
FIXED POINTS FOR BOUNDED ORBITS IN HILBERT SPACES

by

Maxime Gheysens and Nicolas Monod

Abstract. — Consider the following property of a topological group G : every continuous affine G -action on a Hilbert space with a bounded orbit has a fixed point. We prove that this property characterizes amenability for locally compact σ -compact groups (e.g. countable groups).

Along the way, we introduce a “moderate” variant of the classical induction of representations and we generalize the Gaboriau–Lyons theorem to prove that any non-amenable locally compact group admits a probabilistic variant of discrete free subgroups. This leads to the “measure-theoretic solution” to the von Neumann problem for locally compact groups.

We illustrate the latter result by giving a partial answer to the Dixmier problem for locally compact groups.

Résumé (Points fixes en présence d’orbites bornées dans les espaces hilbertiens)

Nous considérons la propriété suivante pour un groupe topologique G : toute action affine continue de G sur un espace hilbertien ayant une orbite bornée a un point fixe. Nous montrons qu’elle caractérise la moyennabilité des groupes localement compacts dénombrables à l’infini (en particulier des groupes discrets dénombrables).

Pour ce faire, nous introduisons une variante « modérée » de l’induction des représentations et nous généralisons le théorème de Gaboriau–Lyons pour montrer que tout groupe localement compact non moyennable admet, dans un sens probabiliste, des sous-groupes libres discrets. Ceci fournit une « solution au sens de la mesure » au problème de von Neumann pour les groupes localement compacts.

Nous illustrons ce dernier résultat en fournissant une réponse partielle au problème de Dixmier pour les groupes localement compacts.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. — 47H10, 22D12, 22A05, 43A07, 20E05, 37A20.

Key words and phrases. — Amenable group, fixed point theorem, von Neumann problem, Dixmier problem.

Supported in part by the ERC.

Contents

1. Introduction	2
Discrete outline of the proof	3
About the non-discrete case	4
An application to the Dixmier problem	5
2. An initial construction	5
3. Moderate lengths and measures	7
4. Tychomorphisms	11
5. A generalization of the Gaboriau–Lyons theorem	13
6. Moderate induction	16
7. Proofs of Theorems A and B	18
8. Proof of Theorem C	20
9. Remarks and questions	22
References	24

1. Introduction

A topological group G is *amenable* if every convex compact G -space $K \neq \emptyset$ has a fixed point. The precise meaning of this definition is that K is a non-empty convex compact subset of a locally convex topological vector space V and that G has a continuous affine action on K . It is equivalent to consider only the case where this is given by a continuous affine (or linear) G -representation on V preserving K . Moreover, we can assume V and K separable if G is, for instance, locally compact σ -compact.

It is well-known that any such K is isomorphic (i.e. affinely homeomorphic) to a convex compact subspace of a Hilbert space [Kle55, p. 31]. Does it follow that amenability is characterized as a fixed point property for affine actions on Hilbert spaces? after all, preserving a weakly compact set in Hilbert space is equivalent to having a bounded orbit. (The distinction between weak and strong compactness will be further discussed in Section 9.)

The answer is a resounding *no*. First of all, an action on K need not extend to the ambient Hilbert space (see Section 9). Moreover, G -actions on V preserving K sometimes have fixed points outside K only, compare e.g. [BGM12].

In any case, even the statement is wrong! Indeed, there are non-amenable groups with the fixed point property for any continuous affine action on any reflexive Banach space. This holds for instance for the group of all permutations of an infinite countable set, which is non-amenable (as a discrete group). Indeed, Bergman established the strong uncountable cofinality property for this group [Ber06] and the latter implies this fixed point property (see Prop. 1.30 of [Ros13], whose proof does not use the Polish assumption).

In contrast, we prove that such a characterization does hold for countable groups and more generally locally compact σ -compact groups:

Theorem A. — *Let G be a locally compact σ -compact group.*

Suppose that every continuous affine G -action on a separable Hilbert space with a bounded orbit has a fixed point. Then G is amenable.

(In view of the fixed point definition of amenability, this yields a necessary and sufficient condition and it follows furthermore that a fixed point can be found in the closed convex hull of any bounded orbit.)

In this setting, we recall that the fixed point property *without* assuming bounded orbits characterises compact groups by a result of Rosendal [Ros13, Thm. 1.4].

Our proof takes a curious path: we first construct a very specific example of a group without the fixed point property, and then we pull ourselves by our bootstraps until we reach all non-amenable groups. This process is described below; we would be curious to know if there is a direct proof.

In the absence of a direct proof, the scenic route taken to the conclusion leads us to introduce *moderate induction* and to establish the existence of *tychomorphisms* from free groups to non-amenable locally compact groups, after proving a generalization of the theorem of Gaboriau and Lyons to the locally compact setting. This solves the “measurable von Neumann problem” for locally compact groups, see Theorem B below.

Remark. — Our task is thus to construct fixed point free actions on Hilbert spaces that have a bounded orbit. We point out that such actions always have some *unbounded* orbit too. Otherwise, an application of the Banach–Steinhaus principle would show that the linear part of the action is uniformly bounded in operator norm; this would however produce a fixed point, for instance by taking a circumcenter under an invariant uniformly convex norm [BFGM07, Prop. 2.3], or using Ryll–Nardzewski.

Discrete outline of the proof. — We shall first explain our proof in the special case of countable groups without any topology. Our first step is to obtain some example, *any example at all*, of a group G with a fixed point free action on a Hilbert space with a bounded orbit.

Let thus μ be a probability measure on G ; this amounts to a non-negative function of sum one. Our Hilbert space is $V = \ell^2(G, \mu)/\mathbf{R}$, the quotient of $\ell^2(G, \mu)$ by the subspace of constant functions. We endow V with the linear representation induced by the left translation action of G on $\ell^2(G, \mu)$, which indeed preserves the subspace of constants. For this action to be well-defined and to be continuous we need to impose a condition on how μ behaves under translations. It turns out that such a μ exists for every countable group; it will be constructed as a negative exponential of suitable length functions on G .

To turn this linear representation into an affine action, we need a 1-cocycle $G \rightarrow V$. The action is fixed point free and with a bounded orbit if this cocycle is non-trivial in cohomology and bounded. The extension of G -representations

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow \ell^2(G, \mu) \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow 0$$

can be analysed by standard cohomological arguments and it suffices to show that there is an \mathbf{R} -valued 2-cocycle on G which is non-trivial in cohomology and bounded. Such cocycles are known to exist for various groups G , for instance (compact hyperbolic) surface groups. Thus we have a first example.

In order to produce more examples, we want to show that our G -action on V can be “induced” to an H -action on another Hilbert space W whenever H is a group containing G . Classically, W would be a space of maps $H/G \rightarrow V$. We shall imitate the first step of our construction by considering ℓ^2 -maps with respect to a suitable probability measure on H/G ; once again, such a measure will exist as soon as H is countable.

At this point, we have constructed a fixed point free action on a separable Hilbert space with a bounded orbit for any countable group containing a surface group. The same statement holds with surface groups replaced by free groups since fixed point properties trivially pass to quotients. We now reach a fundamental obstacle popularized by the *von Neumann problem*: the class of groups containing a free subgroup is still far from the class of non-amenable countable groups.

However, it was proved by Gaboriau–Lyons [GL09] that in an ergodic-theoretical sense, any non-amenable discrete group admits free orbits of free groups (and surface groups) as subrelations. As explained in [Mon06, § 5], such measure-theoretical analogues of subgroup embeddings, viewed as “randembeddings”, are suitable for the induction of representations and of cocycles. Therefore, we can complete the proof of Theorem A *for discrete groups* by generalising the above induction method from subgroups to randembeddings.

About the non-discrete case. — A number of interesting new difficulties appear for locally compact groups. It will be helpful that we can consider separately the Lie case and the totally disconnected case, thanks to a product decomposition result based on structure theory [BM02, Thm. 3.3.3].

We shall need to prove a generalization of the Gaboriau–Lyons theorem for locally compact groups. However, merely producing orbit subrelations of free groups is useless here; after all, many *amenable* locally compact groups contain free subgroups. Thus, a discreteness condition will enter the generalized statement.

The appropriate variant of the notion of randembedding used in the discrete case will be called a *tychomorphism*; it is a one-sided version of measure equivalence couplings for locally compact groups. Building on our generalization of the Gaboriau–Lyons theorem, we shall prove:

Theorem B. — *Let G be a locally compact second countable group.*

If G is non-amenable, then there is a tychomorphism from the free group F_r to G for all $0 \leq r \leq \aleph_0$.

(This yields a necessary and sufficient criterion: in the converse direction, there are several straightforward ways to verify that a group admitting a tychomorphism from F_r for some $2 \leq r \leq \aleph_0$ is non-amenable; see for instance [Mon06, §5], where discreteness is not essential.)

Once tychomorphisms have been established, we will use them again to perform a non-standard induction of affine actions by means of a *moderate* measure on the locally compact group. In this setting, the existence of moderate measure is more delicate to establish.

An application to the Dixmier problem. — Prompted by the classical unitarisation theorem of Szőkefalvi-Nagy [SN47], the following problem arose in 1950 [Day50, Dix50, NT51]: are amenable groups the only *unitarisable* groups, i.e. groups for which every uniformly bounded representation on a Hilbert space can be unitarised? We refer to Pisier [Pis05, Pis01] for a thorough exposition and many results.

Since groups containing free subgroups are known not to be unitarisable, it is tempting to appeal to the Gaboriau–Lyons theorem (see Problem N in [Mon06]). This led to partial answers [EM09, MO10].

