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#### Abstract

In this paper, we propose a novel splitting method for finding a zero point of the sum of two monotone operators where one of them is Lipschizian. The weak convergence the method is proved in real Hilbert spaces. Applying the proposed method to composite monotone inclusions involving parallel sums yields a new primal-dual splitting which is different from the existing methods. Connections to existing works are clearly stated. We also provide an application of the proposed method to the image denoising by the total variation.
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## 1 Introduction

The forward-backward-forward splitting method (FBFS) or Tseng's splitting method first appeared in [25]. This method was proposed to find a zero point of the sum of two monotone operators acting on a real Hilbert space $(\mathcal{H},\langle\cdot \mid \cdot\rangle)$, namely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { find } \bar{x} \in \mathcal{H} \text { such that } 0 \in A \bar{x}+B \bar{x} \text {. } \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

under the assumption that $A: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{H}}$ is a maximally monotone, $B: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a monotone and $\mu$-Lipschitzian, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\forall x \in \mathcal{H})(\forall y \in \mathcal{H})\|B x-B y\| \leq \mu\|x-y\|, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that such a solution exists. The FBFS method operates according to the routine

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{n}=x_{n}-\gamma B x_{n}  \tag{1.3}\\
z_{n}=(\mathrm{Id}+\gamma A)^{-1} y_{n} \\
r_{n}=z_{n}-\gamma B z_{n} \\
x_{n+1}=x_{n}+r_{n}-y_{n} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

The weak convergence of $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ to a solution of (1.1) was proved under the condition $0<\gamma<1 / \mu$. Inexact version of the FBF method was investigated in [5]. Then, variable metric version and the stochastic version the FBF method are in [28] and [26], respectively. One of the most important examples of $B$ is the case when $B$ is a linear skew operator [5] where monotone plus skew model plays a central role in solving primal-dual monotone inclusions and primal-dual convex optimization problems. The main idea of [5] was then developed in [8]. Several developments and extensions of [8] are in [7, 3, 11, 28].

The advantage of this framework is its generality and the main disadvantage of (1.3) is that it requires two calls of $B$ per one iteration. This issue was recently resolved in [17]. Specifically, they propose a forward-reflected-backward splitting method (FRBS) for solving (1.1), namely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma \in] 0,+\infty\left[, \quad x_{n+1}=(\operatorname{Id}+\gamma A)^{-1}\left(x_{n}-2 \gamma B x_{n}+\gamma B x_{n-1}\right) .\right. \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The weak convergence of the iterates generated by (1.4) is proved under the condition $\gamma \in$ $] 0,1 /(2 \mu)[$. If $B$ is linear and $A$ is the normal cone of some non-empty closed convex set $K$, the FRBS method admits the same structure as the reflected projected gradient methods for variational inequalities [15], namely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma \in] 0,+\infty\left[, \quad x_{n+1}=\left(\operatorname{Id}+\gamma N_{K}\right)^{-1}\left(x_{n}-\gamma B\left(2 x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right)\right) .\right. \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $\mu$-Lipschitzian monotone operator $B$, the weak convergence of the iterates generated by (1.5) is proved under the condition $\gamma \in] 0,(\sqrt{2}-1) / \mu\left[\right.$. When $N_{K}$ is replaced by a subdifferential of some proper lower semicontinuous convex function $f$, line-search versions (1.5) are proposed in [16].

The objective of this paper is to investigate the convergence of (1.5) for the problem (1.1) for any maximally monotone operator $A$, i.e., we propose to investigate the convergence of the following reflected forward-backward splitting method (RFBS) for (1.1):

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{n}=2 x_{n}-x_{n-1}  \tag{1.6}\\
x_{n+1}=(\operatorname{Id}+\gamma A)^{-1}\left(x_{n}-\gamma B y_{n}\right),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\gamma>0$.
In Section 2, we prove the weak convergence of (1.6) and provide an application to composite monotone inclusions involving the parallel sums and Lipschitzian monotone operators. We compare, in Section 3, the proposed method to several existing methods, for the image denoising by the total variation.

