

This peer-reviewed published paper appears as: Akcelyan, S., Lignos, D. G. and Hikino, T. (2018). "Adaptive Numerical Method Algorithms for Nonlinear Viscous and Bilinear Oil Damper Models Subjected to Dynamic Loading". Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering, Vol. 113, pp. 488-502. DOI: 10.1016/j.soildyn.2018.06.021

Adaptive Numerical Method Algorithms for Nonlinear Viscous and Bilinear Oil Damper Models Subjected to Dynamic Loading

Sarven Akcelyan^a, Dimitrios G. Lignos^b, Tsuyoshi Hikino^c

ABSTRACT

Adaptive numerical method algorithms are presented for the numerical simulation of the hysteretic behavior of nonlinear viscous and bilinear oil dampers within a finite element program for nonlinear dynamic analysis of frame structures under earthquake excitations. The adaptive algorithms are applicable for computing high-precision solutions for nonlinear viscous and bilinear oil dampers with valve relief that are typically represented mathematically with a nonlinear Maxwell model. The algorithms presented possess excellent convergence characteristics for viscous dampers with a wide range of velocity exponents and axial stiffness properties. The algorithms are implemented in an open source finite element software, and their applicability and computational efficiency is demonstrated through a number of validation examples with data that involve component experimentation as well as the utilization of full-scale shake table tests of a 5-story steel building equipped with nonlinear viscous and bilinear oil dampers.

Keywords: nonlinear viscous damper; bilinear oil damper; fluid viscous damper; supplemental damping; passive control; response modification; numerical simulation; full-scale shake table test.

^aPh.D., Course Lecturer, Dept. of Civil Engineering and Applied Mechanics, McGill University, Montreal, QC, H3A 2K6

^bAssociate Professor, Dept. of Architecture, Civil and Environmental Engineering, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), EPFL ENAC IIC RESSLab, GC B3 485, Station 18, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

^cPh.D., Section Manager, Building Construction & Steel Structures Division, Nippon Steel and Sumikin Engineering Co., Ltd, Tokyo, Japan, Former Researcher, E-Defense, National Research Institute for Earth Science and Disaster Prevention (NIED)

1. Introduction

In the past three decades various types of supplemental damping devices have been developed and utilized in frame buildings to control seismic and wind-induced vibrations (Constantinou and Symans

This peer-reviewed published paper appears as: Akcelyan, S., Lignos, D. G. and Hikino, T. (2018). "Adaptive Numerical Method Algorithms for Nonlinear Viscous and Bilinear Oil Damper Models Subjected to Dynamic Loading". *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering*, Vol. 113, pp. 488-502. DOI: 10.1016/j.soildyn.2018.06.021

1993; Soong and Dargush 1997; Christopoulos and Filiatrault 2006; Black and Makris 2007; Symans et al. 2008; Dong et al. 2015). To this end, viscous dampers are advantageous as the forces they develop are typically out-of-phase with displacement-induced forces within a frame building under earthquake loading (Constantinou et al. 1998). Recent earthquakes demonstrated the effectiveness of viscous dampers in response modification of conventional buildings to control structural and non-structural damage (Buchanan et al. 2011; Miranda et al. 2012; Kasai et al. 2013).

For the successful implementation of viscous dampers into the earthquake engineering design practice the availability of mathematical models that represent accurately the hysteretic response of such devices is necessary. Rigorous integration methods are essential for the numerical solution of these models when nonlinear response history analysis (NRHA) is conducted. Unlike in solid viscoelastic dampers (Chang et al. 1995) the temperature dependency of fluid viscous dampers is relatively low (Kasai et al. 2004b; Symans et al. 2008). In contrast with the idealized assumption of purely viscous dashpot models, viscous dampers show stiffness dependency characteristics that generally undermine the effectiveness of a viscous damper (Makris and Constantinou 1991). Although a number of researchers, have studied the effect of axial stiffness of viscous dampers on the seismic performance of frame buildings (Constantinou et al. 2001; Singh et al. 2003; Chen and Chai 2011; Liang et al. 2011; Londoño et al. 2013), they mainly focused on linear viscous dampers. In the case of nonlinear viscous dampers, a common practice has been to neglect the damper axial stiffness (Pekcan et al. 1999; Ramirez et al. 2001; Lin and Chopra 2002; Hwang et al. 2008; Diotallevi et al. 2012). This is a convenient assumption because a closed-form analytical solution of the damper force can be computed when NRHA is employed. Recent shake table experiments of a full-scale 5-story steel frame building equipped with viscous dampers that were conducted at the world's largest shake table around the world (Ooki et al. 2009; Kasai and Matsuda 2014) demonstrated that the consideration of the damper axial stiffness is critical in order to accurately predict both local and global seismic demands of the test structure (Kasai et al. 2007; Kasai and Matsuda 2014).

This peer-reviewed published paper appears as: Akcelyan, S., Lignos, D. G. and Hikino, T. (2018). "Adaptive Numerical Method Algorithms for Nonlinear Viscous and Bilinear Oil Damper Models Subjected to Dynamic Loading". *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering*, Vol. 113, pp. 488-502. DOI: 10.1016/j.soildyn.2018.06.021

54 A blind analysis contest that was conducted to challenge the existing modeling capabilities for steel frame
55 buildings equipped with various types of dampers demonstrated that when the brace and damper axial
56 stiffness is incorporated in nonlinear viscous dampers, it improves the overall prediction accuracy by
57 more than 20% compared to the experimental data (Yu et al. 2013). Recent studies (Dong et al. 2015,
58 2018) have shown that the displacement-induced forces and damper force demands may be in phase
59 within a frame building due to the axial stiffness of the respective damper. This has a profound effect on
60 the seismic demands transferred to the steel columns and foundations and should be carefully quantified.
61 Several researchers have proposed ways to account for the stiffening and frequency dependency of
62 viscous dampers and to compute numerically their hysteretic response under harmonic and seismic
63 excitations by employing the Maxwell model (Makris and Constantinou 1991; Constantinou and Symans
64 1993; Reinhorn et al. 1995; Takahashi and Sekiguchi 2001; Oohara and Kasai 2002; Singh et al. 2003).
65 Typical fixed time-step integration algorithms that have been employed to obtain numerically the viscous
66 damper hysteretic response may require considerably small integration steps to overcome convergence
67 problems (Oohara and Kasai 2002). In particular, numerical convergence may still be a challenge for
68 frame buildings equipped with nonlinear viscous dampers with high axial stiffness and small velocity
69 exponents (Oohara and Kasai 2002). In such cases, a smaller integration time step for the overall analysis
70 is necessary. This reduces the computational efficiency of the analysis of building models with nonlinear
71 viscous dampers. This may also be a fundamental constraint for the optimal seismic design and/or retrofit
72 of frame buildings with nonlinear viscous dampers in which the locations as well as the damper sizes
73 should be explicitly identified as part of the optimization problem (Lavan et al. 2008; Lavan and Avishur
74 2013; Pollini et al. 2017). It is understood that improved integration algorithms should be utilized to
75 reliably obtain the numerical solution of nonlinear viscous damper models.

76 Others have proposed ways to account for the stiffening and frequency dependency of viscous
77 dampers to compute their hysteretic response under harmonic and seismic excitations. For

This peer-reviewed published paper appears as: Akcelyan, S., Lignos, D. G. and Hikino, T. (2018). "Adaptive Numerical Method Algorithms for Nonlinear Viscous and Bilinear Oil Damper Models Subjected to Dynamic Loading". Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering, Vol. 113, pp. 488-502. DOI: 10.1016/j.soildyn.2018.06.021

78 instance, Terenzi (1999) has employed the Kelvin-Voigt model, in which a spring is connected
79 parallel to a dashpot. This modeling approach is commonly utilized for solid viscoelastic
80 devices. However, a Maxwell model (i.e., spring connected in series with a dashpot), has been
81 found to be more appropriate to account for both the stiffness and frequency dependency of fluid
82 viscoelastic dampers (Makris and Constantinou 1991; Constantinou and Symans 1993; Reinhorn
83 et al. 1995; Takahashi and Sekiguchi 2001; Oohara and Kasai 2002; Singh et al. 2003). Others
84 (Sivaselvan et al. 2009) have utilized a mixed Lagrangian approach to conduct nonlinear response history
85 analyses of frame structures with linear and nonlinear viscous dampers.

86 This paper discusses the numerical implementation of an improved adaptive algorithm for the numerical
87 solution of the constitutive equations of nonlinear viscous and bilinear oil damper material models under
88 dynamic loading when the axial stiffness of the dampers is considered as part of the constitutive damper
89 formulation. The efficiency of the proposed algorithms is compared with that of traditional integration
90 schemes that are typically used for the numerical solution of initial value problems. The proposed
91 numerical solution techniques are implemented in an open-source finite element simulation platform and
92 are validated with full-scale tests from nonlinear viscous and bilinear oil dampers subjected to sinusoidal
93 excitations and various loading frequencies. Furthermore, experimental data from a 5-story steel building
94 with the same damper types that was tested at full-scale is utilized to demonstrate the efficiency of the
95 proposed adaptive numerical schemes in predicting global and local engineering demand parameters of
96 frame buildings equipped with supplemental damping devices. Finally, the paper provides tools to aid the
97 preliminary design of steel frame buildings equipped with nonlinear viscous dampers so as analysis
98 iterations with unnecessarily too stiff or too flexible damper models can be eliminated.

