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Morphology stabilization strategies for small-molecule bulk heterojunction photovoltaics

Aiman Rahmanudin, Xavier A. Jeanbourquin, Simon Häni, Arvindh Sekar, Emilie Ripaud, Liang Yao, and Kevin Sivula*

The greater crystallinity of solution-processed small-molecule organic semiconductors, compared to their polymer counterparts, renders the bulk heterojunction (BHJ) more susceptible to phase separation under thermal stress, decreasing device performance. Here we demonstrate and compare strategies to stabilize the donor:acceptor BHJ in DPP(TBu3):PC61BM solar cells using molecular additives designed to either afford compatibilization (CP) of the bulk heterojunction, or to in-situ link (ISL) the components using a functional azide group. Both additives were found to stop phase segregation of the BHJ under thermal stress. At 5 wt% loading the ISL additive prevents phase segregation, while altering the azide reaction mechanism by using UV-induced linking versus thermal induced linking was found to significantly affect the device performance. Including 5 wt% of the CP additive slowed phase segregation and devices retained 80 % of their optimum performance after 3000 min of thermal treatment at 110 °C (compared to 50% with the control). The CP additive at 10 wt% changed drastically the kinetics of phase segregation leading to devices with no decrease in performance over 3000 min thermal treatment. Thin film morphology characterization together with photoluminescence and impedance spectroscopy give further insight into the performance differences between the additives. These results reinforce the conclusion that the compatibilization method is the most promising strategy to engineer highly-efficient thermally-stable organic photovoltaics based on solution-processed small molecules.

Introduction

The development of organic photovoltaics (OPV) using solution-processable molecular semiconductors has seen rapid progress in recent years with solar power conversion efficiencies (PCE) now reaching 10%.1-4 Small-molecule semiconductors, in particular, have recently received significant attention given their advantages over their polymeric counterparts including a reduction of preparation complexity, structural homogeneity, and potentially lower cost.5, 6 However, the stability of small molecule based OPVs still requires improvement for practical application.7 While general OPV device degradation factors such as diffusion of electrode and buffer layers, reactions with oxygen and water, irradiation damage, and mechanical stress have been addressed extensively by engineering approaches,8-12 a key remaining issue is the intrinsic morphological instability of the bulk heterojunction (BHJ) active layer—which is a metastable blend of disparate donor and acceptor species.13-16

The relatively high crystallinity of small-molecule BHJs5, 16-18 drives donor-acceptor phase segregation, in some cases even at room temperature,19, 20 which reduces the interfacial area for free charge generation and thus lowers the device performance.21-23 In polymer-based BHJs three main approaches have been used to address morphological stability: 1) reducing crystallinity of one of the components via side-chain engineering,24 2) the in-situ cross-linking of polymer chains using functional groups (e.g. oxetane, bromo, vinyl, or azide) incorporated on the solubilizing alkyl side chains,25-28 and 3) including an additive to reduce the phase segregation.29, 30 A common additive strategy is to covalently link the donor and acceptor components into a compatibilizer (e.g. a block co-polymer), which is included in the active layer during device fabrication.31-36 Donor-acceptor block-copolymers can be envisioned as a single active component for truly thermodynamically stable polymer BHJs.37-40 Despite the interest in developing strategies for stabilization of polymer-based devices, few reports have addressed morphological stability in small-molecule BHJs even though this is reasonably a greater challenge, due to the relatively high crystallinity in small molecule semiconductors and the absence of polymer entanglement, which leads to negligible mixing between the donor and acceptor.41 Reducing the crystallinity of one of the components by side chain engineering,42 or by
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including additives such as dimers or polymers of linked small molecules has shown some success in slowing the kinetics of phase segregation. However, the more effective cross-linking or compatibilization methods have not been carefully explored for small-molecule BHJ systems. Indeed, it is not clear if the in-situ linking of components in a “cross-linking” approach will be effective in a small molecule BHJ without polymer chains to be linked. Moreover, given the higher crystallinity of small molecule BHJs it is not clear if either approaches can be effective at preventing phase segregation under extended thermal stress without including a large fraction of linking/compatibilizing additives that may affect the electronic properties of the device. Herein, we examine these questions with a well-known small-molecule BHJ system based on the donor coded DPP(TBFu) and the acceptor PC₆₁BM, which is used to demonstrate and compare the effectiveness of these two distinct approaches to stabilize the BHJ and improve the device performance under accelerated thermal stress.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The structures of molecules used in this work are shown in Figure 1. The primary BHJ components DPP(TBFu)₂ (donor) and PC₆₁BM (acceptor) have previously been established as a common small-molecule system. To demonstrate the in-situ linking approach with this system, an azide functionalized donor component additive, coded as N₃-ISL, was prepared. The azide group is known to undergo either a thermal or photo-induced nitrene insertion reaction, which has previously been used to crosslink conjugated polymers. To demonstrate the compatibilizer approach with the primary BHJ components, a linked version of the donor and acceptor unit was prepared and coded as the compatibilizing additive (CP). Full synthesis and basic characterization of the N₃-ISL and CP compounds are given in the supporting information. Briefly, the CP additive was strategically synthesized using a mild room temperature condensation reaction between a primary amine functionalized DPP(TBFu)₂ and [6,6]-phenyl-C₆₁-butyric acid (PC₆₁BA), while N₃-ISL was synthesized via alkylation of 6-(azido)hexyl-bromide on a mono-alkylated DPP(Thiophene) core, before the Suzuki coupling with a borylated benzofuran unit.

