I. INTRODUCTION
Semiconductor microcavities [1] have proven to be an outstanding platform for fundamental tests on nonequilibrium Bose-Einstein condensation (NBEC) [2,3] in the past decade. These structures are engineered to maximize the light-matter interaction between quantum well excitons and cavity photons leading exciton-polariton quasiparticles in the strong coupling regime. Exciton polaritons can realize the NBEC by efficiently relaxing their energy in contact with the thermal lattice phonon bath under a nonresonant laser driving [4,5]. The ensuing macroscopic ground-state occupation is associated with coherent photoemission resulting in ultralow threshold lasing achievable at room temperature [6–8]. Such NBECs are now routinely produced in multiple groups over the world with an unprecedented control over the system parameters. The first experimental proof of NBEC [4] has been rapidly followed by the demonstration of superfluidity [9] and of a variety of related effects such as phase coherence [10] and the formation of topological defects [11,12]. The two spin projections of polaritons allow the generation of controllable spin currents [13,14] and the formation of a spinor condensate hosting exotic excitations [15,16] in the presence of spin-orbit interaction or artificial gauge fields [17,18].

Experimental studies on exciton polaritons have characterized collective but classical effects, most of which can be accurately simulated via semiclassical approaches governed by driven dissipative Gross-Pitaevskii or Ginzburg-Landau equations [19,20]. The next milestone is the experimental demonstration of purely nonclassical effects in semiconductor microcavities [21], such as the polariton blockade [22], entanglement generation [23], nonclassical statistics [24], or the possibility to simulate quantum optics Hamiltonians [25]. Along this line, a few reports have brought initial evidence [26–29] of a quantum regime, and quantum measurements are still the focus of a number of experimental investigations [30–32]. The main limitation in the quest for nonclassical polariton states under standard driving resides in the dominant classical character of the polariton field, at the field amplitudes required to overcome the noise and dephasing characterizing semiconductor-based structures. Quantum signatures are expected at occupancies about or below unity and are therefore suppressed in typical experimental regimes [27] which do not favor the so-called unconventional blockade mechanism [33]. Moreover the single-particle nonlinearity is typically much smaller than the mode’s linewidth [34], even for strong confinements down to a few micrometers, which forbids the realization of a standard polariton blockade [22].

In this article, we propose a protocol that overcomes these limitations, resulting in strongly sub-Poissonian statistics in the presence of a large polariton field driven resonantly by a classical source. The scheme relies on a classical to quantum transition in a nonlinear medium, where a macroscopically occupied mode is periodically coupled to a weakly occupied state [35,36]. One can therefore take advantage of exciton-polariton Josephson oscillations, already reported twice [37,38] in the NBEC regime. We show in particular that an optimal excitation condition exists, such that the polariton field in each of the two Josephson modes displays a time-periodic nonclassical statistics. We demonstrate that, by appropriately setting the initial population imbalance between the two modes, this periodically sub-Poissonian character can be obtained for a large total number of polaritons, as typically achieved in experiments.

The manuscript is organized as follows: In Sec. II, we discuss the concept of optimal squeezing. We introduce the quantum model in Sec. III. Section IV is devoted to the analytical and numerical results at low occupation. In Sec. V, we apply the protocol specifically to the polaritonic Josephson junction under large excitation. Finally, we propose a discussion on the experimental feasibility in Sec. VI.

II. OPTIMALMELY SQUEEZED STATES
A coherent state $|\alpha\rangle$ of the complex parameter $\alpha = \alpha e^{i\phi}$ is characterized by a Poissonian statistics and therefore a second-order correlation function $g^{(2)}(0) = 1$. For any of such classical states, a quadrature squeezing operation, $S = \exp[i(\xi a^2 - \xi^* a^2)]$, with an optimally chosen value of the squeezing parameter $\xi = r e^{i\theta}$ can suppress intensity fluctuations to achieve $g^{(2)}(0) < 1$ (i.e., sub-Poissonian statistics) [39,40]. Remarkably, this optimal relation between $\xi$ and $\alpha$ exists for arbitrary values of the field amplitude $\alpha$, although $g^{(2)}(0) < 1$ is achieved only in the quantum limit $|\alpha|^2 \ll 1$. The second-order correlation function of such a squeezed-coherent state $|\alpha, \xi\rangle$ is given by [40]

$$g^{(2)}(r) = \frac{p^2 + s^2 + 2\alpha^2 [p - s \cos(\theta - 2\phi)]}{(\alpha^2 + p)^2} + 1, \quad (1)$$

where $p = \sinh^2(r)$ and $s = \cosh(r) \sinh(r)$. In particular, Eq. (1) can be minimized (maximized) for $\theta = 2\phi (\theta = 2\phi + \pi)$...
to favor a sub(super)-Poissonian statistics. However, a non-classical regime, $g^{(2)}(0) < 1$, is guaranteed only when the squeezing magnitude $r$ is adjusted to an optimal value. In particular, for vanishing occupation where $\bar{n} \to 0$, one obtains the simple interrelation $r_{\text{opt}} \approx \bar{n}^2$. In Fig. 1(a), we show the $g^{(2)}(0)$ variation against the angle $\theta - 2\phi$ for $r = r_{\text{opt}}$ and $\bar{n}^2 = 10^{-2}$. In Fig. 1(b) we show the $n$-particle probability distributions $P_n = \langle n | \alpha, \xi \rangle^2$ in the maximally antibunched (red line) and bunched (yellow line) cases compared to the Poissonian reference (blue line). It respectively demonstrates the suppression (sub-Poissonian statistics) or enhancement (super-Poissonian statistics) of the two particles’ probability $P_2$.

The Kerr nonlinearity $\hat{H}_K = U\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{a} \hat{a}$ is a widely spread source of squeezing for an optical field [41,42]. Unfortunately, a single-mode Kerr oscillator driven by a classical source results in the well-defined relation $\xi \approx U\alpha^2$, which does not generally correspond to the optimal condition described above. Therefore, a sizable sub-Poissonian statistics is obtained only in the blockade regime $U \gtrsim \kappa$, where $\kappa$ is the loss rate (linewidth) of the mode. A system of two coupled, nonlinear oscillators on the other hand is determined by a sufficient number of parameters to enable the optimal squeezing condition [40] for an arbitrarily small nonlinearity. The unconventional photon blockade [33,43,44] is an example of such a system, where, however, the total particle occupancy must be kept well below unity and optimal conditions require an accurate tuning of all system parameters. We show in the following that the field oscillations between weakly nonlinear coupled modes allow the optimal squeezing condition to be met periodically in time for a wide range of intrinsic and input parameters.

III. SYSTEM AND MODEL

We consider two coherently coupled cavity modes of resonant frequency $\omega_{1,2}$ embedded in a Kerr medium. The Hamiltonian of such a Bose-Hubbard dimer reads

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{j=1,2} \left[ \hbar \omega_j \hat{a}^\dagger_j \hat{a}_j + U \hat{a}^\dagger_j \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_2 \hat{a}_1 + J \hat{a}^\dagger_1 \hat{a}_2 + \hat{a}^\dagger_2 \hat{a}_1 \right],$$

where $U$ and $J$ are the strengths of the nonlinearity and coherent coupling, respectively. To achieve a better insight, we first study the case of a closed system governed by the Schrödinger equation $i \hbar \partial_t |\psi\rangle = \hat{H} |\psi\rangle$. The wave function is initially prepared in a separable product of coherent states $|\psi_0\rangle = D_1(\alpha_1)D_2(\alpha_2)|0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle = |\alpha_1\rangle \otimes |\alpha_2\rangle$, where $D_j(\alpha_j) = \exp(\alpha_j \hat{a}_j^\dagger - \alpha_j^* \hat{a}_j)$ are displacement operators with coherence parameters $\alpha_j$. Assuming $\alpha_{1,2}$ to be real, $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \omega$, and $U = 0$, the classical dynamics of the amplitudes are found to be

$$A_1(t) = |\alpha_1 \cos(Jt) - i\alpha_2 \sin(Jt)| e^{-i\omega t},$$

$$A_2(t) = |\alpha_2 \cos(Jt) - i\alpha_1 \sin(Jt)| e^{-i\omega t},$$

and the corresponding populations $N_j(t) = |A_j(t)|^2$ describe oscillations of period $T = \pi/2J$ and amplitude $A = |\alpha_1 - \alpha_2|$. In the case where $U \neq 0$ the system displays a much less trivial behavior, which can imply modulated oscillations or self-trapping [38,45]. For a weak nonlinearity and small occupancy, the classical dynamics do not deviate much from the linear solutions (3) and (4), although the Kerr nonlinearity impacts more drastically on the field statistics [41,42].

IV. RESULTS

We focus first on the case of $|\alpha_j| \ll 1$, where a compact analytical formalism can be carried out (see Appendix A). In this regime, the result is well approximated by restricting to the manifold of two field quanta [44] and direct solutions to the Schrödinger equation can be obtained. In the simplest case of maximum initial imbalance, where, e.g., $\alpha_2 = 0$, the populations simplify to $N_1(t) = n_1 \cos^2(Jt)$ and $N_2(t) = n_1 \sin^2(Jt)$, coinciding with the classical solutions (3) and (4).

However the equal-time second-order correlation functions

$$g_1^{(2)}(t,t) = \langle \hat{a}^\dagger_j \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_j \hat{a}^\dagger_j \rangle / N_j^2(t),$$

$$g_2^{(2)}(t,t) = \langle \hat{a}^\dagger_2 \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \hat{a}_1 \rangle / N_1(t) = \langle \hat{a}^\dagger_1 \hat{a}_2 \rangle,$$

present a much less trivial behavior. Indeed, apart from the case of $U = 0$—where obviously $g_2^{(2)}(t,t) = 1$—Eqs. (5) and (6) display modulations for $U \neq 0$ governed by the parameters $J$ and $U$. The field statistics periodically oscillates between sub- and super-Poissonian, in phase opposition to the oscillations of the populations. Interestingly, the correlation $g_1^{(2)}(t,t)$ or $g_2^{(2)}(t,t)$ vanishes periodically at $t_m = k \pi / U$, provided that the condition $J = lU$ or $J = (2l + 1)U$ is met ($k,l \in \mathbb{Z}$) [see Fig. 2(b) for the former case]. Note that under these specific conditions, each minimum in the $g_2^{(2)}(t,t)$ functions coincides with a maximum in the corresponding population $N_1(t)$. The squeezing parameters defining $\xi_j = r_j \exp(i\theta_j)$ [42] are computed as

$$r_j(t) = \left[ |\langle \hat{a}_j^2 \rangle - |\langle \hat{a}_j \rangle|^2 | + |\langle \hat{a}_j \rangle|^2 - |\langle \hat{a}_j^2 \rangle | \right] / 2,$$

$$\theta_j(t) = \arg \left[ |\langle \hat{a}_j^2 \rangle - |\langle \hat{a}_j \rangle|^2 | \right].$$

Under the above optimal condition at $t = t_m = k \pi / U$, we obtain $r_1(t_m) = N_1(t_m)$, as seen in Fig. 2(c), and $\theta_1(t_m) = \pi / 2$. 

FIG. 1. (a) Second-order correlation function $g^{(2)}(0)$ versus $\theta - 2\phi$ for $r = r_{\text{opt}}$ and $\bar{n}^2 = 10^{-2}$. (b) Log-scale probability distributions in the maximally antibunched case or the bunched case compared to the Poissonian reference (see legend).
For a weak coupling to the environment, losses at a rate $\kappa$ can be accounted for simply by replacing $\omega \rightarrow \omega - i\kappa/2$ [44]. Then, the total population $N(t) = N_1(t) + N_2(t)$ exponentially decays with a rate $\kappa$ [see, e.g., Fig. 4(a)], but the correlation functions $g_j^{(2)}(t,t)$ are essentially unaffected. Nevertheless, the ratio $U/\kappa$ becomes an important figure of merit, as it determines the total population left at $t = t_\text{m}$. In the following, we show that by varying the initial imbalance it is possible to shorten the time $t_\text{m}$ when a sizable sub-Poissonian statistics occurs and to have it correspond to a minimum, rather than to a maximum, of the corresponding mode population. As a consequence, a nonclassical statistics will be realized in one mode while the population in the other mode—and thus the total population—is much larger than unity.

Analytical solutions can be obtained for arbitrary system parameters (see Appendix A). However, in order to accurately account for the effect driving and dissipation in a larger occupation limit, we now resort to the numerical solution of the quantum master equation for the system density matrix:

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \hat{\rho}}{\partial t} = [\hat{\mathcal{H}} + \hat{\mathcal{H}}_\text{p}(t),\hat{\rho}] - i\frac{\kappa}{2} \sum_{j=1,2} \hat{\mathcal{D}}[\hat{a}_j] \hat{\rho}. \quad (9)$$

Here, $\hat{\mathcal{D}}[\hat{a}_j] \hat{\rho} = [\hat{a}_j \hat{a}_j \rho, \hat{\rho}] - 2 \hat{a}_j \rho \hat{a}_j$ are Lindblad terms accounting for losses to the environment, and $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_\text{p} = \sum_j [P_j(t) \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_j] + P_\text{p}(t) \hat{a}_j^{\dagger} \hat{a}_j$ are classical driving terms modeling a quasiresonant laser excitation of the modes.

We first study the system dynamics in the case of $\kappa = 0$, by setting at $t = 0$ a variable initial population imbalance, $\zeta_0 = (n_1 - n_2)/(n_1 + n_2)$. Setting the dissipation rate to zero provides insight into the region of parameter space for which strongly nonclassical statistics occurs, thus quantifying the sensitivity of the present scheme to the system parameters. In Fig. 3(a) we show a full map of the lower envelope of the oscillating quantities $g_j^{(2)}(t,t)$ [black curve in Fig. 2(b)], computed as a function of $\zeta_0$ and $t$. The data have a $2\pi/U$ periodicity; hence only the first period is shown. From this

FIG. 2. (a) Evolution of the mode populations for a closed system. (b) Equal-time second-order correlation function $g_j^{(2)}(t,t)$: the black curve characterizes the lower envelope of the oscillating quantities, and the shaded area highlights the nonclassical region. (c) Squeezing magnitudes $r_j(t)$ and (d) relative phases $\cos(\theta_j - 2\phi_j)$ evolution. The optimal condition $r_1 = N_1$ and $\theta_1 = 2\phi_1$ [40] is reached at $t_\text{m} = 2\pi/U_1$. The parameters are $|\alpha_1|^2 = 10^{-2}$, $\alpha_2 = 0$, and $J = 5U$ (to clearly display the oscillations).

$4\pi\omega/U = 2 \arg\langle \hat{a}_1 \rangle = 2\phi_1(t_\text{m})$ as expected for intensity squeezing [39] [see Fig. 2(d)]. Note that while the sub-Poissonian windows are always associated with $\cos(\theta_j - 2\phi_j) > 0$, bunching occurs for arbitrary values of the relative phases due to the nontrivial evolution of the squeezing magnitude $r_j(t)$. In summary, we have shown here that the optimal squeezing condition [40] can be exactly met, in a periodic fashion, when an appropriate condition links the system parameters.

FIG. 3. Map of the lower envelopes of the $g_j^{(2)}(t,t)$ functions [see black curve in Fig. 2(b)] for variable initial population imbalance $\zeta_0$ (a) for a nondissipative case with $\kappa = 0$, $J = SU$, and $|\alpha_1|^2 = 10^{-3}$ and (b) for the driven dissipative case under pulsed excitation with parameters $\sigma_1 = 0.1t$, $t_0 = \sigma_1$, and $|p_1/\kappa|^2 = 10^{-1}$. The other parameters in panel (b) are $U = 2\pi \times 10^{-2}\kappa$ and $J = \pi \kappa$, while $\Delta_{1,2} = 0$ for the data in both panels.
plot it clearly appears that a sizable sub-Poissonian statistics is reached for a wide range of values of \( U \) and \( z_0 \) at fixed \( J \) and for sizable time windows, thus highlighting the flexibility of the scheme in terms of input parameters.

When losses are taken into account by setting \( \kappa \neq 0 \), the map in Fig. 3(a) is scarcely affected. The main impact is to slightly shift the \( g^{(2)}_j(t,t) \) minima upwards due to the mixed nature of the states [40]. However as discussed above, the time \( t_\text{m} \) to maximize the sub-Poissonian character becomes a crucial quantity from an experimental point of view. Indeed to observe the nonclassical signature one has to favor a situation where it occurs at short times before the signal-to-noise ratio becomes too small [31,46]. The most favorable situation is found for a large yet imperfect imbalance, i.e., \( 0 \ll z_0 < 1 \). To illustrate this case, we simulate the system under driven-dissipative conditions. The initial state is a vacuum and the system is driven by Gaussian pulses defined by \( P_{1,2}(t) = P_{1,2} \exp[-(t-t_0)^2/\sigma_0^2] \). Equations are solved in the frame rotating at the laser frequency \( \omega_P \), requiring the substitution \( \omega \to \omega_j - \omega_P := \Delta_j \) in Eq. (2). The initial population imbalance is set by varying the relative driving strength between the modes. Figure 3(b) shows the value of the lower envelope of the oscillating correlation functions \( g^{(2)}_j(t,t) \) computed versus \( z_0 \) and time. For clarity, time is indicated both in units of the lifetime \( \tau = \kappa^{-1} \) (top axis) and in units of \( 2\pi/U \) (bottom axis). For the chosen value of \( U = 2\pi \times 10^{-2} \kappa \), the area displayed in this plot corresponds to a thin vertical slice of the region plotted in Fig. 3(a). The data show that a strongly nonclassical statistics can be achieved for \( z_0 \) too small [31,46]. The most favorable situation is found for a few tenths of meV. The exciton-exciton Coulomb modes is allowed via spatial proximity, and the tunneling between the discrete confined molecules [38]. The tunneling between the discrete confined molecules has typically a \( \tau_p = h/\kappa \) ranging between 10 and 100 ps in state-of-the-art structures [50], thus fulfilling the condition \( U \ll \kappa \lesssim J \). For strong confinement, polaritons are accurately modeled as two coupled nonlinear oscillators in the presence of driving fields and dissipation [38,51]. To dynamically reconstruct the second-order

