Frustrated three-leg spin tubes: From spin 1/2 with chirality to spin 3/2
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Motivated by the recent discovery of the spin tube [(CuCl2tachH)3Cl]2, we investigate the properties of a frustrated three-leg spin tube with antiferromagnetic intra- and inter-ring couplings. We pay special attention to the evolution of the properties from weak to strong inter-ring coupling and show on the basis of extensive density matrix renormalization group and exact diagonalization calculations that the system undergoes a first-order phase transition between a dimerized gapped phase at weak coupling that can be described by the usual spin-chirality model and a gapless critical phase at strong coupling that can be described by an effective spin-3/2 model. We also show that there is a magnetization plateau at 1/3 in the whole gapped phase and slightly beyond. The implications for [(CuCl2tachH)3Cl]2 are discussed, with the conclusion that this system behaves essentially as a spin-3/2 chain.
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I. INTRODUCTION

During the last fifteen years, spin ladders have attracted a lot of attention from both theoretical and experimental physicists (for an early review, see Ref. 1). On one hand, they provide a calculationally tractable system interpolating between one-dimensional and two-dimensional quantum spin physics. On the other hand, existing spin ladder compounds allow for a detailed comparison of theoretical predictions and experimental results. The interpolation between one and two dimensions is, however, not smooth: while half-integer spin ladders with an even number of legs N show a gapped spectrum for spin excitations, ladders with odd N are believed to be gapless (like the spin-1/2 Heisenberg chain).

For odd-N ladders with half-integer spins, applying periodic boundary conditions in the transverse direction of the ladder (forming thus a spin tube) yields an even more intriguing situation: In this case, for antiferromagnetic couplings, the ground state of an isolated ring is fourfold degenerate (twofold in spin and twofold in chirality space) and the low-energy physics of weakly coupled rings therefore involves both spin and chirality degrees of freedom. A number of theoretical studies have investigated effective low-energy Hamiltonians for weakly coupled rings by means of bosonization, density matrix renormalization group (DMRG), mean-field theory, and exact diagonalization (ED). All of them conclude that the ground state is dimerized, displaying a gap for both spin and chirality excitations. The details of the gaps depend, however, on the frustration of the inter-ring coupling.

Two experimental candidates for spin tubes are currently available: The vanadium oxide Na2V2O7, probably a nine-leg spin tube but with only threefold rotational symmetry, and the three-leg compound [(CuCl2tachH)3Cl]2. Neither of these examples is in the regime of weak coupling between the rings, intra- and inter-ring coupling constants being of the same order. It is therefore a very important first question to ask whether the low-energy physics of these realistic spin tubes may still be described by the above mentioned spin-chirality models. In both experimental realizations, neighboring rings are not coupled by one single antiferromagnetic bond, but by at least two competing bonds. This leads to additional frustration and raises the second question as to how much this frustration can change the physics.

We address both questions by considering the example of a three-leg tube with antiferromagnetic intraring couplings and two frustrating antiferromagnetic inter-ring couplings which forms a minimal setup including chirality and additional frustration. This model represents directly the compound [(CuCl2tachH)3Cl]2, but the main results are expected to apply to other frustrated spin tubes as well. Regarding the properties, we will concentrate on the presence or absence of a spin gap, on the nature of the low-lying excitations, and on the magnetization curve.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we introduce the basic notations and describe several limiting cases of the three-leg spin tube. In Sec. III we discuss the zero-field phase diagram from a numerical point of view and report on low-spin boundary excitations. In Sec. IV we use continuous unitary transformations to derive effective low-energy Hamiltonians. Finally, we analyze the phase diagram as a function of the external magnetic field in Sec. V and discuss the experimental implications of our results in Sec. VI.

