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Abstract

The solar to electric power conversion efficiency (PCE) of perovskite solar cells (PSCs) has recently reached 22.7 % exceeding that of competing thin film photovoltaics and the market leader polycrystalline silicon. In order to augment the PCE further towards the Shockley Queisser limit of 33.5 %, judicious engineering of the interfaces between the light harvesting perovskite and the charge carrier extraction layer is warranted. Here, we introduce a mesoscopic oxide double layer as an electron selective contact consisting of a scaffold of TiO\textsubscript{2} nanoparticles covered by a thin film of amorphous SnO\textsubscript{2} (a-SnO\textsubscript{2}). The conduction band of a-SnO\textsubscript{2} is well-aligned with the perovskite light harvester as compare to that of the crystalline tetragonal polymorph SnO\textsubscript{2}. This accelerates carrier extraction with respect to the bare scaffold, avoiding electron trapping by TiO\textsubscript{2} surface states. As a result, the notorious hysteresis in the current-voltage ($J$-$V$) curves of PSCs is suppressed and nonradiative carrier recombination retarded.
resulting in a remarkable increase of the open circuit photovoltage ($V_{oc}$). These benefits are specific to a-SnO$_2$ and disappear upon its crystallization. By introducing the a-SnO$_2$ coated mp-TiO$_2$ scaffold as electron extraction layer, we not only increase the $V_{oc}$ and PEC of the device but render it resistant to UV light which forebodes well for outdoor deployment of these new PSC architectures.
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Organic-inorganic perovskite solar cells (PSCs) have been the focus of intensive research during the past few years. So far, compositional engineering and interface modifications have been employed in order to boost the solar to electric power conversion efficiency (PCE) of perovskite solar cell over 22%.$^{1-6}$ Surface modification of the electron extraction layer (EEL) is one of the most effective way to improve the efficiency of perovskite solar cell.$^{1-6}$ TiO$_2$ is the most common EEL for fabrication of PSCs due to its low cost, eco friendliness, ease of fabrication and favorable conduction band alignment with the perovskite. However, the compact TiO$_2$ (c-TiO$_2$) layer has a relatively low electron mobility and contains electron traps that retard electron extraction leading to enhanced hysteresis in the current-voltage ($J$-$V$) curves and nonradiative charge carrier recombination.$^{7-11}$ To tackle this problem, an additional layer of mesoporous TiO$_2$ (mp-TiO$_2$) consisting of a 3-dimensional (3D) array of interconnected TiO$_2$ nanocrystals is usually deposited on top of the c-TiO$_2$. However, this EEL structure may bring other risks, such as performance degradation by UV light. Surface modification of mp-TiO$_2$ scaffold offers an effective way to improve the carrier collection and in turn the photovoltaic metrics of the PSCs.$^{12-15}$ For instance, lithium treatment of mp-TiO$_2$ can help to passivate the surface defects of the EEL and improve the charge collection, resulting in a higher $V_{oc}$ and PCE.$^{16}$
TiO₂ films show photocatalytic activity under UV light,¹⁷,¹⁸ which could affect the perovskite. Therefore, TiO₂ layers are increasingly replaced by SnO₂ films which are photo-catalytically inactive, show very high electron mobility and fast electron extraction.¹⁹-²¹ Methods for depositing SnO₂ based EELs employ e.g. solution processing, atomic layer deposition (ALD), and sputtering technique.²²-²⁵ Solution processing has so far yielded the highest PCE of 21.6% reported by Jiang et al. albeit on a tiny device area of only 0.0737 cm².²⁶ It appears that the direct deposition of the SnO₂ layer onto the FTO glass is not well reproducible due to the roughness of the conductive glass support,²⁷ and there is a risk of infiltration with fluoride ions from the FTO. Therefore, Lee et al. introduced a compact TiO₂ layer between the FTO and the SnO₂ film attaining a maximum PCE of 19.8%.¹⁵ Dong et al. employed SnO₂ quantum dots (NC-SnO₂) mixed with mp-TiO₂ nanoparticles as an EEL for PSCs reporting PSC of 16%.²⁸ In addition, Song et al. deposited a thin layer of SnO₂ on top of anodized amorphous TiO₂ (a-TiO₂), yielding a PCE of 21.1%.²⁷ While none of these results were certified, they concur that using SnO₂ as EEL the photovoltaic performance and stability are increased with decreasing the undesirable hysteresis effect.²⁹-³¹