Notations. (See [1]) The scalar products and the associated norms of all Hilbert spaces used in this paper are denoted respectively by $\langle\cdot \mid \cdot\rangle$ and $\|\cdot\|$. We denote by $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{G})$ the space of all
bounded linear operators from $\mathcal{H}$ to $\mathcal{G}$. The symbols $\Delta$ and $\rightarrow$ denote respectively weak and strong convergence. Let $A: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{H}}$ be a set-valued operator. The domain of $A$ is denoted by $\operatorname{dom}(A)$ that is a set of all $x \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $A x \neq \varnothing$. The range of $A$ is $\operatorname{ran}(A)=\{u \in \mathcal{H} \mid(\exists x \in \mathcal{H}) u \in A x\}$. The graph of $A$ is $\operatorname{gra}(A)=\{(x, u) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \mid u \in A x\}$. The inverse of $A$ is $A^{-1}: u \mapsto\{x \mid u \in A x\}$. The zero set of $A$ is $\operatorname{zer}(A)=A^{-1} 0$. We say that $A$ is monotone if

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\forall u \in A x)(\forall(y, v) \in \operatorname{gra} A) \quad\langle x-y \mid u-v\rangle \geq 0, \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it is maximally monotone if there exists no monotone operator $B$ such that $\operatorname{gra}(B)$ properly contains $\operatorname{gra}(A)$. The resolvent of $A$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{A}=(\operatorname{Id}+A)^{-1}, \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where Id denotes the identity operator on $\mathcal{H}$. A single-valued operator $B: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is $\beta$-cocoercive, for some $\beta \in] 0,+\infty[$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\forall(x, y) \in \mathcal{H}^{2}\right)\langle x-y \mid B x-B y\rangle \geq \beta\|B x-B y\|^{2} . \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The parallel sum of two operator $A: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{H}}$ and $B: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{H}}$ is $A \square B=\left(A^{-1}+B^{-1}\right)^{-1}$. The class of all lower semicontinuous convex functions $f: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow]-\infty,+\infty]$ such that $\operatorname{dom} f=$ $\{x \in \mathcal{H} \mid f(x)<+\infty\} \neq \varnothing$ is denoted by $\Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{H})$. Now, let $f \in \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{H})$. The subdifferential of $f \in \Gamma_{0}(\mathcal{H})$ is the maximally monotone operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial f: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{H}}: x \mapsto\{u \in \mathcal{H} \mid(\forall y \in \mathcal{H}) \quad\langle y-x \mid u\rangle+f(x) \leq f(y)\} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the proximity operator of $f$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{prox}_{f}=J_{\partial f}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}: x \mapsto \underset{y \in \mathcal{H}}{\operatorname{argmin}} f(y)+\frac{1}{2}\|x-y\|^{2} . \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Various closed-form expressions of the proximity operators are in [1, Chapter 24].

## 2 Weak convergence

We first prove an auxiliary result which will be used to prove the weak convergence of the sequence generated by the reflected forward-backward splitting.

Lemma 2.1 Let $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\left(y_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be generated by (1.6). Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) p_{n+1}=x_{n}-\gamma B y_{n}-x_{n+1} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $B$ is $\beta$-cocoercive. Then, for every $x \in \operatorname{zer}(A+B)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|x_{n+1}-x\right\|^{2}+\left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|p_{n+1}+\gamma B x\right\|^{2}+\gamma(2 \beta-\gamma)\left\|B y_{n}-B x\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|^{2}+\left\|p_{n}+\gamma B x\right\|^{2}-\gamma^{2}\left\|B y_{n-1}-B x\right\|^{2}+2 \gamma\left\langle B y_{n-1}-B y_{n} \mid x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\rangle . \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

If $B$ is monotone, we remain have (2.2) with $\beta=0$.

Proof. Let $x \in \operatorname{zer}(A+B)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By the definition of the resolvent, $p_{n+1} \in \gamma A x_{n+1}$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x_{n}+\gamma B y_{n-1}-x_{n-1} \mid x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\rangle=-\left\langle p_{n} \mid x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\rangle . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us recall that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n}=2 x_{n}-x_{n-1} \text { which is equivalent to } x_{n}-x_{n-1}=y_{n}-x_{n} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle y_{n}-x_{n} \mid x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\rangle=-\left\langle p_{n}+\gamma B y_{n-1} \mid x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\rangle, \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x_{n+1}-x_{n} \mid x-x_{n+1}\right\rangle=-\left\langle p_{n+1}+\gamma B y_{n} \mid x-x_{n+1}\right\rangle . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2\left\langle y_{n}-x_{n} \mid x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\rangle=\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}  \tag{2.7}\\
2\left\langle x_{n+1}-x_{n} \mid x-x_{n+1}\right\rangle=\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n+1}-x\right\|^{2} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

