Division site selection linked to inherited cell surface wave troughs in mycobacteria
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1 Cell division is tightly controlled in space and time to maintain cell size and ploidy within narrow bounds. In bacteria, the canonical Minicell (Min) and nucleoid occlusion (Noc) systems together ensure that division is restricted to midcell after completion of chromosome segregation. It is unknown how division site selection is controlled in bacteria that lack homologues of the Min and Noc proteins, including mycobacteria responsible for tuberculosis and other chronic infections. Here, we use correlated optical and atomic force microscopy to demonstrate that morphological landmarks (waveform troughs) on the undulating surface of mycobacterial cells correspond to future sites of cell division. Newborn cells inherit wave troughs from the (grand)mother cell and ultimately divide at the centre-most wave trough, making these morphological features the earliest known landmark of future division sites. In cells lacking the chromosome partitioning (Par) system, missegregation of chromosomes is accompanied by asymmetric cell division at off-centre wave troughs, resulting in the formation of anucleate cells. These results demonstrate that inherited morphological landmarks and chromosome positioning together restrict mycobacterial division to the midcell position.

Atomic force microscopy (AFM) has been used previously for static or short-term time-lapse imaging of mycobacteria, primarily to study the impact of antibiotics and antimicrobial peptides on nanoscale features of the mycobacterial cell surface. Here, we use long-term time-lapse AFM to track cell growth and division over multiple generations in Mycobacterium smegmatis, a non-pathogenic relative of Mycobacterium tuberculosis (Fig. 1a, Supplementary Videos 1 and 2 and Supplementary Figs 1 and 2). Unexpectedly, we found that the cell surface undulates along the long axis (Fig. 1b,c) in a roughly repeating waveform pattern with an average wavelength of ~1.8 μm (Supplementary Fig. 3). These morphological features are too small in amplitude (~100 nm from wave crest to wave trough) to resolve by conventional optical microscopy and they are morphologically distinct from the previously described ‘division scars’ (Supplementary Fig. 4, last panel, black arrow). Cell elongation is accompanied by an increase in wave trough number, as cells, on average, are born with three wave troughs and divide with four wave troughs after elongating by 2 μm (Supplementary Table 1). In contrast, the distance between wave troughs does not scale with increasing cell length. Cells filimented with ciprofloxacin exhibit a greater number of wave troughs as a function of increased cell length (Supplementary Fig. 6). Conversely, blocking cell elongation with isoniazid (Supplementary Fig. 2a) prevents the formation of new wave troughs (Supplementary Fig. 7). While the undulating surface morphology is maintained in isoniazid-treated cells, height increases along the cell length (Supplementary Figs 2b and 8), possibly due to sustained metabolic activity.

In time-lapse series, we found that centrally located wave troughs correspond to future sites of cell division (Fig. 1c and Supplementary Figs 9–11). The centre-most wave trough is localized, on average, at 56% of the cell length relative to the old cell pole, ranging from 49% to 62% (25th to 75th percentiles, respectively). Remarkably, wave troughs that mark future division sites are already present at birth—they form near the cell poles in the mother, grandmother or great-grandmother cell and are passed on to the daughter cells at division (Fig. 2a,b, Supplementary Fig. 11 and Supplementary Table 1). On average, division at a wave trough occurs 1.3 generations after the trough is first established (Fig. 2b and Supplementary Table 1), which corresponds to ~4 h for cells growing with an average interdivision time of ~3 h (Fig. 2c). Cell elongation gradually shifts the position of wave troughs towards the cell centre (Fig. 2a, Supplementary Video 2 and Supplementary Figs 4 and 11). Inherited wave troughs localize to positions near the midcell ~150 min before cell cleavage. In cells inheriting multiple wave troughs, the amount of growth from each cell pole determines which wave trough is located closest to the midcell and becomes the division site. Depletion of RipA, a hydrolase essential for cleavage, results in chains of non-separated daughter cells; cells located internal to the chain (with no free ends) do not elongate and do not form new wave troughs, although they may still form septa within pre-existing wave troughs (Supplementary Video 3 and Supplementary Fig. 5).