V. EXCITON POLARITONS

The phenomenology described above could be realized in several systems where coupled nonlinear modes can be engineered, including photonic crystal cavities [47], superconducting circuits [48], cold atoms [49], and most importantly exciton polaritons in semiconductor microcavities where a nonclassical statistics has not yet been observed. Moreover, nonlinear Josephson oscillations of polaritons have been already reported twice, either occurring in natural coupled wells formed by disorder [37] or in engineered polaritonic molecules [38]. The tunneling between the discrete confined modes is allowed via spatial proximity, and \( J \) typically lies in the range of a few tenths of meV. The exciton-exciton Coulomb repulsion provides an effective Kerr nonlinearity \( U \) in the range of a few tenths of \( \mu \text{eV} \). Polaritons achieve lifetimes \( \tau_p = h/\kappa \) ranging between 10 and 100 ps in state-of-the-art structures [50], thus fulfilling the condition \( U \ll \kappa \lesssim J \). For strong confinement, polaritons are accurately modeled as two coupled nonlinear oscillators in the presence of driving fields and dissipation [38,51]. To dynamically reconstruct the second-order
correlation function $g_2^{(2)}(t,t)$, a Handbury-Brown and Twiss setup is needed, with a time resolution $T_{\text{res}}$ better than the oscillation period $\hbar \gamma / J$. Then one should target a situation where the antibunching emerges rapidly before dissipations bring the occupancy below the noise level. Experimentally the initial imbalance $z_0$ would be set by spatially shifting the excitation laser to favor one of the modes, as done in Ref. [38], or alternatively by tuning the laser frequency $\omega_p$.

To allow for arbitrary driving strengths and populations in our simulations, we expand the lower polariton operators as $\hat{a}_j = \alpha_j + \hat{\delta}_j$, where $\alpha_j = \langle \hat{a}_j \rangle$ is the coherent mean-field component and $\hat{\delta}_j$ are fluctuation (noise) operators fulfilling $\langle \hat{\delta}_j \rangle = 0$ [44]. The classical field dynamics follows:

$$i\dot{\alpha}_1 = [\hat{\Delta}_1 + U|\alpha_1|^2] \alpha_1 + J \alpha_2 + P_1(t),$$

$$i\dot{\alpha}_2 = [\hat{\Delta}_2 + U|\alpha_2|^2] \alpha_2 + J \alpha_1 + P_2(t),$$

where $\hat{\Delta}_j = \Delta_j - i\kappa/2$ and the fluctuations are governed by the master equation

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \hat{\rho}_f}{\partial t} = [\hat{\mathcal{H}}_f, \hat{\rho}_f] - i\kappa \sum_{j=1,2} \hat{\mathcal{D}}[\hat{\delta}_j] \hat{\rho}_f.$$

The corresponding Hamiltonian reads ($\delta$ notation omitted)

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_f = \sum_{j=1,2} [\Delta_j \hat{\alpha}_j \hat{\alpha}_j + U (\alpha_j^2 \hat{\alpha}_j^2 + \alpha_j^* \hat{\alpha}_j^2)]$$

$$+ \sum_{j=1,2} U \hat{\alpha}_j \hat{\alpha}_j \hat{\alpha}_j^* + 2 \alpha_j \hat{\alpha}_j \hat{\alpha}_j^* \hat{\alpha}_j + 2 \alpha_j \hat{\alpha}_j^* \hat{\alpha}_j^* + J (\hat{\alpha}_1^2 \hat{\alpha}_2 + \hat{\alpha}_2^2 \hat{\alpha}_1).$$

This approach, where nonlinear terms of all order are kept, provides an exact description of the quantum dynamics as long as $\langle \hat{\delta}_j \rangle \ll \alpha_j$. The expectation values are then computed as $\langle \hat{\delta}_j \rangle \approx \text{Tr}[\hat{\delta}_j \hat{\rho}_f] / \text{Tr}[\hat{\rho}_f]$. Figure 5 displays numerical results where a sizable antibunching is obtained under a driving of $\rho_1 = 50 \text{kW}$ corresponding to a $\mu W$ range of excitation power, an initial imbalance $z_0 = 99\%$, and a pulse duration of $\gamma_1 = 1 \text{ ps}$. We consider first a typical lifetime of $\tau_p = 10 \text{ ps}$ corresponding to a linewidth of $\gamma = 65 \text{ MHz}$, a polariton-polariton interaction $U = 0.35 \text{ meV}$, and set $2J = \pi \kappa$. Panel (a) shows the occupancies $N_j(t)$ in logarithmic scales, while panel (b) shows the corresponding second-order correlation functions. Within the first 10 ps, a minimal value of $g_2^{(2)} = 0.65$ (blue curve) is reached and subsequent minima achieve values as low as 0.15. Crucially, under the present conditions, the earliest minimum is associated with a minimum in the cavity 1 occupancy of $N_1 \approx 2 \times 10^{-4}$ across the quantum regime [see dashed black line], in the presence of a total polariton population as large as $N_1 + N_2 \approx 25$. This clearly illustrates how in the present scheme nonclassical signatures can emerge even in the presence of a large polariton population, thanks to the Josephson oscillation regime.

VI. DISCUSSION

To ensure the detection of antibunching, which occurs on a time scale of the order of $\tau_{\text{obs}} \approx \pi \hbar / 4J$, one should ideally target values of $\kappa$ and $J$ as small as possible to realize $\tau_{\text{obs}} \gg T_{\text{res}}$. While streak cameras currently demonstrate resolutions of a few picoseconds [31], their quantum efficiency of less than 1% might be too low to detect sufficient counts during reasonable integration times [46]. On the other hand, superconductor-based detectors demonstrate a very high efficiency up to 90% at the price of a lower time resolution in the range of tens of picoseconds. Assuming then $T_{\text{res}} = 10 \text{ ps}$ and $J = \kappa$, one would need a sample where $\kappa < \pi \hbar / 4T_{\text{res}}$ or in terms of lifetime $\tau_p > 4T_{\text{res}} / \pi \approx 13 \text{ ps}$. In practice the presence of the bunched regions requires an even longer lifetime. Besides, a larger lifetime increases the ratio $U / \kappa$ and one should pay attention to the rise in intensity fluctuations expected at larger occupation that would harm the squeezing. We show in Figs. 5(c) and 5(d) results obtained by setting $\tau_p = 50 \text{ ps}$ and preserving the same $U / \kappa$ ratio as before, which can be adjusted, e.g., by varying the exciton photon detuning in favor of the photonic fraction of polaritons. The dashed lines show the impact of the finite resolution obtained by convolution with a Gaussian and demonstrate the measurability of antibunching with state-of-the-art detectors. Interestingly, while the short polariton lifetime restrains quantum correlations to a few picoseconds, it could be turned into an advantage to produce single photons with GHz repetition rates if the sub-Poissonian time windows are adequately gated [47]. Obviously, the mechanism would occur on longer time scales in other bosonic systems. 

In the Appendices, we review various additional issues—of both physical and technical natures—and show that the antibunching should still be observable under these realistic conditions. Among these issues, it is worth mentioning the presence of a possible thermal population background (Appendix B), of pure dephasing (Appendix C), and the
fabrication imperfections which may lead to a slight detuning between the two modes (Appendix D). We also notice that our analysis implies the existence of conditions for which the system will be restrained to bunching (Appendix E). This effect also results from the interplay of squeezing and displacement under large driving. While it doesn’t demonstrate a nonclassical signature, the experimental observation of such a feature is less challenging than the antibunching, while still providing evidence for the mechanism as recently reported in Ref. [46].

VII. CONCLUSION

We have proposed a protocol which should allow one to produce and detect a strongly nonclassical polariton field in a semiconductor microcavity. The protocol leverages on the Josephson oscillation regime that was recently demonstrated and requires a time-resolved Handbury-Brown and Twiss setup. The analysis shows that sub-Poissonian polariton statistics is well within reach of state-of-the-art microcavity samples. The protocol could be extended to a larger number of localized modes and may also be achieved through internal oscillations between the polariton pseudospin components [52] or even Rabi oscillations between the upper and lower polaritons’ modes [53].
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APPENDIX A: WEAK PUMP LIMIT

We recall the system Hamiltonian

\[ \hat{H} = \sum_{j=1,2} \left[ \hbar \omega_j \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_j + U \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_j^\dagger \right] + J \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_2 + \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_1. \]  

(A1)

In the case of |\alpha_j| \ll 1 the two-mode wave function is truncated as [43,44]

\[ |\psi(t)\rangle \simeq c_{00}(t)|00\rangle + c_{10}(t)|10\rangle + c_{01}(t)|01\rangle + c_{20}(t)|20\rangle + c_{02}(t)|02\rangle, \]  

(A2)

where |j,k\rangle denotes a Fock state with j quanta in the first cavity and k in the second one. The Schrödinger equation \( \hat{H}|\psi\rangle = i\hbar \hat{\alpha}_j|\psi\rangle \) propagates the \( c_{jk}(t) \) amplitudes according to

\begin{align*}
\dot{c}_{00}(t) &= 0, \\
\dot{c}_{10}(t) &= \omega_1 c_{10}(t) + J c_{01}(t), \\
\dot{c}_{01}(t) &= \omega_2 c_{01}(t) + J c_{10}(t), \\
\dot{c}_{20}(t) &= 2U + \omega_1 c_{20}(t) + J \sqrt{2} c_{11}(t), \\
\dot{c}_{02}(t) &= 2U + \omega_2 c_{02}(t) + J \sqrt{2} c_{11}(t), \\
\dot{c}_{11}(t) &= (\omega_1 + \omega_2) c_{11}(t) + J \sqrt{2} (c_{20} + c_{02}).
\end{align*}

As a general property, the expressions for the amplitudes read

\[ c_{10}(t) = \frac{1}{2} e^{-\frac{i}{2} \left[ |\alpha_1| + |\alpha_2| + e^{2\Delta J t} (|\alpha_1| - |\alpha_2|) \right]} e^{-i(\omega_1 + \omega_2) t}, \]  

(A9)

\[ c_{01}(t) = \frac{1}{2} e^{-\frac{i}{2} \left[ |\alpha_1| + |\alpha_2| + e^{2\Delta J t} (|\alpha_2| - |\alpha_1|) \right]} e^{-i(\omega_1 + \omega_2) t}, \]  

(A10)

\[ c_{20}(t) = e^{-\frac{3}{4} \left[ \frac{2(|\alpha_1|^2 - |\alpha_2|^2) e^{2\Delta J t}}{\omega_1 + \omega_2} \right]} e^{-2i(\omega_1 + \omega_2) t}, \]  

(A11)

\[ c_{02}(t) = e^{-\frac{3}{4} \left[ \frac{2(|\alpha_2|^2 - |\alpha_1|^2) e^{2\Delta J t}}{\omega_1 + \omega_2} \right]} e^{-2i(\omega_1 + \omega_2) t}, \]  

(A12)

by considering \( \omega_1 = \omega_2 = \omega \) and using the definition \( n = |\alpha_1|^2 + |\alpha_2|^2 \). The \( c_{02}(t) \) coefficient is a constant as prescribed by Eq. (A3) which is fixed by the normalization condition \( \sum_{i,j} |c_{ij}|^2 = 1 \). In the presence of losses at the rate \( \kappa \) one simply needs to perform the substitution \( \omega \rightarrow \omega - i\kappa/2 \).

These expressions allow one to compute expectation values \( \langle \hat{\vartheta}(t) \rangle = \langle \psi(t)|\hat{\vartheta}|\psi(t)\rangle \) and in particular the cavity occupations and their equal-time second-order correlation functions:

\[ N_1(t) = \langle \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_1 \rangle = |c_{00}|^2 + |c_{10}|^2 + 2|c_{20}|^2 \simeq |c_{10}|^2, \]  

(A13)

\[ N_2(t) = \langle \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_2 \rangle = |c_{01}|^2 + |c_{11}|^2 + 2|c_{20}|^2 \simeq |c_{01}|^2, \]  

(A14)

\[ g_1(t,t) = \frac{\langle \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_1 \rangle \langle \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_1^\dagger \rangle}{N_1^2} \simeq \frac{2|c_{20}|^2}{|c_{10}|^4}, \]  

(A15)

\[ g_2(t,t) = \frac{\langle \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_2 \rangle \langle \hat{a}_2 \hat{a}_2^\dagger \rangle}{N_2^2} \simeq \frac{2|c_{02}|^2}{|c_{01}|^4}, \]  

(A16)

as well as the squeezing parameters

\[ r_j(t) \simeq \langle \Delta \hat{a}_j \rangle^2 / 2; \]  

(A17)

\[ \theta_j(t) = \arg \langle \Delta \hat{a}_j \rangle, \]  

(A18)

where \( \langle \Delta \hat{a}_j \rangle = \langle \hat{a}_j^\dagger \rangle - \langle \hat{a}_j \rangle \) are the field variances computed as \( \langle \Delta \hat{a}_j \rangle \simeq \sqrt{2} c_{20} - c_{10}^2 \) and \( \langle \Delta \hat{a}_j \rangle \simeq \sqrt{2} c_{02} - c_{01}^2 \). We have simplified the expressions by relying on \( c_{10}, c_{01} \gg c_{20}, c_{02}, c_{11} \).