There is no reason to restrict the Dixmier problem to discrete groups, and indeed the first examples of non-unitarisable representations were for the Lie group $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{R})$ [EM55, KS60]. Using Theorem B, we establish that every non-amenable locally compact group is indeed non-unitarisable *after replacing it* by an extension by an amenable kernel, a statement faithfully parallel to the main result of [MO10] for discrete groups. More precisely, the extension consists in taking a wreath product with a commutative (discrete) group:

Theorem C. — *Let G be any locally compact group. For any infinite abelian (discrete) group A , the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (i) *The group G is amenable.*
- (ii) *The locally compact group $A \wr_{G/O} G$ is unitarisable, where $O < G$ is a suitable open subgroup.*

There are however several important differences with the discrete case. The first problem is that we do not know if unitarisability passes to (closed) subgroups in the non-discrete case. We do not even know if containing a discrete free subgroup is of any help, which should curb our enthusiasm for tychomorphisms! We can nonetheless prove the above result by combining ergodic methods with structure theory.

A smaller issue is that the category of locally compact groups does not admit full wreath products. This explains the permutational wreath product appearing in Theorem C. More precisely, $A \wr_{G/O} G$ denotes the topological semi-direct product of G with the discrete group $\bigoplus_{G/O} A$ which is endowed with a continuous G -action since O is open. Thus indeed $A \wr_{G/O} G$ is locally compact, and moreover it is σ -compact (respectively second countable) when G is so, provided A is countable.

2. An initial construction

The goal of this section is to prove that there are *some* groups G with a fixed point free action on a Hilbert space (by continuous affine operators) with a bounded orbit. The construction below applies for instance to the fundamental group of any closed hyperbolic surface. Since the property of having such an action can trivially

be pulled back from a quotient group, it follows immediately that it also holds for free groups on at least four generators (the minimal number of generators for such a surface group).

Consider a group G (without topology) admitting a non-zero class ω in degree two cohomology with real coefficients. Assume moreover that ω can be represented by a *bounded* cocycle; in other words, ω lies in the image of the comparison map

$$H_b^2(G, \mathbf{R}) \longrightarrow H^2(G, \mathbf{R})$$

from bounded to ordinary cohomology. This situation arises for instance when G is the fundamental group of a closed hyperbolic surface and ω is given by the fundamental class of that surface, see e.g. [Thu78, §6].

Furthermore, assume that G admits a probability measure μ such that the left translation linear G -representation on $\ell^2(G, \mu)$ is well-defined and consists of bounded operators. As we shall see in Section 3, such a measure exists on every countable group G and more generally on every locally compact σ -compact group. For the present purposes, it is much easier to justify its existence by assuming that G is a finitely generated (discrete) group, which is the case in the example of surface groups. Indeed, in that case we can choose a word length ℓ on G , a constant $D > 1$ large enough so that the map $g \mapsto D^{-\ell(g)}$ is summable on G and a normalization constant $k > 0$ given by the inverse of that sum. Then one checks that $\mu(g) = kD^{-\ell(g)}$ gives a measure with the desired properties; we refer to Section 3 for a detailed construction in a more general topological case.

Let now V (resp. E) be the quotient space of $\ell^2(G, \mu)$ (resp. $\ell^\infty(G)$) by the subspace of constant functions. Since μ is a probability measure, we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{R} & \longrightarrow & \ell^\infty(G) & \longrightarrow & E \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{R} & \longrightarrow & \ell^2(G, \mu) & \longrightarrow & V \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where the rows are exact and the vertical arrows are G -equivariant, linear, injective and of norm ≤ 1 .

The idea is to apply the long exact sequence of bounded cohomology [Mon01, 8.2.1(i)] to the first row and the long exact sequence of ordinary cohomology to the second row. (The second row does not behave well for bounded cohomology because it carries representations that are not uniformly bounded.) More precisely, recall that $H_b^n(G, \ell^\infty(G))$ vanishes for all $n \geq 1$, see [Mon01, 4.4.1 and 7.4.1]; therefore, by naturality of the comparison map and of the long exact sequences, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H_b^1(G, E) & \longrightarrow & H_b^2(G, \mathbf{R}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & H^1(G, V) & \longrightarrow & H^2(G, \mathbf{R}) & \longrightarrow & \dots \end{array}$$

with exact rows. Now, our assumption that $\omega \neq 0$ lies in the image of $H_b^2(G, \mathbf{R})$ implies that there is a bounded 1-cocycle $b: G \rightarrow E$ whose image in $H^1(G, V)$ is non-trivial. In other words, the corresponding affine G -action on V has no fixed point, although the orbit of $0 \in V$ under this action is bounded since b remains bounded as a map $G \rightarrow E \rightarrow V$.

Remark 1. — A reader wishing to avoid the cohomological language can check the argument by hand as follows. Represent ω by a bounded map $c: G^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ satisfying the cocycle relation $c(y, z) - c(xy, z) + c(x, yz) - c(x, y) = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in G$. Then $b(g)$ is defined as the class modulo \mathbf{R} of the function $x \mapsto c(x^{-1}, g)$ and all properties can be painstakingly verified.

3. Moderate lengths and measures

It is well-known (and obvious) that the size of a ball in a finitely generated group endowed with a word length grows at most exponentially with the radius. For general countable groups, one can choose another length function to keep this growth control (compare Remark 6 below). This remains possible more generally in a topological setting, but requires a more delicate analysis.

Definition 2. — A *length* on a group G is a function $\ell: G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ such that

- (i) $\ell(g) = \ell(g^{-1})$ for all $g \in G$,
- (ii) $\ell(gh) \leq \ell(g) + \ell(h)$ for all $g, h \in G$.

When G is a locally compact group, a length ℓ is *moderate* if moreover

- (iii) the ball $B(r) = \ell^{-1}([0, r])$ is compact for all $r \geq 0$,
- (iv) for any Haar measure m_G there is $C \geq 1$ such that $m_G(B(r)) \leq Cr$ for all $r \geq 1$.

Observe that a moderate length is in particular lower semi-continuous thanks to (iii).

Remark 3. — We have not specified in (iv) whether the Haar measure is left or right, but this is irrelevant in view of the symmetry (i). Moreover, it suffices to check (iv) for one Haar measure since the condition survives scaling upon changing C . Likewise, it suffices to check (iv) for integer r .

Example 4. — If G is generated by a *compact* symmetric neighbourhood U of the identity, then the associated word length $\ell(g) = \min\{n \in \mathbf{N} : g \in U^n\}$ is easily seen to be moderate. (A more refined statement can be found e.g. in Theorem I.1 of [Gui73].)

We shall need to go beyond the compactly generated case; notice however that σ -compactness is a necessary condition in view of (iii).

Proposition 5. — *Let G be a totally disconnected locally compact σ -compact group. Then there exists a continuous moderate length $\ell: G \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$.*

Christian Rosendal pointed out to us that a stronger statement was established as one of the main results of the manuscript [HP06] (Theorem 5.3 therein, see also [Prz05, 1.26]). Namely, every second countable locally compact groups admits a compatible left-invariant distance such that the associated real-valued length is moderate. (The second countability is not a significant restriction from σ -compactness [KK44]; having values in \mathbf{R} is also not an issue here.) We still provide the proof below since it is shorter and sufficient for our purposes.

Before proceeding to the proof, we record the construction of general *Cayley–Abels graphs* originating in [Abe74, Beispiel 5.2], see also [BM02, §3.4]. The basic data is a locally compact group G , a compact-open subgroup $K < G$ and a subset $S \subseteq G$ satisfying

$$S = S^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad S = KSK.$$

The associated Cayley–Abels graph $\mathfrak{g}(G, K, S)$ is the graph on the vertex set G/K with edge set $\{(gK, gsK) : g \in G, s \in S\}$. Thus G acts by automorphisms on $\mathfrak{g}(G, K, S)$. This graph is connected if and only if S generates G and it is locally finite if and only if S is compact.

For later use in Section 5, we shall extend this construction as follows under the assumptions that S generates G , is compact and contains the identity: For every integer $n \geq 1$, let $\mathfrak{g}^n(G, K, S)$ be the (multi-)graph on the vertex set G/K with as many edges between two given vertices as there are paths of length n in $\mathfrak{g}(G, K, S)$ connecting them. Then each $\mathfrak{g}^n(G, K, S)$ remains locally finite, connected and with a vertex-transitive G -action.

Proof of Proposition 5. — Let K be a compact open subgroup of G and S be any symmetric generating set; upon replacing it by KSK , we can moreover assume $S = KSK$. (One can even take $S = G$.) Consider the graph $\mathfrak{g}(G, K, S)$. We will give weights to its edges and then consider the induced path length on the vertex set. There is a natural S/K -labeling on the edges; however, it is not invariant under the action of G . Indeed, an sK -labeled edge and a tK -labeled edge are in the same G -orbit if and only if $KsK = KtK$, i.e. if sK and tK are in the same orbit for the natural action of K on S/K . The latter orbit is of size $|K : K \cap sKs^{-1}|$ and hence finite since K is compact and open. We enumerate these K -orbits, recalling that S/K is countable, as G is σ -compact. The weight is given inductively to each element of the i -th orbit as the smallest power of 2 that is at least as large as the size of the i -th orbit and strictly larger than all the weights previously given.