Here we introduce a mesoscopic oxide double layer as an electron selective contact consisting of a scaffold of TiO₂ nanoparticles coated by a thin film of amorphous SnO₂ (a-SnO₂) using solution deposition. The band gap of the a-SnO₂ exceeds that of the crystalline tetragonal polymorph by 0.05 eV, affording perfect alignment of its conduction band with that of the perovskite light harvester. This accelerates carrier extraction with respect to the bare TiO₂ scaffold, avoiding electron trapping by surface states. As a result, the notorious hysteresis in the current-voltage curves of PSCs is suppressed and nonradiative carrier recombination retarded yielding a very high open circuit photovoltage (V_{oc}) of 1.2 V. Results from intensity modulated photovoltage
spectroscopy (IMVS) are consistent with these extraordinarily high $V_{oc}$ values. Importantly, we find that these benefits are specific to a-SnO$_2$ and are not observed upon converting it to a crystalline form by high temperature annealing. Apart from enhancing the photovoltaic performance, the a-SnO$_2$ coating also prevents UV degradation of the PSC devices based on a-SnO$_2$/mp-TiO$_2$ as EEL retaining 97% of their initial PCE after 60 h exposure to the UV light.

**Result and discussions**

Figure S1 shows a schematic of the investigated device structure. It consisted of FTO glass coated by a compact TiO$_2$ layer, a 150 nm-thick mp-TiO$_2$, a thin layer of SnO$_2$, a 300 nm-thick perovskite film, a 150 nm-thick spiro-OMeTAD hole transfer layer (HTL), and a 80 nm thick gold contact. The mesoporous TiO$_2$ scaffold was coated in a manner by a conformal thin layer of a-SnO$_2$, c-SnO$_2$, or NC-SnO$_2$. Top-view SEM images of the perovskite films deposited on the different EELs are shown in Figure S2. As seen, the grain size of perovskite film on a-SnO$_2$ is slightly larger than perovskite films on top of the bare mp-TiO$_2$ scaffold or NC-SnO$_2$. This indicates that the number of nucleation cites in amorphous SnO$_2$ (continuous film) is less than other substrates, resulting in larger grain size for perovskite film.

X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of SnO$_2$ films deposited from solution and the NC-colloid are shown in Figure 1a. The data show the spin-coated SnO$_2$ film annealed at 180 °C to be amorphous turning fully crystalline upon annealing at 450 °C.$^{32}$ The reflections match the known pattern of tetragonal SnO$_2$ (JCPDS Card No: 41-1445). The NC-SnO$_2$ layer shows similar XRD reflections although it exhibits a stronger prevalence of (101) over the (110) and (200) facets. It was deposited onto the mp-TiO$_2$ scaffold by spin coating of a colloidal solution of SnO$_2$ nanocrystals with a 3-5 nm particle size (Figure S3), followed by annealing at 150 °C.$^{33}$
Figure 1b,c demonstrate the optical properties of the triple cation perovskite films deposited on the different EELs. Panel (b) shows the absorption onset and luminescence peak to be around 770 nm, corresponding to a band gap of 1.61 eV. The photoluminence (PL) decay is significantly influenced by the nature of the EEL reflecting its effectiveness in scavenging photo-generated conduction band electrons from the perovskite light harvesters (Figure 1c). The PL lifetimes obtained from exponential fits of the decay curves are 2.43 ns, 3.21 ns, and 1.93 ns for mp-TiO₂, mp-TiO₂/NC-SnO₂, and mp-TiO₂/a-SnO₂, respectively, showing that the amorphous SnO₂ extracts electrons more rapidly from the pervoskite than the bare TiO₂ scaffold while the SnO₂-NC show a slower rate.