In turn,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-x\right\|^{2}+\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}=\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|^{2}+2 \Gamma_{n}+2 \gamma\left\langle B y_{n-1}-B y_{n} \mid x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\rangle, \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_{n} & =\left\langle p_{n}+\gamma B y_{n} \mid x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\rangle+\left\langle p_{n+1}+\gamma B y_{n} \mid x-x_{n+1}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle p_{n} \mid x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\rangle+\left\langle p_{n+1} \mid x-x_{n+1}\right\rangle+\gamma\left\langle B y_{n} \mid x-y_{n}\right\rangle . \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\gamma A$ is monotone and $-\gamma B x \in \gamma A x$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle p_{n+1} \mid x-x_{n+1}\right\rangle & \leq\left\langle p_{n+1} \mid x-x_{n+1}\right\rangle+\left\langle-\gamma B x-p_{n+1} \mid x-x_{n+1}\right\rangle \\
& =\gamma\left\langle B x \mid x_{n+1}-x\right\rangle . \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $B$ is $\beta$-cocoercive, we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma\left\langle B y_{n} \mid x-y_{n}\right\rangle \leq \gamma\left\langle B x \mid x-y_{n}\right\rangle-\gamma \beta\left\|B y_{n}-B x\right\|^{2} . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Adding (2.10) and (2.11), and using (2.4), the monotonicity of $\gamma A$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_{n} & \leq\left\langle p_{n} \mid x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\rangle+\gamma\left\langle B x \mid x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\rangle-\gamma \beta\left\|B y_{n}-B x\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left\langle p_{n}+\gamma B x \mid x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\rangle-\left\langle p_{n}+\gamma B x \mid x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right\rangle-\gamma \beta\left\|B y_{n}-B x\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\langle p_{n+1}+\gamma B x \mid x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\rangle-\left\langle p_{n}+\gamma B x \mid x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right\rangle-\gamma \beta\left\|B y_{n}-B x\right\|^{2} . \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us set

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}=\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|^{2}-2\left\langle p_{n}+\gamma B x \mid x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right\rangle . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (2.12) and (2.8) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n+1}+\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}+2 \gamma \beta\left\|B y_{n}-B x\right\|^{2} \leq T_{n}+2 \gamma\left\langle B y_{n-1}-B y_{n} \mid x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\rangle . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.1), we have $p_{n+1}+x_{n+1}-x_{n}=-\gamma B y_{n}$, and hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
-2\left\langle p_{n+1}+\gamma B x \mid x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\rangle= & \left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|p_{n+1}+\gamma B x\right\|^{2} \\
& \quad-\left\|p_{n+1}+\gamma B x+x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
= & \left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|p_{n+1}+\gamma B x\right\|^{2}-\gamma^{2}\left\|B y_{n}-B x\right\|^{2}, \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n+1}=\left\|x_{n+1}-x\right\|^{2}+\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|p_{n+1}+\gamma B x\right\|^{2}-\gamma^{2}\left\|B y_{n}-B x\right\|^{2} . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, using (2.4) again, (2.14) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|x_{n+1}-x\right\|^{2}+\left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|p_{n+1}+\gamma B x\right\|^{2}+\gamma(2 \beta-\gamma)\left\|B y_{n}-B x\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|^{2}+\left\|p_{n}+\gamma B x\right\|^{2}-\gamma^{2}\left\|B y_{n-1}-B x\right\|^{2}+2 \gamma\left\langle B y_{n-1}-B y_{n} \mid x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\rangle \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

which proves the desired result. In the case when $B$ is monotone, setting $\beta=0$, we obtain the second conclusion.

The main result of this paper is stated in the following theorem where we prove the weak convergence of the sequence generated by the reflected forward-backward splitting to a zero point of $A+B$.

Theorem 2.2 Let $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\left(y_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be generated by (1.6). Suppose that $\left.\gamma \in\right] 0,(\sqrt{2}-1) / \mu[$, then $x_{n} \rightharpoonup \bar{x} \in \operatorname{zer}(A+B)$ and $y_{n} \rightharpoonup \bar{x} \in \operatorname{zer}(A+B)$.

Proof. Since $B$ is monotone, by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|x_{n+1}-x\right\|^{2}+\left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|p_{n+1}+\gamma B x\right\|^{2}-\gamma^{2}\left\|B y_{n}-B x\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|^{2}+\left\|p_{n}+\gamma B x\right\|^{2}-\gamma^{2}\left\|B y_{n-1}-B x\right\|^{2}+2 \gamma\left\langle B y_{n-1}-B y_{n} \mid x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\rangle . \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $B$ is $\mu$-Lipschitz continuous, for any $\tau_{1}>0$ and $\tau_{2}>0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
2\left\langle B y_{n}-B y_{n-1} \mid y_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\rangle & \leq 2 \mu\left\|y_{n}-y_{n-1}\right\|\left\|y_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{\mu}{\tau_{1}}\left\|y_{n}-y_{n-1}\right\|^{2}+\mu \tau_{1}\left\|y_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{\mu}{\tau_{1}}\left(\left(1+\frac{1}{\tau_{2}}\right)\left\|y_{n}-x_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left(1+\tau_{2}\right)\left\|x_{n}-y_{n-1}\right\|^{2}\right)+\mu \tau_{1}\left\|y_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\alpha_{1}\left\|y_{n}-x_{n}\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{2}\left\|x_{n}-y_{n-1}\right\|^{2}+\mu \tau_{1}\left\|y_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|^{2}, \tag{2.19}
\end{align*}
$$

where we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1}=\frac{\mu}{\tau_{1}}\left(1+\frac{1}{\tau_{2}}\right) \text { and } \alpha_{2}=\frac{\mu}{\tau_{1}}\left(1+\tau_{2}\right) . \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we derive from (2.18) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|x_{n+1}-x\right\|^{2}+\gamma \alpha_{2}\left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|p_{n+1}+\gamma B x\right\|^{2}-\gamma^{2}\left\|B y_{n}-B x\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|^{2}+\left\|x_{n-1}-x_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|p_{n}+\gamma B x\right\|^{2}-\gamma^{2}\left\|B y_{n-1}-B x\right\|^{2} \\
& \quad-\left(1-\gamma \alpha_{1}\right)\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}+\gamma \alpha_{2}\left\|x_{n}-y_{n-1}\right\|^{2}-\left(1-\gamma\left(\alpha_{2}+\mu \tau_{1}\right)\right)\left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\|^{2} . \tag{2.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, we need the following condition on $\gamma$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\gamma<\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}}=\frac{\tau_{1} \tau_{2}}{\mu\left(1+\tau_{2}\right)} \text { and } 0<\gamma<\frac{1}{\alpha_{2}+\mu \tau_{1}}=\frac{\tau_{1}}{\mu\left(1+\tau_{2}+\tau_{1}^{2}\right)} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The optimal bound of $\gamma$ happens when

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\tau_{1} \tau_{2}}{\mu\left(1+\tau_{2}\right)}=\frac{\tau_{1}}{\mu\left(1+\tau_{2}+\tau_{1}^{2}\right)} \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{2} \tau_{1}^{2}=1-\tau_{2}^{2} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\gamma^{2}<\frac{\tau_{2} \tau_{2} \tau_{1}^{2}}{\mu^{2}\left(1+\tau_{2}\right)^{2}}=\frac{\tau_{2}\left(1-\tau_{2}\right)}{\mu^{2}\left(1+\tau_{2}\right)}=\frac{\left(1+\tau_{2}-1\right)\left(2-\left(1+\tau_{2}\right)\right)}{\mu^{2}\left(1+\tau_{2}\right)} \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

We express the last term as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(1+\tau_{2}-1\right)\left(2-\left(1+\tau_{2}\right)\right)}{\mu^{2}\left(1+\tau_{2}\right)}=\frac{1}{\mu^{2}}\left(3-1-\tau_{2}-\frac{2}{1+\tau_{2}}\right) \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

which attains the maximum when $1+\tau_{2}=\sqrt{2}$ and hence $\tau_{1}=\sqrt{2}$. It follows that $\gamma^{2}<\mu^{-2}(3-2 \sqrt{2})$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\gamma<\frac{\sqrt{2}-1}{\mu} \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

We next set

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n}=\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|^{2}+\left\|x_{n-1}-x_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|p_{n}+\gamma B x\right\|^{2}+\gamma \alpha_{2}\left\|x_{n}-y_{n-1}\right\|^{2}-\gamma^{2}\left\|B y_{n-1}-B x\right\|^{2} \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we can rewrite (2.21) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n+1} \leq E_{n}-(1-\gamma \mu(1+\sqrt{2}))\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}-(1-\gamma \mu(1+\sqrt{2}))\left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\|^{2} \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\gamma^{2}\left\|B y_{n-1}-B x\right\|^{2} & \leq 2 \gamma^{2}\left\|B y_{n-1}-B x_{n}\right\|^{2}+2 \gamma^{2}\left\|B x_{n}-B x\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq 2 \gamma^{2} \mu^{2}\left\|y_{n-1}-x_{n}\right\|^{2}+2 \gamma^{2} \mu^{2}\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|^{2} \tag{2.30}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, since $\gamma<(\sqrt{2}-1) / \mu$, we have $\gamma<2 / \mu$ and hence there exists $\epsilon>0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{n} & \geq \gamma \mu(1-2 \gamma \mu)\left\|x_{n}-y_{n-1}\right\|^{2}+\left(1-2 \gamma^{2} \mu^{2}\right)\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|^{2} \\
& \geq \epsilon\left(\left\|y_{n-1}-x_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& \geq 0 \tag{2.31}
\end{align*}
$$

In turn, we derive from (2.29) that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
E_{n} \rightarrow \bar{\zeta} \in \mathbb{R}  \tag{2.32}\\
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}<+\infty \\
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}<+\infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since $\left(E_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges, it is bounded and therefore, it follows from (2.31) that $\left(\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are bounded. Hence, $\left(y_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\left(B y_{n}-B x\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are also bounded. Since $x_{n}-y_{n} \rightarrow 0$, we obtain $\left\langle y_{n}-x_{n} \mid B y_{n-1}-B x\right\rangle \rightarrow 0$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|p_{n}+\gamma B x\right\|^{2}-\gamma^{2}\left\|B y_{n-1}-B x\right\|^{2} & =\left\|x_{n-1}-x_{n}\right\|^{2}-2 \gamma\left\langle x_{n-1}-x_{n} \mid B y_{n-1}-B x\right\rangle \\
& =\left\|y_{n}-x_{n}\right\|^{2}+2 \gamma\left\langle y_{n}-x_{n} \mid B y_{n-1}-B x\right\rangle \\
& \rightarrow 0 . \tag{2.33}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, $\left\|x_{n}-x\right\| \rightarrow \bar{\zeta}$. Let $\bar{x}$ be a weak cluster point of $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, then there exists $x_{k_{n}} \rightharpoonup \bar{x}$. Note that $x_{k_{n}}-x_{k_{n}+1}-\gamma B y_{k_{n}}+\gamma B x_{k_{n}+1} \rightarrow 0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{k_{n}}-x_{k_{n}+1}-\gamma B y_{k_{n}}+\gamma B x_{k_{n}+1}=p_{k_{n}+1}+\gamma B x_{k_{n}+1} \in \gamma(A+B) x_{k_{n}+1} . \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $A+B$ is maximally monotone, its graph is closed in $\mathcal{H}^{\text {strong }} \times \mathcal{H}^{\text {weak }}$. Therefore, it follows from (2.34) that $\bar{x} \in \operatorname{zer}(A+B)$. Using Opial's result [18, 19], we obtain $x_{n} \rightharpoonup \bar{x} \in \operatorname{zer}(A+B)$.

Proposition 2.3 If $B$ is $\beta$-cocoercive for some $\beta \in] 0, \infty[$, then the conclusion of Theorem 2.2 remains valid for $\gamma<\beta / 2$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|x_{n+1}-x\right\|^{2}+\left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|p_{n+1}+\gamma B x\right\|^{2}+\gamma(2 \beta-\gamma)\left\|B y_{n}-B x\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|^{2}+\left\|p_{n}+\gamma B x\right\|^{2}-\gamma^{2}\left\|B y_{n-1}-B x\right\|^{2}+2 \gamma\left\langle B y_{n-1}-B y_{n} \mid x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\rangle . \tag{2.35}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us estimate the term $q_{n}=2 \gamma\left\langle B y_{n-1}-B y_{n} \mid x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\rangle$. Let $\left.\epsilon \in\right] 0, \infty[$ be such that $0<\gamma<$ $(1-\epsilon) \beta / 2$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
q_{n} & =2 \gamma\left\langle B y_{n-1}-B x \mid x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\rangle+2 \gamma\left\langle B x-B y_{n} \mid x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\rangle \\
& \leq \frac{2 \gamma^{2}}{1-\epsilon}\left\|B y_{n-1}-B x\right\|^{2}+\frac{2 \gamma^{2}}{1-\epsilon}\left\|B y_{n}-B x\right\|^{2}+(1-\epsilon)\left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}, \tag{2.36}
\end{align*}
$$

and thus, we derive from (2.35) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|x_{n+1}-x\right\|^{2}+\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|p_{n+1}+\gamma B x\right\|^{2}+\gamma^{2} \frac{1+\epsilon}{1-\epsilon}\left\|B y_{n}-B x\right\|^{2}+\epsilon\left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|^{2}+\left\|p_{n}+\gamma B x\right\|^{2}+\gamma^{2} \frac{1+\epsilon}{1-\epsilon}\left\|B y_{n-1}-B x\right\|^{2}-\gamma\left(2 \beta-\frac{4 \gamma}{1-\epsilon}\right)\left\|B y_{n}-B x\right\|^{2} . \tag{2.37}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\gamma<(1-\epsilon) \beta / 2$, we obtain

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|^{2}+\left\|p_{n}+\gamma B x\right\|^{2}+\gamma^{2} \frac{1+\epsilon}{1-\epsilon}\left\|B y_{n-1}-B x\right\|^{2} \rightarrow \bar{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}  \tag{2.38}\\
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|B y_{n}-B x\right\|^{2}<\infty \\
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|^{2}<+\infty \\
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|y_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|^{2}<+\infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since $p_{n+1}+\gamma B x=\gamma\left(B x-B y_{n}\right)+x_{n}-x_{n+1} \rightarrow 0$, it follows that $\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|^{2} \rightarrow \bar{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}$ and hence $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded. Let $\bar{x}$ be a weak cluster point of $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, then there exists a subsequence $\left(x_{k_{n}}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $x_{k_{n}} \rightharpoonup \bar{x}$. Note that $B y_{k_{n}} \rightarrow B x$ and $x_{n}-y_{n}=x_{n-1}-x_{n} \rightarrow 0$.

Since $B$ is maximally monotone, its graph is closed in $\mathcal{H}^{\text {strong }} \times \mathcal{H}^{\text {weak }}$, we obtain $B x=B \bar{x}$ and thus $B y_{k_{n}} \rightarrow B \bar{x}$. Since $A$ is maximally monotone, its graph is closed in $\mathcal{H}^{\text {strong }} \times \mathcal{H}^{\text {weak }}$, passing limit from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x_{k_{n}}-x_{k_{n}+1}}{\gamma}-B y_{k_{n}}=p_{k_{n}+1} / \gamma \in A x_{k_{n}+1}, \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain $\bar{x} \in \operatorname{zer}(A+B)$. Therefore, using Opial's result [18], we obtain $x_{n} \rightharpoonup \bar{x} \in \operatorname{zer}(A+B)$.
Remark 2.4 Here are some remarks.
(i) A special case of Theorem 2.2 is in [15] when $A=N_{S}$, the normal cone operator to a closed convex set $S \subset \mathcal{H}$. The line-search versions for the case where $A=\partial f$ for some $f \in \Gamma_{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ are in [16]. The connection to the existing work concerning with solving variational inequalities can be found in $[15,16]$. For the compactness, we do not cite all of them here.
(ii) In the case, $B$ is $\beta$-cocoercive, $\mu=1 / \beta$ and the range of the step size is relaxed from $] 0,(\sqrt{2}-1) / \mu[$ to $] 0,0.5 \beta[$ which is relatively small in comparison to the standard forwardbackward splitting [1].
(iii) In the case, $B$ is linear, (1.6) is exactly the same as the one in [17] where the convergence is proved under the condition $\gamma \in] 0,0.5 / \mu[$. The computational cost of (1.6) and [17] are much cheaper than that of FBFS in [25].

Corollary 2.5 Let $B: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a monotone and $\mu_{0}$-Lipschitzian, $\left.\mu_{0} \in\right]-\infty,+\infty[$, and $A: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow$ $2^{\mathcal{H}}$ be maximally monotone. Let $m$ be a strictly positive integer and let $\left(\mathcal{G}_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq m}$ be real Hilbert spaces. For every $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$, let $A_{i}: \mathcal{G}_{i} \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{G}_{i}}$ be a maximally monotone, and let $B_{i}: \mathcal{G}_{i} \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{G}_{i}}$ be a maximally monotone such that $B_{i}^{-1}$ is $\mu_{i}$-Lipschitzian operator for some $\left.\mu_{i} \in\right]-\infty,+\infty[$, let $L_{i}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{i}$ be a bounded linear operator such that $0 \neq \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left\|L_{i}\right\|^{2}$. Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \in \operatorname{ran}\left(A+\sum_{i=1}^{m} L_{i}^{*}\left(A_{i} \square B_{i}\right) L_{i}+B\right) . \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

The primal inclusion is to find $\bar{x}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \in A \bar{x}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} L_{i}^{*}\left(A_{i} \square B_{i}\right) L_{i} \bar{x}+B \bar{x}, \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the dual inclusion is to find $\left(\bar{v}_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq m} \in\left(\mathcal{G}_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq m}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\forall i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}) 0 \in L_{i}(A+B)^{-1}\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{m} L_{i}^{*} \bar{v}_{i}\right)+A_{i}^{-1} \bar{v}_{i}+B_{i}^{-1} \bar{v}_{i} . \tag{2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\max \left\{\mu_{0}, \ldots, \mu_{m}\right\}+\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left\|L_{i}\right\|^{2}} \tag{2.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\gamma \in] 0,(\sqrt{2}-1) / \mu\left[,\left(x_{0}, x_{-1}\right) \in \mathcal{H}^{2}\right.$ and, for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$, let $\left(v_{i, 0}, v_{i,-1}\right) \in \mathcal{G}_{i}^{2}$. Iterate, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{cases}x_{n+1} & =J_{\gamma A}\left(x_{n}-\gamma B\left(2 x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right)-\gamma \sum_{i=1}^{m} L_{i}^{*}\left(2 v_{i, n}-v_{i, n-1}\right)\right)  \tag{2.44}\\ \text { For } & i=1, \ldots, m \\ v_{i, n+1} & =J_{\gamma A_{i}^{-1}}\left(v_{i, n}-\gamma B_{i}^{-1}\left(2 v_{i, n}-v_{i, n-1}\right)+\gamma L_{i}\left(2 x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right)\right)\end{cases}
$$

Then $x_{n} \rightharpoonup \bar{x}$ solves $(2.41)$ and $\left(v_{1, n}, \ldots, v_{m, n}\right) \rightharpoonup\left(\bar{v}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{v}_{m}\right)$ solves $(2.42)$.

Proof. We use the technique in [8]. Let $\mathcal{K}=\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{G}_{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathcal{G}_{m}$ be the Hilbert direct sum of the Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}$ and $\left(\mathcal{G}_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq m}$, where the scalar product and the the associated norm of $\mathcal{K}$ are respectively defined as, for any $(x, \boldsymbol{v})=\left(x, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right) \in \mathcal{K}$ and $(y, \boldsymbol{w})=\left(x, w_{1}, \ldots, w_{m}\right) \in \mathcal{K}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\langle\cdot \mid \cdot\rangle\rangle:((x, \boldsymbol{v}),(y, \boldsymbol{w})) \mapsto\langle x \mid y\rangle+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left\langle v_{i} \mid w_{i}\right\rangle \tag{2.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\|:\|\|:(x, \boldsymbol{v}) \mapsto \sqrt{\|x\|^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left\|v_{i}\right\|^{2}} \tag{2.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us define

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{B}: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}:\left(x, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right) \mapsto\left(B x+\sum_{i=1}^{m} L_{i}^{*} v_{i},-L_{1} x+B_{1}^{-1} v_{1}, \ldots,-L_{m} x+B_{m}^{-1} v_{m}\right)  \tag{2.47}\\
\boldsymbol{A}: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow 2^{\mathcal{K}}:\left(x, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right) \mapsto A x \times A_{1}^{-1} v_{1} \times \ldots, \times A_{m}^{-1} v_{m}
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is shown in $[8$, Eq. (3.12)] and [8, Eq. (3.13)] that under the condition $(2.40), \operatorname{zer}(\boldsymbol{A}+\boldsymbol{B}) \neq \varnothing$. Furthermore, [8, Eq. (3.21)] and [8, Eq. (3.22)] yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\bar{x}, \bar{v}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{v}_{m}\right) \in \operatorname{zer}(\boldsymbol{A}+\boldsymbol{B}) \Rightarrow \bar{x} \text { solves }(2.41) \text { and }\left(\bar{v}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{v}_{m}\right) \text { solves }(2.42) \tag{2.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is show in [8] that $\boldsymbol{B}$ is monotone and $\mu$-Lipschitzian and using [1, Proposition 20.23], $\boldsymbol{A}$ is also a maximally monotone operator. Furthermore, it follows from [1, Proposition 23.16] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\forall \boldsymbol{x}=\left(x, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right) \in \mathcal{K}\right)(\forall \gamma \in] 0,+\infty[) J_{\gamma \boldsymbol{A}} \boldsymbol{x}=\left(J_{\gamma A} x, J_{\gamma A_{1}^{-1}} v_{1}, \ldots J_{\gamma A_{m}^{-1}} v_{m}\right) \tag{2.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, set $\boldsymbol{x}_{n}=\left(x_{n}, v_{1, n}, \ldots, v_{m, n}\right)$. Then the proposed algorithm can be rewritten in the space $\mathcal{K}$ as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{x}_{n+1}=J_{\gamma \boldsymbol{A}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{n}-\gamma \boldsymbol{B}\left(2 \boldsymbol{x}_{n}-\boldsymbol{x}_{n-1}\right)\right) \tag{2.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of Theorem $2.2($ ii $),\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly to $\overline{\boldsymbol{x}}=\left(\bar{x}, \bar{v}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{v}_{m}\right)$ in $\operatorname{zer}(\boldsymbol{A}+\boldsymbol{B})$. By (2.48), it follows that $x_{n} \rightharpoonup \bar{x}$ solves (2.41) and $\left(v_{1, n}, \ldots, v_{m, n}\right) \rightharpoonup\left(\bar{v}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{v}_{m}\right)$ solves (2.42).

Remark 2.6 Here are some remarks:
(i) The structured primal-dual monotone inclusions (2.41)-(2.42) is firstly introduced in [8] and then $[2,24,28,11,26]$. Various special can be found in the literature $[8,20,23]$.
(ii) The iteration (2.44) is different from the one in [8] and (2.44) requires only one call of $B,\left(B_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq m},\left(L_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq m}$ per itearation.
(iii) Even when $B,\left(B_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq m}$ are restricted to be cocoercive, (2.44) is different from the one in [27].
(iv) Using the same idea as in [8], concretes applications to minimization problem involving the parallel sums are straightforward and we omit them here.

## 3 Numerical experiment

We provide an application of the proposed method to the image denoising by the total variation which was investigated in $\left[9\right.$, Problem 4.16]. Let $z_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ be the ideal image and $z$ be its corrupted observation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=z_{0}+w \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w$ is the realization of a noise process. The goal is to recover $z_{0}$ from $z$. Let us recall some notations in [9, Section 4] and the references therein. The discrete gradient operator is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla: \mathbb{R}^{N \times N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N \times N} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}:\left(x_{k, l}\right)_{1 \leq k, l \leq N} \mapsto\left(\eta_{k, l}^{(1)}, \eta_{k, l}^{(2)}\right)_{1 \leq k, l \leq N}, \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\left(\forall(k, l) \in\{1, \ldots, N\}^{2}\right)\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\eta_{k, l}^{(1)} & =x_{k+1, l}-x_{k, l}, & \text { if } k<N ;  \tag{3.3}\\
\eta_{N N, l}^{(1)} & =0 ; & \\
\eta_{k, l}^{(2)} & =x_{k, l+1}-x_{k, l}, & \text { if } l<N ; \\
\eta_{k, N}^{(2)} & =0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then the discrete total variation function is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{tv}: \mathbb{R}^{N \times N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}: x \mapsto\|\nabla x\|_{2,1}=\sum_{1 \leq k, l \leq N} \sqrt{\left|\eta_{k, l}^{(1)}\right|^{2}+\left|\eta_{k, l}^{(2)}\right|^{2}} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let $X$ be a nonempty closed convex set that model prior knowledge on the ideal image $z_{0}$. To recover $z_{0}$ from $z$, we focus on solving the following problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{x \in X}{\operatorname{minimize}} \xi \operatorname{tv}(x)+\frac{1}{2}\|x-z\|^{2}, \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi$ is a strictly positive number, together with its dual problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{v \in Y}{\operatorname{minimize}} \widetilde{\sigma}_{X}(z+\xi \operatorname{div} v), \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where div $=-\nabla^{*}\left[9\right.$, Eq. (4.45)], $\widetilde{\sigma}_{X}$ is the Moreau envelope of the support function $\sigma_{X}$ [9, Eq. (2.13)], and $Y$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=\left\{\left(\eta_{k, l}^{(1)}, \eta_{k, l}^{(2)}\right)_{1 \leq k, l \leq N} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{N \times N} \mid \max _{1 \leq k, l \leq N} \sqrt{\left|\eta_{k, l}^{(1)}\right|^{2}+\left|\eta_{k, l}^{(2)}\right|^{2}} \leq 1\right\} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is shown in [9] that the set of solutions to (3.6) is nonempty. Moreover, if $\bar{v}$ is a solution to (3.6), then $x^{*}=P_{X}(z+\xi \operatorname{div} \bar{v})$ is the solution to (3.5). Furthermore, the function $v \mapsto \widetilde{\sigma}_{X}(z+\xi \operatorname{div} v)$ is the differentiable convex function with $8 \xi$-Lipschitz continuous gradient. Therefore, the dual problem can be solved by various methods in the literature. In this section, we will compare the following methods with $\xi=0.1$ and $X=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N} \mid\left(\forall(k, l) \in\{1, \ldots, N\}^{2}\right) 0 \leq x_{k, l} \leq 1\right\}$.
(i) Tseng's splitting method (FBFS) with $\gamma=0.99 /(8 \xi)$.
(ii) Forward-reflected-backward splitting method (FRBS) with $\gamma=0.49 /(8 \xi)$.
(iii) Reflected forward-backward splitting method (RFBS) with $\gamma=0.49 /(8 \xi)$.
(iv) Dual forward-backward splitting (DFBS) with $\gamma=1.99 /(8 \xi)$ [9].

We use the parrotgray image with $N=256$ and $w=\mathcal{N}(0,0.1)$. The optimal solution $x^{*}$ is found by the primal-dual method in [27]. The results, which are implemented by Octave 4.1, are presented in Figures 1, 2 and 3.


Figure 1: Convergence results for the parrotgray image.
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Figure 2: Original parrotgray image (left) and noisy image (right) with $w=\mathcal{N}(0,0.1)$.
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