Having attributed a left K -invariant weight to each element of S/K , we obtain a G -invariant weight on the edges of $\mathfrak{g}(G, K, S)$. Consider now the vertex set G/K with the metric given by the shortest (weighted) path distance. The G -action on G/K is isometric for this distance; in particular, the size of a ball of radius n does not depend on its center and we denote by $\beta(n)$ this number, which is finite. Write also $\varphi(k)$ for the number of elements of weight k in S/K . Observing that a path of length $\leq n$ has to start with an edge of weight $\leq n$, we get a coarse bound:

$$\beta(n) \leq \varphi(1)\beta(n-1) + \varphi(2)\beta(n-2) + \cdots + \varphi(n-1)\beta(1) + \varphi(n).$$

Most of the terms in the latter sum vanish because, by our choice of weights, $\varphi(k)$ is zero whenever k is not a power of 2. Moreover, since β is a non-decreasing function, we have

$$\beta(r) \leq \frac{\beta(r) + \beta(r+1) + \cdots + \beta(r+m)}{m+1}$$

for all r, m . Putting these two observations together for $r = n - 2^j$ and $m = 2^{j-1} - 1$, we get:

$$\beta(n) \leq \varphi(1)\beta(n-1) + \sum_{j=1}^{\log_2 n} \frac{\varphi(2^j)}{2^{j-1}} \sum_{p=2^j}^{2^{j-1}+1} \beta(n-p) \leq 2 \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} \beta(p),$$

where the last inequality comes from $\varphi(2^j) \leq 2^j$, which holds by our choice of weights. This estimate implies that β grows at most exponentially, indeed that $\beta(n) \leq 2 \cdot 3^{n-1} < 3^n$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Getting back to the group G , define $\ell_0(g)$ as the distance from K to gK in G/K for the above weighted distance. So far, ℓ_0 is a continuous function satisfying (ii) and (iii) for the ℓ_0 -balls $B_{\ell_0}(r)$. Moreover, for any left Haar measure, we have $m_G(B_{\ell_0}(r)) = \beta(r)m_G(K)$, hence the balls grow at most exponentially. We normalize m_G so that $m_G(K)$ is an integer. Finally, set $\ell(g) = \ell_0(g) + \ell_0(g^{-1})$. One checks that ℓ has all the desired properties. \square

Remark 6. — For a discrete countable group G , the above argument can be considerably shortened: choose any generating set S such that $S \cap S^{-1}$ contains only involutions and enumerate $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots\}$. Then the weighted word length where s_i and s_i^{-1} are given weight i will satisfy properties (i)–(iv).

Alternatively, one can simply restrict to G the word length of a finitely generated group containing G , which exists by [HNN49]. This overkill, however, cannot be generalized to non-discrete groups because they need not embed into compactly generated groups [CC14]; thus the need for Proposition 5 remains.

Definition 7. — A *moderate measure* on a locally compact group G is a probability measure μ in the same measure class as the Haar measures and such that

- (i) for all $g \in G$, the Radon–Nikodým derivative $dg\mu/d\mu$ is essentially bounded on G ,
- (ii) the map $g \mapsto \|dg\mu/d\mu\|_\infty$ is locally bounded on G .

The point of this definition is that it readily implies the following:

If μ is a moderate measure on G , then the left translation representation of G on $L^2(G, \mu)$ is a well-defined continuous linear representation which is locally bounded (in operator norm).

To be completely explicit, the (non-unitary) representation above is defined by $(gf)(x) = f(g^{-1}x)$ for $g, x \in G$ and $f \in L^2(G, \mu)$. In particular, the constant functions constitute a G -invariant subspace. The statement above is a particular case of the *moderate induction* that will be investigated in detail in Section 6, to which we refer for a proof.

We shall obtain moderate measures thanks to moderate lengths:

Proposition 8. — *If ℓ is a moderate length on a locally compact group G and m_G a left Haar measure, then the measure μ defined by*

$$d\mu(x) = kD^{-\ell(x)}dm_G(x)$$

is moderate when $D \geq 1$ is large enough and $k > 0$ is a suitable normalization constant.

Proof. — Choose any $D > C$, where $C \geq 1$ is as in Definition 2(iv). Since the function ℓ is Borel thanks to Definition 2(iii), it follows that the formula $d\mu(x) = kD^{-\ell(x)}dm_G(x)$ makes sense and defines a measure in the same class as m_G for any $k > 0$. In particular, the Radon–Nikodým derivative of Definition 7 exists. This measure is finite because of $D > C$ and hence it can be normalized by the appropriate choice of k . It now suffices to show that for every compact set $U \subseteq G$ the function $dg\mu/d\mu(x)$ is bounded uniformly over $g \in U, x \in G$. Since m_G is left invariant, we have $dg\mu/d\mu(x) = D^{\ell(x)-\ell(g^{-1}x)}$, which is bounded above by $D^{\ell(g)}$ in view of Definition 2(ii). Therefore, it only remains to see that the ℓ -balls $B(r)$ contain U when r is sufficiently large. Since U is compact and $B(r)$ closed, this is a direct application of Baire’s theorem using the relation $B(r)B(s) \subseteq B(r+s)$ which follows from Definition 2(ii). \square

Corollary 9. — *Every locally compact σ -compact group admits a moderate measure.*

Remark 10. — The proof given below relies on the manuscript [HP06], or on the thesis [Prz05], for providing a moderate length. There is an alternative route, based on the more elementary length construction of Proposition 5, that leads to a moderate measure on the quotient by the maximal normal amenable subgroup. This suffices for our application (namely towards proving Theorem A), and therefore we briefly describe this other passage.

The quotient by the maximal normal amenable subgroup has an open finite index subgroup splitting as a product of a connected group and a totally disconnected σ -compact group, see [BM02] as recalled in Theorem 23 below. Applying respectively Example 4 and Proposition 5, both factors admit a moderate length and hence so does this open finite index subgroup by taking the sum. Therefore, it carries a moderate measure by Proposition 8. This property is inherited from open finite index subgroups by transporting the measure on the cosets.

Proof of Corollary 9. — Let G be a locally compact σ -compact group. By Satz 5 in [KK44] there is a compact normal subgroup $K \triangleleft G$ such that G/K is second countable. There exists a continuous moderate length $G/K \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ by [HP06, 5.3] or [Prz05, 1.26]. The composed map $G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ is still a moderate length; point (iv) of the definition is checked using Weil’s integration formula [Bou63, VII §2 N° 7]. We conclude by Proposition 8. \square

4. Tychomorphisms

Simplicibus itaque verbis gaudet Mathematica Veritas, cum etiam per se simplex sit Veritatis oratio

Tycho Brahe, *Epistolæ astronomicæ*, Uraniborg 1596
(General preface, p. 23 l. 32 in Dreyer's edition;
absent from the 1601 edition of Levinus Hulsius)

The goal of this section is to discuss basic facts about *tychomorphisms*, a probabilistic variant of closed (e.g. discrete) subgroup embeddings in locally compact groups. The closedness condition, which is essential in the context of amenability, is an aspect absent from the analogous concepts of “orbit subrelation” and “randembedding” of discrete groups. Nevertheless, it will not appear in topological terms, but rather as an ergodic-theoretical smoothness condition following from the definitions below; this reformulation is a special case of the Glimm–Effros dichotomy.

Let G be a locally compact group. The Haar measures of G define a canonical measure class on G , which is standard if G is second countable. A *measured G -space* is a measured space (Σ, m) together with a G -action such that the action map $G \times \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ is non-singular. We shall always consider standard measured spaces, so that all basic tools such as the Fubini–Lebesgue theorem are available. Unless otherwise stated, groups shall act on themselves by left multiplication. We will note by m_G a choice of a (non-zero) left Haar measure on G and by \check{m}_G the corresponding right Haar measure defined as the image of m_G by the inverse map. The modular homomorphism $\Delta_G: G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+^*$ is defined by $dm_G(xg) = \Delta_G(g)dm_G(x)$; recall moreover that $\Delta_G d\check{m}_G = dm_G$.

Definition 11. — Let (Σ, m) and (Σ', m') be two measured G -spaces. We say that Σ is an *amplification* of Σ' if there is a measure preserving G -equivariant isomorphism between (Σ, m) and the product of (Σ', m') with a measured space (X, ϑ) endowed with the trivial G -action. The amplification is said to be *finite* if ϑ is finite. Remark that if G preserves one of the measures m or m' , then it also preserves the other one.

Example 12. — Let H be a closed subgroup of a locally compact second countable group G and let m_H, m_G be left Haar measures. Then the left H -action on (G, m_G) is an amplification of (H, m_H) (see e.g. [Rip76]). The latter is finite if H has finite invariant covolume in G .

Example 13. — If G is countable (hence discrete), then (Σ, m) is an amplification of G if and only if G admits a measurable fundamental domain in Σ .

Definition 14. — Let G and H be locally compact second countable groups. A *tychomorphism* from H to G is a measured $G \times H$ -space (Σ, m) which as a G -space is a finite amplification of (G, \check{m}_G) and as an H -space is an amplification of (H, m_H) .

Note that H preserves the measure m on Σ , whereas G does so if and only if it is unimodular. For unimodular groups, the symmetric situation (i.e. when Σ is also finite as an amplification of H) is the usual *measure equivalence* studied e.g. in [Fur11].

Remark 15. — Let (Σ, m) be a tycomorphism from H to G and consider isomorphisms $(\Sigma, m) \cong (G, \check{m}_G) \times (X, \vartheta)$ and $(\Sigma, m) \cong (H, m_H) \times (Z, \eta)$ as in the definition. If we transport the H -action through the first isomorphism, we obtain a non-singular H -action on X and a measurable cocycle $\alpha: H \times X \rightarrow G$ such that

$$h(g, s) = (g\alpha(h, s)^{-1}, hs)$$

because the H -action must commute with the G -action on $G \times X$. In particular, this H -action on X preserves the finite measure ϑ . On the other hand, if we transport the G -action through the second isomorphism, we obtain also a non-singular G -action on Z and a measurable cocycle $\beta: G \times Z \rightarrow H$ such that

$$g(h, s) = (h\beta(g, s)^{-1}, gs)$$

but now the G -action on Z might not preserve the measure η . Indeed, one checks that the Radon–Nikodým derivative $dg\eta/d\eta$ is equal to $y \mapsto \Delta_H(\beta(g^{-1}, y))\Delta_G(g)$.

Example 16. — If H is a closed subgroup of a locally compact second countable group G , then (G, m_G) is a natural tycomorphism from H to G . Indeed, it is an amplification of (H, m_H) by Example 12; the *right* G -action on (G, m_G) commutes with H and is intertwined by the inverse map to (G, \check{m}_G) , hence is a finite (trivial) amplification of (G, \check{m}_G) .

The next lemma shows how to compose tycomorphisms.