Table 1. Photovoltaic metrics for best performing devices based on mp-TiO₂, mp-TiO₂/SnO₂-NCs, and mp-TiO₂/a-SnO₂ with different scan directions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>$V_{oc}$ (V)</th>
<th>$J_{sc}$ (mA/cm²)</th>
<th>FF (%)</th>
<th>PCE (%)</th>
<th>Hysteresis index (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mp-TiO₂-forward</td>
<td>1.078</td>
<td>22.12</td>
<td>78.1</td>
<td>18.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mp-TiO₂-backward</td>
<td>1.098</td>
<td>22.21</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>19.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mp-TiO₂/SnO₂-NCs-forward</td>
<td>1.114</td>
<td>21.76</td>
<td>67.8</td>
<td>16.43</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mp-TiO₂/SnO₂-NCs-backward</td>
<td>1.135</td>
<td>21.83</td>
<td>68.4</td>
<td>16.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mp-TiO₂/a-SnO₂-forward</td>
<td>1.171</td>
<td>22.47</td>
<td>76.8</td>
<td>20.21</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mp-TiO₂/a-SnO₂-backward</td>
<td>1.168</td>
<td>22.51</td>
<td>77.6</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We fabricated perovskite solar cells endowed with these EELs as explained in the experimental section. Figure 2a presents the cross-sectional SEM image of a PSC based on mp-TiO₂/a-SnO₂. Current density-voltage ($J-V$) curves of devices employing the three different EELs under
simulated (AM1.5G) solar irradiation are shown in Figure 2b for both backward and forward scanning directions. The PV metrics for best performing devices are listed in Table 1 and $J-V$ curves are shown in Figure 2b. The PSC based on mp-TiO$_2$/a-SnO$_2$ presents the highest PCE of 20.4% with a $V_{oc}$ of 1.168 V, $J_{sc}$ of 22.51, and $FF$ of 77.6%, clearly outperforming the bare and mp-TiO$_2$/NC- SnO$_2$ films. The reference cell using bare mp-TiO$_2$ gave a $J_{sc}$ of 22.21 mA/cm$^2$, $V_{oc}$ of 1.098 V, fill factor ($FF$) of 78.5%, and PCE of 19.14%.

Note, the high $V_{oc}$ of 1.17 V obtained with mp-TiO$_2$/a-SnO$_2$ as EEL exceeding that of the bare TiO$_2$ reference by 80-100 mV. This finding is counterintuitive as one would expect SnO$_2$ to produce a lower $V_{oc}$ than TiO$_2$ (anatase) due to the 0.3 eV larger offset of its conduction band edge energy with respect to that of the perovskite. In keeping with this expectation, Dai et. al. $^{34}$ obtained a $V_{oc}$ of only 0.7 V with a PSC employing mesoporous SnO$_2$ (mp-SnO$_2$) instead of TiO$_2$ as electron extracting scaffold. Upon covering the mp-SnO$_2$ film with a thin layer of TiO$_2$ the $V_{oc}$ improved to 0.93 V. Strikingly, we observe exactly the opposite behavior, i.e. by coating the mp-TiO$_2$ scaffold with a thin layer of a-SnO$_2$, we greatly improve the $V_{oc}$ pushing it near 1.2 V. In order to rationalize these seemingly contradictory findings, we draw attention to our recent discovery$^{35}$ that the conduction band edge energy of amorphous SnO$_2$ is lifted by about 0.5 eV with respect to that of the tetragonal crystalline phase. This shift improves the band alignment with the perovskite allowing for rapid carrier extraction without voltage loss. The amorphous overlayer shows also the lowest hysteresis index, i.e. 1.1 % calculated from the formula $h=(PCE_{\text{backward}}- PCE_{\text{forward}})/PCE_{\text{backward}})*100$. This again confirms that electrons are extracted rapidly without undergoing significant trapping at the perovskite/EEL interface.

Figure S4 demonstrates statistical data for batches of 15 PSCs for each of the three EELs. The average values of photovoltaic metrics confirm that the amorphous SnO$_2$ film coating on mp-
TiO$_2$ increases all photovoltaic parameters except $FF$. In particular, the $V_{oc}$ of device using mp-TiO$_2$/a-SnO$_2$ is greatly increased. However, the presence of SnO$_2$-NCs on mp-TiO$_2$ decreases the $J_{sc}$ and especially $FF$ with respect to the reference cell. This indicates that the crystal structure of the SnO$_2$ film plays a key role in device performance.$^{35}$ Figure S5 shows the $J$-$V$ curve of a PSC using the SnO$_2$ film on mp-TiO$_2$ annealed at 450 °C. The results prove that the conduction band edge of crystalline SnO$_2$ film (as shown in Figure 1a) is much less favorably aligned with the perovskite layer than that of the amorphous form resulting in a poorer device performance. In case of crystalline SnO$_2$ films (SnO$_2$-NCs or SnO$_2$ annealed at 450 °C), despite similarity of their XRD features, the PV performance of the crystalline SnO$_2$-annealed at 450 °C is worse than that of the SnO$_2$-NCs, which can be attributed to quantum confinement effects in the SnO$_2$ nanocrystals,$^{36}$ changing the band alignment of EEL with respect to perovskite (Figure 3b).

Figure 2c shows the stabilized maximum power delivered from mp-TiO$_2$, mp-TiO$_2$/SnO$_2$-NCs, and mp-TiO$_2$/a-SnO$_2$ PSCs to be 18.89, 16.75, and 20.27 mW/cm$^2$, respectively, in line with the PCE values derived from the $J$-$V$ curves. Figure 2d depicts the wavelength dependence of the external quantum efficiency (EQE) of these devices. The EQE values of the perovskite device on mp-TiO$_2$/a-SnO$_2$ are slightly higher than those of others cell, which is in good agreement with the observed trends in the photocurrent.

In order to rationalize the effect of the SnO$_2$ structure on the photovoltaic performance, we derived the band alignment of the perovskite with the EELs from ultraviolet photoelectron spectroscopy (UPS) and UV-vis absorption measurements. Figure 3a shows the valence band and Fermi level of the EELs calculated from the UPS data. The valence band edges of mp-TiO$_2$, SnO$_2$-NCs, and a-SnO$_2$ films are positioned at 7.92 eV, 8.22 eV, and 8.44 eV below vacuum, respectively. From the UV-visible data shown in Figure 3b, the band gaps of mp-TiO$_2$, SnO$_2$-
NCs, and a-SnO$_2$ are 3.3 eV, 4.05 eV, and 4.1 eV, respectively. We used these values to derive the energy band diagrams plotted in Figure 3 for devices employing mp-TiO$_2$, mp-TiO$_2$/SnO$_2$-NCs, and mp-TiO$_2$/a-SnO$_2$ as EELs. Clearly, the conduction band edge of a-SnO$_2$ on mp-TiO$_2$ is optimally positioned to facilitate the extraction of electrons from the perovskite and their subsequent injection into the TiO$_2$ scaffold, while the nano-crystalline layer of SnO$_2$ acts as a barrier explaining the poor fill factors produced with this architecture. The conduction band edge for the bare mp-TiO$_2$ scaffold is at 0.4 eV lower energy than that of the perovskite accounting for the observed decrease in $V_{oc}$ to an average of 1.08 V. This offset is greatly enhanced for the c-SnO$_2$ overlayer, reducing the $V_{oc}$ substantially to below 1 V.

Figure 6S shows results from intensity modulated photovoltage spectroscopy (IMVS) measurements carried out at different open circuit voltages, which were adjusted by varying the light intensity. The derived time constants in panel are in the $10^{-100}$ microsecond domain and are attributed to carrier recombination. These recombination times are of similar magnitude for the two investigated types of PSCs using EELs with and without a-SnO$_2$ overlayers on the mp-TiO$_2$ scaffold. Nevertheless, the values for bare mp-TiO$_2$ show steeper voltage dependence than the ones obtained with a-SnO$_2$/mp-TiO$_2$ double layer. The two curves reach a crossing point at ca 0.98 V beyond, which the carriers recombine more slowly for the a-SnO$_2$ covered than for the bare TiO$_2$ films.

However, the IMVS spectra shown in panel 4b reveal the presence of a second time constant for the mp-TiO$_2$ sample which is much less pronounced for the mp-TiO$_2$/a-SnO$_2$ one. In fact, each peak of the imaginary part of IMVS spectra can be associated to a time constant of the device under investigation. This feature is attributed to the recombination of carriers being trapped in deep states slowing down the time constants to the hundred millisecond domain. This slow
relaxation process may also be coupled to the displacement of ions in the local space charge electric field generated by the trapped carriers.

Even though we can observe a small peak also in the spectra of the double layer sample mp-TiO$_2$/a-SnO$_2$, it appears significantly reduced in magnitude and is evident only for very low illumination intensities. This shows that the amorphous SnO$_2$ layer is capable of mitigating the deleterious effect of electron trapping states at the interface between the perovskite layer and the TiO$_2$.

Moreover, the direct comparison of electroluminescence measurements confirmed the capability of the a-SnO$_2$ treatment in suppressing the nonradiative recombination losses (Figure 4). In fact, the external quantum efficiency (EQE) of mp-TiO$_2$/a-SnO$_2$ sample at the same current density (16 mA/cm$^2$) is higher than the standard mp-TiO$_2$ structure, reaching approximately 0.5% in line with the higher $V_{oc}$ values observed for the sample with a-SnO$_2$ as EEL. Note that this value is comparable with the state of the art values.

Due to the photocatalytic action of TiO$_2$ based-perovskite solar cells, TiO$_2$ EEL is prone to degradation under UV light. Eliminating this unwanted effect is important for large scale outdoor application of PSCs. Encouragingly, we observed that the device based on mp-TiO$_2$/a-SnO$_2$ is more stable than mp-TiO$_2$ based solar cell under continuous UV light. For this experiment, the devices were exposed to UV light and measured after each 3 hours. Figure 5 shows the UV stability of devices on mp-TiO$_2$ and mp-TiO$_2$/a-SnO$_2$ after 60 hours exposure to UV lamp. The PCE of perovskite solar cell based on mp-TiO$_2$/a-SnO$_2$ is maintained almost 97% of its initial value, while bare TiO$_2$ shows 18% PCE loss under this condition. This clearly shows another advantage of our proposed double layers EEL for perovskite solar cell.
Conclusions

Our results show that applying a thin amorphous overlayer of SnO$_2$ on mesoporous TiO$_2$ scaffold improves not only the power conversion efficiency of perovskite solar cells but also enhances the UV stability of the device. The strong gain in the $V_{oc}$ enabled by the amorphous SnO$_2$ capping layer stands in stark contrast to the dramatic losses in the $V_{oc}$ and PCE encountered with crystalline SnO$_2$ films. This striking difference arises from larger band gap of amorphous layer and better band alignment with respect to triple cation perovskite compared to crystalline SnO$_2$. Based on mp-TiO$_2$/a-SnO$_2$ architecture, a perovskite solar cell with PCE of 20.4% was achieved, which is 6% higher than bare mp-TiO$_2$ device. Moreover, it was discovered that the UV stability of perovskite device for double layer mp-TiO$_2$/a-SnO$_2$ is improved drastically compared with pure mp-TiO$_2$ ETL, where after 60 h exposure to UV lamp, the PCE loss in only 3%.
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Figure 1. XRD patterns of SnO$_2$ films annealed at 180 °C (amorphous phase) and 450 °C (crystalline phase) and SnO$_2$-NCs layer annealed at 150 °C. For this experiment the SnO$_2$ films were deposited on a silicon support. (b) UV-visible absorption and photoluminescence (PL) spectra of the triple cation pervskite and (c) time-resolved PL (TRPL) curves of perovskite films on mp-TiO$_2$, mp-TiO$_2$/SnO$_2$-NCs, and mp-TiO$_2$/a-SnO$_2$. 
Figure 2. (a) Cross-sectional SEM image of perovskite solar cell based on mp-TiO$_2$/a-SnO$_2$. (b) $J$-$V$ curves, (c) Maximum power point tracking (MPPT), and (d) EQE spectra of PSCs based on mp-TiO$_2$, mp-TiO$_2$/SnO$_2$-NCs, and mp-TiO$_2$/a-SnO$_2$. 
Figure 3. (a) UPS measurements and (b) UV-visible spectra of SnO$_2$ and TiO$_2$ films on Si substrate for band levels calculation. (b) Schematics of band alignment for devices based on mp-TiO$_2$, mp-TiO$_2$/SnO$_2$-NCs, and mp-TiO$_2$/a-SnO$_2$. 
Figure 4. Dark current (black) photon flux (blue) and external quantum efficiency (EQE) (green) measurements for devices on mp-TiO$_2$ (a) and mp-TiO$_2$/a-SnO$_2$ ETL (b). (c) Comparison of EQE at the same dark current for mp-TiO$_2$ (red) and mp-TiO$_2$/a-SnO$_2$ ETL (black). Note that the device area in this test was ~ 0.25 cm$^2$. 
Figure 5. UV stability of perovskite solar cells based on mp-TiO$_2$ and mp-TiO$_2$-a-SnO$_2$ EELs at room temperature inside a dry air box.
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**Experimental section**

**Device fabrication:**

FTO glasses (NSG-10) were chemically etched using zinc powder and HCl solution (2 M), followed by four steps ultrasonic cleaning using Triton X100 (1 vol% in deionized water), DI water, acetone, and ethanol, respectively. All substrates were further cleaned by ozone plasma for 15 min, before deposition of each EEL. To prepare TiO$_2$ compact layer, a precursor solution of titanium diisopropoxide (Sigma-Aldrich) in ethanol was deposited on the substrates at 450 °C using spray pyrolysis process, followed by 30 min annealing at 450°C. Thereafter, a 150 nm-thick mesoporous TiO$_2$ was spin coated on compact TiO$_2$ (4000 rpm for 15 s with a ramp rate of 2000 rpm/s) from a diluted TiO$_2$ paste (Dyesol 30 NR-D) in ethanol, followed by annealing the substrates at 450°C for 30 min. To modify the surface of mp-TiO$_2$, SnO$_2$ precursor solution (0.1 M SnCl$_2$.2H$_2$O in ethanol) was spin-coated on top of mp-TiO$_2$ with a 20 s delay time to allow for full impregnation before spinning at 6000 rpm for 40 s. Subsequently, the film was annealed at 180 °C for 1 hour. We converted the a–SnO$_2$ to crystalline phase (c-SnO$_2$) by annealing the film at 450 °C for 1 hour. The SnO$_2$ quantum dots (NC-SnO$_2$) had a size of 3-5 nm and were deposited on top of the mp-TiO$_2$ by spin coating at 5000 rpm for 20 s with 2000 rpm/s ramp-rate, followed by annealing at 150 °C for 1 hour.

For deposition of perovskite film a precursor solution of (FAPbI$_3$)$_{0.87}$(MAPbBr$_3$)$_{0.13}$ was first prepared by mixing FAI (1.05 M, Dyesol), PbI$_2$ (1.10 M, TCI), MABr (0.185 M, Dyesol) and PbBr$_2$ (0.185 M, TCI) in a mixed solvent of DMF:DMSO = 4:1 (volume ratio). Then, a 5 vol% of 1.5 M CsI solution in DMSO was added into the perovskite solution in order to have a triple cation perovskite. The solution was spin-coated at 1000 rpm for 10 s and, continuously at 4000 rpm for 30 s. During the second phase, 200 µL of chlorobenzene was dropped on top film 10
second before end of spinning. Thereafter, the film was annealed first at 120 °C for 10 min followed by 40 min at 100 °C. After annealing and cooling the samples, spiro-OMeTAD solution in chlorobenzene (70 mM) containing a solution bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide lithium salt (Li-TFSI, Sigma-Aldrich) in acetonitrile (200 mg/400 µL) and (4-tert-butylpyridine-Sigma-Aldrich) with molar ratios of 0.5 and 3.3, respectively, was prepared and spin-coated at 4000 rpm for 20 s (using a ramp rate of 2000 rpm/s). Finally, 80-nm thick gold was thermally evaporated as a back contact to complete the device structure with a masked active area of 0.16 cm².

Film characterization

The morphology of perovskite film and device structure were studied using a ZEISS Merlin high resolution Scanning electron microscopy (HRSEM). The quality and crystal structure of perovskite films were characterized by using X-ray diffraction (Bruker D8 X-ray Diffractometer, USA) utilizing a Cu Kα-radiation. Transmission electron microscopy (JEOL (2010F) under an accelerating voltage of 200 volts) was employed to take images from SnO₂ nanocrystals.

For optical absorption measurement, a Varian Carry 500 spectrometer (Varian, USA) was used. To record steady-state photoluminescence spectra, an Edinburgh Instruments FLS920P fluorescence spectrometer. For time resolved measurements (TRPL), we employed a picosecond pulsed diode laser (EPL-405, excitation wavelength 405 nm, pulse width 49 ps) was PL decay curves were fitted to the exponential function: \( I(t) = I_0 \exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau_i}\right)^{\beta_i} \), where \( \tau_i \) is the decay lifetime and \( \beta_i \) is a stretching parameter.

Device characterization

The solar cells were measured under AM1.5G sun simulator (a 450 W Xenon lamp (Oriel), with intensity of 100 mWcm⁻², equipped with a Schott K113 Tempax sunlight filter (Praezision Glas&Optik GmbH) to simulate the emission spectra of AM1.5G standard in the region of 350-
750 nm. Calibration of the lamp was performed using standard Silicon solar cell (KG5-filtered Si reference cell). To measure the current density-voltage ($J-V$) curves, a 2400 series source meter (Keithley, USA) instrument was employed. The voltage range for $J-V$ sweeps was between 0 and 1.2 V, with a step increment of 0.005 V and a delay time of 200 ms at each point. External quantum efficiency (EQE) spectra were measured with a commercial apparatus (Arkeo-Ariadne, Cicci Research s.r.l.) based on a 300 Watts Xenon lamp.

Electroluminescence and IMVS measurements. The photon flux emitted by the perovskite solar cells was detected with a 1cm$^2$ Silicon Photodiode (Hamamatsu s1227-1010BQ). The bias to the device under test was provided by a Bio-Logic SP300 potentiostat, which was used to measure also the short circuit current of the photodetector on another channel. IMVS measurements were performed by Bio-Logic SP300 in combination with the Galvano Staircase Spectroscopy routine from EC-Lab Software.

The UV stability of devices was measured inside a dry air box using a UV lamp (Spectronics ENF-240C) with power of 40 mW/cm$^2$. 
Figure S1. Schematic cross sectional image of the investigated PSC architecture. The blue bottom layer of the stack denotes the TCO glass, covered by blocking layer of TiO$_2$ (brown). The interconnected white nanoparticles of the TiO$_2$ scaffold are coated with a conformal layer (green) of either amorphous, crystalline or nanocrystalline SnO$_2$. The pervoskite light harvesting layer indicated in brown-red color is covered with the gray HTL and the gold contact.
Figure S2. Top-view SEM images of perovskite film deposited on mp-TiO$_2$ (a), mp-TiO$_2$/SnO$_2$-NCs (b), and mp-TiO$_2$/a-SnO$_2$ (c).
Figure S3. TEM image of commercial SnO$_2$ nanocrystals with average size of 3-5 nm.
Figure S4. Statistical photovoltaic data for perovskite solar cells based on mp-TiO$_2$, mp-TiO$_2$/SnO$_2$-NC, and mp-TiO$_2$/a-SnO$_2$. 
Figure S5. $J-V$ curve of perovskite solar cells based on mp-TiO$_2$/crystalline SnO$_2$ annealed at 450 °C for 1 hour with forward and backward scan directions.
**Figure S6.** (a) Recombination time constants for mp-TiO$_2$ and mp-TiO$_2$/a-SnO$_2$ ETLs. (b) Imaginary part of IMVS spectra for mp-TiO$_2$ and mp-TiO$_2$/a-SnO$_2$ ETL. The measurements are performed at open circuit voltage and for different bias level of illumination. The complex voltage measured at this conditions, reflects the dynamics of charges recombining in the device.