In a microscope that combines optical (fluorescence) and low-noise AFM-based imaging, time-lapse imaging of single cells revealed a sequence of morphological and molecular events leading up to cell division. Formation of the FtsZ contractile ring at midcell is thought to be the earliest event specifying the cell division site in rod-shaped bacteria. In cells expressing FtsZ tagged with green fluorescent protein ( GFP), we found that the FtsZ ring forms within a pre-existing wave trough near midcell (Fig. 3a). In cells growing with an average interdivision time of 190 min, formation of the pre-divisional wave trough precedes formation of the FtsZ ring by 120 min on average. Cells filimented with mitomycin C exhibit the formation of multiple FtsZ rings at multiple wave troughs (Supplementary Fig. 12).

Formation of the FtsZ-GFP ring near midcell (Fig. 3a and Supplementary Video 4) is followed ~30 min later by the appearance of a co-localized ‘pre-cleavage furrow’ (~50 nm wide and ~10 nm deep) in the AFM image, a distinctive topological feature that is too small to detect by optical microscopy (Fig. 3a, black arrows). This feature might correspond to the previously reported ‘cell wall
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contractile ring' in *Mycobacterium* sp. JLS, although the latter has been described as a cell surface protrusion rather than an indentation. The pre-cleavage furrow appears at around the same time as the early stages of septum formation, which we visualized by staining the cell membrane with the fluorescent dye FM4-64 (Fig. 3b, Supplementary Fig. 13 and Supplementary Videos 5 and 6). These events precede cytokinesis by ~20 min in cells expressing the cytokinetic marker Wag31-GFP (Fig. 3c and Supplementary Video 7). Cytokinesis is followed by a lag period of ~40 min before physical cleavage of the sibling cells, signalled by an abrupt deepening of the pre-cleavage furrow to ~100 nm (Fig. 3 and Supplementary Video 7).

Most mycobacterial cells inherit multiple wave troughs at birth, yet only the centre-most wave trough is ultimately selected as the division site. We asked whether off-centre wave troughs could function as alternative sites of cell division in cells lacking the
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**Figure 1 | Mycobacterial cells divide at cell surface wave troughs.** a, Time series of three-dimensional AFM height images overlaid with AFM peak force error images for wild-type *M. smegmatis*. Scale bar, 3 μm. b, Mycobacterial surface topology. Yellow trace of the cell profile, highlighting the undulating mycobacterial surface morphology. Scale bar, 1 μm. c, Kymograph of the cell surface height of a representative cell from birth to division, showing that division occurs within the centre-most wave trough. In b and c, images are representative of *n* = 270.

**Figure 2 | Wave troughs are inherited from the (grand)mother cell.** a, Kymograph of cell heights of one cell lineage over three consecutive generations (bottom to top). A wave trough formed in the grandmother cell (arrow) becomes the division site in the mother cell. Similarly, a wave trough formed in the mother cell (arrow) becomes the division site in the daughter cell. The kymograph represents 234 trios of related cells (grandmother-mother-daughter) from 18 unrelated cell lineages. b, Distribution of generations from wave trough formation to cell cleavage. The wave trough where division occurs in the daughter cell (generation 0) is usually formed in the mother cell (generation 1), grandmother cell (generation 2) or great-grandmother cell (generation 3). *n* = 82 cells. c, Distribution of time intervals from wave trough formation to cell cleavage. *n* = 82 cells.
ParB chromosome-partitioning protein. Consistent with recent studies, we found that ΔparB cells frequently undergo asymmetric cell divisions (Fig. 4a) within off-centre wave troughs (Fig. 4b,c and Supplementary Fig. 14). Divisions occurring at off-centre wave troughs are skewed towards the old or new cell pole (15% or 25%, respectively), with the remainder of divisions (60%) occurring at the centre-most wave trough. Divisions in ΔparB cells often occur in newly formed wave troughs (Supplementary Fig. 15).

Time-lapse fluorescence microscopy revealed that midcell divisions in ΔparB cells are associated with normal chromosome partitioning (Fig. 4d). We never observed divisions occurring at a local DNA maximum (Supplementary Figs 16 and 17). These results suggest that chromosomes might play a negative regulatory role in determining which wave trough is selected as the division site. Cells treated with the DNA gyrase inhibitor ciprofloxacin form elongated filaments with multiple wave troughs (Fig. 4e, first time point). Appearance of a pre-cleavage furrow in a filamented cell corresponds spatially to a local DNA minimum (Fig. 4e, arrows; Supplementary Fig. 6b and 6c).

Previous studies using AFM, electron cryotomography, or scanning electron microscopy identified a variety of bacterial surface features associated with initiation or completion of cell division. To the best of our knowledge, inherited morphological features associated with division site selection have not been identified until now. Although the well-characterized Min and Noc systems serve as negative regulators of FtsZ ring formation in evolutionarily divergent bacteria, emerging evidence suggests that these systems might not be responsible for initial specification of the division site per se. Rather, these mechanisms may function at later steps to help ensure that the FtsZ ring forms only at an appropriate place (distant from the cell poles and membrane-tethered DNA) and at the correct time relative to nucleoid segregation. What, then, are the mechanisms responsible for specifying the future division site? In Streptococcus pneumoniae, the MapZ protein localizes as a circumferential band at midcell and sets the orientation of the FtsZ ring. However, most bacteria, including mycobacteria, do not encode a MapZ homologue.

We show here that mycobacterial cell division occurs within wave troughs on the undulating cell surface. Various bacterial proteins are known to localize to negative or positive membrane curvatures. Mycobacterial proteins that target curved membranes within wave troughs might serve as beacons for FtsZ ring assembly, while proteins with preferential affinity for wave crests might serve to repress division. Alternatively, peptidoglycan architecture might direct the formation of surface undulations and mark wave troughs as future division sites. In spirochetes, peptidoglycan crosslinking has been reported to impact cell shape and to direct the division machinery to an inherited zone of active peptidoglycan synthesis.

Although mycobacterial wave troughs are preferred sites for cell division, chromosomes also seem to play a negative regulatory role in division site selection. Unlike wild-type cells, which always divide within a centre-most wave trough, strains with defects in chromosome partitioning divide asymmetrically at an off-centre wave trough when unpartitioned chromosomes are retained in the distal cell half. These observations suggest that mycobacteria might possess a mechanism analogous but not homologous to the Noc system to prevent cell division over unsegregated chromosomes. Like Noc, this mechanism might serve as a 'failsafe' when chromosome replication or partitioning is severely impaired, as in ParB-deficient cells. In wild-type mycobacteria, nascent septa have been observed to form over chromosomes that are still in the process of segregating, and assembly of FtsZ rings over chromosomes has been observed in E. coli with diffuse nucleoids. These events are likely to be accompanied by cytokinesis.
such factors to a point much earlier in time than FtsZ ring formation, which is currently the earliest known event in division site selection in rod-shaped bacteria.

**Methods**

**Bacteria.** *Mycobacterium smegmatis* mc²155 (wild-type) and derivative strains were grown in Middlebrook 7H9 liquid medium (Difco) supplemented with 0.5% albumin, 0.2% glucose, 0.085% NaCl, 0.5% glycerol and 0.05% Tween-80. Cultures were grown at 37 °C to mid-exponential phase (optical density at 600 nm (OD₆₀₀) of ~0.5). Aliquots were stored in 15% glycerol at −80 °C and thawed at room temperature before use. The ΔparB strain with an unmarked in-frame deletion of the

**Figure 4 | Asymmetric divisions occur at off-centre wave troughs.** a. Distribution of division site selection in wild-type cells (black histogram) (n = 520) and ΔparB cells (blue histogram) (n = 278). b. Kymograph of cell height of a representative ΔparB cell from birth to division, showing asymmetric division within an off-centre wave trough (n = 27). c. Averaged surface height around future sites of off-centre divisions (n = 27). White line: average height within a range ±1 μm in each direction of the cleavage site. Blue background: variation (25th and 75th percentiles) in surface height. d. Representative graphs depicting the distribution of DNA along the cell length of ΔparB cells at 30, 15 and 0 min before cytokinesis. Top: examples of symmetric nucleoid partitioning (n = 18). Bottom: examples of asymmetric nucleoid partitioning leading to the formation of an anucleate new-pole daughter cell (n = 48). Dashed lines correspond to future division sites, which often correspond to local minima of chromosomal DNA. e. AFM (upper) and fluorescence (middle) images of a representative wild-type cell before (~60 and ~30 min) and after (~15 min) release from a ciprofloxacin block. Longitudinal height profiles (black lines) stacked on top of DNA profiles (green plots) of the same cell show that the future division site (arrows) occurs at a local DNA minimum within a wave trough (grey shaded circle). AFM images are a three-dimensional representations of the height with the peak force error (PFE) signal overlaid as a skin. The PFE signal scale bar is expressed in nN. Scales bar (AFM images), 3 μm. Image sequence is representative of n = 7.
pΔrB gene has been described previously. The ΔtB-integrating plasmid expressing a Wags1-GFP fusion protein has also been described previously.

The Mycobacterium smegmatis RifA conditional knockdown strain was cultured and manipulated as described previously. Wild-type cells were filamented with 500 ng ml\(^{-1}\) ciprofloxacin (Sigma) or 50 µg ml\(^{-1}\) mitomycin C (Sigma).

FtsZ-GFP reporter. The open reading frame (ORF) encoding M. smegmatis FtsZ was PCR-amplified using primers MsMsf5-F (ggtgagttcttcctgatcactgaaataaag) and MsMsf5-R (ggagttcttcctgatcactgaaataaag) with the KpnI restriction sites in the 5' and 3' ends, respectively. The underlined sequence in primer MsMsf5-F represents the linker sequence encoding the his tag.

Microscopy. For time-lapse fluorescence microscopy, bacteria were grown to mid-exponential phase (OD\(_{595}\), 0.5) in 7H9 liquid medium, collected by centrifugation (2,400 g, 5 min) and concentrated 30-fold in fresh 7H9 medium (37°C) and passed through a polycarbonate filter (Millipore) to remove clumps. The decapped bacteria were spread on a glass coverslip with a semi-permeable membrane and cultured in a custom-made microfluidic cell device (Device X) with a continuous flow of 7H9 medium (37°C) at a flow rate of 140 µl min\(^{-1}\) (120 nM final) in the flow medium. Bacteria were imaged at 15 min intervals with a DeltaVision personal DV microscope (Applied Precision) equipped with a ×100 oil-immersion objective and an environmental chamber maintained at 37°C (ref. 2). Images were recorded on phase-contrast and fluorescence channels (475/28 nm excitation and 525/48 nm emission filters for FITC; 575/25 nm excitation and 632/22 nm emission filters for CY3) with a CoolSnap HQ2 camera.

AFM. Coverslips were prepared by mixing polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) (Sylgard 184, Dow Corning) at a ratio of 1:5:1 (elastomer:curing agent). Air bubbles in the mixture were removed under negative pressure for 15 min. The PDMS mixture was dropped onto a 22 mm glass coverslip (VWR) and spin-coated at 8,000 r.p.m. (SUSS MicroTec LabSpin2) for 30 s. PDMS-covered coverslips were baked at 80°C for 10 min before use. A 3 µl aliquot of mid-exponential phase cell culture was filtered through a 0.5 µm pore size PVDF filter (Millipore) to remove cell clumps and concentrated 30-fold in fresh 7H9 medium (37°C) collected by a 0.5 mm pore size PVDF filter (Millipore) to remove clumps. Where indicated, isoniazid (Sigma) was added to the flow of 7H9 medium at a flow rate of 140 µl min\(^{-1}\) (120 nM final) in the flow medium. Bacteria were imaged by a Peak Force QNM with a Nanoscope 5 controller (Veeco Metrology) at a scan rate of 0.5 Hz and a maximum Z-range of 5 µm. A ScanAsyst fluid cantilever (Bruker) was used. Continuous scanning provided snapshots at 10 min intervals. Height, peak force error, adhesion, dissipation, deformation, DMI modulus and log DMI modulus were recorded for all scanned images. The peak force error yields a fine representation of the height on the order of 10 nm in the 2D axis; this is computed as the difference between the peak force setpoint and the actual value. Images were processed using a custom-made MATLAB program or Gwyddion (Department of Nanotechnology, Czech Technology Institute, Image) was used for extracting bacterial cell profiles in a tabular form. MATLAB scripts were developed for automated analysis of experimental data sets and generating graphical representations of data.

Correlated fluorescence and AFM. Correlated fluorescence and AFM images were acquired as described in ref. 4. Briefly, fluorescence images were acquired with an electron-multiplying charge-coupled device (EMCCD) iXon Ultra 897 camera (Andor). An inverted optical microscope (Olympus) equipped with an AUPON100XOTXIF ×100 oil immersion objective (Olympus) with the ×25 magnifier in place. Illumination was provided by an MLC (monochromatic laser combiner, Agilent) using the 488 or 561 nm laser output coupled to an optical fibre with appropriate filter sets: F56-526 for GFP and F72-866 for FM4-64 (AHS Analytech). For membrane staining, 0.2 µl ml\(^{-1}\) FM4-64 or 15 µg ml\(^{-1}\) FM1-43 was used. Microfluidic culture conditions were performed on a LabChip GXII (PerkinElmer) with a custom-made coverslip holder controlling a TC2-80-150 temperature controller (Bioscience Tools).

Cell measurements. Cell growth measurements. Cell length was measured as the sum of short linear segments tracking along the midline of individual cells. Cell lengths at birth \((L_0)\) and division \((L_{1/2})\) were defined as distances between the division position \((t_d)\) and the cell length at a later time \(t_d\). Cell volume was calculated as the sum of the cylindrical volume of each incremental pixel along the midline of the cell using the height as the diameter. Volumes at birth \((V_0)\) and division \((V_{1/2})\) were defined for each cell. The velocity of volume change averaged over the lifetime of the cell was defined as \((V_t - V_0)/V_0\). The rate of volume change averaged over the lifetime of the cell was defined as \((V_t - V_0)/t_d\). The rate of volume change averaged over a specific time interval was defined as \((V_t' - V_{t'})/(t_d - t_d')\), where \(V_t\) is the initial cell length at time \(t_d\) and \(V_{t'}\) is the cell length at a later time \(t_d\). Cell profiles were traced along the ridgeline, defined as the highest point in the lateral axis following the length of the cell.

Identifying wave troughs. The longitudinal midline along the length of the cell was extracted manually from the AFM height images for each individual cell at each time point. To reduce the possibility of misidentifying small fluctuations between adjacent height values as waveform undulations, we applied a moving average filter with an averaging window of 100–200 nm. This smoothing treatment of the height profiles did not affect the interpretation of our data, because the distances between undulations are an order of magnitude greater than the smoothing window. The cell profile was flattened by conducting a second-order polynomial fit of the height profile with a curvature of \(10^{-4}\) nm \(^{-1}\). The second-order polynomial fit and exhibiting opposing slopes on either side are local minima. The second-order polynomial localizes the wave trough position to less than 100 nm of the centre of a wave trough. The points localized above the curve and exhibiting opposing slopes on either side are local maxima. Wave troughs were annotated in at least two successive time points within a certain spatial range corresponding to the relative increase in cell length over the observed time period (see MATLAB code in file: Cell Physiology_Analysis, lines 175–179, in the Supplementary Section ‘Flatten the cell length’).

Identifying the central wave trough. The wave trough closest to the cell centre throughout the life of the cell is defined as the central wave trough. Calculating the average cell surface shape at the site of cell division. Average dimensions of the central wave trough were calculated over the interdivision time (birth to cell cleavage) by averaging the flattened surface height within a range of 1 µm to the left and right of the division position (see MATLAB code in file: TroughProfile_Morphology). Data were collected at 197 distinct time points throughout the interdivision times of all untreated wild-type cells. For ease of graphical representation, data from time points were binned into 15 groups. Binned data were graphed in a three-dimensional surface plot.

Plotting DNA distributions and identifying anucleate daughter cells. SYTO 17-stained cells were imaged by fluorescence time-lapse microscopy and dual AFM-optical microscopy. Cell profiles were obtained by tracing longitudinal lines along the midlines of the cells. In \(\Delta pArB\) cells, highly asymmetric divisions may lead to the formation of anucleate daughter cells, which were identified as cells with very low or absent DNA signals that cease to grow and divide after birth.

Data availability. Raw experimental data are available at https://figshare.com/s/e1e10e3695ca9d0b902295. MATLAB scripts are accessible at https://figshare.com/s/3d42a95a892c641972b.
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