Lemma 17. — *Let G_1, G_2 and H be locally compact second countable groups. If there is a tycomorphism from G_1 to H and another from H to G_2 , then there is one from G_1 to G_2 .*

Proof. — Let $(G_1, m_{G_1}) \times X_1 \cong \Sigma_1 \cong (H, \check{m}_H) \times Z_1$ and $(G_2, \check{m}_{G_2}) \times X_2 \cong \Sigma_2 \cong (H, m_H) \times Z_2$ be the tycomorphisms, with Z_1 and X_2 having finite measure. Consider the corresponding cocycles $\alpha_i: G_i \times Z_i \rightarrow H$ and the non-singular actions of G_i on Z_i as in Remark 15. We endow the space $\Sigma := Z_1 \times Z_2 \times (H, m_H)$ with a non-singular action of $G_1 \times G_2$ by defining

$$(g_1, g_2)(z_1, z_2, h) = (g_1z_1, g_2z_2, \alpha_1(g_1, z_1)h\alpha_2(g_2, z_2)^{-1}).$$

The measure-preserving equivariant isomorphisms given by the tycomorphisms show that Σ is G_2 -equivariantly isomorphic to $(G_2, \check{m}_{G_2}) \times Z_1 \times X_2$, hence it is a finite amplification of (G_2, \check{m}_{G_2}) . Likewise, Σ is G_1 -equivariantly isomorphic to $(G_1, m_{G_1}) \times Z_2 \times X_1$, hence it is an amplification of G_1 , except that this time we need to precompose the isomorphisms by the map $\Sigma \rightarrow Z_1 \times Z_2 \times (H, \check{m}_H): (z_1, z_2, h) \mapsto (z_1, z_2, h^{-1})$. \square

By the universal property, an embedding of a free group into a quotient group can be lifted; moreover the lift is discrete if the original embedding was so. It turns out that the corresponding fact holds for tycomorphisms.

Proposition 18. — *Let \tilde{G} be a locally compact second countable group, N a closed normal subgroup and G the quotient group \tilde{G}/N . Let $0 \leq r \leq \aleph_0$. If there is a tycomorphism from the free group F_r to G , then there is one from F_r to \tilde{G} .*

Proof. — Let S be a basis of a free group F_r and $\Sigma \cong G \times X$ be a tychomorphism from F_r to G with associated cocycle $\alpha: F_r \times X \rightarrow G$. By Example 13, there is a fundamental domain $\mathcal{F} \subset \Sigma$ for the F_r -action.

Choose a Borel section $\tau: G \rightarrow \tilde{G}$ of the projection map $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$. The following lemma can be checked e.g. by applying the cocycle relation to the unique representation of elements of F_r as reduced S -words.

Lemma 19. — *There is a measurable cocycle $\tilde{\alpha}: F_r \times X \rightarrow \tilde{G}$ such that $\alpha = \pi \circ \tilde{\alpha}$. Moreover, there is an essentially unique such $\tilde{\alpha}$ satisfying $\tilde{\alpha}(s, x) = \tau(\alpha(s, x))$ for almost all $x \in X$ and all $s \in S$. \square*

(Note that $\tilde{\alpha}$ is not in general equal to $\tau \circ \alpha$.)

Consider now the finite amplification of \tilde{G} given by $\tilde{\Sigma} = (\tilde{G}, \tilde{m}_{\tilde{G}}) \times X$. We endow $\tilde{\Sigma}$ with a measure-preserving F_r -action that commutes with the \tilde{G} -action by setting $w(\tilde{g}, x) = (\tilde{g}\tilde{\alpha}(w, x)^{-1}, wx)$, observing that the given F_r -action on X preserves the measure by Remark 15.

We now need to prove that $\tilde{\Sigma}$ is an amplification of F_r , i.e. that there is a fundamental domain in $\tilde{\Sigma}$ for the countable group F_r . For this, consider the measurable space isomorphism $N \times G \times X \simeq \tilde{G} \times X$ given by $(n, g, x) \mapsto (\tau(g)n, x)$; when each group is endowed with a right Haar measure, this isomorphism preserves the measure, up to a scaling factor, thanks to Weil's integration formula (see Proposition 10 in [Bou63, VII §2 N° 7]). By transferring the F_r -action via this isomorphism, the action on the former space is given by

$$w(n, g, x) = (n', g\alpha(w, x)^{-1}, wx) \quad \text{for } w \in F_r, n \in N, g \in G, x \in X,$$

where the specific expression $n' = \tau(g\alpha(w, x)^{-1})^{-1}\tau(g)n\tilde{\alpha}(w, x)^{-1}$ is irrelevant for our purpose. Indeed, we only need to observe that the above F_r -action on $\tilde{\Sigma}$ is a twisted product with N of the given action on Σ , namely $w(g, x) = (g\alpha(w, x)^{-1}, wx)$. It therefore admits $N \times \mathcal{F}$ as a fundamental domain. \square

5. A generalization of the Gaboriau–Lyons theorem

In this section, we establish a generalization of the main result of [GL09] to certain totally disconnected groups. We then deduce the existence of tychomorphisms from free groups to these groups, Theorem 20 below. The full generality of Theorem B will be established in Section 7.

Recall that the *core* of a subgroup $K < G$ is the normal subgroup $\text{Core}_G(K) = \bigcap_{g \in G} K^g$ of G ; thus it is the kernel of the G -action on G/K .

Theorem 20. — *Let G be a non-amenable unimodular compactly generated locally compact second countable group and $K < G$ a compact open subgroup.*

Then there exists a tychomorphism from F_2 to $G/\text{Core}_G(K)$.

When G is discrete, the assumptions are simply that G is finitely generated and non-amenable (one takes K trivial). In that case, the Gaboriau–Lyons theorem states that a suitable Bernoulli shift of G contains the orbits of a free F_2 -action. This implies

that there is a “randembedding” from F_2 to G (see [Mon06, § 5] or [MO10]). We shall follow the strategy of Gaboriau–Lyons closely until changes are imposed by the non-discreteness.

One difficulty mentioned in the introduction is that we need a stronger conclusion since simply having F_2 -orbits within the orbits of a locally compact group G does not correspond to any form of non-amenability unless some discreteness condition is imposed.

Remark. — Two proofs are proposed in [GL09], each needing different adjustments to be generalized; therefore, we shall give all the details of the approach taken below. Another exposition of [GL09] is given in [Hou12]; there, the need of ergodicity for Hjorth’s theorem in [Hjo06] relies on indistinguishable clusters for the free minimal spanning forest (Conjecture 6.11 in [LPS06]), which is bypassed using a result [CI10] not established in the non-discrete setting. After circulating a first version of this article, we were informed by Itai Benjamini that indistinguishability has just been established in two preprints [HN15, Tim15]. In conclusion, a second approach becomes possible just as in [GL09] and [Hou12].

Proof of Theorem 20. — All the assumptions are preserved if we replace G and K by their images in $G/\text{Core}_G(K)$; therefore we can simply assume that K has trivial core in G . We can choose a compact generating set $S \subseteq G$ with $S = S^{-1}$, $e \in S$ and $S = KSK$. Let n be a positive integer to be chosen shortly and consider the graph $\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{g}^n(G, K, S)$ defined in Section 3. Then G is a vertex-transitive closed subgroup of the automorphism group of \mathfrak{g} and in particular \mathfrak{g} is, by definition, a unimodular graph. By construction, the spectral radius of \mathfrak{g} is ϱ^n for some $0 < \varrho \leq 1$ which is the spectral radius of $\mathfrak{g}(G, K, S)$. We recall here that $\varrho < 1$ because G is non-amenable, see [SW90, Thm. 1(c)]. Therefore, we can and do choose n large enough so that the spectral radius of \mathfrak{g} is $\varrho^n < 1/9$. We denote by E the set of edges of \mathfrak{g} and consider the compact metrizable G -space $[0, 1]^E$. We define $X \subseteq [0, 1]^E$ to be the G -invariant G_δ -subset of injective maps $E \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and observe that G acts freely on X since it acts faithfully on E by triviality of the core. Let \mathcal{Q} be the corresponding orbit equivalence relation on X defined by $x \mathcal{Q} x'$ iff $x' \in Gx$.

Since K is compact, its action on X has a Borel fundamental domain $Y \subseteq X$ (see e.g. [Sri98, 5.4.3]). Thus, the action map defines a Borel isomorphism $K \times Y \cong KY = X$. We denote by \mathcal{R} the equivalence relation on Y defined by restricting \mathcal{Q} , i.e. $y \mathcal{R} y'$ iff $y' \in Gy$. Then \mathcal{Q} decomposes along $K \times Y \cong X$ as the product $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{T}_K \times \mathcal{R}$ of the fully transitive relation \mathcal{T}_K on K with \mathcal{R} on Y . In particular \mathcal{R} is a Borel equivalence relation with countable classes. We obtain a *graphing* of \mathcal{R} (in the sense of [Gab00, Lev95]) by transporting to the \mathcal{R} -orbit $[y]_{\mathcal{R}}$ of $y \in Y$ the graph \mathfrak{g} . More explicitly, we have a bijection $G/K \rightarrow [y]_{\mathcal{R}}$ mapping a vertex gK of \mathfrak{g} to the unique element of the set $Kg^{-1}y \cap Y$. Notice that this graph structure on $[y]_{\mathcal{R}}$ depends indeed only on the \mathcal{R} -class of y . By construction, each \mathcal{R} -class is then isomorphic as a graph to the connected graph \mathfrak{g} .

For a given parameter $0 < p < 1$, consider the map from X to the space of subgraphs of \mathfrak{g} given as follows: an edge $a \in E$ is kept at $x \in X$ iff $x(a) \leq p$.

This provides us with the *cluster equivalence subrelation* $\mathcal{Q}^{\text{cl}} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ on X defined as in [Gab05] by declaring $x \mathcal{Q}^{\text{cl}} x'$ iff $x' = g^{-1}x$ and the subgraph $x \leq p$ connects gK to eK . Let $\mathcal{R}^{\text{cl}} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ be the restriction of \mathcal{Q}^{cl} to Y ; one checks again that \mathcal{Q}^{cl} is the product $\mathcal{T}_K \times \mathcal{R}^{\text{cl}}$.