The UV-vis absorption spectra of all four molecules from Figure 1 is shown in Figure S1, Supporting Information (SI). As expected, the spectrum of CP consists of the absorption of the DPP(TBFu)₂ and PC₆₁BM, while N₃-ISL does not differ significantly from the parent donor component suggesting that the optoelectronic properties of the conjugated core are not severely affected. However a slight difference in the shapes of the main absorption peak in spectra of the N₃-ISL and CP molecules when cast into thin films suggest that the solid state packing is slightly altered compared to the parent DPP(TBFu)₂. Indeed, differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) of CP showed no obvious phase transitions during its 1st and 2nd heating-cooling cycle (See Figure S2, SI), suggesting that the CP is not strongly crystalline in solid-state, in contrast to the primary components, which both exhibit melting transitions characteristic of a semi-crystalline morphology in the solid state. On the other hand, the DSC of N₃-ISL suggests that the terminal azide undergoes both photo and thermal activation of the nitrene insertion reaction. This is shown by the suppression of phase transitions in an UV-treated (254 nm illumination, exposure for 10 mins) sample of N₃-ISL, whereas non UV-treated N₃-ISL showed a series of exothermic and subsequent endothermic transitions peaks during its 1st heating cycle indicative of the thermal activation of the terminal azide and upon cooling no obvious exotherms were observed. FT-IR spectroscopy also showed a reduction in the intensity of the azide stretch at approximately 2090 cm⁻¹ as UV exposure time is increased (See Figure S3a, SI). Further analysis of the formation of photo- and thermal- reaction products upon addition of N₃-ISL to a blend of DPP(TBFu)₂ and PC₆₁BM was performed using Gel-Permeation-Chromatography (GPC) and mass spectroscopy (MALDI-TOF MS) (See SI, Figures S3-S5 for full details including experimental procedure and discussion). Briefly, GPC data indicate the presence high molecular weight species after UV and thermal treatments. Moreover, MALDI-TOF MS analysis (See Figure S4 SI) provides evidence of the unselective-nitrene insertion reaction towards both primary blend components by random photo- and thermally-linking the azide group onto the alkyl chains and conjugated backbone of the primary components used in the BHJ matrix (See Figure S5 SI), along with the photo-dimerization of PC₆₁BM under UV illumination. Importantly the molecular weight of the linked species estimated by GPC matches well with that observed by MS. Overall these results confirm that the N₃-ISL can link with both primary BHJ components under UV or thermal treatment. However, we note that the quantification of the extent of azide reaction is complicated by the absence of data on the molar absorptivity of the linked species (as UV-vis detection was used in GPC).