II. THE MODEL

The starting point is the Hamiltonian of the frustrated antiferromagnetic spin-1/2 Heisenberg model on a three-leg spin tube:

$$H = J \sum_{ij} \sigma_i^x \cdot \sigma_j^x + J \sum_{ij} \sigma_i^y \cdot (\sigma_j^z + \sigma_j^x - 1)$$

The index i runs along the tube, j=1, ..., 3 around the tube, and σ is the spin operator for a spin 1/2. The tube can be...
viewed as series of triangles (see Fig. 1). Each corner of such a triangle is coupled to two corners on the previous and the next triangle. In the absence of the $J_1$ coupling, the Hamiltonian is bipartite (see Fig. 1), and can be seen as a particular wrapping of a square lattice onto the tube.

A. Lieb-Schultz-Mattis theorem

The Lieb-Schultz-Mattis (LSM) theorem\(^{12}\) is one of the few exact results on frustrated spin systems. Since its proof is quite general, it can be applied to a wide variety of systems with short-range interactions,\(^{13,14}\) in particular, to the spin tube Hamiltonian (1). An explicit proof of the LSM theorem for this case can be found in Ref. 15. The theorem states that half-integer spin chains have either a degenerate ground state or a gapless spectrum due to a zero-energy mode with momentum $\pi$ relative to the ground state. It is interesting to note that both situations are realized in the three-leg spin tube system. We first discuss them in two limiting cases.

B. Weakly coupled triangles

In the limit $J_1 \gg J_2$, the system consists of weakly interacting triangles. Each isolated triangle has a fourfold degenerate spin-1/2 ground state that can be labeled by its chirality $\tau_i$ and its magnetic moment along the $z$ axis, $S_i$. The projection of the full Hamiltonian (1) onto the low-energy space spanned by the spin-1/2 states leads to lowest order in $J_2$ to an effective spin-chirality model,

$$H_{1/2} = P_{S=1/2} H P_{S=1/2}$$

$$= \frac{2J_2}{3} \sum_i (S_i \cdot S_{i+1}) + K_1 \sum_i (S_i \cdot S_{i+1})^3,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $\alpha = 2$ for the frustrated tube of Eq. (1). Here, $S_i$ is a spin-1/2 operator describing the total spin on triangle $i$, $\tau_i$ is a pseudo-spin-1/2 operator acting in chirality space, and $P_{S=1/2}$ is the projector in the subspace where each triangle is in an $S=1/2$ state. It can be written as the product of the local projectors, $P_{S=1/2} = \prod_i P_{S=1/2}$, with

$$P_{S=1/2}^{\pm} = \sum_{S=1/2} \left[ 1 + \alpha (\tau_i^+ \cdot \tau_{i+1}^+ + H.c.) \right].$$

The Hamiltonian $H_{1/2}$ is bipartite.

C. Strongly coupled triangles

In the limit $J_1 = 0$, the lattice is effectively bipartite, and we expect ferromagnetic correlations between spins on the same ring because they belong to the same sublattice. The total spin on each ring should therefore be close to its maximum value of 3/2, and the physics for $J_1 = 0$ should be similar to the case of a ferromagnetic coupling, $J_1 < 0$, within each triangle. The effective Hamiltonian in the strong coupling case $-J_1 \gg J_2$ reads

$$H_{3/2} = K_1 \sum_i S_i \cdot S_{i+1} + K_2 \sum_i (S_i \cdot S_{i+1})^3,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $S_i$ is now a spin-3/2 operator. The coupling constants are given by $K_1 = 2J_2 / 3 + J_2^2 / (18|J_1|)$ and $K_2 = -J_2^2 / (54|J_1|)$. Note that the nature of the second-order corrections to $K_1$ and $K_2$ does not seem to frustrate the effective spin-3/2 chain. It is therefore reasonable to argue that the spin-3/2 state is stabilized at least up to $J_1 = 0$ even though this value lies beyond the perturbative limit. This argument can be made more quantitative by performing range-2 CORE calculations\(^{16,17}\) yielding effective coupling constants $K_1 \approx 0.78$ and $K_2 \approx 0.04$ for $J_1 = 0$ (the cubic $K_3$ coefficient is four times smaller than $K_2$). The ratio of the couplings does not change drastically even by increasing $J_1 / J_2$ up to 1. The physics of the effective Hamiltonian (4) is therefore dominated by the Heisenberg ($K_1$) term, which is known to belong to the universality class of a Luttinger liquid,\(^{18}\) and therefore has a gapless spectrum. We believe that small biquadratic or biconic interactions will not immediately open a gap\(^{19}\) and we expect the low-energy theory to remain stable. Note that these gapless excitations are in sharp contrast to the gapped spectrum of the spin-chirality Hamiltonian (2).