99

100 **2. Hysteretic Behaviour of Viscous Dampers as Pure Viscous Models**

This peer-reviewed published paper appears as: Akcelyan, S., Lignos, D. G. and Hikino, T. (2018). "Adaptive Numerical Method Algorithms for Nonlinear Viscous and Bilinear Oil Damper Models Subjected to Dynamic Loading". Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering, Vol. 113, pp. 488-502. DOI: 10.1016/j.soildyn.2018.06.021

101 Viscous dampers contain a polymer liquid and its flow through orifices leads to pressure differential
102 across a piston head, which produces the damper force. The design of orifice dictates the relationship
103 between the force and velocity. Thus, the general force-velocity relationship of nonlinear viscous models
104 can be mathematically by Equation (1) (Symans and Constantinou 1998),

$$105 \quad F_d(t) = C_d |\dot{u}_d(t)|^\alpha \operatorname{sgn}(\dot{u}_d(t)) \quad (1)$$

106 in which, C_d is the damping coefficient and α is the velocity exponent that characterizes the viscous
107 material; u_d is the dashpot displacement ; and sgn represents the signum function. Thus, the peak force F_{d0}
108 of a viscous damper under a harmonic displacement excitation that is described as $u_d(t) = u_{d0}\sin(\omega t)$, is as
109 follows,

$$110 \quad F_{d0} = C_d (\omega u_{d0})^\alpha \quad (2)$$

111 in which, u_{d0} and ω are the peak displacement amplitude and the circular frequency of the sinusoidal
112 excitation, respectively. Figure 1 shows the normalized force-velocity and normalized force-displacement
113 relations of nonlinear viscous models with different α values. A typical Bernoullian cylindrical shaped
114 orifice produces forces, which are proportional to the square of the velocity (i.e., $\alpha = 2$). Such dampers are
115 utilized for shock wave absorption. For $\alpha = 1$, a viscous damper becomes linear while for $\alpha = 0$ the force-
116 displacement hysteretic relation of a viscous damper becomes rectangular, which is typical for friction
117 models (Pall and Marsh 1982). For seismic design applications of frame buildings the capability of
118 limiting the damper force output under high velocity pulses is often desirable. Therefore for seismic
119 applications, α is often selected such that $\alpha < 1$. Because linear viscous dampers produce forces that vary
120 linearly with respect to the velocity demand, large damper forces may be generated under high velocity
121 demands. This introduces uncertainties and conservatism in capacity design of non-dissipative members.
122 In order to overcome this undesirable response, bilinear oil dampers were developed that contain a relief
123 mechanism, which suppresses the force after a certain limit (Ichihashi et al. 2000; Kasai and Nishimura

This peer-reviewed published paper appears as: Akcelyan, S., Lignos, D. G. and Hikino, T. (2018). "Adaptive Numerical Method Algorithms for Nonlinear Viscous and Bilinear Oil Damper Models Subjected to Dynamic Loading". Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering, Vol. 113, pp. 488-502. DOI: 10.1016/j.soildyn.2018.06.021

2004; Kasai et al. 2004b; Tsuyuki et al. 2004). This creates a bilinear relation between the damper force and velocity as shown in Figure 2a. Thus, the force produced by a bilinear viscous damper can be computed as follows,

$$F_d(t) = \begin{cases} C_d \dot{u}_d(t), & |F_d(t)| \leq F_{dr} \\ \text{sgn}(\dot{u}_d(t)) F_{dr} + p(\dot{u}_d(t) - \dot{u}_{dr}), & |F_d(t)| > F_{dr} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

in which, p is the post relief damping coefficient ratio; F_{dr} and \dot{u}_{dr} are the relief force and velocity of the bilinear oil damper, respectively. The peak force F_{d0} of a bilinear viscous damper under sinusoidal displacement excitation $u_d(t) = u_{d0} \sin(\omega t)$ can be computed as follows,

$$F_{d0} = \left(p + \frac{1-p}{\mu_d} \right) C_d \omega u_{d0} \quad (4)$$

the peak damper velocity ratio, μ_d of a bilinear viscous damper, which is defined as the ratio of maximum velocity demand over the damper relief velocity can be computed as follows,

$$\mu_d = \frac{\dot{u}_{d0}}{\dot{u}_{dr}} = \frac{\omega u_{d0}}{\dot{u}_{dr}} \quad (5)$$

Figure 2b illustrates the hysteretic behaviour of a bilinear viscous damper under sinusoidal loading for different displacement amplitudes. In this figure, the horizontal axis has been normalized with respect to the peak displacement amplitude. The damper was designed for a peak damper velocity, $\mu_d = 3$. The post-relief damping coefficient ratio was assumed to be $p = 0.1$. The displacement amplitudes were increased in three steps. During the first step, the peak damper velocity was nearly equal to the damper relief velocity; therefore the hysteretic behaviour of the damper was identical to that of a linear viscous damper (Kasai et al. 2004b; Tsuyuki et al. 2004). Once the velocity demand exceeds the damper relief velocity, the relief mechanism is activated and the damping coefficient, C_d , suddenly drops as shown in Figure 2b.

143

144 3. Hysteretic Behaviour of Viscous Dampers as Maxwell Models

This peer-reviewed published paper appears as: Akcelyan, S., Lignos, D. G. and Hikino, T. (2018). "Adaptive Numerical Method Algorithms for Nonlinear Viscous and Bilinear Oil Damper Models Subjected to Dynamic Loading". Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering, Vol. 113, pp. 488-502. DOI: 10.1016/j.soildyn.2018.06.021

145 Prior experimental findings suggest that the hysteretic behaviour of a viscous damper is dependent on its
 146 axial internal stiffness K_d , as well as the frequency characteristics of the external applied force
 147 (Constantinou and Symans 1993). Typically, K_d can be obtained empirically from experimental data as
 148 discussed in Makris and Constantinou (1991) and Kasai et al. (2004a; 2004b). Referring to Figure 3a,
 149 viscous dampers in frame buildings are typically installed with supporting braces that consist of several
 150 components (e.g., steel braces, clevises, brackets and gusset plates). These components provide additional
 151 axial flexibility to the damper and affect its hysteretic behaviour under dynamic loading. The axial
 152 flexibility of a viscous damper can be further decomposed into its various components as shown
 153 schematically in Figure 3b. In this figure, K_b , K_{cl} , K_{gus} are the stiffness contributions of the steel brace,
 154 clevis-brackets and gusset plates, respectively. The gap due to the fabrication tolerance of the damper
 155 clevis is noted as G_{cl} in the same figure. To this end, the Maxwell model (Maxwell 1867) has been found
 156 to represent well both the axial stiffness and frequency dependency of a viscous damper under dynamic
 157 loading (Makris and Constantinou 1991; Constantinou and Symans 1993; Singh et al. 2003). In this case,
 158 a nonlinear dashpot and a linear spring are connected in series as illustrated in Figure 3c. The axial
 159 stiffness of the damper portion, K_d , and that of the various supporting components (see Figure 3b) can be
 160 represented by an equivalent axial stiffness, K_s , as follows,

$$161 \quad \frac{1}{K_s} = \frac{1}{K_d} + \frac{1}{K_b} + \frac{2}{K_{cl}} + \frac{2}{K_{gus}} \quad (6)$$

162 The force, F_d at the nonlinear dashpot and spring (F_s) are equal; therefore, the constitutive rules within a
 163 Maxwell model can be written as follows,

$$164 \quad F_d(t) = F_s(t) = K_s u_s(t) \quad (7)$$

$$165 \quad u_m(t) = u_s(t) + u_d(t) \quad (8)$$

$$166 \quad \dot{u}_m(t) = \dot{u}_s(t) + \dot{u}_d(t) \quad (9)$$

This peer-reviewed published paper appears as: Akcelyan, S., Lignos, D. G. and Hikino, T. (2018). "Adaptive Numerical Method Algorithms for Nonlinear Viscous and Bilinear Oil Damper Models Subjected to Dynamic Loading". Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering, Vol. 113, pp. 488-502. DOI: 10.1016/j.soildyn.2018.06.021

167 in which, u_m , u_d and u_s are the total, dashpot and spring displacements, respectively (see Figure 3c). The
 168 constitutive equation that describes the force and total velocity relation within a Maxwell model can be
 169 obtained if Equations (7) and (8) are substituted into Equation (9). For a nonlinear viscous damper this
 170 equation is as follows,

$$171 \quad F'_d(t) = \left(\dot{u}_m(t) - \text{sgn}(F_d(t)) \left(\frac{|F_d(t)|}{C_d} \right)^{1/\alpha} \right) K_s, \quad F_d(t_0) = F_{d0} \quad (10)$$

172 for a bilinear oil damper, the following equations hold true,

$$173 \quad F'_d(t) = \begin{cases} \left(\dot{u}_m(t) - \frac{F_d(t)}{C_d} \right) K_s, & |F_d(t)| \leq F_{dr} \\ \left(\dot{u}_m(t) - \frac{\text{sgn}(F_d(t))(p-1)F_r + F_d(t)}{pC_d} \right) K_s, & |F_d(t)| > F_{dr} \end{cases}, \quad F_d(t_0) = F_{d0} \quad (11)$$

174 Equations (10) and (11) are first order ordinary differential equations that can only be solved numerically
 175 in the case of a random vibration input loading.

176

177 **4. Numerical Solution for Nonlinear Viscous and Bilinear Oil Dampers**

178 This section discusses a numerical solution scheme for Equations (10) and (11). For this reason, both
 179 equations are treated as a general initial value problem that is described by Equation (12) as follows,

$$180 \quad y' = f(t_n, y_n), \quad y(t_0) = y_0, \quad (12)$$

181 Oohara and Kasai (2002) implemented the classical 4th order Runge-Kutta (RK4) explicit iterative method
 182 (Kutta 1901; Butcher 1996) to solve Equation (12) for nonlinear viscous dampers. They stated that the
 183 classical RK4 method requires very small integration time steps, h , for large K_s values. For NRHA of
 184 frame buildings under earthquake excitations, the maximum value of h is limited by the overall analysis
 185 time step dt_a of the integration algorithm that is employed for the numerical solution of the equation of
 186 motion; while dt_a should be selected at most equal to the time step of the input ground motion dt

This peer-reviewed published paper appears as: Akcelyan, S., Lignos, D. G. and Hikino, T. (2018). "Adaptive Numerical Method Algorithms for Nonlinear Viscous and Bilinear Oil Damper Models Subjected to Dynamic Loading". Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering, Vol. 113, pp. 488-502. DOI: 10.1016/j.soildyn.2018.06.021

187 depending on the selected integration algorithm to conduct the NRHA; the ratio of dt/dt_a should be an
 188 integer. Typical sampling time steps of recorded ground motions vary between 0.005-0.02 sec. However,
 189 for a large axial damper stiffness, K_s , a much smaller step h should be considered for the utilization of the
 190 RK4 iterative method and subsequently the overall NRHA time step dt_a should be further decreased. This
 191 could be computationally expensive particularly in 3-dimensional nonlinear response history analysis of
 192 frame structures.

193 Alternatively, adaptive solution algorithms may be used. In this section, we utilize the Dormand-Prince
 194 (DP54) explicit iterative method (Dormand and Prince 1980) as the basis to solve numerically Equation
 195 (12). The solution of this equation is tested with the absolute error predicted between 4th and 5th order
 196 solutions. The 4th order solution and the associated absolute error according to the DP54 iterative method
 197 for Equation (12) are computed based on Equations (13) and (14), respectively, as follows,

$$198 \quad y_{n+1} = y_n + \frac{35}{384}k_1 + \frac{500}{1113}k_3 + \frac{125}{192}k_4 - \frac{2187}{6784}k_5 + \frac{11}{84}k_6 \quad (13)$$

$$199 \quad \varepsilon_{n+1} = \left| \frac{71}{57600}k_1 - \frac{71}{16695}k_3 + \frac{71}{1920}k_4 - \frac{17253}{339200}k_5 + \frac{22}{525}k_6 - \frac{1}{40}k_7 \right| \quad (14)$$

200 in which y_{n+1} and y_n are the solutions for Equation (12) for the current and previous steps, respectively;
 201 ε_{n+1} is the absolute error of the numerical solution in the current step. Note that the term k_2 should not
 202 appear in Equations (13) and (14) as summarized in Hairer et al. (1993). From Equations (15) to (21), the
 203 DP54 explicit iterative method uses six function evaluations in order to calculate the 4th and 5th order
 204 accurate numerical solutions for Equation (14). These function evaluations are computed as follows,

$$205 \quad k_1 = hf(t_n, y_n) \quad (15)$$

$$206 \quad k_2 = hf\left(t_n + \frac{1}{5}h, y_n + \frac{1}{5}k_1\right) \quad (16)$$

$$207 \quad k_3 = hf\left(t_n + \frac{3}{10}h, y_n + \frac{3}{40}k_1 + \frac{9}{40}k_2\right) \quad (17)$$

This peer-reviewed published paper appears as: Akcelyan, S., Lignos, D. G. and Hikino, T. (2018). "Adaptive Numerical Method Algorithms for Nonlinear Viscous and Bilinear Oil Damper Models Subjected to Dynamic Loading". Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering, Vol. 113, pp. 488-502. DOI: 10.1016/j.soildyn.2018.06.021

$$k_4 = hf(t_n + \frac{4}{5}h, y_n + \frac{44}{45}k_1 - \frac{56}{15}k_2 + \frac{32}{9}k_3) \quad (18)$$

$$k_5 = hf(t_n + \frac{8}{9}h, y_n + \frac{19372}{6561}k_1 - \frac{25360}{2187}k_2 + \frac{64448}{6561}k_3 - \frac{212}{729}k_4) \quad (19)$$

$$k_6 = hf(t_n + h, y_n + \frac{9017}{3168}k_1 - \frac{355}{33}k_2 + \frac{46732}{5247}k_3 + \frac{49}{176}k_4 - \frac{5103}{18656}k_5) \quad (20)$$

$$k_7 = hf(t_n + h, y_{n+1}) \quad (21)$$

Figure 4 shows a flowchart of a single solution step, $i+1$, within a response history analysis of a nonlinear viscous damper. In order to obtain the damper force for the current step, $F_{d,i+1}$, the required input parameters from the overall response history analysis are the integration time step dt_a of the employed integrator for response history analysis (i.e., different than the one employed to obtain the damper force), the velocity of the current and previous steps, \dot{u}_{i+1} , \dot{u}_i , respectively, and the damper force, $F_{d,i}$ from the previous step, i of the response history analysis. The velocity \dot{u} represents the velocity \dot{u}_m of the Maxwell model. During the initial iteration to compute the damper force, the numerical integration step, h of the DP54 method is set equal to dt_a . If the relative error ϵ_{rel} is larger than a pre-defined relative tolerance (noted as "RelTol") or if the absolute error is larger than the absolute tolerance (noted as "AbsTol"), the solution algorithm reduces its time step h by half (see Eq. (23)) using a half-step coefficient, s (see Eq. (24)) till Equation (22) is satisfied. In this case, the velocity \dot{u}_{n+1} at the current solution sub-step, which is required from the DP54 iterative method, should be interpolated linearly between \dot{u}_i and \dot{u}_{i+1} at the corresponding sub-step. Therefore, the computation of the acceleration \ddot{u}_{i+1} at the current solution step is needed. Similarly, velocity values within the function evaluations of DP54 iterative method should be linearly interpolated between \dot{u}_n and \dot{u}_{n+1} at the corresponding time increments. As the sum of half-step coefficients s_{tot} becomes equal to unity, we can obtain the damper force at the current solution step, $F_{d,i+1}$. In order to limit the number of iterations N_{it} within the material model, we can introduce a minimum step

This peer-reviewed published paper appears as: Akcelyan, S., Lignos, D. G. and Hikino, T. (2018). "Adaptive Numerical Method Algorithms for Nonlinear Viscous and Bilinear Oil Damper Models Subjected to Dynamic Loading". Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering, Vol. 113, pp. 488-502. DOI: 10.1016/j.soildyn.2018.06.021

229 size h_{min} . This can simply be done by defining a maximum number of iterations, $N_{it,max}$, for the half step
 230 coefficient s as shown in Equation (24).

$$231 \quad \varepsilon_{rel,n+1} \leq \text{RelTol} \text{ or } \varepsilon_{n+1} \leq \text{AbsTol} \quad (22)$$

$$232 \quad h = s \cdot dt_a \quad (23)$$

$$233 \quad s = 2^{-N_{it}}, \quad N_{it} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, N_{it,max}\} \quad (24)$$

234 In order to investigate the effectiveness of the adaptive time step DP54 iterative method on the numerical
 235 solution of the force for nonlinear viscous dampers under a sinusoidal displacement excitations, Figure 5
 236 illustrates the force-displacement relation of nonlinear viscous dampers with varying velocity exponents,
 237 α (α varies from 0.01 to 2) and normalized damper axial stiffnesses, k_s (i.e., k_s varies from 0.1 to 1000).
 238 The sinusoidal displacement that represents the external loading is $u_{m0}\sin(\omega t)$ in which u_{m0} and ω are the
 239 peak displacement amplitude and the angular frequency ($\omega = 2\pi f$) of the external loading, respectively. In
 240 this case, $u_{m0} = 1$ and $f = 1$ Hz. Referring to Figs. 5 to 8, a 1.0Hz frequency is selected just for the sake of
 241 comparisons between computed solutions and experimental results. This frequency is within a typical
 242 frequency range of harmonic input excitations that are used for experimental testing of supplemental
 243 damping devices (Kasai et al. 2004b). The authors have validated the computed solutions with other
 244 meaningful frequency ranges that reflect those typically seen in seismic excitations relative to the
 245 employed supplemental damping device characteristics. The overall time step dt_a of the external loading
 246 was selected to be $dt_a = 0.01$ sec. The nonlinear viscous damper was designed such that if k_s is neglected
 247 then the peak damper force, F_{d0} becomes unity. Thus, F_{d0} can be computed from Equation (25) (i.e., pure
 248 viscous dashpot) and therefore, $u_{d0} = u_{m0}$. In this case, the normalized damper stiffness k_s can be obtained
 249 from Equation (26). A relative tolerance $\text{RelTol} = 10^{-6}$ and an absolute tolerance $\text{AbsTol} = 10^{-10}$ are
 250 selected herein. The selected threshold for the relative and absolute tolerances is deemed to be small
 251 enough for the reliable computation of the numerical solutions of Equations (10) and (11). The selected

This peer-reviewed published paper appears as: Akcelyan, S., Lignos, D. G. and Hikino, T. (2018). "Adaptive Numerical Method Algorithms for Nonlinear Viscous and Bilinear Oil Damper Models Subjected to Dynamic Loading". Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering, Vol. 113, pp. 488-502. DOI: 10.1016/j.soildyn.2018.06.021

252 values are consistent with prior work on the numerical solution of initial value problems (Griffiths and
253 Higham 2010). More strict convergence criteria could be possibly considered; although the sufficiency of
254 the numerical solution would marginally change, its efficiency would be less given the higher
255 computational cost.

$$256 \quad F_{d0} = C_d (\omega u_{m0})^\alpha \quad (25)$$

$$257 \quad k_s = K_s \frac{u_{m0}}{F_{d0}} \quad (26)$$

258 The number of iterations, N_{it} required for the half step coefficient are reported for each case in Figure 5.
259 From this figure, when k_s increases the required number of iterations in order to achieve convergence
260 based on the pre-defined tolerances also increases. From the same figure, the damper exponent α variation
261 has a relatively small influence on the required number of iterations for numerical convergence. The only
262 exception is for $\alpha = 2$, in which a relatively large number of iterations is required to satisfy the pre-
263 defined convergence tolerances (see Figure 5). This is due to the fact that for $\alpha = 2$ the absolute tolerance
264 becomes the critical condition to minimize the error in the damper force prediction, while for all other α
265 values the relative tolerance limits N_{it} . From Figure 5, it is evident that k_s strongly affects the peak damper
266 forces as well as the damper hysteretic shape. These issues are further investigated later on as part of this
267 paper.