We now endow X with the (restriction of the) product ϑ of Lebesgue measures on $[0, 1]^E$. Then ϑ is preserved and ergodic under G ; ergodicity is very classical and can be proved e.g. by the same argument as in [KT08, 2.1]. We consider the maps described above by $x \leq p$ as a random variable on (X, ϑ) with values in the space of subgraphs of \mathfrak{g} ; this is a G -invariant p -Bernoulli bond percolation on \mathfrak{g} with scenery in the sense of [LS99, 3.4]. Therefore, we can apply the results of [LS99] stating that this percolation process has indistinguishable infinite clusters in the sense of [LS99, 3.1].

At this point we record the fact that there exist choices of the parameter p such that the corresponding random subgraphs of \mathfrak{g} have ϑ -almost surely infinitely many infinite clusters, each of which having uncountably many ends. Indeed, in view of Theorems 1.2 and 6.1 in [HP99], it suffices to show that the critical probability p_c for \mathfrak{g} is strictly below the critical uniqueness probability p_u (see also [LS99, 3.10] for a proof of [HP99, 6.1]). The latter condition follows if the free, respectively wired minimal forests are distinct processes on \mathfrak{g} , see [LPS06, 3.6]. That property holds for our choice of \mathfrak{g} ; indeed, the free minimal forest has expected degree > 2 by [Tho13, Thm. 1] and the fact that the spectral radius of \mathfrak{g} is $< 1/9$; on the other hand the wired minimal forest has degree 2 by [LPS06, 3.12]. Alternatively, one can apply the earlier [PSN00] instead of [Tho13].

Let $X_\infty \subseteq X$ be the set of points whose \mathcal{Q}^{cl} -class is infinite; this is non-null by the above discussion. The proof of Proposition 5 in [GL09] applies in this setting and shows that, by the indistinguishability established above, the restriction of \mathcal{Q}^{cl} to X_∞ is ergodic. If we set $Y_\infty = Y \cap X_\infty$, we have $X_\infty = KY_\infty$. Furthermore, by measure disintegration, there is a unique Borel probability measure η on Y such that ϑ is the product of η by the normalized Haar measure of K ; moreover, \mathcal{R} preserves η . It follows that Y_∞ is non-null for η and that the restriction of \mathcal{R}^{cl} to Y_∞ is η -ergodic in view of the decomposition $\mathcal{Q}^{\text{cl}} \cong \mathcal{T}_K \times \mathcal{R}^{\text{cl}}$. This further shows that \mathcal{R}^{cl} has η -almost surely infinitely many infinite clusters, each of which with uncountably many ends.

At this point we can argue exactly as in Propositions 12, 13 and 14 of [GL09] and apply Hjorth's result [Hjo06] to deduce that \mathcal{R} contains a subrelation which is produced by a measure-preserving a.s. free (ergodic) action of the free group F_2 on two generators upon the space (Y, η) .

As usual in this setting, we endow \mathcal{R} with the measure given by integrating over (Y, η) the counting measure of each equivalence class. We thus obtain a σ -finite measure on \mathcal{R} which is preserved by the F_2 -action on the second coordinate of $\mathcal{R} \subseteq Y \times Y$ (the action on the first coordinate would work just as well). Moreover, there exists a positive measure fundamental domain $Z \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ for this F_2 -action, obtained by choosing for each $y \in Y$ in a Borel way representatives for the F_2 -classes within the \mathcal{R} -class of y . (This procedure is described in detail e.g. in [Eps08, 2.2.2] in the case where \mathcal{R} comes from a group action, which is not a restriction [FM77].)

We extend the F_2 -action on Y to a (non-ergodic) F_2 -action on $X \cong K \times Y$ by letting F_2 act trivially on K . The resulting relation is contained in \mathcal{Q} and therefore we have a F_2 -action on the second coordinate of \mathcal{Q} . This action admits

$$\mathcal{T}_K \times Z = \{(ky, k'y') : k, k' \in K \text{ and } (y, y') \in Z\} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$$

as a fundamental domain. We endow \mathcal{Q} with a G -invariant measure m by pushing forward the measure $m_G \times \vartheta$ under the identification

$$G \times X \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}, \quad (g, x) \longmapsto (gx, x),$$

with m_G being a Haar measure on G . Then (\mathcal{Q}, m) is a finite amplification of the G -space (G, m_G) . Moreover, the F_2 -action preserves m because G is unimodular; thus, since we have found a non- m -null fundamental domain $\mathcal{T}_K \times Z$ for F_2 , the F_2 -space (\mathcal{Q}, m) is an amplification of F_2 . Therefore, (\mathcal{Q}, m) endowed with the commuting G - and F_2 -actions is indeed a tychomorphism from F_2 to G . \square

6. Moderate induction

Using moderate measures, we propose a new variant of the classical induction of representations and of cocycles from closed subgroups. In fact, we shall consider the more general case of tychomorphisms instead of subgroups only.

We first need to clarify the meaning of continuity for representations. Consider thus a linear representation $\varrho: G \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}(V)$ of a topological group G on a Banach space V , where \mathbf{GL} denotes the group of invertible continuous linear maps. It is well-known that several possible definitions of continuity coincide for unitary or even uniformly bounded representations (see for instance Lemma 2.4 in [BFGM07]). But for general representations ϱ as above, even the definitions are already trickier because neither the weak nor strong operator topologies are compatible with the group structure of $\mathbf{GL}(V)$ (compare e.g. [Dix96, I §3.1]).

The following lemma (which slightly refines [Ros13, §1.3]) shows that we can nonetheless unambiguously talk about a *continuous representation* when G is Baire (e.g. locally compact) or first-countable. We call ϱ *locally bounded* if the operator norm of ϱ is a locally bounded function on G .

Lemma 21. — *Let G be a topological group and $\varrho: G \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}(V)$ be a representation on a Banach space V . Consider the following assertions:*

- (i) *The action map $G \times V \rightarrow V$ is jointly continuous.*
- (ii) *The orbit map $G \rightarrow V: g \rightarrow \varrho(g)x$ is continuous for every $x \in V$ and ϱ is locally bounded.*
- (iii) *The orbit map $G \rightarrow V: g \rightarrow \varrho(g)x$ is continuous for every $x \in V$.*

Then (i) and (ii) are equivalent. Moreover, they are also equivalent to (iii) if G is Baire or admits countable neighbourhood bases.

It turns out that local boundedness is not automatic for completely general G , even if V is a separable Hilbert space; see Section 9. In particular, orbital and joint continuity do not agree in full generality.

Proof of Lemma 21. — The implications (i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) hold trivially. Notice moreover that ϱ is locally bounded if and only if for every net $g_\alpha \rightarrow g$ in G , the net $\|\varrho(g_\alpha)\|$ is eventually bounded.

For (ii) \Rightarrow (i), let (g_α, x_α) be a net converging to (g, x) in $G \times V$ and consider

$$\|\varrho(g_\alpha)x_\alpha - \varrho(g)x\| \leq \|\varrho(g_\alpha)\| \|x_\alpha - x\| + \|\varrho(g_\alpha)x - \varrho(g)x\|.$$

The first term goes to zero as ϱ is locally bounded and the second one also converges to zero by orbital continuity.

We thus have to prove that orbital continuity implies local boundedness when G is either Baire or first countable.

In the first case, we write G as the increasing union of the subsets $G_n = \{g \in G : \|\varrho(g)\| \leq n\}$. Since G_n can be written as the intersection over all $x \in V$ of all g satisfying $\|\varrho(g)x\| \leq n\|x\|$, it is a closed set by orbital continuity. The Baire condition then implies that G_n has some interior point g when n is large enough. Now any $h \in G$ admits $G_n g^{-1}h$ as a neighbourhood on which $\|\varrho\|$ is bounded by $n\|\varrho(g^{-1}h)\|$.

If on the other hand G is first countable, then local boundedness can be checked on sequences instead of nets; moreover, for sequences, eventual and actual boundedness coincide. We thus show that for every sequence $g_n \rightarrow g$ in G , the sequence $\|\varrho(g_n)\|$ is bounded. By orbital continuity, $\varrho(g_n)x$ converges and hence is bounded for all $x \in V$. The conclusion now follows from the Banach–Steinhaus uniform boundedness principle [DS58, II.3.21]. \square

Theorem 22. — *Let G and H be locally compact second countable groups with a tychomorphism from H to G .*

If H admits a continuous affine fixed point free action on a separable Hilbert space with a bounded orbit, then so does G .

Proof. — Let V be a separable Hilbert space with a continuous affine action α of H having a bounded orbit. Upon conjugating by a translation, we can assume that the orbit of the origin is bounded, i.e. that the cocycle $b: H \rightarrow V$ of α is bounded. Let (Σ, m) be a tychomorphism from H to G realized as a finite amplification $(G, \tilde{m}_G) \times (X, \vartheta)$ and denote by χ an H -equivariant retraction $\Sigma \rightarrow H$ (i.e. χ is the projection onto H , when Σ is seen as an amplification of H).

First, we twist the measure m by a moderate measure μ on G , which exists by Corollary 9. That is, we define a new measure $\tilde{\mu}$ by pushing the product measure on $(G, \mu) \times (X, \vartheta)$ to Σ through the isomorphism given by the tychomorphism. Thus $\tilde{\mu}$ is a probability measure on Σ in the same class as m .

We define an action of $G \times H$ on functions $f: \Sigma \rightarrow V$ by

$$(gf)(s) = f(g^{-1}s), \quad (hf)(s) = f(h^{-1}s).$$

As $G \times H$ preserves the class of the measures m and $\tilde{\mu}$ on Σ , this action is also well defined on the equivalence classes of measurable functions.