Based on the preliminary arguments of Secs. II B and II C, we expect the frustrated spin-tube Hamiltonian (1) to undergo at least one quantum phase transition as we move from weakly coupled ($J_1 \gg J_2$) to strongly coupled ($J_1 \ll J_2$) triangles.

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In the following, we investigate the properties of this phase transition numerically. We have performed extensive density matrix renormalization group calculations\(^{20}\) on tubes with open boundaries and $L = 100$ and exact diagonalization on small systems up to $L = 12$ using periodic boundary conditions (PBC).

Two independent quantities prove clearly that there is a single first-order bulk phase transition. They are discussed in Secs. III A and III B, respectively. Furthermore, on open boundary systems, there is a second “transition” at which the...
state of rings at the boundary jump from predominantly $S=1/2$ to predominantly $S=3/2$. This phenomenon will be discussed in Sec. III C.

A. Local spin on a ring

The first-order character is best seen in the ground-state expectation value $\langle P_{S=1/2}^i \rangle$ of the previously defined projector (3), which is a purely local quantity defined on a single triangle $i$.

We have computed this expectation value as a function of $J_2/J_1$. The results are shown in Fig. 2, which displays the projector in the middle of the tube at $i=L/2$. For weak coupling $J_2/J_1 < 1$, the expectation value is close to 1, for strong coupling $J_2/J_1 > 1$, it is close to zero. The expectation value clearly jumps at a value $J_2=1.219\pm 0.003$ indicating a first-order phase transition which is magnified in the inset of Fig. 2.

Several comments are in order. Figure 2 displays results obtained with both DMRG and ED. To check the importance of boundary effects (see Sec. III C), DMRG was performed with two types of boundary conditions: the standard open boundary conditions (OBC), and the ferromagnetic boundary conditions (FBC), where we put a ferromagnetic coupling $J_1=-10$ on the two triangles at the boundary of the tube, thereby strongly favoring the $S=3/2$ state. For the ED results, periodic boundary conditions were applied. The curves for the three kinds of boundary conditions differ only near the first-order transition, and the differences decrease with the increasing length of the tube. In the ED, we additionally observe that for system sizes $L=4p+2$ the ground state changes the momentum sector at the transition from $k=0$ for weak coupling to $k=\pi$ for strong coupling. This is another fingerprint of a first-order transition.

B. Dimerization

The first-order character of the phase transition is also clearly apparent in inter-ring correlations. We investigate the local dimerization defined as

$D_i = (-1)^i \langle S_i \cdot S_{i+1} \rangle$. This dimerization can be viewed as the order parameter of the symmetry-broken weak coupling phase. It is also present in the spin-chirality model discussed in Sec. II B for which the dimerization opens a spin gap. Since we work with open boundary conditions, the quantity $D_i$ varies with the ring position $i$. Figure 3 shows the order parameter $OD_i(L)$ (the dimerization in the middle of the tube) for different system sizes $L$. Two sharp transitions are observed, the first one around $J_2=J_2$, and the second one at a higher value $J_2/J_1 \approx 1.47$. However, finite-size scaling shows that the order parameter $OD_i(L)$ remains finite only for $J_2 < J_2$, in the thermodynamic limit. The first transition, the disappearance of the dimerization, corresponds to the phase transition to a $S=3/2$ phase on the rings. The second transition is a boundary effect discussed in the next section.

C. Boundary excitations

Boundary spin-1/2 degrees of freedom in open spin $S=3/2$ chains have been predicted theoretically in Ref. 22, and were later confirmed in DMRG studies of unfrustrated23 and frustrated24 spin $S=3/2$ chains.

In our model we find these edge states as well for sufficiently large $J_2/J_1$. However, approaching the first-order transition coming from $J_2 > J_2$, there is a second class of edge states, which are related to a kind of nucleation of the dimerized $S=1/2$ phase at the boundaries. These edge states are different from those discussed above, as they now originate from the $S=1/2$ subspace of a ring, and therefore also include a chirality degree of freedom.

This is most clearly seen in the spatial dependence of the correlation functions considered in the two subsections above. The upper panel of Fig. 4 shows the space dependence of the projector $\langle P_{S=1/2}^i \rangle$ and the lower panel of the nearest-neighbor spin correlation $\langle S_i \cdot S_{i+1} \rangle$ for different val-
FIG. 4. (Color online) Spatial dependence of the projector \( \langle P_{S=1/2} \rangle \) (upper panel) and nearest-neighbor correlation function \( \langle S_i S_{i+1} \rangle \) (lower panel) for \( L=50 \) and different values of the interring coupling \( J_2 \).

The different behavior of bulk and edge rings is nicely illustrated in Fig. 5, in which the values of \( \langle P_{S=1/2} \rangle \) in the bulk and at the boundary of the tube are plotted as functions of the coupling ratio \( J_2/J_1 \). The expectation value of the projector drops at \( J_2/J_1 \approx 1.22 \), whereas the boundary ring remains in an \( S=1/2 \) state up to \( J_2/J_1 \approx 1.5 \).

IV. EFFECTIVE HAMILTONIAN APPROACH

Since the numerical results strongly indicate that the transition is first order, it should be possible to describe the transition as occurring directly between an effective spin-chirality model and a spin-3/2 model. Indeed, we have previously discussed that the ground state of the model Hamiltonian (1) lies in the spin-1/2 sector for \( J_2 \approx J_1 \) and in the spin-3/2 sector for \( J_2 \ll J_1 \). The problem is then reduced to diagonalizing an effective Hamiltonian (2) or (4) on a truncated Hilbert space (for the spin-1/2 case see Refs. 4 and 5). However, in the intermediate regime \( J_1 \sim J_2 \), it is no longer possible to construct effective Hamiltonians using perturbation theory in the small parameter \( J_2/|J_1| \) and an alternative construction has to be found. In this context, it is interesting to observe that the above Hamiltonian \( H \) can be extended to

\[
H_c = H + J_2^* \sum_{ij} \sigma_{ij} \cdot \sigma_{i+1j}.
\]

For \( J_2=J_2^* \), the extended Hamiltonian \( H_c \) has the special property to conserve total spin and chirality of each triangle separately. Note that this property is independent of the relative strength of \( J_1 \) and \( J_2 \) and holds also for \( J_1 \sim J_2 \). The Hamiltonian \( H_c \) is naturally block diagonal when \( J_2=J_2^* \), each block being characterized by a set of quantum numbers for spin and chirality on each triangle. The ground state is found either in the block where all triangles have spin 1/2 or in the one where all have spin 3/2. Both cases are obviously connected by a first-order phase transition.

For our Hamiltonian \( H \) we have \( J_2 \neq J_2^* \), and the large set of conserved quantum numbers is lost. Even the total number of spin-1/2 triangles is no longer conserved. To restore this conservation law, we propose to apply a continuous unitary transformation to the Hamiltonian \( H \) which eliminates all couplings that change the total number of spin-1/2 triangles. The obtained effective Hamiltonian \( H_{\text{eff}} \) is again block diagonal and allows us to calculate the ground-state energies of the spin-1/2 and spin-3/2 sectors independently. We may then infer the critical \( J_2 \) for the first-order phase transition from the comparison of those energies. The proposed unitary transformation can be carried out exactly in the limit \( J_2 \to J_2^* \to 0 \). In our case, \( J_2^*=0 \), the construction of the effective Hamiltonian \( H_{\text{eff}} \) can be viewed as a perturbative expansion in the parameter \( J_2/J_1 \).

A powerful tool for the derivation of effective Hamiltonians was introduced by Wegner,26 the so-called flow equations, which were first applied to spin Hamiltonians by Uhrig.27 Flow equations apply infinitesimal unitary transformations (parametrized by \( \ell \)) to the original Hamiltonian until an effective Hamiltonian with the desired properties is obtained:

\[
\frac{dH(\ell)}{d\ell} = \left[ \eta(\ell), H(\ell) \right], \quad H(\ell \to \infty) \to H_{\text{eff}}. \tag{5}
\]

Following Ref. 26, we choose the commutator

\[
\eta(\ell) = \left[ H_0(\ell), H(\ell) \right] \tag{6}
\]

as an appropriate generator of the transformation. Here, \( H_0(\ell) \) denotes the conserving part of the Hamiltonian which does not change the number of spin-1/2 triangles. The above defined flow generates an infinite set of couplings which has to be suitably truncated. To illustrate the behavior of the flow
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qualitatively, we first use a truncated set of only three couplings. Later on, we will use a set of 16 couplings which allows us to arrive at semiquantitative results.

For the qualitative analysis, we decompose the Hamiltonian

\[ H(\ell) = J_1(\ell)\sigma_{i,j} + J_{2,c}(\ell)\sigma_{i,j+1} + J_{2,n}(\ell)\sigma_{i,j+1} \]

into the coupling

\[ h_1 = \sum_{ij} \sigma_{ij} \cdot \sigma_{ij+1}, \]

the coupling \( h_{2,c} \) which is the part of

\[ h_2 = \sum_{ij} \sigma_{ij} \cdot (\sigma_{i+1,j+1} + \sigma_{i+1,j-1}) \]

that conserves the number of spin-1/2 triangles, and the coupling \( h_{2,n} = h_2 - h_{2,c} \) that changes the number of spin-1/2 triangles. The two couplings \( h_{2,c} \) and \( h_{2,n} \) are readily obtained by applying the projectors (3) to the terms of \( h_2 \).

Inserting the Hamiltonian \( H(\ell) \) and its conserving part \( H_1(\ell) = J_1(\ell)\sigma_{i,j} + J_{2,c}(\ell)\sigma_{i,j+1} \) into Eqs. (5) and (6), we obtain (after the truncation) three coupled differential equations for the coupling constants

\[
\frac{dJ_1}{d\ell} = \left( 32J_1 - 21 \frac{J_{2,c}}{J_{2,n}} \right)^2,
\]

\[
\frac{dJ_{2,c}}{d\ell} = \left( -13 \frac{J_1}{J_{2,n}} + 19 \frac{J_{2,n}}{J_{2,c}} \right)^2,
\]

\[
\frac{dJ_{2,n}}{d\ell} = \left( -72J_1^2 + 96J_{2,c} - 67 \frac{J_{2,n}}{J_{2,c}} \right)^2 J_{2,n},
\]

with the initial conditions

\[ J_1(0) = J_{2,c}(0) = J_{2,n}(0) = J_2. \]

The analysis of the last differential equation shows that \( J_{2,n} \) scales to zero during the flow, leading to an effective Hamiltonian \( H_{\text{eff}} \) which obeys the desired conservation law. The qualitative inspection of the first and second equations shows that for \( J_2 < 1.5J_1 \) the spin-1/2 phase is stabilized \([J_1(\infty) > J_1(0), J_{2,c}(\infty) < J_{2,c}(0)]\), whereas for \( J_2 > 1.5J_1 \) the spin-3/2 phase is stabilized in the same way. For very large initial \( J_2 \) the effective \( J_1(\infty) \) becomes even ferromagnetic \( J_1(\infty) < 0 \), so that the one-site coupling \( h_1 \) itself favors the spin-3/2 state. This analysis is confirmed by the numerical integration of Eqs. (7).

The accuracy of the above reasoning can be made semiquantitative, when more couplings are included in the flow. For this purpose we evolve the one-triangle coupling \( h_1 \) and all the 15 two-triangle nearest-neighbor couplings which are allowed by symmetry under the flow. We evaluate the ground-state energies in the spin-1/2 and spin-3/2 sectors of \( H_{\text{eff}} \) by exact diagonalization of small chains corresponding to tubes up to length \( L=14 \). (Note that the dimension of the effective Hilbert space is reduced in comparison to the full Hilbert space by a factor of \( 2^L \).) The results of the numerical calculation are shown in Fig. 6. The phase transition between a spin-1/2-chirality chain and a spin-3/2 chain appears around \( J_2 = 1.4J_1 \). This value lies slightly above the precise \( J_2 \) obtained by DMRG. As can be seen from Fig. 6, finite-size effects does not explain this quantitative discrepancy. It is in fact due to the truncation of the flow equations to nearest-neighbor couplings. It can be checked numerically that the effect of including next-nearest-neighbor two-triangle couplings in the flow is negligible. To reach a more quantitative result, it is necessary to include three-triangle couplings.

In summary, a first-order transition between a spin-chirality model and a spin-3/2 model is also found in this approach, and the agreement with the critical ratio \( J_2/J_1 \) found in DMRG is very satisfactory considering the truncation of the flow. Therefore we believe that the case for a first-order transition is very strong.

V. PHASE DIAGRAM IN A FIELD

Finally, to make contact with the experiments performed on \([\text{CuCl}_2\text{taehH}_3\text{Cl}]\text{Cl}_2\), we investigate the properties of the model in the presence of an external magnetic field.

For \( J_2/J_1 = 0 \), the triangles are decoupled and we expect a magnetization jump from \( m = 0 \) to \( m = 1/3 \) at \( h = 0^+ \), where all the triangles are in a spin-1/2 state with \( S_z = +1/2 \). This \( m = 1/3 \) plateau should survive in a finite parameter range. In terms of the spin-chirality model of Ref. 5, such a plateau is quite unusual. Indeed, when the spin degrees of freedom align ferromagnetically in the field, the effective model for the chirality becomes an \( XY \) model, whose spectrum is gapless. So, according to this argument, this plateau phase is expected to have a gap to spin excitations—as do all plateau phases—and to simultaneously possess gapless chirality excitations. Note that higher-order terms in the effective model might open a small gap in the chirality excitations as well.
but being a higher-order effect, this gap (if any) should be small compared to the spin gap, and should thus lead to observable properties, such as a specific heat linear in $T$ below the temperature scale set by the width of the plateau.

Typical magnetization curves are shown in Fig. 7 for several values of $J_2/J_1$. As long as the ratio $J_2/J_1$ is not too large, a plateau at $m=1/3$ is clearly present. As can be seen in Fig. 8, this plateau phase survives everywhere in the region in which the system is effectively a spin-1/2 chain with chirality, but interestingly, it extends beyond that point up to $J_2/J_1 \approx 1.6$. It is plausible that the region of validity of the effective spin-1/2-chirality model extends to higher values of $J_2/J_1$ when a magnetic field is applied to the system. Indeed, an abrupt jump in $p_{1/2}^{2/3}$ is still present for slightly polarized samples and the corresponding critical value of the ratio $J_2/J_1$ increases slightly with magnetization. By the time the $m=1/3$ plateau is reached, the suppression of $p_{1/2}^{2/3}$ is very smooth, however, and it is not possible to decide on the basis of the available data whether the first-order line extends up to the right boundary of the plateau phase, or whether it ends at a critical point on the way. In any case, for large $J_2/J_1$, the magnetization curve becomes smooth, as it should for a spin-3/2 chain.