268 In order to illustrate the accuracy of the adaptive integration algorithm for obtaining the hysteretic
269 response of nonlinear viscous dampers compared to traditional iterative numerical methods that have been
270 previously employed, Figure 6 illustrates the force-displacement relations for the same nonlinear viscous
271 dampers that were analyzed in Figure 5 when the classical 4th order RK4 iterative method is employed.
272 Referring to Figure 6, it is evident that when the RK4 iterative method is employed and for $dt_a = 0.01$ sec
273 it is not possible to obtain the numerical solution of Equation (10) if $k_s > 10$. Notably, numerical

This peer-reviewed published paper appears as: Akcelyan, S., Lignos, D. G. and Hikino, T. (2018). "Adaptive Numerical Method Algorithms for Nonlinear Viscous and Bilinear Oil Damper Models Subjected to Dynamic Loading". Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering, Vol. 113, pp. 488-502. DOI: 10.1016/j.soildyn.2018.06.021

274 convergence is achieved for only three α values (i.e., $\alpha = 0.5, 1.0$ and 2.0). In order to obtain a stable
275 numerical solution even in these cases an integration step $dt_a = 0.00005$ sec must be selected.

276 The adaptive DP54 iterative method can be also implemented for the numerical solution of bilinear oil
277 dampers. In this case, Equation (11) is solved numerically. Note that when $p = 0$ (i.e., constant damper
278 force after relief), $F'_d(t)$ in Equation (11) becomes infinite; therefore the damper force, $F_{d,i+1}$ in this case
279 should be directly equal to F_{dr} . Alternatively, we can compute the damper force $F_{d,i+1}$ through a finite
280 difference approximation of Eq. (11) as follows,

$$281 \quad F_d(t) = F_{d,i+1} \quad (27)$$

$$282 \quad \dot{u}_m(t) = \dot{u}_{m,i+1} \quad (28)$$

$$283 \quad F'_d(t) = \frac{F_{d,i+1} - F_{d,i}}{h} \quad (29)$$

284 After substituting Equations (27) to (29) into Equation (11), Equation (30) is obtained. First, $F_{d,i+1}$ shall be
285 computed through Equation (30) by assuming that the oil damper is linear (i.e., $|F_{d,i+1}| \leq F_{dr}$). If the
286 computed damper force $|F_{d,i+1}| > F_{dr}$, then $F_{d,i+1}$ shall be recomputed using the sign value, $\text{sgn}(F_{d,i+1})$ of
287 the initially computed linear oil damper force prediction.

$$288 \quad F_{d,i+1} = \begin{cases} \frac{F_{d,i} + \dot{u}_{m,i+1} K_s h}{1 + K_s h / C_d}, & |F_{d,i+1}| \leq F_{dr} \\ \frac{F_{d,i} + \dot{u}_{m,i+1} K_s h + [\text{sgn}(F_{d,i+1})(p-1)F_{dr}K_s h]}{1 + K_s h / C_d}, & |F_{d,i+1}| > F_{dr} \text{ and } p \neq 0 \\ \text{sgn}(F_{d,i+1}), & |F_{d,i+1}| > F_{dr} \text{ and } p = 0 \end{cases} \quad (30)$$

289 Kasai et al. (2004b) recommended that in order to compute the bilinear oil damper force with a high
290 precision, smaller integration steps should be employed. In order to be compatible with the adaptive DP54
291 iterative method, the error of the numerical solution in this case is defined by subtracting the solution
292 obtained in the current iteration from that of an additional step. For this reason, two numerical solutions

This peer-reviewed published paper appears as: Akcelyan, S., Lignos, D. G. and Hikino, T. (2018). "Adaptive Numerical Method Algorithms for Nonlinear Viscous and Bilinear Oil Damper Models Subjected to Dynamic Loading". Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering, Vol. 113, pp. 488-502. DOI: 10.1016/j.soildyn.2018.06.021

293 are obtained per iteration, one computed with a time step h_1 and another one with h_2 as shown in Equation
 294 (31). Similar to the adaptive DP54 iterative method, for each iteration the integration time step is reduced
 295 by half, until the absolute error or the absolute relative error becomes smaller than the predefined
 296 tolerances, based on Equation (22).

$$297 \quad h_1 = s \cdot dt_a, \quad h_2 = s / (s + 1) \cdot dt_a \quad (31)$$

298 In order to compare the adaptive DP54 iterative method with the proposed adaptive numerical integration
 299 method (NI) discussed herein for the case of bilinear oil dampers, the force-displacement relations for oil
 300 dampers under a sinusoidal external loading with $u_{m0} = 1$ and $f = 1$ Hz are computed in Figures 7 and 8.
 301 The oil dampers are designed such that their peak force, F_{d0} , becomes unity when the damper axial
 302 flexibility is neglected. For oil dampers, F_{d0} can be computed based on Equation (32). Two cases are
 303 analyzed. In the first case, the peak damper velocity ratios are fixed (i.e., $\mu_m = 2$) and p varies from 0 to
 304 1.0 (see Figure 7). In the second case, the p value is fixed (i.e., $p = 0.05$) and μ_m varies from 1 to 20 (see
 305 Figure 8). For both cases, the normalized damper axial stiffness, k_s , varies from 0.1 to 1000. The relative
 306 and absolute tolerances are set equal to $\text{RelTol} = 10^{-6}$ and $\text{AbsTol} = 10^{-10}$, respectively.

$$307 \quad F_{d0} = \left(p + \frac{1-p}{\mu_m} \right) C_d \omega u_{m0} \quad (32)$$

$$308 \quad \mu_m = \frac{\omega u_{m0}}{\dot{u}_{dr}} \quad (33)$$

309 Figure 7 illustrates the computed hysteretic behaviour of oil dampers with varying p and k_s values based
 310 on the adaptive DP54 and finite difference approximation methods. In the same figure we have
 311 superimposed the number of iterations, N_{it} required for the half step coefficient based on both iterative
 312 methods. From Figure 7 it is concluded that for large k_s values (i.e., $k_s \geq 100$) a small integration time step
 313 is required when the adaptive DP54 method is employed; however, this is not the case when the
 314 alternative proposed integration scheme is employed. Therefore, for oil dampers that utilize $k_s \geq 100$ the

This peer-reviewed published paper appears as: Akcelyan, S., Lignos, D. G. and Hikino, T. (2018). "Adaptive Numerical Method Algorithms for Nonlinear Viscous and Bilinear Oil Damper Models Subjected to Dynamic Loading". Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering, Vol. 113, pp. 488-502. DOI: 10.1016/j.soildyn.2018.06.021

315 alternative numerical integration method is able to provide the same solution accuracy with the adaptive
316 DP54 iterative method with a smaller number of iterations. For flexible bilinear oil dampers (i.e.,
317 $k_s < 100$) the adaptive DP54 iterative method typically satisfies the pre-defined tolerance criteria with just
318 a single iteration (see Figure 7). Similar conclusions hold true when p is fixed (i.e., $p = 0.05$) and the peak
319 damper velocity ratio, μ_m varies (see Figure 8).

320

321 **5. Sensitivity of Viscous Damper Behaviour to the Damper Axial Stiffness**

322 This section investigates the effect of the axial stiffness, K_s due to viscoelasticity of a viscous damper on
323 its hysteretic behaviour and dynamic stiffnesses based on the proposed adaptive numerical method
324 discussed above. In particular, a sensitivity study is conducted in order to quantify the effect of K_s on the
325 reduction factor of the damper energy dissipation, e_K ; the damper peak force, F_{do} ; the damper storage
326 stiffness, $K_{m,st}$; and the damper loss stiffness, $K_{m,l}$. A harmonic vibration is assumed for this purpose. A
327 sinusoidal displacement that represents the external loading is applied with $u_{mo} = 1$ and $f = 1$ Hz. The
328 evaluation is conducted in a normalized manner. In particular, similarly with the normalized stiffness k_s
329 (see Equation (26)), the normalized storage and loss stiffnesses $k_{m,st}$ and $k_{m,l}$, respectively, can be obtained
330 according to Equation (26). Figure 9 illustrates the graphical definition of these phenomena as well as the
331 dynamic stiffnesses (see Figure 9c). The reduction factor of the damper energy dissipation, e_K is obtained
332 by first computing the area under the corresponding damper hysteresis numerically and then dividing it
333 into the energy produced by the pure viscous model under the same loading conditions. The energy
334 dissipated by nonlinear and bilinear viscous models can be directly computed according to Constantinou
335 and Symans (1993) and Kasai and Nishimura (2004), respectively. The normalized peak damper force f_m
336 is obtained by dividing the peak damper force into the peak force of a pure viscous model, which can be
337 calculated, based on Equations (25) and (32) for nonlinear and bilinear viscous models, respectively.
338 Nonlinear viscous dampers are employed with the utilization of the Maxwell model in order to facilitate

339 the discussion in the next paragraph. The observations below are general and can be applied to bilinear oil
 340 dampers not discussed herein due to brevity.