Consider now the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\Sigma, \tilde{\mu}; V)$. The fact that μ is moderate implies that G preserves \mathcal{H} . Let us check that this action is continuous, i.e. that the orbit maps $G \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ are continuous (cf. Lemma 21, since local boundedness is readily implied by the definition of a moderate measure). Let thus $g_n \rightarrow g$ be a

convergent sequence in G . Thanks to the local boundedness, it suffices to check the continuity of the orbit maps only for points in some dense subset E of \mathcal{H} . By the σ -finiteness of m , we can take for E the subspace spanned by indicator functions of measurable sets $A \subset \Sigma$ with finite m -measure. But for these functions, the $L^2(\tilde{\mu})$ -convergence of $g_n \mathbf{1}_A$ to $g \mathbf{1}_A$ is equivalent to the $L^2(m)$ -convergence, because m and $\tilde{\mu}$ are two equivalent σ -finite measures. Since the modular homomorphism Δ_G is continuous, this is nothing but a special case of the continuity of λ , the (isometric) left regular representation of G on $L^2(\Sigma, m; V)$ given by $(\lambda(g)f)(s) = \Delta_G(g)^{\frac{1}{2}} f(g^{-1}s)$. By Proposition 1.1.3 in [Mon01], in order to get the latter, it is enough to check that orbit maps are norm-measurable, for which we borrow the argument from appendix D, Theorem 1.2.1 in [Büh11]. For any $\xi, \eta \in L^2(\Sigma, m; V)$, consider the map

$$g \mapsto \langle \lambda(g)\xi, \eta \rangle = \Delta_G(g)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\Sigma} \langle \xi(g^{-1}s), \eta(s) \rangle_V dm(s).$$

By definition of a tychomorphism, $(g, s) \mapsto \langle \xi(g^{-1}s), \eta(s) \rangle_V$ is a measurable function on $G \times \Sigma$, which is moreover bounded if ξ and η are essentially bounded. Hence an application of [Kec95, 17.25] shows that $g \mapsto \langle \lambda(g)\xi, \eta \rangle$ is measurable when ξ and η are bounded, hence for any ξ and η by density. In particular, the orbit maps $g \mapsto \lambda(g)\xi$ are weakly measurable. By [Mon01, Lemma 3.3.3], they are also norm-measurable, as desired.

Consider next the subset $W \subset \mathcal{H}$ of functions f that are H -equivariant in the sense that $hf = \alpha(h^{-1}) \circ f$ holds for every $h \in H$. Then W is a G -invariant closed subset that is stable under affine combinations. Moreover, for any $g \in G$, the function $\beta_g: \Sigma \rightarrow V: s \mapsto b\chi(g^{-1}s)$ lies in W , has norm bounded by that of b and satisfies $\beta_g = g\beta_e$. Hence the restriction of the G -action to W is a continuous affine action of G with a bounded orbit.

Lastly, suppose for the sake of a contradiction that there is a G -fixed point in W . This would then descend to a measurable function $X \rightarrow V$ which is H -equivariant. Moreover, the latter is ϑ -integrable thanks to the L^2 -condition since ϑ is finite. Therefore, averaging over X , we would get a fixed point in V since the H -action on X preserves ϑ by Remark 15. \square

7. Proofs of Theorems A and B

Let G be any locally compact group. Recall that G admits a maximal normal amenable closed subgroup, the *amenable radical* $\text{Ramen}(G)$. The following general splitting result from [BM02] relies notably on the solution to Hilbert's fifth problem.

Theorem 23 (Thm. 3.3.3 in [BM02]). — *Let G be any locally compact group.*

The quotient group $G/\text{Ramen}(G)$ has a finite index open characteristic subgroup which splits as a direct product $S \times D$ where S is a connected semi-simple Lie group with trivial center and no compact factors and D is totally disconnected.

Moreover, D is second countable if G is σ -compact. \square

The statement on second countability is not made explicitly in loc. cit., but it follows from Satz 5 in [KK44] that when G is σ -compact, it has a second countable quotient by a compact normal subgroup — which is necessarily contained in $\text{Ramen}(G)$.

We first prove Theorem B. Let thus G be a non-amenable locally compact second countable group. Since there are inclusions $F_r < F_2$ for all $0 \leq r \leq \aleph_0$ we only consider $r = 2$, using Lemma 17.

We shall distinguish two cases; as a first case, suppose that the connected component G° of the identity is already non-amenable. Then G contains a discrete non-abelian free subgroup:

Lemma 24. — *A connected locally compact group is amenable if and only if it does not contain any discrete non-abelian free subgroup.*

Proof. — Let H be a non-amenable connected locally compact group and let $L = H/\text{Ramen}(H)$ be the quotient by its amenable radical. In the present case, there is no splitting and Theorem 23 is a direct consequence of the solution to Hilbert's fifth problem; it implies that L is a semi-simple Lie group of positive \mathbf{R} -rank. In particular, it contains a closed subgroup $L_1 < L$ of rank one. The classical ping-pong lemma applied to suitable hyperbolic elements of L_1 acting on the boundary of the symmetric space of L_1 provides a free subgroup F_2 of L_1 (modulo its center) and shows moreover that this F_2 is discrete. Being free, it can be lifted to H and any such lift remains discrete.

The converse is elementary since *closed* subgroups of amenable locally compact groups remain amenable (see [Ric67, Theorem 3.9]). \square

Therefore we have a tychomorphism from our discrete non-abelian free subgroup to G as indicated in Example 12 and thus also one from F_2 to G by Lemma 17.

The second case is when G° is amenable. By Proposition 18, it suffices to produce a tychomorphism from F_2 to G/G° . Let $G_1 < G/G^\circ$ be the kernel of the modular homomorphism of G/G° . This closed normal subgroup is unimodular; in fact, it is the maximal unimodular closed normal subgroup, see Proposition 10 in [Bou63, VII §2 N° 7]. Observe that G_1 also remains totally disconnected and non-amenable. By considering the directed family of subgroups of G_1 generated by a compact neighbourhood of the identity, we can choose a compactly generated subgroup $G_2 < G_1$ which is non-amenable, and still unimodular since it is open. Being totally disconnected, it contains some compact-open subgroup K . By Theorem 20, there is a tychomorphism from F_2 to the quotient of G_2 by the core of K , hence there exists one from F_2 to G_2 by Proposition 18. We can compose it with the inclusions $G_2 < G_1 < G/G^\circ$ thanks to Lemma 17 in order to get a tychomorphism from F_2 to G/G° , as desired.

This finishes the proof of Theorem B.

For the Lie oriented reader, we point out the following reformulation of Theorem B (and its easy converse).

Corollary 25. — *Let G be a locally compact second countable group. Then G is amenable if and only if it does not admit a tychomorphism from $\mathbf{SL}_2(\mathbf{R})$.*

Indeed the corollary follows by composition of tychomorphisms since any locally compact group is measure equivalent to its lattices, which in the case of $\mathbf{SL}_2(\mathbf{R})$ include non-abelian free groups.

We now turn to Theorem A and consider a *non-amenable* locally compact σ -compact group G . Our goal is to provide a continuous fixed point free affine G -action on a Hilbert space with a bounded orbit. It suffices of course to provide such an action for some quotient of G and hence we can assume G second countable by appealing as above to [KK44]; notice that we could even have replaced G by $G/\text{Ramen}(G)$.

The starting point is provided by Section 2, which shows that for instance the free group F_4 has a fixed point free affine action on a Hilbert space with a bounded orbit. We can then apply Theorem B and Theorem 22 to conclude the proof of Theorem A, relying on the existence of moderate measures for all groups, see Corollary 9. Alternatively, the latter can be bypassed by providing the requested action for the quotient group $G/\text{Ramen}(G)$, see Remark 10.

8. Proof of Theorem C

We begin by recording an elementary common knowledge fact.

Lemma 26. — *Let H be an open subgroup of a topological group G . If H admits a non-unitarisable uniformly bounded continuous representation on a Hilbert space, then so does G .*

Proof. — Given the H -representation on V , we can form the *usual* induced G -representation on $W = \ell^2(G/H, V)$, where G/H is endowed with the counting measure and G acts on $f \in W$ by $(gf)(x) = \gamma(g^{-1}, x)^{-1}f(g^{-1}x)$, where $\gamma: G \times G/H \rightarrow H$ is the (continuous) cocycle determined by a choice of representatives for the cosets. One verifies that this is indeed a well-defined uniformly bounded continuous representation. Since H is open, the H -representation V is contained in the restriction of W from G to H as the space of maps supported on the trivial coset, whence the conclusion. \square

We can and shall assume that G is σ -compact and A countable (then $A \wr_{G/O} G$ is also σ -compact). For let $A_0 < A$ be an infinite countable subgroup and $G_0 < G$ an open σ -compact subgroup (e.g. the subgroup generated by a compact neighbourhood of the identity). If $O < G_0$ is an open subgroup such that $A_0 \wr_{G_0/O} G_0$ is non-unitarisable, then indeed $A \wr_{G/O} G$ is also non-unitarisable by Lemma 26 since it contains $A_0 \wr_{G_0/O} G_0$ as an open subgroup (noting that O remains open in G).

We distinguish cases as in Section 7; suppose first that the connected component G° is amenable.

Then $G_1 = G/\text{Ramen}(G)$ is totally disconnected, non-amenable and second countable; moreover, the core of any compact-open subgroup $K < G_1$ is trivial. We choose such a K and define $O < G$ to be its pre-image in G . Notice that it suffices to show

that the group $A \wr_{G_1/K} G_1$ is non-unitarisable since it is a quotient of $A \wr_{G/O} G$. By Theorem B, there exists a tychomorphism from F_2 to G_1 . Let

$$(\Sigma, m) \cong (G_1, \check{m}_{G_1}) \times (X, \vartheta) \cong (H, m_H) \times (Z, \eta)$$

be the corresponding $G_1 \times H$ -space and its realizations as amplifications with associated cocycles α and β . At this point, we can for a while follow exactly the path taken in [MO10]:

Recall first that the non-unitarisability of F_2 is implied by the following a priori stronger statement: there is a unitary representation (π, V) of F_2 such that the bounded cohomology $H_b^1(F_2, \mathcal{L}(V))$ is non-trivial, where $\mathcal{L}(V)$ is the Banach space of bounded operators of V endowed with the isometric F_2 -module structure given by conjugation by π . We refer to Lemma 4.5 in [Pis01] for this fact, recalling that π can be taken to be the regular representation on $V = \ell^2(F_2)$ and that a (straightforward) translation from derivations to 1-cocycles has to be made. We now consider the (classical unitarily) induced unitary G_1 -representation σ on $W = L^2(Z, \eta; V)$ defined by

$$(\sigma(g)f)(s) = \Delta_{G_1}(g)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \pi(\beta(g^{-1}, s)^{-1}) f(g^{-1}s).$$

We have again a corresponding G_1 -representation on the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{L}(W)$ given by conjugation by σ . This representation preserves the subalgebra $E = L_{w*}^\infty(Z, \mathcal{L}(V))$ of weak-* measurable bounded function classes. In fact, the resulting G_1 -representation on E is none other than the “ L^∞ -induced” representation (i.e without the Δ_{G_1} factor) associated to the above F_2 -representation on $\mathcal{L}(V)$. In relation to this L^∞ -induced representation there is also a cohomological induction map

$$H_b^1(F_2, \mathcal{L}(V)) \longrightarrow H_b^1(G_1, E).$$

The latter is injective. Indeed, the proof given in [MS06, §4.3] for the case of ME couplings of discrete groups holds without changes.