VI. $\text{[(CuCl}_2\text{tachH)}_3\text{Cl]Cl}_2$}

The Hamiltonian of Eq. (1) is believed to be realized in the compound $\text{[(CuCl}_2\text{tachH)}_3\text{Cl]Cl}_2$, with $J_2/J_1 \approx 2.16$, and the theoretical investigation of that model reported in Ref. 11 came to the somewhat surprising conclusion that the system has a gap to all (spin and singlet) excitations. This is at odds with the LSM theorem (see Sec. II A). On the basis of our DMRG results, we do not reach the same conclusion. If $J_2/J_1$ is small enough, the system should be in a dimerized phase with a spin gap and a twofold-degenerate ground state. Hence a low-lying singlet excitation should be present in finite systems and should collapse onto the ground state in the thermodynamic limit. If $J_2/J_1$ is large enough, the system should essentially behave as a spin-3/2 chain and be gapless with low-lying spinon excitations. The phase transition between these phases takes place for $J_2/J_1 \approx 1.22$. So, with a ratio $J_2/J_1 = 2.16$, as deduced from the temperature dependence of the susceptibility in Ref. 11, we predict that $\text{[(CuCl}_2\text{tachH)}_3\text{Cl]Cl}_2$ should have a gapless spectrum, and, since this ratio is also larger than the critical value that marks the disappearance of the 1/3 plateau, there should be no plateau at 1/3. In fact, according to the discussion of the previous section, the absence of a 1/3 plateau in the magnetization curve of $\text{[(CuCl}_2\text{tachH)}_3\text{Cl]Cl}_2$ reported in Ref. 11 shows unambiguously that this compound lies in the effective $S=3/2$ strong coupling phase.

To be more specific, the claim regarding the absence of a spin gap is clearly supported by our calculations of the gap to $S=2$ excitations (since we are working with open boundary conditions, the gap to $S=1$ excitations is very small and corresponds to boundary excitations$^{22,23}$). Indeed, the finite-size scaling of the gap is consistent with a vanishing value in the thermodynamic limit for all ratios $J_2/J_1$ larger than 1.22 (see Fig. 9).

Regarding the magnetization curve, our results agree with those of Ref. 11, which were obtained on smaller systems:
The curve is smooth with no trace of any irregularity close to 1/3 magnetization. Figure 7 shows the magnetization curve for the spin tube for various values of $J_2$ and for the spin-3/2 chain for $L=36$. The coupling of the spin-3/2 chain has been chosen so that the saturation field is the same as the saturation field for the experimental value of the couplings ($J_2/J_1=2.16$). The two magnetization curves are very similar, giving further support to our claim that $\left[\text{CuCl}_2\text{tachH}\right]_3\text{Cl}_2$ should be regarded as a spin-3/2 chain. It would be desirable to have additional low-temperature susceptibility data in order to clarify whether the compound really shows a spin gap. On the basis of the available susceptibility and magnetization data, gapless behavior is clearly not excluded.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

We have investigated three-leg spin tubes consisting of rings that are coupled by competing antiferromagnetic bonds. We have shown that this frustration can drive the system away from the typically used effective spin-1/2-chirality model of regular spin tubes. It becomes an effective spin-3/2 chain even if the inter-ring couplings are antiferromagnetic. In the specific case of the model relevant to $\left[\text{CuCl}_2\text{tachH}\right]_3\text{Cl}_2$, in which each spin of a ring is coupled to two spins of the neighboring rings, the transition between these phases as a function of the inter-ring coupling has been shown to be first order. This should be contrasted to the case of the unfrustrated spin tube, in which case the transition is expected to be second order and to take place when the inter-ring coupling changes sign. These results lead to a different interpretation of the properties of $\left[\text{CuCl}_2\text{tachH}\right]_3\text{Cl}_2$, and to specific predictions regarding the occurrence of a plateau at 1/3 in this geometry. It is our hope that the present work will motivate further investigations of the excitations of $\left[\text{CuCl}_2\text{tachH}\right]_3\text{Cl}_2$ to check our predictions, and more generally of the (so far) small but fascinating family of spin tubes.

Note added. Recently, K. Okunishi et al. informed us of their work reaching the same conclusion concerning the existence of a first-order phase transition.28
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