To test the performance of the additive molecules in their ability to stabilize the morphology of BHJs, standard ITO/PEDOT:PSS/BHJ/Al solar cell devices were prepared with a BHJ of DPP(TBFu)₂:PC₆₁BM at a ratio of 6:4 by weight, similar to previously reported conditions. The loading of the CP and N₃-ISL additives in the BHJ matrix were varied (while maintaining the 6:4 ratio of donor:acceptor) and the effect of a UV pretreatment (10 min) to induce the in-situ linking reaction in as-cast BHJs with included N₃-ISL was also investigated in...
comparison to using thermal treatment only to induce linking. All as-cast devices (spun coat BHJ with top electrode deposited) were subject to thermal stress at 110°C over a period of 3000 min (50 h) to drive the crystallization and phase segregation of the primary components, and the current density-voltage (J-V) characteristics were measured periodically under standard 1 sun illumination upon cooling the devices to 20°C. The power conversion efficiency (PCE) as a function of the thermal treatment time is shown in Figure 2a-c, with respect to the included additive (CP additive, N3-ISL + UV treatment, and N3-ISL no UV). The J-V curve at the time point corresponding to the highest PCE for each additive condition is shown in Figure 2d-f. Full device performance metrics are also included in the SI (Table S1). The control device (0 wt% additive loading, red traces in Figure 2a,d) achieved its highest PCE of 3.0% after 10 minutes of thermal treatment. The observed performance increase from the as-cast state is expected due to the initial stages of crystallization, which results in an optimum degree of BHJ phase segregation, and has been previously reported under similar conditions. Subsequent thermal treatment drives further phase separation and reduces the performance of the device to 1.6% PCE after 3000 min (50 h).

With respect to the compatibilizing linker, adding 1 wt% of CP to the BHJ slightly improved the best PCE to 3.5% (10 min thermal treatment) attributed to an increase in short circuit current density (JSC) and fill factor (FF). A similar increase to 3.3% PCE was also observed at 5 wt% of CP loading, but interestingly a longer thermal treatment (60 min) was required to reach this maximum performance. Moreover, both the 1 and 5 wt% devices showed less decrease in performance over the testing period compared to the control device remaining at 2.4 and 2.7 % PCE, respectively after 3000 min. In contrast, increasing the CP loading to 10 wt% gave a significantly different device behavior. A gradual increase of the PCE was observed as a function of time under thermal stress, saturating at about 2% PCE after 180 min and remaining stable over the rest of the testing period. The lower optimum PCE stems from a significant reduction in JSC and a reduction of the open circuit voltage (VOC) compared to the control device, as seen on the J-V curve Figure 2d.

Regarding the N3-ISL additive, firstly we established that a UV-treated control device (treated under UV without any added N3-ISL, orange curves in Figure 2b,e) showed a maximum JSC of 7.2 mA cm⁻² compared to 8.0 mA cm⁻² for the control device without UV exposure, and only a slight change in PCE, suggesting that 10 min of UV exposure did not severely affect device performance (however we note that a 30 min UV treatment did decrease device performance substantially, see Figure S6 SI). While qualitatively similar behavior to the CP additive was observed when adding N3-ISL to the BHJ, significant differences in the device performance with UV treatment (Figures 2b and e) and without (thermal linking only, Figures 2c and f) are evident. With 1 wt% of the N3-ISL additive, no significant change in the optimum device performance was seen regardless of the use of the UV or thermal linking treatment. The maximum PCE was about 3 % after 10 min at 110 °C and a decrease of device performance was observed as the thermal treatment time increased. Notably the 1 wt% devices do seemingly stabilize the BHJ. Indeed, the performance after 3000 min at 110 °C was higher in both cases (with and without UV) compared to the control devices. As the additive loading increased further, the device performance changed significantly.

![Fig.2](image-url) Photovoltaic device performance with respect to the thermal stress at 110°C. Panels (a-c) show the power conversion efficiency (PCE) of OPVs based on a 6:4 DPP(TBFu)2:PC61BM BHJ with (a) the CP additive (b) the N3-ISL with 10 min UV treatment and (c) N3-ISL without UV treatment. The loading of the additives are indicated in each case. Panels (d-f) show the J-V curves of devices with the BHJ blends using the respective additives at the specified annealing time (given in parentheses) to obtain its maximum PCE.
Similar to the CP additive, devices at 5 and 10 wt% N$_3$-ISL required a longer time at 110°C to reach the maximum PCE compared to the control devices. Moreover, at both 5 and 10 wt% of the N$_3$-ISL additive, a stable PCE with respect to thermal treatment time was achieved after ca. 120 min (with or without UV treatment). However, a larger decrease in maximum device performance was observed when UV treatment was used, reaching a PCE of only 1% (5 wt%) and 0.4% (10 wt%), while a better maximum performance of 2.4% (5 wt%) and 1.5% (10 wt%) was recorded for non-UV cured devices. For the case of the UV treated devices, the lower performance was attributed to a decrease in the $J_{SC}$, FF, and $V_{OC}$ compared to control devices (Figure 2e), while interestingly, without UV treatment the difference was mainly due a decreased $J_{SC}$ only (Figure 2f).

These results strongly suggest a different functioning of the N$_3$-ISL additive with or without the UV treatment, which will be discussed later.

While it is clear that both the additives can improve the device stability under thermal stress, differences in the optimum additive loading and the maximum PCE obtained suggest dissimilarity in the evolution of the BHJ morphology with respect to the additive used. Analyzing the topography of the BHJ thin films by atomic force microscopy (AFM) was next performed to provide insight into morphological differences. Figure 3 displays the topography of the 6:4 donor:acceptor BHJs after thermal treatment at 110°C for 3000 min (main images) compared to the as-cast state (image insets) for 0, 1, and 10 wt% of the CP additive and 0, 1 and 10 wt% of the N$_3$-ISL additive with a 10 min UV treatment applied before the thermal treatment.

A relatively featureless morphology was observed in as-cast thin films across all additive loadings, but upon heating the BHJs at 110°C for 3000 min clear differences were observed. Without additive and without UV treatment (Figure 3a), the morphology exhibited the expected haystack morphology with needle-like features corresponding to crystalline domains of the components. It has been established that the driving force for phase segregation in this BHJ is the crystallization of DPP(TBFu)$_2$, which is reported to occur at temperatures as low as the cold crystallization temperature of approximately 70°C. The morphology of the BHJ with 1 wt% of the CP additive (Figure 3b) was significantly less rough than the control after the thermal treatment, but crystalline domains of a similar size as in the control can still be observed, suggesting a degree of phase segregation similar to the control, which is consistent with the evolution of the PCE seen at this condition. In contrast, at 10 wt% CP loading (Figure 3c) micron-sized needle-like features are completely suppressed after the thermal treatment. However, a slight coarsening of the grains from the as-cast condition can be seen, suggesting an increase in crystallinity during the 3000 min thermal treatment, consistent with the gradual increase in the PCE.

With respect to the N$_3$-ISL additive we first note that the phase-segregated morphology containing needle-like features was also observed for the UV-treated BHJ without additive (Figure 3d) but with smaller-sized crystalline domains, suggesting that the UV treatment alone has an effect on the nucleation and growth of the crystalline domains. Upon loading N$_3$-ISL at even 1 wt% the formation of these needle-like domains was clearly suppressed. The resulting BHJ after thermal stress appeared with a larger roughness when using 1 wt% N$_3$-ISL (Figure 3e) compared to 10 wt% (Figure 3f), which suggests a different evolution of the phase separation consistent with the OPV results.
The topography results together with the device performance suggest that the additives have an effect on the crystallinity of the BHJ. To confirm this, DSC was performed on 6:4 blends of DPP(TBFu)2:PC61BM with varying amounts of the additives. The first heating scan of the as-cast blends is shown in Figure 4a. While a melting transition of the crystalized DPP(TBFu)2 in the BHJ is seen to onset at ca. 190°C, this transition was suppressed with the addition of 10 wt% of either additive, reducing the enthalpy of the transition by about half with N3-ISL and by a factor of three with the CP additive (See Table S2, SI). Increasing the amount of the CP additive (to 50 wt%) led to an almost complete suppression of the melting transition, however about 20% of the original melting enthalpy remained when 50 wt% of the N3-ISL additive was used with a 10 min UV treatment. This suggests that the CP additive exhibits a qualitatively stronger ability to disrupt the crystallinity of the DPP(TBFu)2 compared to the N3-ISL additive, consistent with the rougher morphology observed after thermal stress with the N3-ISL at 10 wt% loading compared to 10 wt% CP (as seen in Figure 2). However, the presence of large crystalline domains in the thermally stressed 1 wt% CP BHJ film morphology, but the absence of these domains in the 1 wt% N3-ISL film suggests that while the CP may disrupt the π-π stacking of the DPP(TBFu)2 to a greater degree, it does not prevent phase segregation as effectively as the N3-ISL linker. This is emphasized in the OPV results where 5 wt% of the CP has a less drastic effect on the evolution of the PCE compared to 5 wt% of N3-ISL.

Despite the differences in the additive behavior, overall the DSC results suggest that crystallization of the BHJ components can be suppressed even under extreme thermal treatment, to further demonstrate this aspect, thin films were annealed at 240°C (above the melting transition of DPP(TBFu)2) and slowly cooled, in order to strongly drive phase segregation. Optical microscope images of the films are shown in Figure S7, SI. As expected, while the control film without additives crystallized with large (>5µm) domains (slightly smaller, ~2 µm, for UV treated films without additive), the films with 10 wt% additives showed significantly smaller domains, mirroring the morphology of the films treated at 110°C. This data show, that despite that the melting enthalpy remaining at 10 wt% additive loading, the thin film BHJ morphology can be stabilized even in under extreme conditions.

As it is important to better understand the optoelectronic differences between the two additives, photoluminescence (PL) spectroscopy was next performed on the BHJ films with varying the additive loading. Indeed, the PL intensity is known to be affected by the proximity/size of the donor and acceptor domains, where greater phase segregation leads to more PL emission from the donor upon its excitation. The PL spectra upon excitation at 532 nm show a main peak from the emission of DPP(TBFu)2 around 820 nm (see Figure S8, SI). The relative amount of emission compared to control BHJs without additives is summarized in Figure 4b as a function of the additive loading. While as-cast BHJs with added N3-ISL only show a slight decline in the PL spectra, implying that this additive does not significantly alter the as-cast BHJ morphology, the CP additive has a drastic effect on the PL of the as-cast BHJ film at just 1 wt% loading, giving only 35% of the emission compared to the control, consistent with the formation of a more mixed donor:acceptor BHJ after spin casting from solvent. After thermally treating the film at 110°C for 1 h, the 1 wt% CP BHJ decreased in PL intensity to 50% (relative to the control) consistent with the difference in phase segregation observed in the AFM topography. Higher loadings of CP gave lower PL after annealing consistent with the suppression of phase separation. Interestingly, after annealing the BHJs with the N3-ISL additive a greater relative drop in the PL is seen in the UV treated films compared to BHJs with N3-ISL but without UV pretreatment. This further indicates a different behavior between the thermal linking and the UV linking approaches.