In addition to its G_1 -structure, $\mathcal{L}(W)$ is a module over the von Neumann algebra $L^\infty(Z)$ and this module structure is compatible with the G_1 -representation on $L^\infty(Z)$ in the sense that conjugating by $g \in G_1$ the action of some $\varphi \in L^\infty(Z)$ on $\mathcal{L}(W)$ simply gives the action of $g\varphi = \varphi \circ g^{-1}$. It follows that we will turn $\mathcal{L}(W)$ into a (dual isometric) coefficient $A \wr_{G_1/K} G_1$ -module as soon as we define any representation of A into the unitary group of the subalgebra $L^\infty(Z)^K$ of $L^\infty(Z)$. Notice furthermore that the $L^\infty(Z)$ -module structure of $\mathcal{L}(W)$ preserves E so that E will also inherit that $A \wr_{G_1/K} G_1$ -action.

Remark 27. — We will need repeatedly that for any compact subgroup $K' < G_1$ the algebra $L^\infty(Z)^{K'}$ is canonically identified with $L^\infty(K' \backslash Z)$, where $K' \backslash Z$ is an ordinary quotient of Z (in contrast to the case of non-compact groups, where one needs to introduce a space of ergodic components which would be unsuitable for some arguments below). This is the case because the compactness of K' ensures that its action on Z is smooth in the ergodic-theoretical sense, as follows from a result of Varadarajan (Theorem 3.2 in [Var63]).

We now choose an A -representation into the unitary group of $L^\infty(K \setminus Z)$ that generates $L^\infty(K \setminus Z)$ as a von Neumann algebra (this exists e.g. by the argument given on page 257 in [MO10]). We write $N = \bigoplus_{G_1/K} A$, so that $A \wr_{G_1/K} G_1 = N \rtimes G_1$.

Lemma 28. — *Under the embedding $E \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(W)$, we have $E = \mathcal{L}(W)^N$.*

Proof. — In view of Theorem IV.5.9 in [Tak02], what we need to prove is the following claim. The von Neumann subalgebra of $L^\infty(Z)$ generated by the union of all $gL^\infty(Z)^K = L^\infty(Z)^{gKg^{-1}}$, where gK ranges over G_1/K , is $L^\infty(Z)$ itself.

We first record that for any two compact subgroups $K', K'' < G_1$ the von Neumann algebra generated by $L^\infty(Z)^{K'}$ and $L^\infty(Z)^{K''}$ coincides with $L^\infty(Z)^{K' \cap K''}$ (this can fail for non-compact groups). Indeed this follows immediately from the consequence of Varadarajan's theorem indicated in Remark 27. Consider now the net $L^\infty(Z)^{K'}$ indexed by the directed set of all finite intersections $K' < G_1$ of G_1 -conjugates of K . The normalized integration over K' provides a conditional expectation from $L^\infty(Z)$ to $L^\infty(Z)^{K'}$ for each K' which turns this net into an inverse system. Therefore, the martingale convergence theorem implies that the algebra generated by the union of all $L^\infty(Z)^{K'}$ is weak-* dense in the von Neumann subalgebra $B < L^\infty(Z)$ of functions that are measurable with respect to the common refinement of all partitions defined by all $Z \rightarrow K' \setminus Z$. (Although general nets bring complications to martingale convergence [Kri56], here we can anyway restrict to a cofinal *sequence* since G_1 is second countable.) Since K has trivial core in G_1 , it follows (using again Varadarajan's theorem) that in fact the common refinement is trivial and hence $B = L^\infty(Z)$. This implies the claim and thus finishes the proof. \square

We can now conclude the proof exactly as in [MO10]: We know that $H_b^1(G_1, E)$ is non-trivial and that $E \cong \mathcal{L}(W)^N$. Moreover, the amenability of N implies that $H_b^1(G_1, \mathcal{L}(W)^N)$ can be identified with $H_b^1(N \rtimes G_1, \mathcal{L}(W))$, see [Mon01, 7.5.10]. Appealing again to Lemma 4.5 in [Pis01], we deduce that the group $N \rtimes G_1$ is non-unitarisable, finishing the proof of Theorem C in the case where G° is amenable.

If on the other hand G° is non-amenable, then Theorem 23 implies that after passing to an open subgroup (which we can by Lemma 26), our group has a quotient which is a connected non-compact simple Lie group. As recalled in the Introduction, the particular example of $\mathbf{SL}_2(\mathbf{R})$ was actually the first example of a non-unitarisable group. Thanks to the substantial theory available for representations of simple Lie groups, this example is known to generalize to all connected non-compact simple Lie groups, see Remark 0.8 in [Pis05]. In conclusion, G itself is non-unitarisable in this case, and hence so is a fortiori any extension of G . This completes the proof of Theorem C.

9. Remarks and questions

Our proof of Theorem A is rather indirect and uses tychomorphisms.

Question 29. — *Is there an elementary proof of Theorem A, not relying on Theorem B?*

This question arises even for discrete groups.

Remark 30. — The classical fixed point property for amenable groups concerns compact convex sets in general locally convex spaces. Consider the following weakened property for a topological group G :

Whenever G acts continuously on a locally convex space V by weakly continuous affine transformations and preserves some weakly compact convex nonempty set K in V , it has a fixed point.

This property is actually equivalent to the (formally stronger) usual one. Indeed, it is enough to check that continuous actions by weak-* continuous affine transformations on a dual Banach space V preserving some weak-* compact convex nonempty set are encompassed in this situation (see [Ric67, Remarks 4.3]). But the weak topology of V endowed with its weak-* topology is none other than its weak-* topology, whence the claim.

Remark 31. — The action built in Section 2 has the additional property that the orbit of 0 is not only bounded but relatively compact in norm. Indeed, the inclusion operator $\ell^\infty(G) \rightarrow \ell^2(G, \mu)$ is compact because μ is finite and atomic. A similar argument applies for moderate induction to discrete groups containing F_2 . However, a priori this does not hold for induction through tychomorphisms since the corresponding measure space is in general not atomic. This motivates the following:

Question 32. — *Let G be a locally compact σ -compact group. Suppose that every continuous affine G -action on a Hilbert space preserving a norm-compact non-empty set has a fixed point. Does it follow that G is amenable?*

Again, the question seems open even for G discrete.

(One can equivalently consider norm-compact *convex* sets in view of Mazur's theorem [Maz30].)

Remark 33. — We already observed in the Introduction that the Banach–Steinhaus uniform boundedness principle forces the considered actions to have at least some unbounded orbit, and actually the points with unbounded orbits are dense. Moreover, the action built in Section 2 also has a dense subset of points with *bounded* orbits: the image in $\ell^2(G, \mu)/\mathbf{R}$ of the functions with finite support.

Remark 34. — An action on a compact convex subset of a Hilbert space does not necessarily extend to the whole ambient space. Let for instance V be the space $\ell^2(\mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\})$ and K in V be the compact convex subset of points x such that $|x_n| \leq n^{-1}$ and $|x_{-n}| \leq n^{-2}$ for $n > 0$. Define the affine transformation $T: K \rightarrow K$ by

$$(T(x))_n = nx_{-n} \quad (T(x))_{-n} = \frac{x_n}{n}$$

for any $n > 0$. This is a continuous involution of K . However, any linear extension of T to V should map δ_n to $n\delta_{-n}$ for $n > 0$, which is impossible.

Remark 35. — In order to give some context to Lemma 21, we propose here a whole family of representations $\varrho: G \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}(V)$ of a topological group G on a Banach space V .

Consider any normed vector space U . Let G be the additive group of U endowed with the weak topology and let $V = \mathbf{R} \oplus U^*$, where U^* is the (strong) dual of U and the sum is endowed with the ℓ^2 -sum of the two norms. In particular, V is a separable Hilbert space if U is so.

Finally, the representation ϱ is defined by $\varrho(u)(t, x) = (t + x(u), x)$. Then every orbital map is continuous by definition of the weak topology. On the other hand, we have $\|\varrho(u)\| \geq \|u\|$ and hence ϱ is locally bounded if and *only if* U is finite-dimensional.

We note in passing that the proof of Lemma 21 used the Banach–Steinhaus uniform boundedness principle; hence the latter fails for general nets, illustrating the specific assumptions made e.g. in [Bou55, III §3 N° 6].

Turning to the Dixmier problem, our next two questions would of course be moot if every (locally compact) unitarisable group were amenable. This seems questionable even in the discrete case; our questions, however, become obvious for discrete groups (see Lemma 26).