APPENDIX B: THERMAL NOISE

Even under resonant excitation of polaritons (discussed in the main text for the results of Fig. 3), there might exist a weak interaction with the thermal excitonic reservoir or phonon bath of the semiconductor structure due, e.g., to polariton scattering towards upper states or direct excitation from the laser [38]. This can be modeled in the open quantum system formalism by considering a finite temperature of the external bath of the system associated with a mean occupation, \( \bar{n}_B \). The master
The equation, Eq. (13), has to be rewritten as
\[ i\hbar \frac{\partial \hat{\rho}}{\partial t} = [\hat{H}_f, \hat{\rho}_f] - i \frac{\kappa}{2} (\bar{n}_\text{th} + 1) \sum_{j=1,2} \hat{D}[^j a_j] \hat{\rho}_f - i \frac{\kappa}{2} \bar{n}_\text{th} \sum_{j=1,2} \hat{D}[^j a_j] \hat{\rho}_f \] (B1)

to account for a gain of thermal excitations from the reservoir. We show in Fig. 6 maps similar to those in Fig. 2(a) of the main text but for different values of the thermal occupation \(\bar{n}_\text{th}\) ranging from \(10^{-10}\) to \(10^{-4}\). As soon as the mode occupation reaches the thermal background level, namely, when \(N_j(t) = \bar{n}_\text{th}\), the statistics tend to a thermal \(g^{(2)}(t,t) = 2\) behavior on a time scale set by \(\hbar/\kappa\). We note that the potential impact of such background could be weakened by properly filtering the laser driving in the frequency domain to finely excite the lowest energy modes of interest.

**APPENDIX C: DEPHASING**

The impact of pure dephasing at a rate \(\eta\) can be accounted for by adding the Linblad terms \(-i\eta/2 \sum_j \hat{D}[^j a_j^\dagger] \hat{\rho}\) to Eq. (13). We show in Fig. 7 lower envelope maps for increasing values of \(\eta\) in the range \(\{10^{-4}, 10^{-3}, 10^{-2}, 10^{-1}\}\). The main impact of dephasing is to damp the coherent oscillations on a time scale of \(\hbar/\eta\) which is obviously harmful to nonclassical signatures and consequently reduces the antibunching magnitude with increasing \(\eta\) values. We see that, even if weak, a non-negligible antibunching can be obtained for values as large as \(\eta = 10^{-1}\). We note that the potential impact of such background could be weakened by properly filtering the laser driving in the frequency domain to finely excite the lowest energy modes of interest.

**APPENDIX D: DETUNED MODES**

In a real structure the coupled modes shall always be at least slightly detuned from each other. We show in Fig. 8 the impact of such detuning in two cases where we fix \(\Delta_1 = 0\) and then respectively set \(\Delta_2 = \kappa\) [panels (a) and (b)] and \(\Delta_2 = 2\kappa\) [panels (c) and (d)]. In such cases the oscillations and the second-order correlation functions become unbalanced. As a consequence the antibunching appears stronger in one of the modes than in the other. It highlights the importance of measuring the emissions of both spatial modes.
APPENDIX E: STRONG EXCITATION

In the case of large driving, one might fall into the situation where, despite the population oscillations, the fields never enter the quantum regime $N_j(t) < 1$ in the time window considered. One can easily show that for a large coherent field amplitudes $\alpha_j$, whatever the amount of squeezing, the second-order correlations must fulfill $1 < g^{(2)}_{j(t,t)} < 3$ [39,40]. Therefore while the antibunching becomes elusive in that case, the impact of squeezing can still be revealed in a periodic bunched statistics. Such a situation is illustrated in Fig. 9 with the same parameters as in Fig. 5 of the main text but for a three times larger driving amplitude of $p_1 = 150 \text{keV}$. We see that during the first 100 ps the $g^{(2)}_{j(t,t)}$ oscillates in the bunched region [see Fig. 9(a)] and starts crossing the nonclassical limit when the occupations become sufficiently small [see Fig. 9(b)].

FIG. 9. Impact of a large driving of $p_1 = 150 \text{keV}$. (a) Second-order correlation functions and (b) corresponding occupations. The other parameters are the same as those in Fig. 5 of the main text.