s per unit of solid angle $d\Omega$ and frequency ω by a laser beam of power P_1 is given by (Warner & Hieftje 2002):

$$P_s d\Omega d\omega = \frac{P_1 n_e L e^4}{2\pi m_e^2 c^4} d\Omega d\omega |\hat{s} \times (\hat{s} \times \hat{e})|^2 S(\vec{k}, \omega), \quad (2.1)$$

where L is the interaction length between the laser beam and the solid angle viewed by the optical fibers, \hat{s} is the unit vector pointing from the interaction volume to the detector, \hat{e} is the unit laser electric field vector, c is the speed of light, m_e is the electron mass and $S(\vec{k}, \omega)$ is the spectral density function, depending on electron density and temperature (Huang & Hieftje 1989). If the TS is incoherent (see Sec. 4 for more details), the number of scattered photons is proportional to electron density. To analyze the spectrum, we employed one of the polychromators developed for the divertor TS diagnostic on TCV (Tokamak à Configuration Variable) (Arnichand *et al.* 2019). The technique is based on the fast collection of light during ~ 2 s plasma shots (Hawke *et al.* 2017; Pitzschke 2011). The polychromator collects Thomson scattered photons in a few spectral intervals and consists of a set of interference filters and avalanche photodiodes (APDs). When the light enters the TS polychromator, shown in Fig. 3, it performs multiple reflections and it is collected by four APDs, through different filters each one selecting a different spectral bandwidth. A schematic of the polychromator spectral calibration setup is shown in Fig. 4. The light used to calibrate the polychromator is produced by two sources: a halogen lamp emitting a continuous spectrum and a xenon spectral lamp for the wavelength calibration. The monochromator box, consisting of a movable diffraction grating, selects a narrow wavelength interval, typically $\delta\lambda = 0.15$ nm. The spectral transmissivity of each filter is measured by sweeping the monochromator wavelength over a wide portion of the spectrum. At the same time, the intensity of the light is measured by a calibrated diode. The monochromator output light is then transported via a fiber bundle to the polychromator to be calibrated. The measured transmissivity of the polychromator filters is shown in Fig. 5 (a). The filters are located in the blue-shifted part of the spectrum, where APDs have higher quantum efficiency. In other TS setups, like that on DIII-D, the




FIGURE 4. Schematic of the spectral calibration system for the polychromator.




FIGURE 5. (a) Transmission characteristics for the four bandpass filters (F1, F2, F3 and F4) of the TS polychromator. The laser wavelength at 1064 nm, indicated by the black line, is scattered as a spectrum and collected by the filters. (b) Polychromator calibration curve calculated using $S(k, \omega)$ used to determine T_e . Only three ratios are shown for clarity. In the present setup only the ratio F2/F1 is used since filters F3 and F4 collect negligible light when $T_e < 5$ eV.

red-shifted part of the spectrum is sampled as well (McLean *et al.* 2014).

Since for RAID plasmas the electron temperature is below 5 eV, only two filters close to 1064 nm collect photons. Therefore, the estimate of the electron temperature is based on the ratio between the light intensity collected by filters F1 and F2 (so the filters F3 and F4 are actually redundant for RAID plasma regimes). The response function of the polychromator used for the present experiment is shown in Fig. 5(b). One issue regarding the proximity of these filters to the laser wavelength is their residual sensitivity around the laser wavelength. Although the transmissivity of F1 and F2 decays respectively by 4 and 5 orders of magnitude at 1064 nm compared to the peak transmissivity, the amount of 1064 nm photons collected by F2 is comparable to TS photons. These laser photons collected by the filters represents a sort of filters' leakage and are subtracted in data analysis.

2.2. Absolute calibration with Raman anti-Stokes lines

As described in 2.1, the T_e measurements only require the relative calibration of the spectral channels. To determine n_e , however, one has to know the absolute transmission efficiency of all the system such as the optics, the polychromators and the emission volume. Therefore, an absolute in-situ calibration is needed for every plasma measurement. The rotational anti-Stokes lines of nitrogen are routinely used for the absolute calibration, this technique is commonly used for TS in large fusion devices (LeBlanc 2008; Scannell *et al.* 2010). The anti-Stokes part of the nitrogen spectrum is composed of narrow lines, mostly located in the region of the spectrum covered by filters F1 and F2, as shown




FIGURE 6. (a) Location of the anti-Stokes Raman lines with respect to the filters' transmissivity (b) Raman calibration data obtained with filter 1 and filter 2, and linear fits of the experimental data. Each data point is averaged over 700 laser pulses.

in Fig. 6(a). Since broad band filters are used to detect the Raman signal, we use an "effective" cross section, σ_{eff}^{RAM} , given by the integral of the discrete line weighted by the local filter transmissivity (Franke 1997):

$$\sigma_{eff}^{RAM} = \sum_{n=1}^N \tau(\lambda_n) \sigma_{N_2}^{RAM}(\lambda_n), \quad (2.2)$$

where $\tau(\lambda_n)$ is the transmissivity at the wavelength of the n -th Raman line and $\sigma_{N_2}^{RAM}$ the raman scattering cross section for N_2 . A Raman calibration is performed by filling the vacuum chamber with nitrogen with 4 different gas pressures in the interval 20 - 70 mbar. This range is low enough to avoid laser-induced breakdown in the gas which occurs at ~ 100 mbar. Fig. 6(b) shows the results for the Raman calibration for F1 and F2. Each data point is averaged over 700 laser shots. The typical error on the determination of the slope is a few percent. The offset does not affect the estimate of n_e , since the Raman calibration factor only depends on the slope. The large difference of vertical offsets between the two filters (0.37 V for F2, 0.01 V for F1), is due to the much higher level of leakage photons collected by F2. Thus, we opted to use F1 for all the Raman calibrations, since less affected by leakage light.

Since the wavelength resolution of the spectrometer employed to calibrate the filters is 0.15 nm wide, this may result in a shift of the filter F1 and F2 with respect to the Raman lines. In the case of F1, which is used for the Raman calibration, this would result in a systematic error $< 8.5\%$. Since its exact value cannot be known a priori, we have not considered this error in the data analysis for clarity.

2.3. Mitigation of stray light and leakage photons

One of the major challenges of TS diagnostics is the mitigation of the "stray light", which is unwanted errant laser photons collected by the filters together with Thomson scattered photons. With 0.8 J and 1064 nm, there are 4.3×10^{18} photons emitted per laser pulse. Only a small fraction of these photons is detected by the system, which is given by multiplying together the photons in the pulse, the Thomson scattering total cross section, the electron density, the length of the scattering volume, the fractional collection solid angle, the efficiency of transmission of the different optical components and a factor 0.5 since the polychromator detects only the blue-shifted photons. For our system we expect $\sim 10^5$ scattered photons. Because of this relatively small number, the

stray light coming from the laser is the main factor limiting the signal-to-noise ratio. These photons come both from internal reflections in the vacuum vessel and the flight tubes and also from the laser path outside the vessel. These are usually distinguished from the leakage photons through the filters at the laser line. To mitigate the noise due to stray photons, the interior of the vacuum chamber in front of the collection optics was covered with Aktar Spectral Black foil reducing the stray light by about 50%. The residual stray light signal was subsequently subtracted in data analysis. The widespread solution to drastically limit the amount of stray photons is to install "light baffles" in the vacuum flight tubes (Carlstrom *et al.* 1997). These prevent the diffusion of stray photons in the vacuum vessel. One possibility to reduce the stray leakage photons through the filters at the laser line would be to shift the position of sensitivity regions of filters F1 and F2 far from the laser wavelength. This would in turn increase the SNR of the system. This could be done by tilting the filters a few degrees, since the transmissivity depends on the angle of incidence of light of filters. Otherwise new filters with higher rejection at the laser wavelength should be employed (Vincent *et al.* 2018). The drawback of these approaches is that this would require a re-design of the polychromator optics.

3. Experiments in argon plasmas

For the preliminary tests, to find the highest possible signal-to-noise ratio, we employed all the available optical fibers (4 fibers) and directed them to the plasma center, where n_e peaks and therefore the highest yield of laser photons is scattered. Fig. 7 shows time-resolved measurements of electron density and temperature during a 100 s plasma discharge in Ar with 5 kW RF power and 665 G magnetic field on axis, and the laser pulsed at 10 Hz. The typical relative error for a single shot is 25.2% on T_e and 17.6% on n_e . For magnetic fields above 530 G, the typical "blue core" (Scime *et al.* 2008), which we will discuss later on, is observed in visible light, resulting in a narrow peaked brighter region in the plasma center. The average values of n_e and T_e during the steady state plasma discharge are $n_e = 1.10 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$ and $T_e = 2.3 \text{ eV}$, and we remark that n_e and T_e are stable during the plasma discharge, therefore, error bars could be reduced if we would average over many laser pulses. Once verified that the signal-to-noise was tolerable, we performed a scan in RF power and magnetic field. Fig. 8 shows the electron density and temperature when increasing the RF power and for different magnetic fields. n_e increases with the RF power from $5 \times 10^{18} \text{ m}^{-3}$ to $1.1 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$ for 665 G of the main magnetic field. T_e , however, is weakly dependent on RF power as expected by particle balance considerations (Lieberman & Lichtenberg 2005). To improve the volume resolution of the system we employed only two optical fibers, resulting in a spatial resolution of 8 mm. The collection optics was mounted on a translation stage fixed on a vessel flange, and the plasma column was scanned with 10 mm steps in the vertical direction. For each position, a Raman calibration was done (and stray + leakage light measurements); this required the vessel filling with nitrogen and pumping to the base pressure for plasma measurements. Fig. 9 shows the radial electron density and temperature profiles of the plasma from -50 mm (below the center) to 40 mm above the center. These measurements were performed from 1 to 5 kW of power with 1 kW step size, and for four values of magnetic fields. Electron density profiles show a hollow profile when the magnetic field is $\lesssim 500 \text{ G}$, while the small peak at $B = 665 \text{ G}$ at 5 kW is the effect of the presence of the blue core. For all magnetic fields, the electron temperature profiles are in the range between 2 and 3 eV. The electron temperature profiles measured in RAID exhibit similar features to those measured in proto-MPEX by double Langmuir probes and a Thomson Scattering, showing an approximately flat T_e profile in the center




FIGURE 7. Time resolved T_e and n_e in the plasma column center (spatial resolution of 16 mm) over 100 s, corresponding to 1000 laser shots. The blue spots are the measurements for each laser pulse, the red lines are the error bars on the single measurements and the black line is the moving average over 15 laser pulses.




FIGURE 8. Electron density and temperature as a function of RF power for different magnetic fields in the center of the plasma column measured by TS diagnostic. The spatial resolution is 16 mm.

of the plasma column (Rapp *et al.* 2019; Goulding *et al.* 2017). The double peak profile for n_e and T_e in Fig. 9 for low magnetic fields, $B = 200$ G and $B = 330$ G, may be a hint of neutral depletion. The observation of hollow profiles consequently triggered the development of a LIF system in RAID to measure the absolute density of a metastable state of neutral helium atoms also of interest to understand the physics of the AWAKE helicon cell. Another process that could be invoked to justify the double peak may be the power dissipation mechanism of the helicon waves along the plasma column. Evidence of the propagation of a helicon wave was measured in RAID by a B-dot probe (Jacquier *et al.* 2019). Numerical simulations are currently underway to investigate how the helicon wave power produced by the birdcage antenna is transferred to the plasma, depending on gas pressure, electron density and boundary conditions.

The measurements performed in RAID demonstrate that the current TS set up can be successfully employed to measure n_e down to $4 \times 10^{18} \text{ m}^{-3}$ and T_e down to 2 eV in a plasma column sustained by helicon waves. In Sec. 4 we discuss the physics and technical constraints of a TS diagnostic in AWAKE concerning the scattering regime and a first schematic design. To cross check the TS results for n_e we employed a combination of a LP




FIGURE 9. Electron temperature (upper row) and density profiles (lower row) in a steady state Ar plasma at 0.3 Pa measured by the Thomson Scattering diagnostic (spatial resolution 8 mm). Starting from left $B = 200, 330, 530$ and 665 G. Data points are interpolated with cubic splines.




FIGURE 10. Set up showing the microwave interferometer (mw) modules and the LP. The mw-transmitter shines a microwave beam through the center of the plasma column (the red lines show the mw beam envelope). The LP describes an arc passing through the center of the column to determine the plasma column density radial profile.

and microwave interferometer. The interferometric set up is shown in Fig. 10: a microwave transmitter shines a microwave beam through the center of the plasma column and the receiver measures the phase shift due to the presence of plasma. This is used to obtain the measurement of the line-integrated electron density. In parallel, the LP is used to measure plasma I_{sat} profile to estimate the diameter of the plasma column. The LP tip (8 mm length and 0.4 mm diameter) rapidly passes (< 1 s) through the center of the plasma, measuring I_{sat} , which is approximately proportional to the electron density. Indeed, owing to the high electron density, the LP cannot measure IV curves, due to excessive thermal flux. Fig. 11 shows the I_{sat} profiles when the probe is biased at $V_{bias} = -27$ V for different RF powers and magnetic fields. Note the effect of the magnetic field steepening and shrinking the plasma column, which is also visible in TS n_e profiles in Fig. 9. We also remark that the relative magnitude of the I_{sat} plateaux compared between different powers appears consistent with the TS measurements in Fig. 9. The FWHM of the plasma column is estimated by using these profiles. This, in turn, determines the length over which the line integrated density by the microwave interferometry is averaged. We note




FIGURE 11. I_{sat} radial profile of the Ar plasma column when the LP is biased at -27 V. By increasing the magnetic field, the plasma column becomes sharper. These profiles are used to estimate the FWHM of the column and so the length over which the line integrated density measured by the interferometer is averaged.




FIGURE 12. Line-averaged n_e measured by interferometry (solid lines) and n_e measured by TS (dashed lines) from Fig. 8, in the center of the plasma column.

that the shape of the TS n_e profiles look like LP I_{sat} profiles, the difference in shape might be due to the convolution effect of the TS measurements in 8 mm and the thermal noise in the LP. The presence of hollow profiles might be due to a combination of different effects such as the local topology of the helicon wave depositing its energy into the plasma and the neutral depletion preventing further ionization in the plasma core. Fig. 12 compares the results for $\langle n_e \rangle$ measured by microwave interferometry and TS with same plasma conditions in the center of the plasma column. The two techniques result in comparable value of electron density. The comparison of T_e with LP would require to perform IV sweeps, but this is not possible to perform in this plasma due to the high density and the non negligible electron magnetization.

4. Thomson Scattering diagnostic in AWAKE

In this paragraph we study the feasibility of employing the TS setup for RAID in AWAKE, including physics and technical constraints. One main issue concerning the application of the TS apparatus developed on RAID to AWAKE is the range of validity of the incoherent scattering theory assumed for the RAID measurements. This is discussed as follows. TS describes the interaction of plasma free electrons with low energy photons ($h\nu \ll m_e c^2$). However, in plasmas, charged particles can interact with each other when their distance is smaller than the Debye length. All electrons located inside a Debye sphere are then correlated with each other, and coherent particle behaviour can be observed. If the wavelength of the exciting radiation is comparable to the Debye length all electrons in the sphere will oscillate coherently in phase, and the scattering will be coherent. In




FIGURE 13. The α parameter for $T_e = 2 (\pm 0.5)$ eV and two n_e regimes with $\theta = 90^\circ$. The target regime for AWAKE density is $n_e = 7 \times 10^{20} \text{ m}^{-3}$ (red dot) resulting in $\alpha = 0.30$. The lower density regime is at $n_e = 2 \times 10^{20} \text{ m}^{-3}$ (red cross) resulting in $\alpha = 0.16$. For this last regime the current system can be employed with a 3.5% error for n_e estimate.

the opposite case, the electrons oscillate independently and the scattering is incoherent. In this case, T_e can be estimated by the width of the distribution of scattered photons. Otherwise, the shape of the electron spectral distribution function $S(k, \omega)$ has to be known to determine T_e and n_e . The parameter α , also known as *Salpeter parameter* (Salpeter 1960), determines whether the scattering regime is incoherent ($\alpha \ll 1$) or coherent ($\alpha \gg 1$):

$$\alpha = \frac{\lambda_L}{4\pi\lambda_D \sin \frac{\theta}{2}}, \quad (4.1)$$

where λ_L is the laser wavelength, θ is the angle between the laser beam incident in the plasma and the observation line of sight, and λ_D is the Debye length. Depending on α , the shape of the emission spectrum can strongly vary. In Fig. 13, we show the α parameter for $\lambda_L = 1064 \text{ nm}$ (Nd:YAG laser wavelength) and $\theta = 90^\circ$ as a function of n_e and T_e . There are two expected working regimes for AWAKE: the high density regime when $n_e = 7 \times 10^{20} \text{ m}^{-3}$, and the low density regime, for which $n_e = 2 \times 10^{20} \text{ m}^{-3}$, they are indicated in Fig. 13. The electron temperature can vary typically between 1.5 and 2.5 eV, according to global particle and power balance simulations (Buttenschon *et al.* 2018). If we take $T_e = 2 \text{ eV}$ as average value, α is 0.30 and 0.16 for the high and low density regime, respectively. This suggests that collective effects begin to appear in the TS spectrum and thus the coherent scattering has to be taken into account to correctly estimate n_e and T_e . When α increases, emission spectra are deformed with respect to the Gaussian emission spectra previously described, and this has to be taken into account in the estimate of the error. Because of the very different masses between electrons and ions, Salpeter (Salpeter 1960) demonstrated that the expression for the spectral density function $S(\vec{k}, \omega)$ can be separated in a electron term and in an ion term:

$$S(\vec{k}, \omega) d\omega = \Gamma_\alpha dx_e + Z \left(\frac{\alpha^2}{1 + \alpha^2} \right)^2 \Gamma_\beta(x_i) dx_i, \quad (4.2)$$

where:

$$x_e = \frac{\omega}{kv_e}, x_i = \frac{\omega}{kv_i} \quad (4.3)$$

$$\Gamma_\alpha(x_e) = \frac{e^{-x_e^2}}{|1 + \alpha^2 W(x_e)|^2 \pi^{1/2}}, \quad (4.4)$$

$$\Gamma_\beta(x_i) = \frac{e^{-x_i^2}}{|1 + \beta^2 W(x_i)|^2 \pi^{1/2}}, \quad (4.5)$$

$$\beta^2 = Z \frac{\alpha^2}{1 + \alpha^2} \frac{T_e}{T_i}, \quad (4.6)$$

$$W(x) = 1 - 2xe^{-x^2} \int_0^2 e^{P^2} dP - i\pi^{1/2} xe^{-x^2}, \quad (4.7)$$

and ω is the frequency shift with respect to λ_L , x can be x_e or x_i , Z is the atomic number, v_e and v_i are the thermal electrons and ions speed, respectively. The first term of Eq. 4.2 is due to free electron scattering, while the second term is due to the effect of neighboring ions on electron scattering (Huang & Hieftje 1989). When $\alpha \ll 1$, electrons behave as if they were free in the plasma (incoherent scattering regime) and the scattered spectrum can be approximated by a Gaussian (see Eq. 4.4). As α increases, $\Gamma_\alpha(x_e)$ flattens and two satellite peaks start to appear when $\alpha > 1$. At the same time the central peak due to the ion contribution $\Gamma_\beta(x_i)$ increases (Meiden 2011). The total contribution to the scattered photons is given by integrating Eq. 4.2 over ω . The total spectral density function is then given by:

$$\int_\omega S(\vec{k}, \omega) \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} = S_e(\vec{k}) + S_i(\vec{k}); \quad (4.8)$$

where:

$$S_e(\vec{k}) = \frac{1}{1 + \alpha^2}; \quad S_i(\vec{k}) = \frac{Z\alpha^4}{(1 + \alpha^2) \left[(1 + \alpha^2 + Z \left(\frac{T_e}{T_i} \right) \alpha^2) \right]}. \quad (4.9)$$

The ion contribution S_i to the total spectrum is much smaller compared to S_e even when $\alpha = 0.3$, for which $S_i/S_e = 0.04$, so the ion contribution can be neglected. In the transition range ($0.1 < \alpha < 1$), the electron spectral function cannot be approximated by a Gaussian distribution. In Ref. [Carlstrom *et al.* (1997)] of a TS system in a tokamak, authors say that for $\alpha < 0.8$ a 20% error is made by neglecting the collective effect when fitting the data.

We want to study the effect of varying the α parameter on the measured ratio F_2/F_1 . Fig. 14 (a) shows the evolution of the electron emission spectrum $\Gamma_\alpha(x_e)$ when α is varied from 0 to 1, when $T_e = 2$ eV. As α increases, Γ_α flattens and broadens and more light is




FIGURE 14. (a) Broadening of the electron emission spectrum due to the increasing effect of the coherent regime, for a fixed electron temperature of 2 eV. (b) Ratio of filter signals as a function of electron temperature by varying the α parameter.

collected by filter 2. Fig. 14 (b) shows the ratios as a function of the electron temperature for different values of α . For a given value of the ratio, the "real" temperature decreases as α increases. Thus, the current TS system overestimates the temperature measurements. Concerning the error on the electron density, we tend to underestimate it by a factor $\frac{1}{1+\alpha^2}$, since the integrated emission spectrum decreases as shown by $S_e(\vec{k})$ in eq. 4.9. In the case of RAID, $\alpha = 0.03$, resulting in an error on T_e due to the coherent scattering $< 0.2\%$, so, negligible compared to the typical error on the single measurement. For the low density AWAKE regime, for which $\alpha = 0.16$, the contribution to the total error due to the partially coherent scattering regime would be $\sim 1\%$ on T_e and $\sim 2.5\%$ on n_e . Therefore, when comparing two density points, this gives a $\sim 3.5\%$ base uncertainty. For the high density AWAKE regime, for which $\alpha = 0.30$, this value increases to $\sim 15\%$. Tab. 4 summarizes the discussed scenarios showing the contribution to the error coming from the partially coherent scattering regime.

Scenario	$n_e (\times 10^{20} \text{m}^{-3})$	T_e (eV)	α	$ \delta T_e / T_e _{coher.}$	$ \delta(n_e / n_e)_{coher.} $	$ \delta(\Delta n_e) / \Delta n_e $
RAID	0.11	2.3	0.03	0.2%	0.09%	0.12%
AWAKE low	2.0	2	0.16	1%	2.5%	3.5%
AWAKE high	7.0	2	0.30	3.3%	10.9%	15%

The total error on the measurements has to take into account the contribution of all factors such as the electronic noise of APDs, the presence of residual stray light and bremsstrahlung emission. An alternative way to measure T_e and n_e would consist of measuring the entire scattered spectrum, to deduce the scattering regime. A Thomson scattering diagnostic with a ICCD camera was successfully installed in a linear steady state plasmas (Lee *et al.* 2018). For the upgrading of the current TS system in RAID, a frequency-doubled YAG (532 nm) with a high resolution grating and a high resolution CCD camera for acquisition is envisaged; this would halve the α parameter. Therefore, a frequency-doubled YAG may be a good compromise between the laser pulse intensity




FIGURE 15. Schematic design of a Thomson scattering diagnostic for AWAKE helicon source.

(decreased with respect to 1064 nm) and minimization of α . The measurement of the entire spectrum would also allow to detect the presence of electron populations with different temperatures Vincent *et al.* (2018).

4.1. Considerations for a TS diagnostic in AWAKE

A preliminary schematic design of a TS diagnostic for AWAKE is shown in Fig. 15. The laser beam is injected along the axis to perform multiple measurements along the entire plasma axis. This requires a lens system able to position the laser beam waist along the axis. The collection optics could be installed on a translation stage to perform axial scans. The already existing two view ports would allow to compare the density at two axial positions. In the case of a helicon cell with a length comparable to the Rb vapor source ($\sim 10\text{m}$), the positioning of the laser beam waist could be performed by a focusing optics system with variable focal length, such as that used in RAID. The experience acquired with RAID suggests that diaphragms are necessary to strongly reduce the level of stray light caused by multiple reflection in the vessel. Therefore, two sets of five diaphragms at each side of the plasma cell are envisaged. Moreover, to avoid stray light diffusion from the laser beam path outside the cell, the laser beam path should be enclosed. The current TS system in RAID based on polychromators allows to detect an electron temperature down to $\sim 1.5\text{eV}$; lower temperature would be difficult to detect because of the emission spectrum would be too narrow compared to filters. If this would be the case for AWAKE, more narrow filters would be required. Alternatively, a different system to acquire the scattered light should be implemented.

As a further improvement step, the knowledge of the shape of the entire electron emission spectrum would allow to measure n_e and T_e . If the AWAKE plasma discharge is reproducible one could rely on statistics and collect a sufficient number of photons to have a S_e with an acceptable signal-to-noise ratio. This would require to phase lock the laser with the plasma discharge. By doing some technical adaptations, the current system could be in principle used in AWAKE to perform TS measurements with a contribution on the error coming from the partially coherent scattering regime $\sim 1\%$ on T_e and $\sim 2.5\%$ on n_e a single measurement location.

5. Summary and Future developments

We have installed and successfully tested a Thomson Scattering diagnostic on RAID, as a testbench for AWAKE. We verified that the current system measures electron temperature down to 2 eV and the electron density down to $4 \times 10^{18}\text{m}^{-3}$. The present TS diagnostic requires a relative calibration of polychromator filters to measure T_e and a *in-situ* Raman calibration to determine n_e . The results in RAID show an electron density $n_e = 1.10 \times 10^{19}\text{m}^{-3}$ and electron temperature of $T_e = 2.3\text{eV}$ in the center of an Ar

plasma discharge with 5 kW RF power. Microwave interferometry and LP measurements are used to cross-check TS results, showing good agreement. We performed radial profiles measurements, showing the effect of the magnetic field.

We presented some preliminary calculations to apply the current TS diagnostic for AWAKE plasma regimes. In AWAKE, the α parameter, determining the scattering regime, is located in a transition region where the coherent contribution to the scattering signal cannot be neglected. Finally, we outlined a preliminary schematic design of a TS diagnostic for AWAKE helicon plasma cell.

6. Acknowledgements

This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014 - 2018 and 2019 - 2020 under grant agreement No 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission. This work was supported in part by the Swiss National Science Foundation. We gratefully acknowledge the mechanical and electronic workshops of the Swiss Plasma Center for their collaboration.

REFERENCES

- ADLI, E., AHUJA, A., APSIMON, O. & ET AL. 2018 Acceleration of electrons in the plasma wakefield of a proton bunch. *Nature* **561**, 363–367.
- AGNELLO, R., BARBISAN, M., FURNO, I., GUITTIENNE, P., HOWLING, A. A., JACQUIER, R., PASQUALOTTO, R., PLYUSHCHEV, G., ANDREBE, Y., BÉCHU, S., MORGAL, I. & SIMONIN, A. 2018 Cavity ring-down spectroscopy to measure negative ion density in a helicon plasma source for fusion neutral beams. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **89**, 103504.
- ARNICHAND, H., ANDREBE, Y., BLANCHARD, P., ANTONIONI, S., COUTURIER, S., DECKER, J., DUVAL, B., FELICI, F., GALPERTI, C., ISOZ, P.-F., LAVANCHY, P., LLOBET, X., MARLÉTAZ, B., MARMILLOD, P. & MASUR, J. 2019 New capabilities of the incoherent Thomson scattering diagnostics in the TCV tokamak: divertor and real-time measurements. *Journal of Instrumentation* **14** (09), C09013.
- AWAKECOLLABORATION 2013 Awake Design Report. *Tech. Rep.* SPSC-2013-013. CERN.
- AWAKECOLLABORATION 2018 Acceleration of electrons in the plasma wakefield of a proton bunch. *Nature* **561**, 363367.
- BIEWER, T., MEITNER, S. J., RAPP, J., RAY, H. & SHAW, G. 2016 First results from the Thomson scattering diagnostic on the proto-MPEX. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **87**, 11E518.
- BIEWER, T. M. & SHAW, G. 2014 Initial implementation of a Thomson scattering diagnostic for Proto-MPEX. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **85**, 11D812.
- BOZHENKOV, S. A., BEURSKENSA, M., DAL MOLINB, A., FUCHERTA, G., PASCHA, E., STONEKING, M., HIRSCHA, M., HOFELA, U., KNAUERA, J. & SVENSSONA, J. 2017 The Thomson scattering diagnostic at the Wendelstein 7-X and its performances in the first operation phase. *J. Instrumentation* **87**, P10004.
- BUTTENSCHON, B., FAHRENKAMP, N. & GRULKE, O. 2018 A high power, high density helicon discharge for the plasma wakefield accelerator experiment AWAKE. *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **60**, 075005.
- CARLSTROM, T. N., HSIEH, C. L., STOCKDALE, R., NILSON, D. G. & HILL, D. N. 1997 Initial operation of the divertor Thomson scattering diagnostic on DIII-D. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **68**, 1195.
- FRANKE, S. 1997 Application of Thomson scattering at $1.06\,\mu\text{m}$ as a diagnostic for spatial profile measurements of electron temperature and density on the TCV tokamak. PhD thesis, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne.
- FURNO, I., AGNELLO, R., FANTZ, U., HOWLING, A., JACQUIER, R., MARINI, C., PLYUSHCHEV,

- G., GUITTIENNE, P. & SIMONIN, A. 2017 Helicon wave-generated plasmas for negative ion beams for fusion. *EPJ Web of Conferences* **157**, 03014.
- GOULDING, R. H., CAUGHMAN, J. B. O., RAPP, J., BIEWER, T. M., BIGELOW, T. S., CAMPBELL, I. H., CANESES, J. F., DONOVAN, D., KAFLE, N., MARTIN, E. H., RAY, H. B., SHAW, G. C. & SHOWERS, M. A. 2017 Progress in the Development of a High Power Helicon Plasma Source for the Materials Plasma Exposure Experiment. *Fusion Science and Technology* **72**, 588–592.
- GUITTIENNE, P., CHEVALIER, E. & HOLLENSTEIN, C. 2005 Towards an optimal antenna for helicon waves excitation. *J. Appl. Phys.* **98**, 083304.
- HAWKE, J., ANDREBE, Y., BERTIZZOLO, R., BLANCHARD, P., CHAVAN, R., DECKER, J., DUVAL, B., LAVANCHY, P., LLOBET, X., MARLÉTAZ, B., MARMILLOD, P., POCHON, G. & TOUSSAINT, M. 2017 Improving spatial and spectral resolution of TCV Thomson scattering. *J. Instrumentation* **12**, C12005.
- HUANG, M. & HIEFTJE, G. M. 1989 A new procedure for determination of electron temperatures and electron concentrations by Thomson scattering from analytical plasmas. *Spectrochim. Acta Part B* **44B**, 291–305.
- JACQUIER, R., AGNELLO, R., DUTEIL, B. P., GUITTIENNE, P., HOWLING, A., PLYUSHCHEV, G., MARINI, C., SIMONIN, A., MORGAL, I., BECHU, S. & FURNO, I. 2019 First b-dot measurements in the raid device, an alternative negative ion source for demo neutral beams. *Fusion Engineering and design* **146**, 1140–1144.
- LEBLANC, B. P. 2008 Thomson scattering density calibration by rayleigh and rotational raman scattering on nstx. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **79**, 10E737.
- LEE, K. Y., LEE, K. I., KIM, J. H. & LHO, T. 2018 High resolution Thomson scattering system for steady-state linear plasma sources. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **89**, 013508.
- LIEBERMAN, M. A. & LICHTENBERG, A. J. 2005 *Principles of plasma discharges and materials processing*. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- MARINI, C., AGNELLO, R., DUVAL, B. P., FURNO, I., HOWLING, A. A., JACQUIER, R., KARPUSHOV, A. N., PLYUSHCHEV, G., VERHAEGH, K. & GUITTIENNE, P. 2017 Spectroscopic characterization of H_2 and D_2 helicon plasmas generated by a resonant antenna for neutral beam applications in fusion. *Nucl. Fusion* **57**, 036024.
- MCLEAN, A. G., SOUKHANOVSKII, V. A., ALLEN, S. L., CARLSTROM, T. N., LEBLANC, B. P., ONO, M. & STRATTON, B. C. 2014 Conceptual design of a divertor Thomson scattering diagnostic for NSTX-Ua. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **85**, 11E825.
- MEIDEN, V. D. H. J. 2011 Thomson scattering on low and high temperature plasmas. PhD thesis, Technische Universiteit Eindhoven.
- PASQUALOTTO, R., NIELSEN, P., GOWERS, C., BEUSKENS, M., KEMPENAARS, M., CARLSTROM, T., JOHNSON, D. & CONTRIBUTORS, J.-E. 2004 High resolution Thomson scattering for Joint European Torus (JET). *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **75**, 3891.
- PITZSCHKE, A. 2011 Pedestal Characteristics and MHD Stability of H-mode in TCV. PhD thesis, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne.
- PLYUSHCHEV, G., KERSEVAN, R., PETRENKO, A. & MUGGLI, P. 2018 A rubidium vapor source for plasma source for AWAKE. *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* **51**, 025205.
- RAPP, J., OWEN, L. W., CANIK, J., LORE, J. D., CANESES, J. F., KAFLE, N., RAY, H. & SHOWERS, M. 2019 Radial transport modeling of high density deuterium plasmas in proto-MPEX with the B2.5-Eirene code. *Physics of Plasma* **26**, 042513.
- SALPETER, E. E. 1960 Electron Density Fluctuations in Plasma. *Phys. Rev.* **120**, 1528–1535.
- SCANNELL, R., BEUSKENS, M., KEMPENAARS, M., NAYLOR, G., WALSH, M., O’GORMAN, T. & PASQUALOTTO, R. 2010 Absolute calibration of LINDAR Thomson scattering system by rotational Raman scattering. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **81**, 045107.
- SCIME, E. E., KESEE, A. & BOSWELL, R. W. 2008 Mini-conference on helicon plasma sources. *Phys. Plasmas* **15**, 058301.
- SEO, B., YOU, S., KIM, J., B, D. S., SHIN, Y. & CHANG, H. 2013 Measurements of electron energy probability functions in helicon discharge by laser Thomson scattering. *Thin Solid Films* **547**, 52–56.
- TAJIMA, T. & DAWSON, J. M. 1979 Laser electron accelerator. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **43**, 267.
- THOMPSON, D., AGNELLO, R., FURNO, I., HOWLING, A., JACQUIER, R., PLYUSHCHEV, G. &

- 531 SCIME, E. 2017 Ion heating and flows in a high power helicon source. *Phys. Plasmas* **24**,
532 063517.
- 533 VIEIRA, J., FONSECA, R. A., MORI, W. B. & SILVA, L. O. 2018 Ion Motion in Self-Modulated
534 Plasma Wakefield Accelerators. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 145005.
- 535 VINCENT, B., TSIKATA, S., MAZOUFFRE, S., MINEA, T. & FILS, J. 2018 A compact new
536 incoherent Thomson scattering diagnostic for low-temperature plasma studies. *Plasma*
537 *Sources Sci. Technol.* **27**, 055002.
- 538 WARNER, K. & HIEFTJE, G. M. 2002 Thomson scattering from analytical plasmas. *Spectrochim.*
539 *Acta Part B* **57**, 201–241.