341 Figure 10 illustrates the variation of e_K , f_m , $k_{m,st}$ and $k_{m,l}$ (values are normalized as discussed earlier and
 342 range between 0 to 1) with respect to the nonlinear viscous damper normalized stiffness k_s for a wide
 343 range of α values. From Figure 10, the following observations hold true,

- 344 • The change in e_K is relatively large for small α values (see Figure 10a). This is attributed to the
 345 fact that the smaller the exponent α , the more stable the damper force becomes with the increase
 346 of velocity (see Figure 1a). Therefore, a decrease in external total displacement (i.e. k_s) would
 347 mainly affect the dashpot displacement and not that of the spring because the spring force
 348 remains relatively constant and the spring displacement is proportional to its force. For instance,
 349 when $\alpha = 0$, for $k_s < 1$ the damper hysteretic energy diminishes. For $\alpha = 0$ (i.e., friction dampers)
 350 and $\alpha = 1$ (i.e., linear dampers) the reduction factor of the nonlinear viscous damper can be
 351 directly computed based on the following equation (Constantinou et al. 1998; Kasai et al. 2003),

$$352 \quad e_{K,\alpha=0} = \frac{k_s - 1}{k_s}; \quad e_{K,\alpha=1} = \frac{k_s^2}{1 + k_s^2} \quad (34)$$

- 353 • From Figure 10b a decrease in k_s has a larger impact on the normalized peak forces (f_m) of a
 354 damper with large exponent α (e.g., $\alpha = 1$). Similarly, this is attributed to the fact that the damper
 355 force is relatively sensitive to the velocity variation once α becomes large. Therefore, a reduction
 356 in the dashpot displacement due to the axial flexibility causes relatively large force reductions
 357 when $\alpha = 1$. Note that specifically for friction and linear dampers the peak damper forces can be
 358 computed as follows,

$$359 \quad f_{m,\alpha=0} = k_s; \quad f_{m,\alpha=1} = \frac{k_s}{\sqrt{1 + k_s^2}} \quad (35)$$

360 • The normalized storage stiffness $k_{m,st}$ is large for small α values; $k_{m,st}$ becomes maximum when
 361 $k_s = 1$ (see Figure 10c). This implies that although the inclination angle of the damper hysteresis
 362 is small for $k_s < 1$ (see Figure 5), the $k_{m,st}$ is relatively small due to fact that the normalized peak
 363 forces, f_m significantly decrease for $k_s < 1$ as shown in Figure 10b. For $k_s > 1$ the $k_{m,st}$ reduces due
 364 to the changing shape of the damper hysteresis. For large k_s values the damper hysteresis
 365 becomes similar to that of a pure viscous model, which has no storage stiffness. For friction and
 366 linear dampers the normalized storage stiffness can be computed as follows,

$$367 \quad k_{m,st,\alpha=0} = k_s; \quad k_{m,st,\alpha=1} = \frac{k_s}{1+k_s^2} \quad (36)$$

368 • From Figure 10d the normalized loss stiffness, $k_{m,l}$ increases with the increase of k_s . Under the
 369 same loading conditions, a pure viscous model would have a normalized loss stiffness $k_{m,l} = 1$,
 370 because the maximum force occurs at zero displacement; thus the larger the k_s , the larger the $k_{m,l}$
 371 becomes. Note that Equation (37) can be employed to compute the normalized loss stiffness for
 372 friction and linear dampers,

$$373 \quad k_{m,l,\alpha=0} = k_s - 1; \quad k_{m,l,\alpha=1} = \frac{k_s^2}{1+k_s^2} \quad (37)$$

374 Figure 10 can facilitate the design and modeling of the damper stiffness. For instance, Figure 10a suggests
 375 that if the damper has a normalized stiffness $k_s > 100$, it is practically a pure viscous model, while for
 376 $k_s = 10$ the energy dissipation is about 90% of that of a viscous model for a low velocity exponent. If $k_s <$
 377 10 , the loss in energy dissipation increases dramatically. When $\alpha \approx 0$ the damper hysteretic energy
 378 diminishes for $k_s < 1$. These graphs can be utilized to accelerate the preliminary evaluation procedures
 379 within a building model. If the damper stiffness properties are unknown, Figure 10 can be used to easily
 380 assign a damper stiffness, that is computationally efficient (not too stiff) and at the same time reasonably
 381 conservative (i.e. not too flexible) for the evaluation of a building's engineering demand parameters. This

This peer-reviewed published paper appears as: Akcelyan, S., Lignos, D. G. and Hikino, T. (2018). "Adaptive Numerical Method Algorithms for Nonlinear Viscous and Bilinear Oil Damper Models Subjected to Dynamic Loading". Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering, Vol. 113, pp. 488-502. DOI: 10.1016/j.soildyn.2018.06.021

382 should aid eliminating analysis iterations with unnecessarily too stiff or too flexible damper models. Note
383 that, an estimation of the building's fundamental frequency and peak damper displacement (u_{m0}) is
384 sufficient to compute the required damper stiffness that satisfies the selected k_s (see Eq. 26). Similar
385 expressions can be derived for bilinear oil dampers.

386

387 **6. Experimental Validation**

388 This section discusses the validation of the proposed adaptive integration techniques for the numerical
389 models of nonlinear viscous and bilinear oil dampers. The validation is conducted with damper
390 component experiments conducted in prior studies. System-level experimental data from a full-scale
391 shake table test of a 5-story steel frame building with nonlinear viscous and bilinear oil dampers are also
392 utilized.

393

394 **6.1. Component Level Validation**

395 Component level experiments for both nonlinear viscous and oil dampers are adopted from earlier
396 experimental studies (Kasai et al. 2004b; Ooki et al. 2009; Hikino 2012). The nonlinear viscous damper
397 that was tested had a viscous coefficient, $C_d = 196 \text{ KN}/(\text{mm/s})^{0.38}$, axial stiffness, $K_d = 438 \text{ KN/mm}$ and a
398 damper exponent, $\alpha = 0.38$. The nonlinear viscous damper was subjected to sinusoidal loading with
399 increasing displacement amplitudes and loading frequencies of 0.5Hz and 2Hz, respectively. Figure 11
400 illustrates the measured hysteretic response of the nonlinear viscous damper in terms of its force-
401 displacement relation for the two loading frequencies of interest. In the same figure, we have
402 superimposed the computed hysteretic response of the nonlinear viscous damper based on a Maxwell
403 model. For the numerical solution of the constitutive equation of the Maxwell model with the proposed
404 adaptive numerical technique an integration step of 0.01sec is adopted. The adaptive DP54 method
405 required 3 iterations (i.e. $h = 0.00125 \text{ sec}$) to satisfy the pre-defined convergence criteria (i.e., 10^{-6} and 10^{-

This peer-reviewed published paper appears as: Akcelyan, S., Lignos, D. G. and Hikino, T. (2018). "Adaptive Numerical Method Algorithms for Nonlinear Viscous and Bilinear Oil Damper Models Subjected to Dynamic Loading". Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering, Vol. 113, pp. 488-502. DOI: 10.1016/j.soildyn.2018.06.021

406 ¹⁰ for the relative and absolute tolerances, respectively). From Figure 11, the average absolute relative
407 error of the predicted positive and negative peak damper forces per loading cycle versus the measured
408 ones is 9% and 6% for 0.5 and 2.0Hz, respectively. This suggests that the proposed numerical model for
409 nonlinear viscous dampers represents well the experimental data regardless of the employed loading
410 frequency.

411 Similarly, for bilinear oil dampers the experimental data from Kasai et al. (2004b) is utilized. In this case,
412 the oil damper that was tested dynamically at full-scale had an initial damper coefficient,
413 $C_d = 24.5$ KN/(mm/s), an axial stiffness, $K_d = 392.3$ KN/mm, a relief velocity, $V_r = 32$ mm/s, and a post-
414 relief coefficient ratio, $p = 0.068$ (Takahashi and Sekiguchi 2001). The oil damper was subjected to
415 sinusoidal loading with increasing displacement amplitude of 1, 5 and 15 mm and loading frequencies of
416 0.25 Hz and 1 Hz. Figure 12 illustrates the measured hysteretic response of the bilinear oil damper for the
417 two loading frequencies of interest. From Figure 3.12a, at 0.25 Hz the relief valve of the oil damper was
418 not activated; therefore, the damper response was linear. However, at 1Hz and during the last loading
419 cycle (i.e., displacement amplitude of 15 mm) the damper relief velocity was exceeded. Thus, a bilinear
420 force-velocity relation was measured as shown in Figure 12b. In the same figure we have superimposed
421 the computed hysteretic response of the same damper. The integration step of the proposed adaptive
422 numerical technique that was employed was 0.01 sec. The adaptive DP54 method required 5 iterations
423 (i.e. $h = 0.0003125$ sec) to satisfy the pre-defined convergence criteria (i.e., 10^{-6} and 10^{-10} for the relative
424 and absolute tolerances, respectively). Referring to Figure 12, the computed hysteretic response of the oil
425 damper is nearly identical with the one obtained from the experimental data regardless of the loading
426 frequency. This is also indicated from the average absolute error of positive and negative peak damper
427 forces per loading cycle that was 5% and 3% for 0.25 Hz and 1 Hz, respectively.

428

429 **6.2. Validation with System-Level Experimental Data**

This peer-reviewed published paper appears as: Akcelyan, S., Lignos, D. G. and Hikino, T. (2018). "Adaptive Numerical Method Algorithms for Nonlinear Viscous and Bilinear Oil Damper Models Subjected to Dynamic Loading". Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering, Vol. 113, pp. 488-502. DOI: 10.1016/j.soildyn.2018.06.021

430 This section discusses the implementation of the proposed adaptive integration techniques for simulating
431 the hysteretic response of nonlinear viscous and bilinear oil dampers based on the utilization of full-scale
432 shake table experiments of a 5-story steel frame building that was tested at the world's largest shake table
433 at E-Defense in Japan (Ohtani et al. 2004; Kasai et al. 2008; Kasai et al. 2010; Hikino 2012; Kasai and
434 Matsuda 2014). The test structure was equipped various types of dampers including nonlinear viscous and
435 bilinear oil dampers (Ooki et al. 2009; Kasai et al. 2010; Hikino 2012). The employed numerical models
436 including the adaptive integration techniques (noted as "ViscousDamper" and "BilinearOilDamper")
437 discussed in this paper have been implemented in an open-source finite element simulation platform for
438 nonlinear response history analysis of 2- and 3-Dimensional frame buildings under earthquake excitations
439 [so called: Open System for Earthquake Engineering Simulation (*OpenSees*), (McKenna 1997)]. These
440 models including their documentation are publically available (BilinearOilDamper 2015; ViscousDamper
441 2015).

442 Figure 13a shows the test structure after the installation on the E-Defense shake table. The test structure
443 plan view was 10x12 m as (see Figure 13b). Its total height was 15.85 m and its overall weight was
444 4730 KN. Detailed information regarding the test structure is reported extensively elsewhere (Ooki et al.
445 2009; Kasai et al. 2010; Kasai and Matsuda 2014). Due to brevity, the reader is referred to the
446 aforementioned studies.

447 Twelve dampers were installed in the test structure in total (four in the Y-loading direction; eight in the
448 X-loading direction) as shown in Figures 13c and 13d. Table 1 provides the various properties of the
449 nonlinear viscous and oil dampers based on damper component tests prior to the shake table experiments.
450 In summary, Table 1 includes the damping coefficients, C_d , the stiffness properties (i.e., damper portion,
451 K_d and total stiffness K_s) of the corresponding dampers installed in the test structure. The velocity
452 exponent, α , of the nonlinear viscous dampers was found to be, $\alpha = 0.38$. The relief velocity, V_r and post

This peer-reviewed published paper appears as: Akcelyan, S., Lignos, D. G. and Hikino, T. (2018). "Adaptive Numerical Method Algorithms for Nonlinear Viscous and Bilinear Oil Damper Models Subjected to Dynamic Loading". *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering*, Vol. 113, pp. 488-502. DOI: 10.1016/j.soildyn.2018.06.021

453 relief damping coefficient ratio, p of the bilinear oil dampers were found to be, $V_r = 64$ mm/sec and
454 $p = 0.068$, respectively (Kasai et al. (2008); Ooki et al. (2009); Hikino 2012).

455 The test structure was subjected to the three components of the JR Takatori record from the 1995 Kobe
456 earthquake. These components were scaled incrementally at 50%, and 100% of the unscaled intensity of
457 the same ground motion. Further details regarding the testing program can be found in Kasai and Matsuda
458 (2014).

459 A 3-Dimensional (3D) model of the test structure was developed in the *OpenSees* simulation platform.
460 The steel beams and columns were modeled with a single force-based distributed plasticity beam-column
461 element with five integration points along their length. In order to trace flexural yielding within the cross
462 sections a combined isotropic/kinematic material model (Menegotto and Pinto 1973) was assigned to the
463 fiber-based cross sections that were assigned to the force-based nonlinear beam-column elements. The
464 fiber discretization of each cross section consisted of 5x3 fiber elements along the width and thickness of
465 flanges and webs, respectively. The measured material properties reported by (Kasai and Matsuda 2014)
466 were explicitly assigned to the various steel beam and columns of the test structure. The reinforced
467 concrete slab on top of the steel beams was modeled with a concrete material (Yassin 1994), which
468 accounts for the effect of linear tension softening of the concrete. The effective width of the concrete slab
469 was calculated based on Section I3.1a of ANSI/AISC 360-10 (AISC 2010). Rigid diaphragms were
470 assigned at each floor level. The P-Delta transformation was assigned to the steel members of the test
471 structure to simulate the second order effects. The viscous damping forces of the test structure were
472 simulated with the Rayleigh model. In particular, 2% damping ratio was assigned to the first and third
473 modes of the 3-D model. Two seismic intensities (50% and 100%) were considered for the evaluation
474 presented herein. Nonlinear response history analysis with direct integration of the equations of motion
475 was conducted. The Newmark's average acceleration method (Newmark 1959) was used for this purpose.

This peer-reviewed published paper appears as: Akcelyan, S., Lignos, D. G. and Hikino, T. (2018). "Adaptive Numerical Method Algorithms for Nonlinear Viscous and Bilinear Oil Damper Models Subjected to Dynamic Loading". Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering, Vol. 113, pp. 488-502. DOI: 10.1016/j.soildyn.2018.06.021

476 The integration time step was taken equal to $dt=0.01$ sec. A detailed summary of the developed numerical
477 model of the test structure can be found in Lignos (2012) and (Akcelyan et al. 2016).

478 Figure 14 shows a comparison of the measured (noted as Test-50 and Test-100) and computed absolute
479 peak values of story drift ratios, story shear forces and floor absolute accelerations along the height of the
480 test structure with nonlinear viscous dampers under 50% and 100% of the unscaled Takatori record in
481 both loading directions (i.e., directions X and Y). In order to illustrate the efficiency of the adaptive
482 integration techniques for the numerical solution of viscous dampers including their axial flexibility, two
483 types of nonlinear response history analyses are carried out. In the first one (noted as NRHA1) the axial
484 flexibility of the dampers is neglected. In the second one (noted as NRHA2) the axial flexibility of the
485 damper is considered. Note that the average absolute errors of global peak engineering demand
486 parameters (EDPs) shown in Figure 14 increase from 7% to 27% when the axial flexibility of the damper
487 is disregarded. In the Y-loading direction, the average absolute errors along the height of the test structure
488 are much larger than those in the X-loading direction. In particular, the predicted peak EDPs are
489 underestimated by more than 45% in average. Referring to Figure 15, nearly identical findings hold true
490 for the test structure with oil dampers. These simple comparisons indicate the importance of rigorous
491 mathematical models, such as the nonlinear Maxwell model, to accurately represent the hysteretic
492 response of viscous dampers including their axial flexibility. In this case, the advantage of the proposed
493 adaptive numerical method techniques to overcome typical convergence problems during nonlinear
494 response history analyses of large-scale finite element models with fairly large integration steps is also
495 pronounced.

496 Figure 16 illustrates the measured hysteretic response of the damper portion (K_d and C_d) of the nonlinear
497 viscous and bilinear oil dampers installed in the first story of the test structure in X- and Y- loading
498 directions, respectively, for the 100% seismic intensity of the JR Takatori record. In the same figure we
499 have superimposed the simulated hysteretic response of the same components based on NRHA of the 3D

This peer-reviewed published paper appears as: Akcelyan, S., Lignos, D. G. and Hikino, T. (2018). "Adaptive Numerical Method Algorithms for Nonlinear Viscous and Bilinear Oil Damper Models Subjected to Dynamic Loading". Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering, Vol. 113, pp. 488-502. DOI: 10.1016/j.soildyn.2018.06.021

500 model representation of the test structure with nonlinear viscous dampers and bilinear oil dampers based
501 on the proposed adaptive integration techniques discussed in this paper. From Figure 16 it is evident that
502 in both cases the proposed numerical models are rational and are able to capture fairly well both the peak
503 damper forces as well as the damper displacement amplitudes.

504 The efficiency of the presented algorithms in computing the numerical solutions of the damper devices
505 presented herein has been also evaluated in cases that steel frame buildings retrofitted with nonlinear
506 viscous dampers exhibit inelastic behavior during severe ground motion shaking (Akcelyan 2017,
507 Akcelyan and Lignos 2018, Wang and Mahin, 2017). It was found in all cases that the proposed
508 numerical schemes have excellent convergence characteristics.

509

510 **7. Summary and Conclusions**

511 This paper discusses the implementation of advanced adaptive numerical integration algorithms for the
512 numerical solution of the constitutive equations that describe the force-displacement relation of viscous
513 dampers under random vibrations. The integration schemes are implemented in an open source finite
514 element analysis program in order to calculate fourth- and fifth-order accurate numerical solutions of a
515 damper force under dynamic loading when the axial flexibility of the respective viscous damper is
516 considered in the mathematical model representation of the damper. Through a sensitivity study, the
517 efficiency of the adaptive integration algorithm over traditional integration schemes for the numerical
518 solution of initial value problems is demonstrated. In particular, it is shown that even in cases that involve
519 nonlinear viscous dampers with large axial stiffness and small velocity exponents a high-accuracy
520 numerical solution of the force-displacement relations of the respective damper is achieved with relatively
521 large integration steps and only few sub-step iterations. In the case of bilinear oil dampers with large axial
522 stiffness an alternative adaptive numerical integration algorithm is also proposed. This integration scheme
523 is able to provide same accuracy solutions with the adaptive Dormand-Prince iterative method but with

This peer-reviewed published paper appears as: Akcelyan, S., Lignos, D. G. and Hikino, T. (2018). "Adaptive Numerical Method Algorithms for Nonlinear Viscous and Bilinear Oil Damper Models Subjected to Dynamic Loading". Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering, Vol. 113, pp. 488-502. DOI: 10.1016/j.soildyn.2018.06.021

524 much smaller number of sub-step iterations. The employed integration schemes allow for the
525 investigation of the sensitivity of the viscous damper behaviour to its axial stiffness. The adaptive
526 integration schemes for the numerical solution of the nonlinear Maxwell model are validated through a
527 series of comparisons with damper component tests as well as system-level experimental data from full-
528 scale shake table tests of a 5-story steel frame building with nonlinear viscous and bilinear oil dampers.
529 The validation studies underscore the efficiency of the proposed integration schemes in predicting the
530 global and local engineering demand parameters of frame buildings equipped with supplemental damping
531 devices at a relatively low computational cost.

532

533 **Acknowledgements**

534 The authors express their sincere thanks to the research team who conducted the full-scale shake table
535 tests of the 5-story steel frame building with various types of dampers (Leader: Professor Kazuhiko Kasai,
536 Tokyo Institute of Technology) and to the National Research Institute for Earth Science and Disaster
537 Prevention for providing the experimental data for the needs of this paper. The authors also acknowledge
538 the financial support from Fonds de recherche du Québec Nature et technologies (Grant No. 2013 – NC-
539 166845). The findings in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessary reflect the view of the
540 sponsors.

541

542 **References**

- 543 AISC (2010). "Specification for structural steel buildings." *ANSI/AISC 360-10*, American Institute of
544 Steel Construction, Chicago, IL.
- 545 Akcelyan, S., Lignos, D. G., Hikino, T., and Nakashima, M. (2016). "Evaluation of simplified and state-
546 of-the-art analysis procedures for steel frame buildings equipped with supplemental damping
547 devices based on E-Defense full-scale shake table tests." *Journal of Structural Engineering*,
548 142(6), 04016024.
- 549 Akcelyan, S., and Lignos, D.G. (2018). "Seismic retrofit of steel tall buildings with bilinear oil dampers."
550 *Proc., 16th European Conference on Earthquake Engineering*, Thessaloniki, Greece, 18-21 June
551 2018.
- 552 BilinearOilDamper (2015). "BilinearOilDamper material." *OpenSeesWiki online manual*,
553 <http://opensees.berkeley.edu/wiki/index.php/BilinearOilDamper_Material>. (Aug. 6, 2015).
- 554 Black, C., and Makris, N. (2007). "Viscous heating of fluid dampers under small and large amplitude
555 motions: experimental studies and parametric modeling." *Journal of Engineering Mechanics*,
556 133(5), 566-577.
- 557 Buchanan, A., Bull, D., Dhakal, R., MacRae, G., Palermo, A., and Pampanin, S. (2011). "Base isolation
558 and damage-resistant technologies for improved seismic performance of buildings: A report
559 written for the Royal Commission of Inquiry into building failure caused by the Canterbury
560 earthquakes." *Research Report 2011-02*, Department of Civil and Natural Resources Engineering,
561 University of Canterbury, Christchurch.
- 562 Butcher, J. C. (1996). "A history of Runge-Kutta methods." *Applied Numerical Mathematics*, 20(3), 247-
563 260.
- 564 Chang, K. C., Soong, T. T., Oh, S.-T., and Lai, M. L. (1995). "Seismic behavior of steel frame with added
565 viscoelastic dampers." *Journal of Structural Engineering*, 121(10), 1418-1426.
- 566 Chen, Y.-T., and Chai, Y. H. (2011). "Effects of brace stiffness on performance of structures with
567 supplemental Maxwell model-based brace-damper systems." *Earthquake Engineering &*
568 *Structural Dynamics*, 40(1), 75-92.
- 569 Christopoulos, C., and Filiatrault, A. (2006). *Principles of supplemental damping and seismic isolation*,
570 IUSS Press, Milan, Italy.
- 571 Constantinou, M., Tsopelas, P., Hammel, W., and Sigaher, A. (2001). "Toggle-brace-damper seismic
572 energy dissipation systems." *Journal of Structural Engineering*, 127(2), 105-112.
- 573 Constantinou, M. C., Soong, T. T., and Dargush, G. F. (1998). "Passive energy dissipation systems for
574 structural design and retrofit." *MCEER-Monograph No. 1*, Multidisciplinary Center for Earthquake
575 Engineering Research, University of Buffalo., USA.
- 576 Constantinou, M. C., and Symans, M. D. (1993). "Experimental study of seismic response of buildings
577 with supplemental fluid dampers." *The Structural Design of Tall Buildings*, 2(2), 93-132.
- 578 Diotallevi, P. P., Landi, L., and Dellavalle, A. (2012). "A methodology for the direct assessment of the
579 damping ratio of structures equipped with nonlinear viscous dampers." *Journal of Earthquake*
580 *Engineering*, 16(3), 350-373.
- 581 Dong, B., Sause, R., and Ricles, J. M. (2015). "Accurate real-time hybrid earthquake simulations on
582 large-scale MDOF steel structure with nonlinear viscous dampers." *Earthquake Engineering &*
583 *Structural Dynamics*, 44(12), 2035-2055.
- 584 Dong, B., Sause, R., and Ricles, J.M. (2018). "Seismic performance of steel MRF structures with nonlinear
585 viscous dampers from real-time hybrid simulations." *Proc., 9th International Conference on the*
586 *Behaviour of Steel Structures in Seismic Areas*, Christchurch, New Zealand, February 14-17,
587 2018.
- 588 Dormand, J. R., and Prince, P. J. (1980). "A family of embedded Runge-Kutta formulae." *Journal of*
589 *Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 6(1), 19-26.

590 Griffiths, D., Higham, D.J. (2010). "Numerical methods for ordinary differential equations – Initial value
591 problems", *Springer Series ISBN 978-0-85729-148-6*.

592 Hairer, E., Norsett, E., Wanner, G. (1993). "Solving ordinary differential equations I – Nonstiff
593 problems", *Springler Series in Computational Mathematics*.

594 Hikino, T. (2012). "Full-scale shaking table test and analysis of the dynamic characteristics verification
595 that govern the seismic behavior of steel buildings with supplemental damping." Ph.D.
596 Dissertation, Kyoto University, Japan (in Japanese).

597 Hwang, J., Huang, Y., Yi, S., and Ho, S. (2008). "Design formulations for supplemental viscous dampers
598 to building structures." *Journal of Structural Engineering*, 134(1), 22-31.

599 Ichihasi, S., Okuzono, T., Takahashi, O., Usami, M., Ninomiya, M., Tsuyuki, Y., and Ishida, Y. (2000).
600 "Vibration test of a frame which has an oil-damper brace." *Proc., The 12th World Conference on*
601 *Earthquake Engineering (WCEE)*, Auckland, New Zealand.

602 Kasai, K., Ito, H., Ooki, Y., Hikino, T., Kajiwarra, K., Motoyui, S., Ozaki, H., and Ishii, M. (2010). "Full-
603 scale shake table tests of 5-story steel building with various dampers." *Proc., 7th International*
604 *Conference on Urban Earthquake Engineering (7CUEE) & 5th International Conference on*
605 *Earthquake Engineering (5ICEE)*, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan.

606 Kasai, K., and Matsuda, K. (2014). "Full-scale dynamic testing of response-controlled buildings and their
607 components: concepts, methods, and findings." *Earthq. Engin. Engin. Vib.*, 13(1), 167-181
608 English.

609 Kasai, K., Mita, A., Kitamura, H., Matsuda, K., Morgan, T. A., and Taylor, A. W. (2013). "Performance
610 of seismic protection technologies during the 2011 Tohoku-Oki Earthquake." *Earthquake*
611 *Spectra*, 29(S1), S265-S293.

612 Kasai, K., and Nishimura, T. (2004). "Equivalent linearization of passive control system with oil damper
613 bilinearly dependent on velocity." *Journal of Structural and Construction Engineering, AIJ*,
614 (583), 47-54 (in Japanese).

615 Kasai, K., Ogura, T., and Suzuki, A. (2007). "Passive control desing method based on tuning equivalent
616 stiffness of nonlinear viscous dampers." *Journal of Structural and Construction Engineering, AIJ*,
617 , 76(618), 97-104 (in Japanese).

618 Kasai, K., Oohara, K., and Sekiguchi, Y. (2004). "JSSI manual for building passive control technology
619 part-10 time-history analysis model for viscous dampers." *Proc., The 13th World Conference on*
620 *Earthquake Engineering*, Vancouver, B.C., Canada.

621 Kasai, K., Ooki, Y., Ishii, M., Ozaki, H., Ito, H., Motoyui, S., Hikino, T., and Sato, E. (2008). "Value-
622 added 5-story steel frame and its components: Part 1 - Full-scale damper tests and analyses." *Proc., The 14th World Conference on Earthquake Engineering*, Beijing, China.

623 Kasai, K., Suzuki, A., and Oohara, K. (2003). "Equivalent linearization of a passive control system
624 having viscous dampers dependent on fractional power of velocity." *Journal of Structural and*
625 *Construction Engineering, AIJ*,(574), 77-84 (in Japanese).

626 Kasai, K., Takahashi, O., and Sekiguchi, Y. (2004). "JSSI manual for building passive control technology
627 part-10 time-history analysis model for nonlinear oil dampers." *Proc., The 13th World Conference*
628 *on Earthquake Engineering*, Vancouver, B.C., Canada.

629 Kutta, W. (1901). "Beitrag zur näherungsweise Integration totaler Differentialgleichungen." *Zeitschrift*
630 *für Mathematik und Physik*, 46, 435-453.

631 Lavan, O., and Avishur, M. (2013). "Seismic behavior of viscously damped yielding frames under
632 structural and damping uncertainties." *Bull Earthquake Eng*, 11(6), 2309-2332 English.

633 Lavan, O., Cimellaro, G., and Reinhorn, A. (2008). "Noniterative optimization procedure for seismic
634 weakening and damping of inelastic structures." *Journal of Structural Engineering*, 134(10),
635 1638-1648.

636 Liang, Z., Lee, G. C., and Dargush, G. F. (2011). *Structural damping: Applications in seismic response*
637 *modification*, CRC Press, USA.

639 Lignos, D. G. (2012). "Modeling and experimental validation of a full scale 5-story steel building
640 equipped with tripple friction pendulum bearings: E-defense blind analysis competition." *Proc.,*
641 *9th International Conference on Urban Earthquake Engineering (9CUEE) & 4th Asia*
642 *Conference on Earthquake Engineering, Center for Urban Earthquake Engineering (CUEE),*
643 *Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo.*

644 Lin, W.-H., and Chopra, A. K. (2002). "Earthquake response of elastic SDF systems with non-linear fluid
645 viscous dampers." *Earthquake Engineering & Structural Dynamics*, 31(9), 1623-1642.

646 Londoño, J. M., Neild, S. A., and Wagg, D. J. (2013). "A noniterative design procedure for supplemental
647 brace-damper systems in single-degree-of-freedom systems." *Earthquake Engineering &*
648 *Structural Dynamics*, 42(15), 2361-2367.

649 Makris, N., and Constantinou, M. (1991). "Fractional - derivative maxwell model for viscous dampers."
650 *Journal of Structural Engineering*, 117(9), 2708-2724.

651 Maxwell, J. C. (1867). "On the dynamical theory of gases." *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal*
652 *Society of London*, 157, 49-88.

653 McKenna, F. T. (1997). "Object-oriented finite element programming: frameworks for analysis,
654 algorithms and parallel computing." Ph.D. Dissertation, University of California, Berkeley.

655 Menegotto, M., and Pinto, P. E. (1973). "Method of analysis for cyclically loaded reinforced concrete
656 plane frames including changes in geometry and non-elastic behaviour of elements under
657 combined normal force and bending." *Proc., IABSE, Symp. on Resistance and Ultimate*
658 *Deformability of Structures Acted on by Well Defined Repeated Loads, IABSE-AIPC-IVBH, ETH*
659 *Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland, 15-22.*

660 Miranda, E., Mosqueda, G., Retamales, R., and Pekcan, G. (2012). "Performance of nonstructural
661 components during the 27 February 2010 Chile Earthquake." *Earthquake Spectra*, 28(S1), S453-
662 S471.

663 Newmark, N. M. (1959). "A method of computation for structural dynamics." *Journal of the Engineering*
664 *Mechanics Division, ASCE*, 85(3), 67-94.

665 Ohtani, K., Ogawa, N., Katayama, T., and Shibata, H. (2004). "Construction of E-Defense (3-D full-scale
666 earthquake testing facility)." *Proc., 13th World Conference on Earthquake Engineering,*
667 *Vancouver, B.C., Canada.*

668 Oohara, K., and Kasai, K. (2002). "Time history analysis models for nonlinear viscous dampers." *Proc.,*
669 *Structural Engineers World Congress (SEWC), Yokohama, JAPAN.*

670 Ooki, Y., Kasai, K., Motoyui, S., Kaneko, K., Kajiwar, K., and Hikino, T. (2009). "Full-scale tests of
671 passively-controlled 5-story steel building using E-Defense shake table part 3: full-scale tests for
672 dampers and beam-column subassemblies." *Proc., STESSA 2009, Philadelphia, 93-99.*

673 Pall, A. S., and Marsh, C. (1982). "Response of friction damped braced frames." *Journal of the Structural*
674 *Division, ASCE*, 108(6), 1313-1323.

675 Pekcan, G., Mander, J. B., and Chen, S. S. (1999). "Fundamental considerations for the design of non -
676 linear viscous dampers." *Earthquake Engineering & Structural Dynamics*, 28(11), 1405-1425.

677 Pollini, N., Lavan, O., and Amir, O. (2017). "Minimum-cost optimization of nonlinear fluid viscous
678 dampers and their supporting members for seismic retrofitting." *Earthquake Engineering &*
679 *Structural Dynamics*, n/a-n/a.

680 Ramirez, O. M., Constantinou, M. C., Kircher, C. A., Whittaker, A. S., Johnson, M. W., Gomez, J. D.,
681 and Chrysostomou, C. Z. (2001). "Development and evaluation of simplified procedures for
682 analysis and design of buildings with passive energy dissipation systems." *MCEER-00-0010*
683 *2001, Multidisciplinary Center for Earthquake Engineering Research, University of Buffalo,*
684 *USA.*

685 Reinhorn, A. M., Li, C., and Constantinou, M. C. (1995). "Experimental & analytical investigation of
686 seismic retrofit of structures with supplemental damping, Part 1: Fluid viscous damping devices."

687 NCEER-95-0001, National Center for Earthquake Engineering Research, University of Buffalo,
688 USA.

689 Singh, M., Verma, N., and Moreschi, L. (2003). "Seismic analysis and design with maxwell dampers."
690 *Journal of Engineering Mechanics*, 129(3), 273-282.

691 Sivaselvan, M. V., Lavan, O., Dargush, G.F., Kurino, H., Hyodo, Y., Fukuda, R., Sato, K., Apostolakis,
692 G., and Reinhorn, A.M. (2009). "Numerical collapse simulation of large-scale structural systems
693 using an optimization-based algorithm." *Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics*, 38
694 (5), 655-677.

695 Soong, T., and Dargush, G. (1997). *Passive energy dissipation systems in structural engineering*, John
696 Wiley & Sons, Chichester, United Kingdom.

697 Symans, M., Charney, F., Whittaker, A., Constantinou, M., Kircher, C., Johnson, M., and McNamara, R.
698 (2008). "Energy dissipation systems for seismic applications: current practice and recent
699 developments." *Journal of Structural Engineering*, 134(1), 3-21.

700 Symans, M. D., and Constantinou, M. C. (1998). "Passive fluid viscous damping systems for seismic
701 energy dissipation." *ASET Journal of Earthquake Technology*, 35(4), 185-206.

702 Takahashi, O., and Sekiguchi, Y. (2001). "Constitutive rule of oil damper with maxwell model and source
703 code for analysis program." *Proc., Passively Controlled Structure Symposium 2001*, Yokohama,
704 Japan.

705 Terenzi, G. (1999). "Dynamics of SDOF systems with nonlinear viscous damping." *Journal of*
706 *Engineering Mechanics, ASCE*, 125 (8), 956-963.

707 Tsuyuki, Y., Gofuku, Y., Iiyama, F., and Kotake, Y. (2004). "JSSI manual for building passive control
708 technology part-3 performance and quality control of oil damper." *Proc., The 13th World*
709 *Conference on Earthquake Engineering*, Vancouver, B.C., Canada.

710 ViscousDamper (2015). "ViscousDamper material." *OpenSeesWiki online manual*,
711 <http://opensees.berkeley.edu/wiki/index.php/ViscousDamper_Material>. (Sep. 30, 2016).

712 Wang, S., and Mahin, S.A. (2017). "Seismic retrofit of a high-rise steel moment-resisting frame using
713 fluid viscous dampers." *The Structural Design of Tall and Special Buildings*, 26 (10), 1-11.

714 Yassin, M. H. M. (1994). "Nonlinear analysis of prestressed concrete structures under monotonic and
715 cycling loads." Ph.D. Dissertation, University of California, Berkeley.

716 Yu, Y., Tsai, K., Li, C., Weng, Y., and Tsai, C. (2013). "Earthquake response analyses of a full-scale five-
717 story steel frame equipped with two types of dampers." *Earthquake Engineering & Structural*
718 *Dynamics*, 42(9), 1301-1320.

719

720

Table 1: Properties of nonlinear viscous dampers and oil dampers (Hikino, 2012).

| Frame | Story | Nonlinear Viscous Damper ($\alpha = 0.38$) | | | Oil Damper ($V_r = 64$ mm/s, $p = 0.068$) | | |
|----------------------------|-------|---|--------------------|------------------|--|------------------|------------------|
| | | C_d [KN/(mm/s) ^{0.38}] | K_d [KN/mm] | K_s [KN/mm] | C_d [KN/(mm/s)] | K_d [KN/mm] | K_s [KN/mm] |
| X direction (2 bays) | 4 | 49 | 119 ^(a) | 60 | 3.13 | 88 | 57 |
| | 3 | 49 | 119 ^(a) | 60 | 6.25 | 137 | 85 |
| | 2 | 98 | 193 | 104 | 6.25 | 137 | 85 |
| | 1 | 98 | 193 | 101 | 12.5 | 274 | 146 |
| Y direction (1 bay) | 4 | 98 | 193 | 104 | 6.25 | 137 | 85 |
| | 3 | 98 | 193 | 104 | 12.5 | 274 | 154 |
| | 2 | 196 | 438 | 179 | 12.5 | 274 | 154 |
| | 1 | 196 | 438 | 171 | 18.75 | 441 | 242 |

721 (a) Estimated stiffness values (K_d) for damper portion due to lack of data of dampers at third and fourth story (Yu et
722 al. 2013).


723

724

725

726

727



728

(a) Force - velocity relation



(b) Force - displacement relation

729

730 **Figure 1:** Hysteretic behaviour of nonlinear viscous dampers with various velocity exponents under

731 sinusoidal motion

732



(a) Force - velocity relation

(b) Force - displacement relation


733

734


735 **Figure 2:** Hysteretic behaviour of bilinear oil dampers under sinusoidal motion with increasing loading

736 amplitudes


737



(a) Nonlinear viscous damper



(b) Mechanical model for nonlinear viscous damper




(c) Maxwell model

738
739

Figure 3: Schematic representation of nonlinear viscous damper including its mathematical model

740




741

742 **Figure 4:** Flow chart of the numerical solution based on the adaptive DP54 explicit iterative method for

743 nonlinear viscous dampers

744




745

746

747 **Figure 5:** Force-displacement relations for nonlinear viscous dampers under sinusoidal displacement

748 loading based on the adaptive DP54 iterative method

749




750

751

752 **Figure 6:** Force-displacement relations for nonlinear viscous dampers under sinusoidal displacement

753 based on the classical 4th order Runge-Kutta method

754




755

756

757 **Figure 7:** Comparison of the force-displacement relation predictions for bilinear oil dampers under

758 sinusoidal displacement based on the adaptive DP54 iterative method and the alternative adaptive

759 numerical integration algorithm (NI) for $\mu_m=2$



760


761


762 **Figure 8:** Force-displacement relations for bilinear oil dampers under sinusoidal displacement based on
 763 the adaptive DP54 iterative method and the alternative adaptive numerical integration algorithm (NI) for

764

$p=0.05$

765






771

772

773 **Figure 10:** Effect of normalized stiffness on various properties of nonlinear viscous dampers with

774 different velocity exponents

775



776

(a) 0.5 Hz


(b) 2 Hz

777

778 **Figure 11:** Comparison of the simulated and experimental hysteretic response of nonlinear viscous

779 dampers under dynamic sinusoidal loading (experimental data adopted from Kasai et al. 2004b)

780



781

(a) 0.25 Hz

(b) 1 Hz


782

783 **Figure 12:** Comparison of the simulated and experimental hysteretic response of bilinear oil dampers


784 under dynamic sinusoidal loading (experimental data adopted from Kasai et al. (2004b))

785


786



787
 788 **Figure 13:** 5-story test-structure tested at E-Defense; (a) building after installation on the shake table; (b)
 789 plan view (c) elevation view in X- loading direction; (d) elevation view in Y-loading direction (images
 790 adopted from Akcelyan et al. (2016), dimensions in mm)




791




792

793 **Figure 14:** Comparison of computed and measured peak engineering demand parameters of the test
 794 structure with nonlinear viscous dampers (50% and 100% JR Takatori record)

795




796



797

798 **Figure 15:** Comparison of computed and measured peak engineering demand parameters of the test
 799 structure with oil dampers (50% and 100% JR Takatori record)

800



801

802 **Figure 16:** Comparison between the simulated and measured hysteretic response of nonlinear viscous and
 803 oil dampers installed in the first story of the test structure (100% JR Takatori record)

804