For a final comparison of the additives, we performed impedance spectroscopy (IS) on thermally treated (110°C, 2 h) devices, under illumination and at open circuit. Nyquist plots are shown in Figure 4c. The control device exhibited a single RC process, which has been previously ascribed to BHJ recombination under these conditions. A device containing 5 wt% of CP (and which exhibited higher PCE compared to the control device) gave a similar behavior in IS, with a lower recombination resistance, consistent with a more intermixed phase (as compared to the reference device) where more free charges are generated. In stark contrast, the 5 wt% N3-ISL device that underwent UV pretreatment exhibited an additional semicircle in the Nyquist plot at low frequency with a considerably higher associated resistivity. While this may be expected due to the poor PCE of this device compared to the
control, notably we found that a device with 5 wt% N3-ISL that did not undergo the UV treatment (and that had a similar PCE to the control device) exhibited a similarly-large RC process as shown in Figure 4c. The presence of this large second semicircle can be attributed to trap state processes within the active layer, which is reasonable given the confirmed presence of randomly linked species formed by the reaction of the azide on N2-ISL (by MALDI-TOF MS, Figure S4). However, the seemingly similar IS behavior of the 5 wt% N2-ISL devices with and without the UV treatment contrasts the very different behavior that these two conditions give with respect to device PCE and the PL. A reasonable explanation for this difference is as follows: In both the thermal and UV linking approaches the azide linker reacts randomly forming linked BHJ components that retard phase segregation and in both cases introduce the presence of trapping states in the BHJ which reduce the device performance. (Indeed we note that previous work on using random BHJ crosslinking methods on polymer-based OPVs have pointed out that the reactions may provoke the breaking of the conjugated polymer backbone, disrupt charge transport, and reduce performance).26, 27, 49, 57,58 However since the UV activated linking clearly leads to a poorer performance the nature of the linking points or the linked species is reasonably somehow different. In fact careful analysis of the GPC and MALDI-TOF MS data between the UV linking and thermal linking approaches (Figures S3 and S4, SI), do suggest clear differences. Firstly, the presence of dimerized PC61BM (see structure Figure S5, SI) under UV treatment and not under thermal conditions is observed by MS. While breaking of the conjugated fullerene unit is known to cause the formation of traps50, 61, the presence of dimerized fullerene alone does not fully explain why the UV treatment suffers a poorer performance, as the control device (without N3-ISL but with 10 min UV treatment) performed similar to the control device without UV. However, it has been suggested that UV excitation of azide derivatives results in an alternative, highly reactive reaction pathway of the nitrone insertion linking as compared to thermal activation (which has been characterized as more selective and milder).49,62 Indeed the GPC results (Figure S3) show a higher concentration of linked products in the UV treated films compared to the thermally treated films. Thus we hypothesize that UV excitation causes the formation of important deep traps at a faster rate in the presence of the N3-ISL additive. These deep traps result in a lower device V_{OC} when using the UV treatment and thus the lower PCE. Moreover, we note further that at low loadings (1 wt%) of the N3-ISL, when the linking reaction is not sufficient to prevent crystallization of the donor and acceptor phases in the BHJ, the few linked species could be excluded via crystallization to the grain boundaries or amorphous regions where they will have less of an effect on the charge transport through the pure phases. In contrast, when the N3-ISL is at a sufficiently high concentration in the BHJ to arrest the phase segregation in the film (about 5 wt%) these charge trapping species cannot be excluded and the PL and device performance drops. Then the different nature of the linked species when including the UV treatment (perhaps more linking on the fullerene), compared to the thermal treatment leads to the drastic difference in performance.

Conclusions

In summary, two additives, a compatibilizer (CP) and an azide functionalized in-situ linker (N3-ISL), were prepared to examine the possibility to stabilize BHJ OPVs prepared from solution-processed crystalline small molecules. The device results show that both additives have the ability to stop the phase segregation of the donor and acceptor in the BHJ when subject to thermal stress for an extended period. While the N3-ISL additive required only 5 wt% loading to arrest phase segregation, altering the azide reaction mechanism by using UV-induced linking versus thermal induced linking gave significantly different performance attributed to a likely difference in reaction products, and UV treated devices performed poorer than thermally linked ones. We note that both compatibilizing and homo-dimer species are produced with N3-ISL, and the specific effect of each of the produced species cannot be separately determined, which is a drawback of this approach. Moreover, the presence of the non-specific linked species in both cases led to increased BHJ charge trapping as shown by PL and IS spectroscopies, and reduced performance compared to the CP additive. Indeed, including 5 wt% of the CP additive retarded the BHJ phase segregation and led to the highest PCE of 2.8 % after 3000 min of thermal treatment at 110 °C. Moreover 10 wt% of the CP additive changed drastically the kinetics of phase segregation leading to devices that saturated in performance at 2 % after 120 min with no decrease for 3000 min (the length of our test). In this case the slower crystallization and phase segregation of the BHJ from the as-cast state may also prove to be an advantage in offering the ability to avoid overshoot in the device annealing. Moreover, the observed control over phase segregation in the melt-annealed films with CP could potentially allow for melt processing of the BHJ, which is currently being investigated in our labs. Overall based on the results presented, employing the CP additives is a preferred strategy to stabilize the bulk heterojunction. Further efforts should be directed toward optimizing the CP strategy for other small-molecule systems to potentially enable solvent-free roll-to-roll processing of highly efficiency and stable OPVs.
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