Question 36. — *Does unitarisability pass to closed subgroups of locally compact groups?*

A preliminary question being:

Question 37. — *Let G be a locally compact group containing a discrete (non-commutative) free subgroup. Is G non-unitarisable?*

References

- [Abe74] Herbert Abels, *Specker-Kompaktifizierungen von lokal kompakten topologischen Gruppen*, Math. Z. **135** (1973/74), 325–361.
- [Ber06] George Mark Bergman, *Generating infinite symmetric groups*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **38** (2006), no. 3, 429–440.
- [BFGM07] Uri Bader, Alex Furman, Tsachik Gelander, and Nicolas Monod, *Property (T) and rigidity for actions on Banach spaces*, Acta Math. **198** (2007), no. 1, 57–105.
- [BGM12] Uri Bader, Tsachik Gelander, and Nicolas Monod, *A fixed point theorem for L^1 spaces*, Invent. Math. **189** (2012), no. 1, 143–148.
- [BM02] Marc Burger and Nicolas Monod, *Continuous bounded cohomology and applications to rigidity theory*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **12** (2002), no. 2, 219–280.
- [Bou55] N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique. XVIII. Première partie: Les structures fondamentales de l’analyse. Livre V: Espaces vectoriels topologiques. Chapitres III–V*, Actualités Sci. Ind., no. 1229, Hermann & Cie, Paris, 1955.
- [Bou63] N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique, Fascicule XXIX. Livre IV, Intégration, Chapitres 7 et 8*, Hermann (Act. Sc. Ind. 1306), Paris, 1963.
- [Büh11] Theo Bühler, *On the algebraic foundations of bounded cohomology*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **214** (2011), no. 1006, xxii+97.
- [CC14] Pierre-Emmanuel Caprace and Yves Cornuier, *On embeddings into compactly generated groups*, Pacific J. Math. **269** (2014), no. 2, 305–321.
- [CI10] Ionut Chifan and Adrian Ioana, *Ergodic subequivalence relations induced by a Bernoulli action*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **20** (2010), no. 1, 53–67.

- [Day50] Mahlon Marsh Day, *Means for the bounded functions and ergodicity of the bounded representations of semi-groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **69** (1950), 276–291.
- [Dix50] Jacques Dixmier, *Les moyennes invariantes dans les semi-groupes et leurs applications*, Acta Sci. Math. Szeged **12** (1950), 213–227.
- [Dix96] Jacques Dixmier, *Les algèbres d'opérateurs dans l'espace hilbertien (algèbres de von Neumann)*, Les Grands Classiques Gauthier-Villars., Éditions Jacques Gabay, Paris, 1996, Reprint of the second (1969) edition.
- [DS58] Nelson Dunford and Jacob Theodore Schwartz, *Linear Operators. I. General Theory*, With the assistance of W. G. Bade and R. G. Bartle. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 7, Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, 1958.
- [EM55] Leon Ehrenpreis and Friederich Ignaz Mautner, *Uniformly bounded representations of groups*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **41** (1955), 231–233.
- [EM09] Inessa Epstein and Nicolas Monod, *Nonunitarizable representations and random forests*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2009), no. 22, 4336–4353.
- [Eps08] Inessa Epstein, *Some results on orbit inequivalent actions of non-amenable groups*, 2008, Thesis (Ph.D.)—University of California, Los Angeles.
- [FM77] Jacob Feldman and Calvin Cooper Moore, *Ergodic equivalence relations, cohomology, and von Neumann algebras. I*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **234** (1977), no. 2, 289–324.
- [Fur11] Alex Furman, *A survey of measured group theory*, Geometry, rigidity, and group actions, Chicago Lectures in Math., Univ. Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 2011, pp. 296–374.
- [Gab00] Damien Gaboriau, *Coût des relations d'équivalence et des groupes*, Invent. Math. **139** (2000), no. 1, 41–98.
- [Gab05] Damien Gaboriau, *Invariant percolation and harmonic Dirichlet functions*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **15** (2005), no. 5, 1004–1051.
- [GL09] Damien Gaboriau and Russell Lyons, *A measurable-group-theoretic solution to von Neumann's problem*, Invent. Math. **177** (2009), no. 3, 533–540.
- [Gui73] Yves Guivarc'h, *Croissance polynomiale et périodes des fonctions harmoniques*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **101** (1973), 333–379.
- [Hjo06] Greg Hjorth, *A lemma for cost attained*, Ann. Pure Appl. Logic **143** (2006), no. 1-3, 87–102.
- [HN15] Tom Hutchcroft and Asaf Nachmias, *Indistinguishability of trees in uniform spanning forests*, Preprint, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1506.00556>, 2015.
- [HNN49] Graham Higman, Bernhard Hermann Neumann, and Hanna Neumann, *Embedding theorems for groups*, J. London Math. Soc. **24** (1949), 247–254.
- [Hou12] Cyril Houdayer, *Invariant percolation and measured theory of nonamenable groups [after Gaboriau-Lyons, Ioana, Epstein]*, Astérisque (2012), no. 348, Exp. No. 1039, ix, 339–374, Séminaire Bourbaki: Vol. 2010/2011. Exposés 1027–1042.
- [HP99] Olle Häggström and Yuval Peres, *Monotonicity of uniqueness for percolation on Cayley graphs: all infinite clusters are born simultaneously*, Probab. Theory Related Fields **113** (1999), no. 2, 273–285.
- [HP06] Uffe Valentin Haagerup and Agata Przybyszewska, *Proper metrics on locally compact groups, and proper affine isometric actions on Banach spaces*, 2006, Preprint, <http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0606794>.
- [Kec95] Alexander Sotirios Kechris, *Classical descriptive set theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 156, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

- [KK44] Shizuo Kakutani and Kunihiko Kodaira, *Über das Haarsche Maß in der lokal bikompakten Gruppe*, Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo **20** (1944), 444–450.
- [Kle55] Victor LaRue Klee, *Some topological properties of convex sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **78** (1955), 30–45.
- [Kri56] Klaus Krickeberg, *Convergence of martingales with a directed index set*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **83** (1956), 313–337.
- [KS60] Ray Alden Kunze and Elias Menachem Stein, *Uniformly bounded representations and harmonic analysis of the 2×2 real unimodular group.*, Am. J. Math. **82** (1960), 1–62.
- [KT08] Alexander Sotirios Kechris and Todor Tsankov, *Amenable actions and almost invariant sets*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **136** (2008), no. 2, 687–697 (electronic).
- [Lev95] Gilbert Levitt, *On the cost of generating an equivalence relation*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **15** (1995), no. 6, 1173–1181.
- [LPS06] Russell David Lyons, Yuval Peres, and Oded Schramm, *Minimal spanning forests*, Ann. Probab. **34** (2006), no. 5, 1665–1692.
- [LS99] Russell David Lyons and Oded Schramm, *Indistinguishability of percolation clusters*, Ann. Probab. **27** (1999), no. 4, 1809–1836.
- [Maz30] Stanisław Mazur, *Über die kleinste konvexe Menge, die eine gegebene kompakte Menge enthält.*, Studia Math. **2** (1930), 7–9.
- [MO10] Nicolas Monod and Narutaka Ozawa, *The Dixmier problem, lamplighters and Burnside groups*, J. Funct. Anal. **1** (2010), 255–259.
- [Mon01] Nicolas Monod, *Continuous bounded cohomology of locally compact groups*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1758, Springer, Berlin, 2001.
- [Mon06] Nicolas Monod, *An invitation to bounded cohomology*, Proceedings of the international congress of mathematicians (ICM), Madrid, Spain, August 22–30, 2006. Volume II: Invited lectures. Zürich: European Mathematical Society, 1183–1211, 2006.
- [MS06] Nicolas Monod and Yehuda Shalom, *Orbit equivalence rigidity and bounded cohomology*, Ann. of Math. (2) **164** (2006), no. 3, 825–878.
- [NT51] Masahiro Nakamura and Zirô Takeda, *Group representation and Banach limit*, Tôhoku Math. J. (2) **3** (1951), 132–135.
- [Pis01] Gilles Pisier, *Similarity problems and completely bounded maps*, expanded ed., Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1618, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001, Includes the solution to “The Halmos problem”.
- [Pis05] Gilles Pisier, *Are unitarizable groups amenable?*, Infinite groups: geometric, combinatorial and dynamical aspects, Progr. Math., vol. 248, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2005, pp. 323–362.
- [Prz05] Agata Hanna Przybyszewska, *Proper metrics, affine isometric actions and a new definition of group exactness*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Southern Denmark, 2005.
- [PSN00] Igor Pak and Tatiana Smirnova-Nagnibeda, *On non-uniqueness of percolation on nonamenable Cayley graphs*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **330** (2000), no. 6, 495–500.
- [Ric67] Neil William Rickert, *Amenable groups and groups with the fixed point property*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **127** (1967), 221–232.
- [Rip76] Brian David Ripley, *The disintegration of invariant measures*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **79** (1976), no. 2, 337–341.

- [Ros13] Christian Rosendal, *Global and local boundedness of Polish groups*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **62** (2013), no. 5, 1621–1678.
- [SN47] Béla Szőkefalvi-Nagy, *On uniformly bounded linear transformations in Hilbert space*, Acta Univ. Szeged. Sect. Sci. Math. **11** (1947), 152–157.
- [Sri98] Shashi Mohan Srivastava, *A course on Borel sets*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 180, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
- [SW90] Paolo Maurizio Soardi and Wolfgang Woess, *Amenability, unimodularity, and the spectral radius of random walks on infinite graphs*, Math. Z. **205** (1990), no. 3, 471–486.
- [Tak02] Masamichi Takesaki, *Theory of operator algebras. I*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 124, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002, Reprint of the first (1979) edition, Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, 5.
- [Tho13] Andreas Thom, *The expected degree of minimal spanning forests*, Preprint, to appear in *Combinatorica*, <http://arxiv.org/abs/math/1306.0303>, 2013.
- [Thu78] William Paul Thurston, *Geometry and topology of 3-manifolds*, Princeton notes, 1978.
- [Tim15] Ádám Timár, *Indistinguishability of components of random spanning forests*, Preprint, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1506.01370>, 2015.
- [Var63] Veeravalli Seshadri Varadarajan, *Groups of automorphisms of Borel spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **109** (1963), 191–220.

7 August 2015

M. GHEYSENS AND N. MONOD, EPFL, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland