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Abstract

Infectious diseases are among the leading causes of human morbidity and mortality, with the greatest burden felt in the pediatric population. For any infectious disease, only a fraction of the exposed individuals develop clinical symptoms. These inter-individual differences can be due to variation in pathogen virulence or in host susceptibility. The recent advent of high-throughput sequencing (HTS) technology has enabled studies of both human and pathogen genetic factors that have the potential to influence infectious diseases pathogenesis and alter clinical presentation.

In this thesis, I present a set of genomic studies that used HTS to dissect the genetic basis of life-threatening infections with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (*P. aeruginosa*) and respiratory syncytial virus (RSV). This work provides conclusive evidence for the role of rare human genetic variants in susceptibility to life-threatening *P. aeruginosa* and RSV infections in previously healthy children. Furthermore, in an attempt to determine the role of viral genetic factors in severe presentations of RSV infection, I established a framework for exploring RSV genetic variation using HTS technology and bioinformatic analysis.

Together, theses studies demonstrate that current genomic technology, bioinformatic analysis and functional follow-up have the potential to give us novel insight into the molecular basis of host-pathogen interactions and infectious disease pathogenesis.

Keywords: Infectious diseases, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, respiratory syncytial virus, pediatric population, human genomics, viral genomics, high-throughput sequencing, primary immunodeficiency
**Résumé**

Les maladies infectieuses comptent parmi les causes principales de morbidité et de mortalité chez l'homme, et la population pédiatrique est particulièrement touchée. Pour une maladie infectieuse donnée, seule une fraction des individus exposés développent des symptômes cliniques. Ces différences interindividuelles peuvent être dues à des variations dans la virulence du pathogène ou dans la susceptibilité de l'hôte. Les récents développements dans le domaine du séquençage à haut débit ont ouvert la voie à l'étude des facteurs génétiques de l'humain comme des pathogènes - des facteurs qui ont le potentiel d'influencer la pathogenèse des maladies infectieuses ou d'en modifier la présentation clinique.

Dans cette thèse, je présente une série d'études reposant sur le séquençage à haut débit, qui ont pour objectif d'élucider les bases génétiques d'infections potentiellement mortelles dues à *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (*P. aeruginosa*) et au virus respiratoire syncytial (*RSV* pour *respiratory syncytial virus*). Ce travail a permis de mettre en évidence le rôle de variations génétiques humaines rares dans la susceptibilité de certains enfants sans facteurs de risque établis de développer une maladie potentiellement mortelle suite à une infection par *P. aeruginosa* ou *RSV*. D'autre part, j'ai développé un modèle d'exploration des variations génétiques du *RSV* reposant sur le séquençage à haut débit et l'analyse bioinformatique.

Ces études démontrent que la combinaison des technologies génomiques les plus récentes, d'analyses bioinformatiques et d'un suivi fonctionnel permet de fournir de nouvelles informations sur les bases moléculaires des interactions entre hôte et pathogène, ainsi que sur la pathogenèse des maladies infectieuses.

**Mots-clés**: maladies infectieuses, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, virus respiratoire syncytial, population pédiatrique, génomique humaine, génomique virale, séquençage à haut débit, immunodéficience primaire
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Chapter 1  Introduction

1.1  Genetic susceptibility to infectious diseases

Heritable factors have been considered to play a role in infectious diseases for a long time. Tuberculosis and leprosy, for example, were long considered heritable diseases as they were usually clustered within a household (1, 2). With Pasteur's discovery of microbes, and the observations supporting the communicable nature of infectious diseases, the focus moved from host to pathogen. The role of human genetics in the outcome and clinical features of infectious diseases was highlighted again by multiple observations that exposure to a pathogen, while necessary for infection, is not sufficient, and that not all exposed individuals develop overt disease. The first such observation was Charles Nicolle's discovery of "asymptomatic infections" between 1911 and 1920. Nicole realized that apparently healthy individuals can be carriers of replicating typhus microbes (Rickettsia bacteria) in their blood and can transmit the disease while remaining completely asymptomatic (3, 4). In 1927, the accidental infection of a population with Mycobacterium tuberculosis in Lubeck, Germany, caused severe disease and death in some individuals, while others remained unaffected (5). Such observations suggested a potential role for human genetics in susceptibility and outcome of infectious diseases (6-8). More evidence for the importance of genetic variation in infectious disease heterogeneity comes from early twin and adoptee studies. In 1978, Comstock showed that in a cohort of twins with tuberculosis, the disease concordance is significantly higher in monozygotic than in dizygotic twins (9). In a landmark study published in 1988, Sorensen et al. showed that adopted children have a markedly higher risk of premature death form infection if one of their biological parents also died from an infectious disease and concluded: "... premature death in adults has a strong genetic background, especially death due to infections and vascular causes" (10). Together, these early studies strongly support the hypothesis that the host genetic profile plays an important role in individual susceptibility to infection.

1.2  Approaches to gene discovery

1.2.1  Genome-wide linkage and candidate-gene studies

The twin and adoptee studies described above were not designed to provide information on the molecular basis of the observed variability in susceptibility to pathogens. In 1952, Ogden Bruton described the first documented case of a primary immunodeficiency (PID), X-linked agammaglobulinemia (XLA). PIDs are inborn errors of immunity that make affected subjects highly susceptible to infectious agents that rarely cause severe outcomes in the vast majority of patients. Bruton described a boy with recurrent invasive pneumococcal disease and who lacked serum gammaglobulins (11, 12). It took more than
50 years to discover the gene underlying XLA. By 1990, candidate gene studies and genome-wide linkage analysis studies had become standard approaches to study host genetic susceptibility to infectious diseases. They identified a number of genetic regions associated with susceptibility to several infections, including malaria, HIV, tuberculosis, invasive pneumococcal disease and leprosy (13, 14). However, a clear limitation of the linkage approach is the requirement to recruit families that are potentially informative about infectious disease phenotypes. In candidate gene studies, the choice of target genes was either based on evidence from animal studies, genome-wide scans and clinical observations, or simply based on known biological relevance. For example, the human leukocyte antigen (HLA) locus on chromosome 6 has been under intense scrutiny (15–18). Candidate gene association studies are limited by a need for a pre-existing hypothesis as well as low level of reproducibility. Despite their limitations, findings of genome-wide linkage studies and candidate gene studies, along with in vivo studies using different strains of inbred mice shed some light on the molecular basis of susceptibility to several infectious diseases.

1.2.2 Genome-wide association studies (GWAS)

In the first decade of the twenty-first century, the completion of human genome project along with the HapMap project and the advancement in microarray-based high-throughput technologies made it possible to genotype hundreds of thousands of common polymorphisms in the genome of a large number of study participants. These technological and conceptual advances made it possible for the first time to explore the entire human genome without a need to make assumptions about the location of the causal variant(s). The first GWAS was published in 2005 (19). In 2007, the first GWAS of an infectious disease was performed on human immunodeficiency virus-1 (HIV-1) virus load. In this study, Fellay et al. described a series of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) region that associated with control of viral load and disease progression in a European population (20). GWAS have now been performed for multiple infectious diseases, and some identified new associations between human genetic variants (mainly SNPs) and the disease phenotype. A large GWAS conducted by the International HIV Controllers Study in 2010, confirmed the previously published associations with HIV-1 control, and reported associations for 6 additional SNPs: two in European and four in African-American populations (21). Another example of GWAS success is the detection of an association between SNPs in the IL28B gene region and two phenotypes of hepatitis C virus infection: response to interferon-based treatment and spontaneous clearance (22). In 2009, Zhang et al. reported the first GWAS of leprosy susceptibility in 11000 individuals of Chinese ancestry. The study identified multiple strong associations, many of which clustering in innate immune pathways (23). The NHGRI-EBI Catalogue of genome-wide association studies contains 76 studies describing 682 associations in 46 infectious phenotypes that were published between 2007 and April 2015 (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/gwas/home). There are now published GWAS for HIV-1 (21, 24–42), epstein-barr virus (EBV) (43), human papilloma virus (HPV) (44), tripanosoma cruzi (45), hepatitis C virus (22, 46–60), tuberculosis (61–65), malaria (66–69), hepatitis B virus (70–79), influenza virus (80, 81), bacteraemia (82–84), leprosy (23, 85, 86),
leishmaniasis (87), meningococcal disease (88), shingles (89), dengue fever (90), and Creutzfeldt–Jakob disease (91, 92).

GWAS have once again confirmed the important role of host genetics in shaping human response to various infections. However, for statistical reasons, the GWAS approach can only be successful for common infectious phenotypes associating with alleles that are relatively common in the population. Indeed, a GWAS is a statistical test of association that tests the null hypothesis: “none of the SNPs in this dataset is associated with the trait of interest” against the alternate hypothesis: “at least one of the SNPs in this dataset associates with the trait of interest”. In a GWAS with a set number of observations the power to reject the null hypothesis when the alternate is true depends on sample size, effect size and allele frequency. The standard to declare a GWAS hit significant at genome-wide level is a combined P value (including ‘initial discovery’ GWAS and replication cohorts) of < 5e–8. For less common infectious disease phenotypes, the required sample size to achieve such significance thresholds is unrealistically large, making it very difficult or even impossible to recruit enough study participants. Additionally, even with large sample sizes, a GWAS will not be able to find novel or rare variants, as SNP arrays focus on previously known genetic polymorphisms with the majority of the variants having a minor allele frequency above 1 to 5% (Figure 1.1). Despite these limitations, GWAS improved our understanding of genotype-phenotype relationships in infectious diseases and in some cases led to the discovery of new genetic association in previously unsuspected regions of genome.

![Diagram](image_url)

Figure 1.1: Relationship between allele frequency and effect size

Adopted from reference 93; Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) arrays contain variants with allele frequency above 1%. Most GWAS findings are associations between common phenotypes and common alleles with small effect sizes (93).
1.2.3 High-throughput sequencing (HTS)

In 2005, the first high-throughput sequencer became commercially available (the 454 machine, from Life Sciences). The technology used, called pyrosequencing, is based on sequencing-by-synthesis, in which a DNA sequence serves as template and a DNA polymerase is used to extend a sequencing primer by incorporating nucleotides that form a growing sequence complementary to the template. This was the first example of what became known as “next generation sequencing” methods, which used parallelization to produce more reads in a faster and cheaper way (94). The rapid advancement of HTS technologies coupled with the plummeting cost of sequencing allowed the widespread use of this technology in many fields of biology and medicine, including infectious disease biology, during the past five years. HTS in combination with appropriate study design and sampling has made the non a priori screening of entire human genomes a reality. A successful example was the use of exome sequencing by Byun et al. in 2010 to diagnose a child with fatal classic Kaposi sarcoma (KS), a neoplasm caused by human herpes virus 8 (HHVB). They reported a homozygous splice-site mutation in STIM1 (chromosome 11 position 4103413, hg19) that was causing immunodeficiency 10 (IMD10) (95). The same year, Bolze et al. reported the use of a combination of exome-sequencing and linkage analysis in a large kindred with four affected members to identify a homozygous nonsynonymous mutation in FADD as the cause of an autosomal recessive disorder with biological features of autoimmune lymphoproliferative syndrome (ALPS) (96). These two studies were the first example of using HTS in a small number of patients to decipher the genetic basis of rare infectious disorders. Since 2010, there has been an exponential increase in the number of genes known to confer unusual susceptibility to one or more pathogens. Table 1.1 lists these genes discovered since 2010 using HTS.

In brief, recent findings suggest that the use of exome or whole genome sequencing has enormous potential to identify novel variants involved in acquisition or progression of infectious diseases.

### Table 1.1: Novel infectious diseases genes discovered using high-throughput sequencing since 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Mutation</th>
<th>Inh.</th>
<th>Phenotype</th>
<th>Study population</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FADD</td>
<td>Missense</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Severe Infections, autoimmunity</td>
<td>One consanguineous pedigree</td>
<td>(96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAGT1</td>
<td>Nonsense, frame-shift</td>
<td>XR</td>
<td>Severe viral infections, T cell deficiency, CD4 lymphopenia</td>
<td>Two pedigrees</td>
<td>(97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZBTB24</td>
<td>Nonsense, missense</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>B cell deficiency, dysmorphism, Immunodeficiency, Centromeric Instability, and</td>
<td>Nine pedigrees (six consanguineous)</td>
<td>(98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene</td>
<td>Mutation</td>
<td>Inheritance</td>
<td>Phenotype</td>
<td>Pedigrees/Case Description</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAT1</td>
<td>Missense (GOF)</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>Chronic mucocutaneous candidiasis, autoimmune thyroiditis</td>
<td>Multiple pedigrees (99, 100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GATA2</td>
<td>Missense, splice site, frame-shift, nonsense</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>Infections, monocytopenia, B-cell and NK-cell lymphopenia, predisposition to acute myeloid leukemia</td>
<td>Pedigrees and sporadic cases (101–104)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHOH</td>
<td>Nonsense</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Various infections, T cell deficiency</td>
<td>One consanguineous pedigree (105)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARD11</td>
<td>Missense (GOF)</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>B cell lymphocytosis</td>
<td>One pedigree and one sporadic case (106)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCM4</td>
<td>Splice site, frame-shift</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Adrenal insufficiency, NK cell deficiency</td>
<td>Seven consanguineous pedigree (107, 108)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POLE</td>
<td>Splice site</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Bacterial infection, facial dysmorphism, immunodeficiency, livedo, and short stature (FILS syndrome)</td>
<td>One consanguineous pedigree (109)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBK1</td>
<td>Missense</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>Herpes simplex encephalitis</td>
<td>Two sporadic cases (110)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARD14*</td>
<td>Splice site, missense, in-frame deletion (GOF)</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>Psoriasis</td>
<td>Six pedigrees (111–113)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLCG2</td>
<td>Missense, large deletion (GOF)</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>Recurrent infections, low antibody levels, autoimmunity, cold urticaris</td>
<td>Five pedigrees (114, 115)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIK3R1</td>
<td>Nonsense</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Colitis, absent B cells, agammaglobulinemia</td>
<td>One consanguineous pedigree (116)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADAR</td>
<td>Missense</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Aicardi-Goutières syndrome</td>
<td>Eight pedigrees (two consanguineous) (117)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISG15</td>
<td>Nonsense</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Mycobacterial disorder</td>
<td>Two consanguineous pedigrees (118)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBCK1</td>
<td>Nonsense, large deletion</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Invasive bacterial infections, chronic autoinflammation</td>
<td>Two pedigrees (one consanguineous) (119)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD27</td>
<td>Nonsense</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Impaired T cell-dependent B-cell responses, T-cell dysfunction, EBV infection</td>
<td>One consanguineous pedigree (120)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRBA*</td>
<td>Missense, nonsense, frame-shift</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Autoimmunity, hypogammaglobulinemia</td>
<td>Six consanguineous pedigrees (121–)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene</td>
<td>Mutation Type</td>
<td>Disease</td>
<td>Pedigree Information</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MALT1</td>
<td>Missense</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>T cell deficiency</td>
<td>One consanguineous pedigree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL21R</td>
<td>Missense, in-frame deletion</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Impaired T and B cells, impaired NK cell cytotoxicity</td>
<td>Two consanguineous pedigrees</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARD11</td>
<td>Deletion, Nonsense</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Deficient T cell function, distorted B cell populations</td>
<td>Two consanguineous pedigrees</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNFRSF4</td>
<td>Missense</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Kaposi Sarcoma</td>
<td>One consanguineous pedigree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTC7A</td>
<td>Splice site, missense</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Congenital multiple intestinal atresia</td>
<td>Twelve pedigrees (two consanguineous), three sporadic cases</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRAF3IP2</td>
<td>Missense</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Chronic mucocutaneous candidiasis</td>
<td>One consanguineous pedigree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCF3</td>
<td>Missense</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>B cell deficiency, agammaglobulinemia</td>
<td>Eight pedigrees</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNFSF12</td>
<td>Missense</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>Recurrent infections, B cell deficiency, agammaglobulinemia</td>
<td>One pedigree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFKB2</td>
<td>Nonsense, frame-shift</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>Recurrent infections, hypogammaglobulinemia, autoimmune features, adrenal insufficiency</td>
<td>Two pedigrees</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRKCD</td>
<td>Missense, Splice site</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Autoimmunity, systemic lupus erythematosus</td>
<td>Three pedigrees (two consanguineous)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIK3CD</td>
<td>Missense (GOF)</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>Recurrent respiratory and ear infections, decreased circulating B and T cells</td>
<td>Eight pedigrees</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPSA</td>
<td>Missense, frame-shift, nonsense</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>Isolated congenital asplenia (ICA)</td>
<td>Eight pedigrees</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPS45</td>
<td>Missense</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Congenital neutropenia, enlarged kidneys</td>
<td>Seven consanguineous pedigrees</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTEL1</td>
<td>Nonsense, missense, splice region</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Hoyeraal–Hreidarsson syndrome, short telomere, hypogammaglobulinemia, cytopenia</td>
<td>Three pedigrees (one consanguineous)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IKBKB</td>
<td>Nonsense</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Multiple infections,</td>
<td>One</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene</td>
<td>Mutation Type</td>
<td>Inheritance</td>
<td>Phenotype</td>
<td>Pedigree Details</td>
<td>Reference(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCL10</td>
<td>Splice site</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Combined immunodeficiency with B cell, T cell, and fibroblast defects</td>
<td>One consanguineous pedigree</td>
<td>(146)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTPS1</td>
<td>Splice site</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Impaired proliferation of T and B cells in response to antigen receptor-mediated activation</td>
<td>Five pedigrees</td>
<td>(147)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRNT1</td>
<td>Missense, frame-shift, splice site</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>B-cell immunodeficiency, periodic fevers, and developmental delay (SIFD)</td>
<td>Eleven pedigrees (three consanguineous and three sporadic cases)</td>
<td>(148)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL21</td>
<td>Missense</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Inflammatory bowel disease, reduced numbers of circulating CD19 positive B cells</td>
<td>One consanguineous pedigree</td>
<td>(149)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTLA4</td>
<td>Nonsense, splice site</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>Recurrent infection, hypogammaglobulinemia, disrupted T and B cell homeostasis</td>
<td>Four pedigrees</td>
<td>(150)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMEM173</td>
<td>Missense (GOF)</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>Upregulated type I IFN production, autoimmunity</td>
<td>One pedigree</td>
<td>(151)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLRC4</td>
<td>Missense (GOF)</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>Neonatal-onset enterocolitis, periodic fever, and fatal or near-fatal episodes of autoinflammation</td>
<td>One pedigree</td>
<td>(152)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP3K14</td>
<td>Missense</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Recurrent infections, B cell lymphopenia, hypogammaglobulinemia, decreased NK cells</td>
<td>One consanguineous pedigree</td>
<td>(153)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPP1</td>
<td>Missense, in-frame deletion</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Bone marrow failure, Hoyeraal-Hreidarsson syndrome</td>
<td>One pedigree</td>
<td>(154)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAG1</td>
<td>Nonsense, missense</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Invasive bacterial infections, severe congenital neutropenia</td>
<td>Two consanguineous pedigrees</td>
<td>(155)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INO80</td>
<td>Missense</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Defective Immunoglobulin class-switch recombination</td>
<td>Two sporadic cases</td>
<td>(156)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAT4</td>
<td>Missense</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>Kaposi Sarcoma</td>
<td>One consanguineous pedigree</td>
<td>(157)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TADA2A</td>
<td>Missense</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>polyarteritis nodosa, stroke, hepatosplenomegaly, hypogammaglobulinemia, recurrent infections</td>
<td>Multiple pedigrees</td>
<td>(158, 159)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFIH1</td>
<td>Missense (GOF)</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>Upregulated type I IFN production, Aicardi-Goutières syndrome</td>
<td>Seven sporadic cases</td>
<td>(160)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Inheritance</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Pedigrees</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRF7</td>
<td>Missense</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Perturbed type I and type III IFN production</td>
<td>One pedigree</td>
<td>(161)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOCK2</td>
<td>Missense, frame-shift</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Invasive infections; T cell lymphopenia; impaired T cell, B cell, and natural killer cell function; and defective interferon immunity</td>
<td>Five pedigrees (three consanguineous)</td>
<td>(162)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNF31</td>
<td>Missense</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Multorgan autoinflammation, combined immunodeficiency</td>
<td>One consanguineous pedigree</td>
<td>(163)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGM3</td>
<td>Missense, frame-shift</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Recurrent infections, eczema, and increased serum IgE levels</td>
<td>Four consanguineous pedigree</td>
<td>(164)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP3K9</td>
<td>Nonsense</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Susceptibility to severe bacterial infection</td>
<td>One consanguineous pedigree</td>
<td>(165)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RORC</td>
<td>Missense, nonsense</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>Mococutaneous candidiasis and mycobacteriosis</td>
<td>Three consanguineous pedigrees</td>
<td>(166)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRF3</td>
<td>Missense</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>Herpes simplex encephalitis</td>
<td>16 sporadic cases</td>
<td>(167)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.3 Genetic architecture of infectious diseases

One hundred years after Charles Nicole's description of "asymptomatic infections", the genetic architecture of human susceptibility of most infectious diseases is still unknown. Genetic predisposition to infections can be found on a continuous spectrum from purely Mendelian (caused by fully penetrant alleles of high effect size in a single gene) to polygenic (caused by many variations of low effect size with incomplete penetrance in multiple genes) (3). Till recently, rare infectious phenotypes were thought to cause susceptibility to multiple infections (e.g. most PIDs) and common infectious phenotypes cause susceptibility to one pathogen (e.g. susceptibilities discovered by GWAS). This view is consistent with "rare variant-rare disease and common variant-common disease" hypothesis. This model gained experimental support from identification of rare monogenic variants as the genetic basis of PIDs and from a large number of GWAS showing an association between multiple common variants and common infections. However, this model cannot explain the rare PIDs that predispose to only one infection (or even to only primary infection) with one pathogen (8, 168).

The first two PIDs conferring predisposition to a single infectious agent to be described were epidermodysplasia verruciformis (EV), and X-linked lymphoproliferative disease (XLP). EV is an extremely rare disorder that predisposes to wart-like skin lesions and skin cancer. It is an interesting, but certainly not unique example of such infectious phenotypes. EV is caused by a specific group of HPV that are harmless in the general population. The genetic origin of EV was suspected since 1933 and its viral etiology was established in 1946 (169). In 2002, recessive mutations in TMC6 and TMC8 were described as EV-causing, and two years later EV was added to the international list of PIDs (170). Even though EV as a clinical entity was known even before XLA, described in 1952 as the first
PIDs, the lack of overt immunological phenotype and susceptibility to only one infection prevented EV to be recognized as a PID at that time (168). Mutations in SAP were described in 1998 as the genetic basis of XLP, a rare disease occurring in individual carrying the mutation upon infection with EBV (171). XLP is an example of a Mendelian infectious disease with variable phenotype, as patients can develop hemophagocytosis, lymphoma, or hypogammaglobulinemia (168). Mendelian Susceptibility to Mycobacterial Disease was the first example of Mendelian susceptibility to a coherent group of pathogens, and the genetic etiology of the disease - recessive mutations in IFNGR1 - was first described in 1996 (172, 173). A more recent example of Mendelian susceptibility to a single pathogen is chronic mucocutaneous candidiasis (CMC), which is the manifestation of unusual susceptibility to the commensal fungus Candida albicans and segregates as recessive or dominant due to mutations leading to impaired IL-17 immunity (174).

The notion of incomplete penetrance adds another layer of complexity to the understanding of host genetic susceptibility to infections. The monogenic architecture of susceptibility to one or a narrow range of infections can indeed be obscured when clinical symptoms are not present in all carriers of the mutant alleles. A prime example is herpes simplex encephalitis (HSE), the most common form of sporadic viral encephalitis in the western world, which is observed during primary infection with herpes simplex virus-1 (HSV-1). HSE is known since 1941, and has been rarely seen in familial form. Children who develop HSE upon HSV-1 infection are not particularly susceptible to other infections, and children with other PIDs are not more susceptible to HSE (8), thus a genetic basis for the disease was not suspected. The first genetic etiology of HSE, recessive mutations in UNC93B1, was described in 2006 (175). Since then, six other genetic causes of HSE have been described (110, 167, 176–179): autosomal dominant and autosomal recessive TLR3 deficiency, autosomal dominant TBK1 deficiency, autosomal dominant TRAF3 deficiency, autosomal dominant and autosomal recessive TRIF deficiency, and autosomal dominant IRF3 deficiency. Because the disease is not systematically observed in individuals carrying the risk genotypes, susceptibility to HSE can be described as an example of monogenic but not fully penetrant susceptibility to infection.

Based on these examples and on similar findings that could not be explained using the previously accepted model, Alcaïs et al. proposed a more comprehensive model for the genetic architecture of infectious diseases in 2010 (Figure 3) (180). In this model, age and fatality rate are considered important co-factors in deciphering the genetic architecture of infectious diseases. The monogenic component of the spectrum provides an explanation for the occurrence of life-threatening primary infections, which happen mostly in childhood, whereas polygenic/complex predisposition is more likely to explain secondary infections and infection reactivations, which occur mostly in older patients. Additional support for this model comes from a recent study by Brodin et al., who investigated 204 immunological parameters in 210 healthy twins and showed that many of them become more variable with age, suggesting that the cumulative influence of environmental exposure alters the role of human genetics in susceptibility to infectious diseases in older patients (181).
Any infectious disease is the result of the interplay between host, pathogen and the environment. The evolutionary arm race between host and pathogen is a great force that shapes both host and pathogen genomes. As discussed above, the clinical outcome of infections is influenced by variation in the human genome. On the other hand, genetic variation in pathogen genomes can also affect disease severity and clinical outcome. The power of pathogen genomics studies to transform our understanding of infectious disease became clear with the full genome sequencing of the first pathogen, \textit{Haemophilus influenzae}, in 1995. Since then, pathogen genomics studies have been used to study drug resistance, transmission patterns, analysis of disease outbreaks, clinical diagnosis and pathogen virulence. In a controversial study in 2012, Burke et al. used site-directed mutagenesis to mutate influenza A (H5N1) virus and showed that the virus can acquire mutations that greatly increase pathogenicity by enabling airborne transmission of the virus between mammals. Multiple studies of influenza A (H1N1) virus reported association between mutations in haemagglutinin (HA), non-structural protein 1 (NS1) and polymerase basic protein 2 (PB2) and viral virulence. Mutations in genotypes C and D of hepatitis B virus (HBV) have been shown to be associated with liver cirrhosis and progression to hepatocellular carcinoma, which are the most severe long-term complications of HBV infection. These findings highlight the importance of including pathogen genomics in the study of human infections. Yet, most genomic studies of infectious diseases are conducted from the perspective of the host because the human genome is relatively conserved from generation to generation. Conversely, most pathogen genomes exhibit relatively high variability, making it challenging to discern mutations that have a modulating impact on host interaction and virulence from other neutral mutations. With widespread access to HTS technologies, it is now possible to sequence...
viruses, bacteria, fungi or parasites isolated from each infected host and to look for pathogen genetic factors that can affect virulence. The result of such studies will help to get a more comprehensive picture of infectious disease pathogenesis and will pave the way for development of new preventive and therapeutic measures.

1.5 Justification of research

Infectious diseases are among the leading causes of human morbidity and mortality and the burden of infectious disorders is the greatest in the pediatric population. As described above, recent findings support the idea of a monogenic but non-Mendelian basis for many infectious diseases of childhood, and incomplete penetrance seems to be the rule rather than the exception. One strategy to increase the efficiency of gene discovery is to sequence individuals with the most dramatic clinical presentation, i.e. at the extreme of the phenotype distribution. The promise of this approach comes from the fact that variants of large effect sizes are under strong purifying selection and thus are found at low frequencies in the general population. Accordingly, for each trait with a normal distribution of phenotypic outcome in a population, individuals that are part of the tail of the distribution are more likely to carry variants of profound effect. Therefore, it is possible to design relatively small sequencing studies by focusing on individuals with extreme phenotypes, who are most likely to be informative genetically. Life-threatening susceptibility to individual pathogens in pediatric population is a rare, if not extremely rare, condition and extreme phenotype sampling is particularly beneficial to find the genetic etiology of these disorders.

In the next two chapters of this work, I present two host genomic studies, in which we used exome sequencing, bioinformatic analysis and functional follow-up to explore the genetic basis of extreme susceptibility to common infections in the pediatric population. The first is severe sepsis due to Pseudomonas aeruginosa (P. aeruginosa), an opportunistic bacterium that is found in abundance in soil, water and air, and rarely causes clinical symptoms in healthy individuals. However, in rare cases, it can cause bacteraemia and sepsis in previously healthy individuals, resulting in a high mortality rate. The second is severe lower respiratory tract infection due to respiratory syncytial virus (RSV). RSV is the most common viral respiratory infection in children, and usually causes only mild and self-limiting symptoms in healthy individuals. In the fourth chapter, I describe a viral genomic study, in which we used high-throughput sequencing to compare the genetic variability of two RSV strains extracted from nasopharyngeal aspirates (NPAs) of patients with extreme susceptibility to RSV with genomic variation of RSV strains extracted from patients with milder symptoms.

The global aim of this research is to identify human and pathogen genetic factors that contribute to inter-individual differences in susceptibility to P. aeruginosa and RSV. An improved understanding of the molecular basis of these differences could provide invaluable insight into host-pathogen interactions and disease pathogenesis.
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Chapter 2 Exome sequencing reveals primary immunodeficiencies in children with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* sepsis

2.1 Introduction

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (*P. aeruginosa*) is an aerobic gram-negative bacterium commonly found in soil, water and plants. This opportunistic pathogen causes invasive infections in immunosuppressed and hospitalized patients, and represents a major cause of healthcare-related infections. Sepsis due to community-acquired *P. aeruginosa* is extremely rare in apparently healthy children, and carries very high mortality (1, 2). Few case reports have described a range of primary immunodeficiencies (PID) in children with *P. aeruginosa* sepsis (3, 4). While genetic alterations leading to PID are kept at very low frequency in the general population through negative selection, these variants may be found at higher frequency in children presenting with severe infections in the absence of known comorbidities (5). Here, we used exome sequencing to identify genetic variants causing PIDs in previously healthy children with *P. aeruginosa* sepsis.

2.2 Material and methods

2.2.1 Patients

Children below 60 month-old with community-acquired *P. aeruginosa* bloodstream sepsis (6) were eligible. Patients with existing comorbid conditions (prematurity, congenital malformations, previous surgery, immunosuppression, known immunodeficiency, chronic diseases) were excluded. The ethics committees of participating centers approved the study. Written informed consent from parents was obtained. Patients were recruited prospectively, and retrospectively in hospital databases and infectious diseases networks. Whenever possible, DNA was also collected from the parents.

2.2.2 DNA extraction and exome sequencing

Human genomic DNA was extracted from whole blood (N=8) or from fibroblasts cultured from frozen skin biopsy, frozen lymphatic tissue, or paraffin-fixed histology slides in one patient each. Exome sequencing libraries were prepared using Agilent SureSelect (V5 spanning 50.4 Mb). Cluster generation was performed using the Illumina TruSeq PE Cluster Kit v5 reagents. The resulting libraries were sequenced as 100 basepair long, paired-end reads on Illumina HiSeq 2500 using TruSeq SBS Kit v5 reagents.
2.2.3 Alignment of sequencing reads, variant calling and annotation

Raw sequencing reads were processed using CASAVA v1.82. Reads were aligned to human reference genome hg19 using BWA v0.6.2 (7). PCR duplicates were removed using Picard. Single nucleotide variants (SNVs) and small insertion and deletions (Indels) were called by the HaplotypeCaller in Genome Analysis Toolkit v3.1-1 using a multi-sample approach. We used SnpEff (8) to predict the functional impact of variants. The presence of a functionally relevant variant was confirmed using custom Taqman® genotyping assays.

2.2.4 Identification of potential causal variants

We restricted analyses to nonsynonymous exonic variants with a minor allele frequency (MAF) < 1%. For parent-child trios, we analysed each family separately assuming multiple inheritance models (autosomal recessive, autosomal dominant, X-linked recessive, compound heterozygous recessive). Only variants with at least 10X coverage in both parents and the offspring were included in the de novo analysis. For individual patients, we searched for rare, nonsynonymous variants assuming autosomal recessive, or X-linked recessive inheritance. We did not consider a mutation as potentially causal if it was present in the Exome Aggregation Consortium database (ExAC, http://exac.broadinstitute.org) or in a set of 533 in-house control exomes in the same zygosity form (i.e. heterozygous or homozygous) as in our patients. In addition, we ran a targeted search for rare, nonsynonymous variants in a list of 251 known PID genes (9).

2.2.5 Gene-annotation enrichment analysis

We used the Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID, https://david.ncifcrf.gov/home.jsp) v6.7 with default options and highest classification stringency for functional annotation clustering of PID genes carrying rare, nonsynonymous variants. We used hypergeometric test for assessing the significance of the gene enrichment result.

2.3 Results

2.3.1 Clinical presentation and outcomes

We identified 11 previously healthy children with community-acquired P. aeruginosa bacteremia from whom DNA could be obtained. The presenting age ranged from 6 months to 4 years, and 7/11 (64%) of patients were male. One surviving patient presented with acute abdomen, and P. aeruginosa bacteremia was thought to result from intra-abdominal perforation. Another surviving patient was diagnosed with urosepsis and P. aeruginosa grew in urine and blood. Post-mortem examination reports were available in seven cases. In four, P. aeruginosa grew in high quantities from nasal and oral mucosal swabs, tracheal secretions, and lungs in one case patient presented with ecthyma gangraenosum (Table 2.1, Figure 2.1).
Table 2.1: Patients’ demographic information
Demographic and clinical characteristics of included children with community-acquired *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* septicaemia.
CRP: C-reactive protein; C9def: Complement C9 deficiency; WCC: white cell count (*10⁹/L)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>DNA source</th>
<th>Phenotype</th>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
<th>Age (mo)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Consanguinity</th>
<th>Viral co-infection</th>
<th>WCC</th>
<th>CRP</th>
<th>Clinical focus</th>
<th>Previous history</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P101</td>
<td>blood</td>
<td>fatal</td>
<td>caucasian</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>Parainfluenza 3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>Pneumonia</td>
<td>recurrent middle ear infections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P201</td>
<td>blood</td>
<td>fatal</td>
<td>caucasian</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>HHV-6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Septic shock</td>
<td>recurrent middle ear infections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P301</td>
<td>blood</td>
<td>fatal</td>
<td>caucasian</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>Parainfluenza 3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Ecthyma gangraenosum</td>
<td>nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P401</td>
<td>blood</td>
<td>survived</td>
<td>south american</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>Acute abdomen</td>
<td>nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P501</td>
<td>blood</td>
<td>fatal</td>
<td>asian</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Varicella</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Septic shock</td>
<td>recurrent respiratory infections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P601</td>
<td>lymph node</td>
<td>fatal</td>
<td>african</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Pneumonia</td>
<td>nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P801</td>
<td>blood</td>
<td>survived</td>
<td>caucasian</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>Urosepsis</td>
<td>nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P701</td>
<td>blood</td>
<td>survived</td>
<td>caucasian</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>Septic shock</td>
<td>recurrent infection-associated neutropenia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P901</td>
<td>fibroblasts</td>
<td>fatal</td>
<td>asian</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>hMPV, RSV</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Septic shock</td>
<td>nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1001</td>
<td>paraffin slides</td>
<td>fatal</td>
<td>caucasian</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>Pneumonia</td>
<td>recurrent respiratory infections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1101</td>
<td>blood</td>
<td>fatal</td>
<td>asian</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>Septic shock</td>
<td>recurrent respiratory infections</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The mean coverage was 66x and 96% of target bases achieved at least 10x coverage. 115,604 SNVs and 10,814 indels passed GATK quality control: 28,795 synonymous variants, 28,284 nonsynonymous variants, 713 in-frame indels, 660 frame-shift indels, 283 stop-gained and 151 splice-site variants (Tables 2.2-4.4).

### 2.3.2 Exome sequencing

The mean coverage was 66x and 96% of target bases achieved at least 10x coverage. 115,604 SNVs and 10,814 indels passed GATK quality control: 28,795 synonymous variants, 28,284 nonsynonymous variants, 713 in-frame indels, 660 frame-shift indels, 283 stop-gained and 151 splice-site variants (Tables 2.2-4.4).

#### Table 2.2: BWA alignment metrics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>PF unique reads</th>
<th>PF unique reads aligned</th>
<th>Off-bait (%)</th>
<th>Mean bait coverage</th>
<th>At least 2X coverage (%)</th>
<th>At least 30X coverage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P101</td>
<td>97.25</td>
<td>96.66</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>65.71</td>
<td>99.39</td>
<td>80.6249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P102</td>
<td>96.90</td>
<td>96.54</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>59.08</td>
<td>99.26</td>
<td>77.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P103</td>
<td>96.39</td>
<td>95.99</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>62.26</td>
<td>99.39</td>
<td>78.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P201</td>
<td>97.00</td>
<td>94.46</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>50.43</td>
<td>99.15</td>
<td>67.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P202</td>
<td>98.94</td>
<td>96.55</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>17.97</td>
<td>97.55</td>
<td>15.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P203</td>
<td>97.18</td>
<td>96.52</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>72.83</td>
<td>99.37</td>
<td>82.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P301</td>
<td>97.77</td>
<td>96.40</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>58.37</td>
<td>99.24</td>
<td>76.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P302</td>
<td>96.89</td>
<td>96.59</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>52.85</td>
<td>99.22</td>
<td>72.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P303</td>
<td>97.68</td>
<td>96.73</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>33.83</td>
<td>98.99</td>
<td>49.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P401</td>
<td>96.59</td>
<td>96.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>53.94</td>
<td>99.30</td>
<td>73.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P402</td>
<td>97.91</td>
<td>96.55</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>27.91</td>
<td>98.70</td>
<td>38.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P403</td>
<td>96.76</td>
<td>96.38</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>74.31</td>
<td>99.41</td>
<td>84.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>nVariant Loci</td>
<td>nNovel Variant</td>
<td>nSNPs</td>
<td>nIndels</td>
<td>nHom</td>
<td>nTi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P101</td>
<td>43273</td>
<td>592</td>
<td>39141</td>
<td>3313</td>
<td>16449</td>
<td>28370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P102</td>
<td>42953</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>38934</td>
<td>3251</td>
<td>16726</td>
<td>28258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P103</td>
<td>43465</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>39371</td>
<td>3298</td>
<td>16520</td>
<td>28528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P201</td>
<td>42759</td>
<td>589</td>
<td>38831</td>
<td>3196</td>
<td>16846</td>
<td>28191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P202</td>
<td>40085</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>36680</td>
<td>2884</td>
<td>16663</td>
<td>26676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P203</td>
<td>42646</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>38688</td>
<td>3165</td>
<td>16792</td>
<td>27949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P301</td>
<td>43017</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>39016</td>
<td>3232</td>
<td>16606</td>
<td>28251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P302</td>
<td>43347</td>
<td>599</td>
<td>39318</td>
<td>3256</td>
<td>16660</td>
<td>28443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P303</td>
<td>41937</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>38170</td>
<td>3079</td>
<td>16791</td>
<td>27645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P401</td>
<td>43589</td>
<td>706</td>
<td>39495</td>
<td>3302</td>
<td>17037</td>
<td>28579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P402</td>
<td>42590</td>
<td>664</td>
<td>38770</td>
<td>3165</td>
<td>16636</td>
<td>28047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P403</td>
<td>43739</td>
<td>725</td>
<td>39572</td>
<td>3354</td>
<td>17205</td>
<td>28661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P501</td>
<td>44179</td>
<td>1090</td>
<td>39876</td>
<td>3441</td>
<td>17331</td>
<td>28761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P601</td>
<td>52709</td>
<td>876</td>
<td>47759</td>
<td>3950</td>
<td>18160</td>
<td>34522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P701</td>
<td>43468</td>
<td>603</td>
<td>39305</td>
<td>3336</td>
<td>16919</td>
<td>28401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P801</td>
<td>43100</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>38985</td>
<td>3319</td>
<td>16506</td>
<td>28178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P901</td>
<td>43040</td>
<td>939</td>
<td>39082</td>
<td>3289</td>
<td>18359</td>
<td>28282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P902</td>
<td>42986</td>
<td>907</td>
<td>38957</td>
<td>3325</td>
<td>18221</td>
<td>28210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P903</td>
<td>43674</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>39612</td>
<td>3369</td>
<td>18418</td>
<td>28673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1001</td>
<td>44467</td>
<td>1215</td>
<td>39340</td>
<td>4213</td>
<td>16249</td>
<td>28491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1002</td>
<td>43468</td>
<td>696</td>
<td>39350</td>
<td>3423</td>
<td>16353</td>
<td>28488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1003</td>
<td>42907</td>
<td>648</td>
<td>38912</td>
<td>3346</td>
<td>16586</td>
<td>28096</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.3: GATK variant calling metrics

Thirty-nine rare nonsynonymous variants (MAF < 1%) were observed in the 11 patients among which 12 were never seen in the same zygosity form (i.e. heterozygous or homozygous) in ExAC (Table 2.5). In addition to the above 39 variants, we identified 76 rare (MAF < 1%) nonsynonymous variants in 60 known PID genes (Table 2.6). Functional classification of these 60 genes using DAVID highlighted the complement pathway as the most enriched cluster: 13 of the 29 complement pathway genes present in the list of 251 known PID genes carried at least one rare, nonsynonymous coding variant (DAVID enrichment score: 12.57, hypergeometric probability: 0.0058).

### Table 2.4: SnpEff annotation metrics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>frame_shift</th>
<th>stop_gained</th>
<th>stop_lost</th>
<th>start_lost</th>
<th>splice_site</th>
<th>missense</th>
<th>inframe</th>
<th>synonymous</th>
<th>UTR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P101</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>8674</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>9718</td>
<td>1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P102</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>8511</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>9686</td>
<td>1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P103</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>8809</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>9710</td>
<td>1986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P201</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>8597</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>9684</td>
<td>1914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P202</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>8184</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>9325</td>
<td>1855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P203</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>8534</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>9551</td>
<td>1915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P301</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>8700</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>9685</td>
<td>1937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P302</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>8633</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>9763</td>
<td>1928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P303</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>8560</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>9525</td>
<td>1936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P401</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>8658</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>9862</td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P402</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>8637</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>9862</td>
<td>1955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P403</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>8636</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>9856</td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P501</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>8668</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>9960</td>
<td>1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P601</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>10229</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>12000</td>
<td>2390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P701</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>8635</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>9713</td>
<td>1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P801</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>8533</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>9770</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P901</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>8627</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>9809</td>
<td>1954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P902</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>8579</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>9688</td>
<td>1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P903</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>8634</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>9903</td>
<td>1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1001</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>8644</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>9882</td>
<td>2070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1002</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>8722</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>9830</td>
<td>1978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1003</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>8495</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>9779</td>
<td>2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1101</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>8580</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>9700</td>
<td>1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1102</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>8558</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>9742</td>
<td>2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1103</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>8536</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>9796</td>
<td>1965</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.3.3 Variant analysis

Thirty-nine rare nonsynonymous variants (MAF < 1%) were observed in the 11 patients among which 12 were never seen in the same zygosity form (i.e. heterozygous or homozygous) in ExAC (Table 2.5). In addition to the above 39 variants, we identified 76 rare (MAF < 1%) nonsynonymous variants in 60 known PID genes (Table 2.6). Functional classification of these 60 genes using DAVID highlighted the complement pathway as the most enriched cluster: 13 of the 29 complement pathway genes present in the list of 251 known PID genes carried at least one rare, nonsynonymous coding variant (DAVID enrichment score: 12.57, hypergeometric probability: 0.0058).
In one patient with fatal septic shock, we identified a novel single-base insertion on the X chromosome (C>CT at position 100617191) leading to a frame-shift in the Bruton agammaglobulinemia Tyrosine Kinase (BTK) gene, BTK plays a crucial role in B-cell development. Postmortem serological testing confirmed absence of immunoglobulins, consistent with BTK loss-of-function (IgG <1.3g/L; IgA <0.2g/L; IgM <0.2g/L).

Table 2.5: Potentially causal variants

Potentially causal variants in our 11 patients, never seen in the same zygosity form (i.e. heterozygous or homozygous) in ExAC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inheritance</th>
<th>Chromosome</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>ExAC MAF (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Autosomal recessive</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>142562052</td>
<td>EPHB6</td>
<td>INSERT</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>31393172</td>
<td>DNMT3B</td>
<td>DELETE</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>de novo</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>41025445</td>
<td>SPTBN4</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>112721975</td>
<td>SOX1</td>
<td>MISSTART</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-linked</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>27998602</td>
<td>DCAF8L1</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td>1.14E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>100617192</td>
<td>BTK</td>
<td>FRAMESHIFT</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>9864517</td>
<td>SHROOM2</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>53575033</td>
<td>HUWE1</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>70824419</td>
<td>ACRC</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>68382133</td>
<td>PJA1</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>101097713</td>
<td>NXF5</td>
<td>DONOR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>109561080</td>
<td>AMMECR1</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.6: Rare nonsynonymous variants in PID genes

Chr: chromosome, n: number. *: Homozygous or hemizygous variant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chr.</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>MAF (%)</th>
<th>nHom in ExAC</th>
<th>nHet in ExAC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>207646266</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td>CR2</td>
<td>3.30E-03</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>949431</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td>ISG15</td>
<td>4.17E-03</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>235896980</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td>LYST</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>154247666</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td>HAX1</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>22965341</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td>C1QA</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>11094908</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td>MASP2</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>183536358</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td>NCF2</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>949422</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td>ISG15</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>151316324</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td>RFX5</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>207925595</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td>CD46</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>196684855</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td>CFH</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gene</td>
<td>Missense/Non-sense</td>
<td>Gene</td>
<td>Position</td>
<td>P-value</td>
<td>q-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CFHR1</td>
<td>MISSENSE*</td>
<td>CFH</td>
<td>196799796</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CFH</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td>SP110</td>
<td>231036831</td>
<td>4.12E-03</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>TTC7A</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td>TTC7A</td>
<td>47277182</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>TTC7A</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td></td>
<td>47273468</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>RNF168</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td></td>
<td>196198925</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>LRBA</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td>CFI</td>
<td>151242409</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>CFI</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td>TLR3</td>
<td>187004767</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>C9</td>
<td>NONSENSE</td>
<td></td>
<td>39342214</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>TERT</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td>AP3B1</td>
<td>77334907</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>C9</td>
<td>NONSENSE</td>
<td></td>
<td>1268697</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>SP110</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td>SPINK5</td>
<td>147475388</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>IL7R</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td></td>
<td>35876300</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>C6</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td></td>
<td>41155088</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>C6</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td></td>
<td>41155088</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>IL12B</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td></td>
<td>158750329</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>C7</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td></td>
<td>40945397</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>CFB</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td></td>
<td>31915584</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>TAP2</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td></td>
<td>32798457</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>IFNGR1</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td></td>
<td>137540425</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>TAP2</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td></td>
<td>32800427</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>VPS13B</td>
<td>DISRUPT</td>
<td></td>
<td>100844596</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>VPS13B</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td></td>
<td>100654621</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>VPS13B</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td></td>
<td>100861113</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>PRKDC</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td></td>
<td>48733399</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>NBN</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td></td>
<td>90982691</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>IKBKB</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td></td>
<td>42177163</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>DOCK8</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td></td>
<td>340168</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>CARD9</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td></td>
<td>139264888</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>C8G</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td></td>
<td>139840153</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>C5</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td></td>
<td>123751873</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>C5</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td></td>
<td>123737145</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>BLNK</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td></td>
<td>97983635</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>SLC29A3</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td></td>
<td>73103969</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>IL2RA</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td></td>
<td>6063567</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>SLC37A4</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td></td>
<td>118898444</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>STIM1</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td></td>
<td>4104647</td>
<td>8.25E-04</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>ATM</td>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td></td>
<td>108117787</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A novel homozygous deletion spanning 6 base pairs on chromosome 20 (ACTCGAG>A at position 31393171) was observed in a two-year-old boy with consanguineous parents leading to an in-frame deletion in a conserved region of the catalytic domain of the DNMT3B gene. Previously described missense mutations in the same protein domain are known to cause immunodeficiency-centromeric instability-facial anomalies (ICF) syndrome (11), a rare disease characterized by variable immunodeficiency and recurrent infections with mild facial abnormalities. The fatal septicaemia was preceeded by mild varicella and the patient had a history of recurrent mild respiratory and ENT infections that had been attributed to poor health conditions. The patient had normal T and B cell subsets but reduced immunoglobulin levels, consistent with ICF syndrome: IgG 0.16g/L (4.22-11.9); IgA <0.06g/L (0.2-1.58); IgM 0.05g/L (0.48-1.9).

We also observed a known, very rare (MAF=0.00015 in ExAC) heterozygous stop-gain variant in the complement C9 gene, on chromosome 5 (G>T at position 39342214) in a
male patient with non-fatal Pseudomonas septicaemia and recurrent parainfectious neutropenia. However, complement reconstitution assay with classical or terminal pathway protein (C1 to C9) showed normally lytic activity (Figure 2.2), suggesting that this variant is unlikely to be causally related to increased susceptibility to P. aeruginosa.

![Figure 2.2: Serum lytic activity.](image_url)

The stop gain mutation in C9 does not affect the lytic activity of patient’s serum compared to control.

2.4 Conclusion and discussion

While mortality due to bacterial sepsis has decreased over the past decade, one out of three pediatric sepsis deaths occur in children without major comorbidities (12). Invasive infections in children in whom immunodeficiency had not been previously suspected may represent the first manifestation of a PID. However, very little is known about the prevalence of PIDs in children presenting with bacterial sepsis. We used exome sequencing to explore the genetic cause of susceptibility to P. aeruginosa in a selected group of previously apparently healthy children with community-acquired P. aeruginosa septicemia. We identified PIDs listed in the 2014 International Classification of primary immunodeficiencies in two cases: X-linked agammaglobulinemia due to a novel BTK mutation and ICF immunodeficiency syndrome due to a novel DNMT3B mutation. In addition, we observed 76 rare missense variants in known PID genes, in particular within the complement pathway. Functional testing of a surviving patient with a heterozygous protein-truncating variant in C9 revealed normal lytic activity, demonstrating the need for strict validation of potential causal mutations, even in genes with a plausible biological link to the study phenotype.

Previous case reports describing PIDs such as Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome, X-linked agammaglobulinemia, cyclic neutropenia and IRAK-4/MyD-88 deficiency (2, 3, 13) in P.
*aeruginosa* sepsis were based on conventional candidate-based immunologic testing. In view of the genetic heterogeneity in human susceptibility to sepsis, classic PID screening may fail to identify new mutations in known PID genes and makes no attempt at expanding our understanding of the genetic causes of the disease, with potentially devastating consequences for survivors, undiagnosed siblings and their families.

In our cohort, defects in known PID genes were found in 25% of fatal cases. While non-genetic factors including pathogen variability and non-genetic causes of neutropenia may be responsible for the remaining cases, we cannot rule out that mutations in genes not previously associated with PID are at least partially involved. Sequencing of additional family members, sequencing of more cases with the same phenotype and functional characterization of new candidate genes and variants will be required to investigate these genetic factors.

In conclusion, this study provides proof-of-concept that exome sequencing allows the identification of rare, deleterious human genetic variants responsible for fulminant sepsis in children in whom immunodeficiency had not been previously suspected. We were able to diagnose PID in several cases, with a significant impact on affected families. Given the decreasing cost of exome sequencing, we propose to consider it as a diagnostic procedure for apparently healthy children presenting with unusually severe or fatal sepsis.
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Chapter 3  Loss-of-function mutations in IFIH1 predispose to severe viral respiratory infections in children

3.1 Introduction

Viral respiratory tract infections are the most common pediatric infections worldwide. During early childhood, virtually every individual goes through several episodes of viral respiratory infections, which are usually mild and self-limiting. Yet, serious disease leads to the hospitalization of about 1% of individuals in each birth cohort. In-hospital mortality rates are limited to 0.5% with intensive care support, still these infections account for 21% of childhood mortality worldwide (1, 2). The main viral pathogens causing lower respiratory tract infections are human respiratory syncytial virus (RSV), enteroviruses (including rhinoviruses), adenoviruses, metapneumovirus, influenza and parainfluenza viruses, with RSV being responsible for the majority of the hospitalized cases (3, 4). A number of risk factors including socio-economic and environmental influences (e.g. malnutrition and smoking parents), male gender, preterm birth, chronic diseases and immunosuppression are associated with more severe disease (5, 6). Yet, approximately 1 out of 1000 children without any known risk factor or co-morbidity will require intensive care support due to life-threatening manifestations of common viral respiratory infections. This “silent epidemic” of potentially lethal infections before reproductive age remains largely unexplained. In the absence of established differences in pathogen virulence, we hypothesized that human genetic variation could be involved. We therefore combined exome and transcriptome sequencing and in vitro functional testing to identify and characterize potentially causal genetic variants in carefully selected clinical cases.

3.2 Material and methods

3.2.1 Subject recruitment and specimen collection

Previously healthy children suffering from severe lower respiratory tract infections were recruited between 1.12.2010 and 30.09.2013 in five specialized Pediatric Intensive Care Units (PICU) in Australia and Switzerland (Australia: Mater Children’s Hospital, and Royal Children’s Hospital, Brisbane; Switzerland: Children’s Hospital Lucerne, Lucerne; Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Vaudois, Lausanne; Département de l’Enfant et de l’Adolescent, Hospital Universitaire de Genève, Geneva). The study was approved by the institutional Human Research Ethics Committees. Informed consent was obtained from parents or legal guardians.
Children aged below 4 years that were admitted to PICU due to a severe respiratory infection of proven or presumed viral origin, and who required respiratory support were eligible. Exclusion criteria were the presence of any significant underlying disease or comorbidity, including prematurity, congenital cardiac disease, chronic lung disease including cystic fibrosis, sickle cell disease, hepatic, renal, or neurologic chronic conditions, solid and haematological malignancies and known PID. Respiratory support was defined as non-invasive modes of ventilation (NIV) including high-flow nasal cannulae (HFNC) and continuous or bilevel positive airway pressure (CPAP and BiPAP), vs. invasive (IV) ventilation including conventional and high-frequency oscillation ventilation (HFOV). The following demographic and clinical information was collected for each recruited subject: age, gender, weight, ethnicity, type of ventilation needed, length of ventilation in days, clinical outcome, microbiological diagnostic procedures and results including rapid test for RSV and influenza, viral PCR, and viral cultures. The Pediatric Index of Mortality 2 (PIM2) was used to assess patient illness severity at PICU admission.

Parents were approached by the study investigator immediately following PICU admission, and, when consent was available, blood and respiratory samples were obtained. For each study subject a nasopharyngeal aspirate, 1ml EDTA blood in vacutainer tubes and 2.5ml blood in PAXgene blood RNA tubes (catalog number 762165; PreAnalytiX, Hombrechtikon, Switzerland) were collected. Samples were immediately frozen at -70 degrees Celsius until shipment, and then analyzed in batch.

3.2.2 Screening of respiratory viruses

Samples were extracted individually (100ul sample from nasopharyngeal aspirate + 300ul PBS) using the NucliSens Easymag© (bioMérieux, Geneva, Switzerland) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Respiratory viruses screening was performed using FTD Respiratory pathogens 21 assay (Fast-track Diagnostics) on a ViiA7 instrument (Applied biosystems, Switzerland).

3.2.3 Exome sequencing and alignment

Genomic DNA was extracted from whole blood (catalog number 51104; QIAGEN, Hombrechtikon, Switzerland). Exome sequencing libraries were prepared with 2 μg to 3 μg of genomic DNA using Agilent SureSelect reagents (catalog numbers 5190-4627, 5190-4631, 5190-6208 and G9611A; Agilent Technologies, Santa Clar, USA) according to the protocols supplied by the manufacturer. Cluster generation was performed using the Illumina TruSeq PE Cluster Kit v3 reagents. The resulting libraries were sequenced as 100-nucleotide, paired-end reads on Illumina HiSeq 2000 or HiSeq 2500 using TruSeq SBS Kit v3 reagents. Sequencing was done at the Lausanne Genomic Technology Facility. Raw sequencing reads were processed using the Illumina Pipeline Software version 1.82. Purified filtered reads were aligned to human reference genome hg19 using Burrow-Wheeler Aligner version 0.6.2 (BWA) (7) (supplementary table 2). PCR duplicates were removed using Picard (http://picard.sourceforge.net/).
3.2.4 Variant calling

We used Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK) (8, 9) version 3.1-1, Platypus (10) version 0.7.9.1 and SAMTools (11) version 0.1.19 to call single nucleotide variants (SNVs) and small insertion and deletions (Indels) from duplicate-marked bam files. With GATK we used HaplotypeCaller for multi-sample variant calling. We followed GATK best practice to call the variants (https://www.broadinstitute.org/gatk/guide/best-practices?bpm=DNAseq); variants that didn’t pass GATK filtering were filtered out. With Platypus we used callVariants for multi-sample variant calling and variants that didn’t pass Platypus filtering criteria were filtered out. With SAMtools we used mpileup default options for multi-sample variant calling followed by bcftools view to generate the vcf file, variants with quality score below 30 were filtered out. After variant calling, variants that were present in the intersection of the three variant callers with missingness below 5% were used for further annotation and down stream analysis.

3.2.5 Variant annotation

We used SnpEff (12) version 4.1B to predict the functional impact of variants, and notably to identify putative loss of function variants (LoFs). We defined these categories of variations as LoF: stop-gain SNVs, splice site disrupting SNVs and frame shift indels in the first 95% of coding region or larger deletions removing either the first exon or more than 50% of the protein-coding sequence of the affected transcript. We further enriched for LoFs that are likely to abolish protein structure by including only LoFs that affected all the coding transcripts of a gene.

3.2.6 RNA sequencing and alignment

Total RNA was extracted from 2.5 ul of whole blood using PAXgene blood RNA kit (catalog number 762174; PreAnalytiX, Hombrechtikon, Switzerland). Libraries were prepared using the Illumina TruSeq Stranded mRNA (Ribo-Zero Globin) reagents (Catalog number RS-122-2501; Illumina; San Diego, USA) according to the protocol supplied by the manufacturer and using 400ng of total RNA. Cluster generation was performed with the Illumina TruSeq PE Cluster Kit v3 reagents. The resulting stranded libraries were sequenced as 100-nucleotide, paired-end reads on the Illumina HiSeq 2000 using TruSeq SBS Kit v3 reagents. The raw sequencing reads were processed using the Illumina Pipeline Software version 1.82. Purified filtered reads were aligned to the human reference genome hg19, using STAR (13) version 2.3.0e and the Gencode annotation (14) version 19.

3.2.7 Plasmids

β-IFN-fl-lucter contains the firefly luciferase gene driven by the human IFNβ promoter as described previously (15). pTK-rl-lucter contains the Renilla luciferase gene (PROMEGA) driven by the herpes simplex virus TK promoter. pEBS-tom encodes a red fluorescent protein.

pcDNA3.1(+) containing wild-type (wt) IFIH1 was constructed by PCR amplification on pEF-BOS-IFIH1 with sense primer that introduced a BamHI site and flag sequence at the
N-terminus and with the antisense primer that introduced a XhoI site at the C-terminus of IFIH1. The PCR products were inserted into pcDNA3.1(+) after digestion with BamHI and XhoI.

pcDNA3.1(+) containing mutant IFIH1 lacking exon 14 (IFIH1-Δ14), was constructed using a fusion PCR strategy. First, pEF-BOS-IFIH1 was amplified by PCR with a sense primer that introduced a BamHI site and a HA sequence at the N-terminus of IFIH1 and with the antisense primer that inserted the beginning of exon 15 sequence at the end of the exon 13. Second, pEF-BOS-IFIH1 was amplified by PCR with a sense primer that introduced the end of exon 13 sequence at the beginning of exon 15 and with the antisense primer that introduced a XhoI site at the C-terminus of IFIH1. The resulting PCR products were mixed and amplified by PCR with a sense primer that introduced a BamHI site and a HA sequence at the N-terminus and with the antisense primer that introduced a XhoI site at the C-terminus of IFIH1. The PCR products were digested with BamHI and XhoI and then inserted into pcDNA3.1(+).

pcDNA3.1(+) containing deletion mutant IFIH1 lacking exon 8 (IFIH1-Δ8), and pcDNA3.1(+) containing deletion mutant IFIH1 with a stop-gain mutation in exon 10 (IFIH1-ΔCTD), were ordered from life technologies plasmid service.

The inserts of the resulting pcDNA3.1(+) containing IFIH1-wt or mutant plasmids were confirmed by sequencing.

3.2.8 Transfection & measure of interferon-β promoter activity

293T cells and Huh7.5 cells were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% Pen/Strep. 100’000 cells were plated into 6-well plates and transfected 24 hrs later with 1.5 μg of pβ-IFN-fl-lucter, 0.5 μg of pTK-rl-lucter and 0.5 μg of pEBS-tom (used as a transfection control), using Gene Juice transfection reagent (NOVAGEN). Additionally, cells were transfected with 1 μg of IFIH1 encoding plasmids. 24 hrs later, Huh7.5 cells (but not 293T cells) were transfected with elicitor RNA using TransMessenger transfection reagent (QIAGEN) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. 20 hrs later, cells were harvested and cell lysates were used to measure firefly and Renilla luciferase activity (dual-luciferase reporter assay system, Glomax 20/20 luminometer, PROMEGA).

Protein expression in the cell lysates was then checked by Western blotting, using the following primary antibodies: anti-RIG-I (1:1000) (Alexis ALX-210-932), anti-IFIH1 (1:1000) (Alexis ALX-210-935), anti-HA (1:2000) (Enzo Life Sciences ENZ-ABS-118-0500), anti-flag (1:1000) (Sigma F1804-1MG). Immunoblot analyses were developed with the following secondary antibodies: goat anti-mouse and anti-rabbit IgG horseradish peroxidase conjugated whole antibody (1:3000) (Bio-Rad). ImageJ version 1.44p (http://image.nih.gov/ij/) was used for western blot quantifications.
3.2.9 Viruses

Respiratory Syncytial Virus expressing Green Fluorescent Protein (RSV-GFP, obtained from Mark peeples (49)) or mCherry (rRSV-mCherry obtained from Jean-François Eléouët (50)) stock were amplified in A549 (human alveolar adenocarcinoma cell line) cells. RSV-GFP stock titers were determined using serial dilutions to infect A549 cells. GFP or mCherry expression was measured by flow cytometry on 20'000 cells (i.e. 10% of the harvested cells) using BD Accuri C6 Cytometer. Data were analyzed using CFlow Plus software (Accuri, version 1.0.264.15). Fluorescence pictures were acquired using Evos FL epifluorescence microscope. Recombinant vesicular stomatitis virus expressing Green Fluorescent Protein (rVSV-GFP obtained from Jacques Perrault (51)) stock were amplified in 293T cells and stock titers were determined using serial dilutions to infect 293T cells. GFP expression was measured by flow cytometry on 20'000 cells (i.e. 10% of the harvested cells) using BD Accuri C6 Cytometer. Data were analyzed using CFlow Plus software (Accuri, version 1.0.264.15). Fluorescence pictures were acquired using Evos FL epifluorescence microscope.

3.2.10 Measure of protein stability by pulse chase

Transfected 293T cells were incubated for 30 minutes at 37°C in methionine-free, cysteine-free and FCS-free DMEM. 100 µCi/ml of 35S-methionine + 35S-cysteine labelling mix (HARTMAN Analytic) was added and cells were incubated at 37°C for 30 minutes. The chase (0, 2, 4, and 8 hours) was performed at 37°C in DMEM supplemented with unlabelled methionine and cysteine (10mM). Cell lysates were loaded on 7.5% acrylamide gels, transferred to a PVDF membrane and exposed to autoradiography. Results were revealed in a phosphorimager (Typhoon, GE Healthcare Life Sciences) and quantified with ImageQuant TL software (GE Healthcare Life Sciences).

3.2.11 Recombinant IFIH1 expression

IFIH1 inserts cloned into pcDNA3.1(+) were inserted into pET28-His10Smt3 backbone. pET28-His10Smt3-IFIH1 wt or ΔCTD plasmids were transformed into E. coli BL21. Cultures (500 ml) derived from single transformants were grown at 37°C in LB medium containing 50 µg/ml kanamycin to an A600 of 0.6. The cultures were adjusted to 0.2 mM IPTG and 2% ethanol and further incubated for 20 hours at 17°C. Cells were harvested by centrifugation and recombinant RIG-I protein was purified from bacteria as previously described (8). Protein concentration was determined using the Bio-Rad dye binding method with BSA as the standard.

3.2.12 Measure of IFIH1 ATPase activity

Increasing amounts of polyI:C were incubated with 200 nM of purified recombinant IFIH1, [(Isqb) γ-32P] ATP (Hartmann Analytic) in a final volume of 15 µl (50 mM Tris acetate pH 6, 5 mM DTT, 1.5 mM MgCl2) for 15 minutes at 37°C. Reactions were then stopped with 1 mM formic acid and 2.5 µl of each reaction were spotted onto TLC PEI Cellulose F plates (MERCK 1.05579.0001) and applied to a migration buffer (LiCl 0.5 M and formic acid 1 N) to separate released 32PO4 and non hydrolyzed ATP. 32PO4 release was measured in a phosphorimager (Typhoon, GE Healthcare Life Sciences) and quantified with
ImageQuantTL software (GE Healthcare Life Sciences). ATPase data were processed as follow: for each sample, the ratio free $^{32}$PO$_4$/non hydrolyzed ATP was calculated (and reported to the final ATP concentration in the reaction); fold increases were obtained by normalizing the calculated ATPase activity to the ATPase activity of the protein alone (control without RNA).

3.2.13 Cell culture and transduction with lentivirus vectors

The 293T cells line were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum. All recombinant lentviruses were produced by transient transfection of 293T cells according to the following protocol. $5 \times 10^6$ 293 cells were plated in 10 cm PD and cotransfected with 15 μg of a plasmid vector (pLV.CMV.IFIH1.IRES-GFP and pLV-U6-empty-PGK-GFP), 10 μg of psPAX2 and 5 μg of pMD2G-VSVG by calcium phosphate precipitation. After 8 hours, medium was changed and recombinant lentviruses vectors were harvested 24 hours later. Huh 7.5 cell lines, platted in 6-well plates, were transduced at an MOI of 2 with the recombinant lentviruses. 2 days after transduction, GFP-expressing cells were sorted by FACS.

3.2.14 Quantitative RT-PCR

Total RNA isolation was performed by using the NucleoSpin RNA kit (Macherey-Nagel, Switzerland) according to the manufacturer’s instruction. 200 μg of total RNA from infected cells pellet was used for cDNA synthesis using the Omniscript RT Kit (Qiagen, Basel, Switzerland).

Quantitative RT-PCR was performed by using 2 μL of cDNA and 18 μL of Taqman Fast universal PCR master mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) containing specific primers (20 μM) and probes (5 μM) for human rhinovirus (HRV). 7500 fast Real-Time PCR System (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used to perform PCR reactions. The $\Delta\Delta$CT method was used to quantify the mRNA expression levels of endogenous genes. The mRNA expression levels of endogenous genes were normalised to the housekeeping gene 18S rRNA. Viral RNA copy numbers were obtained through the generation of a standard curve obtained with serial dilutions of a plasmid containing HRV cDNA.

3.2.15 Statistical analysis

Unpaired T-tests were performed using R (version 1.65).

3.3 Results

3.3.1 Clinical presentation and outcomes

We prospectively enrolled 120 previously healthy children admitted to an intensive care unit (ICU) for ventilation support due to a common viral respiratory infection. Children with known risk factors (prematurity, chronic disease, immunosuppression) were excluded. After informed consent was obtained from parents or legal guardians, we collected detailed clinical information and laboratory results, as well as biological samples for human DNA and RNA analysis (blood) and for viral diagnostics (endotracheal tube aspirate or
nasopharyngeal aspirate). The most common clinical presentation was bronchiolitis (88%) and the median age was 78 days (range 7 – 828). RSV was the most common pathogen, identified in 56% of cases, followed by enteroviruses (rhinovirus / enterovirus) (Table 3.1).

Table 3.1: Summary of patients’ demographic information

Baseline characteristics of patients with severe viral respiratory infections admitted to pediatric intensive care unit (PICU). RSV: respiratory syncytial virus, CoVHKu1: Coronavirus Hku1, hPIV1: human Parainfluenza virus type 1, CoVnL63: Coronavirus nL63. CPAP: machine continuous positive airway pressure, BiPAP: Bilevel Positive Airway Pressure, HFOV: High Frequency Oscillatory Ventilation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (days)</th>
<th>78 (37 – 269)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>5.9 (4.4 – 9.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country of recruitment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>100 (83%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>20 (17%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>90 (78%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African</td>
<td>4 (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>4 (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australian aboriginal</td>
<td>6 (5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Islander</td>
<td>11 (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>70 (58%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>50 (42%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical phenotype</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronchiolitis</td>
<td>105 (88%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumonia</td>
<td>8 (7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laryngotraceoobronchitis</td>
<td>5 (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactive airway disease</td>
<td>2 (2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virus identified in respiratory sample</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSV</td>
<td>67 (56%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entero/Rhinovirus</td>
<td>31 (26%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenovirus</td>
<td>17 (14%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Bovavirus</td>
<td>9 (8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influenza</td>
<td>2 (2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parainfluenza</td>
<td>6 (5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Metapneumovirus</td>
<td>3 (2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoV-HKU1</td>
<td>3 (2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoV-NL63</td>
<td>1 (1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory Support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-Flow Nasal Cannulae</td>
<td>99 (83%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPAP or BiPAP</td>
<td>30 (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invasive ventilation</td>
<td>31 (26%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFOV</td>
<td>6 (5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of PICU stay (days)</td>
<td>2.7 (1.6 – 5.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected mortality</td>
<td>Pediatric index of mortality 2 (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed mortality</td>
<td>Pediatric index of mortality 2 (%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3.2 Exome sequencing and alignment of short reads

For each sample, on average 93% of pass filter reads were unique (not marked as duplicate). 96% of pass filter unique reads were aligned to the human reference genome. The mean on-bait coverage was 70x with 96% of target bases achieving at least 10x coverage and 78% achieving at least 30x coverage (Table 3.2).

Table 3.2: Summary of BWA alignment metrics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PF unique reads (%)</th>
<th>PF unique reads aligned (%)</th>
<th>Off-bait (%)</th>
<th>Mean bait coverage (%)</th>
<th>At least 2X coverage (%)</th>
<th>At least 30X coverage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>69.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>15.29</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>68.04</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3.3 Variant calling and annotation

To reduce the number of false positive variants we called variants using three different callers: GATK, Platypus and SAMtools. Each callset was annotated and filtered separately and only the variants that were called by the three callers and had a missingness rate below 5% were kept for downstream analysis. In this final callset we identified 232,591 SNVs and 103,63 indels including: 951 frame-shift indels, 793 stop-gained and 297 splice-site variant (Table 3.3).

Table 3.3: Variant calling metrics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variant caller</th>
<th>GATK</th>
<th>Platypus</th>
<th>SAMtools</th>
<th>Intersection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total variants (%novel)</td>
<td>287681 (16.74%)</td>
<td>271978 (17.72%)</td>
<td>317820 (18.90%)</td>
<td>242954 (14.14%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNV (%novel)</td>
<td>263507 (14.04%)</td>
<td>258548 (16.34%)</td>
<td>296161 (16.63%)</td>
<td>232591 (13.25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>indel (%novel)</td>
<td>24174 (46.23%)</td>
<td>13430 (44.34%)</td>
<td>21659 (49.89%)</td>
<td>10363 (34.12%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRAME-SHIFT</td>
<td>1644</td>
<td>1333</td>
<td>1415</td>
<td>951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STOP-GAINED</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>942</td>
<td>1082</td>
<td>793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STOP-LOST</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>START-LOST</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPLICE_SITE</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISSENSE</td>
<td>64057</td>
<td>66559</td>
<td>74945</td>
<td>59144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INFRAME</td>
<td>1854</td>
<td>1102</td>
<td>1811</td>
<td>867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SYNONYMOUS</td>
<td>55698</td>
<td>56964</td>
<td>62381</td>
<td>52261</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3.4 Loss of function variants

Because they are under strong purifying selection, most LoFs have low frequencies in the population. 903 LoFs were found in the intersection of the three callsets. We focused on 568 of them (456 SNV and 103 indels), which were found at low frequency (MAF<5%) in publicly available databases and in an in-house set of 485 exomes. Only 10 of those were observed in homozygous form in our study population, impacting 10 different genes (Table 3.4).

Table 3.4: Completely knocked-out genes

MAF threshold: <= 0.01, Chr: chromosome, NA: not available, het: heterozygous.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chr</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>PRI AF (%)</th>
<th>ExAC MAF (%)</th>
<th>ExAC het</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>26301080</td>
<td>rs375730731</td>
<td>PAFAH2</td>
<td>STOP-GAINED</td>
<td>2.083</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>163124596</td>
<td>rs35732034</td>
<td>IFIH1</td>
<td>SPLICE-SITE</td>
<td>2.083</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>186668962</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>FSIP2</td>
<td>FRAME-SHIFT</td>
<td>0.833</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>18497187</td>
<td>rs145833201</td>
<td>LDHAL6A</td>
<td>SPLICE-SITE</td>
<td>0.833</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>79974815</td>
<td>rs143008575</td>
<td>ASPSCR1</td>
<td>SPLICE-SITE</td>
<td>0.833</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>31659102</td>
<td>rs146981368</td>
<td>BPIFB3</td>
<td>SPLICE-SITE</td>
<td>5.417</td>
<td>0.288</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>7889837</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>PNPLA4</td>
<td>STOP-GAINED</td>
<td>0.833</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>127185638</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>ACTRT1</td>
<td>FRAME-SHIFT</td>
<td>2.083</td>
<td>0.199</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3.5 IFIH1 role in innate immunity

*IFIH1* encodes a RIG-I-like cytoplasmic sensor of long double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) and plays a chief role in innate immune recognition of RNA viruses (7, 8). It has been shown previously that IFIH1, in combination with RIG-I, recognizes and limits the replication of many RNA viruses including: positive single-stranded RNA (ssRNA) viruses especially picornaviruses (12, 14–21), negative single-stranded ssRNA viruses including paramyxoviruses (13, 22–25) and double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) viruses (26).
3.3.6 Description of *IFIH1* LoF carriers

8 patients in our cohort carried *IFIH1* LoF mutations (Table 3.6). A 16-month old previously healthy girl was identified to be homozygous for rs35732034. She presented with RSV-positive respiratory tract infection requiring invasive ventilation. The disease course was complicated by superinfection with *S. aureus*. She showed full recovery and did not require further hospitalization outside this event up to age of three years. Her phenotype and history was otherwise unremarkable. She showed normal neurodevelopment and has not demonstrated any complication with vaccines. A basic immunologic workup including full blood count, immunoglobulin levels and IgG subclasses, and lymphocyte subclass counts resulted within normal limits. Three infants requiring non-invasive respiratory support for bronchiolitis at early infancy were found to be heterozygous for *IFIH1* rs35732034. One of these manifested recurrent severe viral lower respiratory tract infections leading to repeated PICU admissions during early childhood. Three infants (age 1 to 16 months) were found to be heterozygous for *IFIH1* rs35337543 and required non-invasive ventilatory support for bronchiolitis due to RSV, including one with RSV and HBoV coinfection. One infant requiring invasive ventilation due to enterovirus positive bronchiolitis was heterozygous for *IFIH1* rs35744605.

Table 3.5: LoF mutations found in *IFIH1*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chr</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>ExAC MAF (%)</th>
<th>PRI AF (%)</th>
<th>AC (hom)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>163124596</td>
<td>rs35732034</td>
<td>SPLICE-SITE</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>5 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>163134090</td>
<td>rs35744605</td>
<td>STOP-GAIN</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>163136505</td>
<td>rs35337543</td>
<td>SPLICE-SITE</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>3 (0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3.7 Description of *IFIH1* LoF mutations

Four study participants carried a rare *IFIH1* splicing variant, rs35732034: one homozygous and three in heterozygous. We used RNA sequencing to characterize the transcriptomic impact of this variant. The minor allele T at rs35732034 causes skipping of exon 14 (*IFIH1-Δ14*), which results in a frame shift and an early stop codon in exon 15. The resulting protein lacks the final 67 amino acids of wild-type *IFIH1*, including the C-terminal regulatory domain (CTD), which is essential for binding to viral dsRNA. We identified two additional rare LoF variants in *IFIH1*, only present in heterozygous form in a total of four study participants: a splicing variant rs35337543 (N=3) and a stop-gained variant rs3574460 (N=1). RNA sequencing showed that the minor allele G at rs35337543 causes skipping of exon 8 (*IFIH1-Δ8*), which removes 37 amino acids at the end of the conserved helicase 1 domain and in the linker part between helicase 1 and helicase 2, but does not cause a frame shift. rs35744605 is a stop-gained SNV in exon 10 that leads to the loss of 398 amino acids from the C-terminal end of *IFIH1* (*IFIH1-ΔCTD*) (Figure 3.1 A-C).
3.3.8 RNA sequencing and read mapping of IFIH1 LoFs

RNA-seq was performed on 24 biologically independent patient samples, including the sample homozygous for rs35732034, two that were heterozygous for this variant and two samples heterozygous for rs35337543. The libraries were sequenced to a depth of 98.4 million paired-end 100 base-pair reads on average (standard deviation (SD): 16.3, range: 59.5 – 121.4). An average of 84.1 million reads (SD: 13.9, range: 51.1 – 107.9) could be uniquely mapped to the reference annotation (Table 3.5).

Table 3.6: RNA-sequencing mapping metrics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Uniquely mapped (%)</th>
<th>Mapped to multiple positions (%)</th>
<th>Unmapped (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRI-019</td>
<td>90.10</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>7.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-020</td>
<td>90.71</td>
<td>9.46</td>
<td>4.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-022</td>
<td>107.90</td>
<td>8.24</td>
<td>5.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024</td>
<td>93.79</td>
<td>9.26</td>
<td>4.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-025</td>
<td>64.80</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>2.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-030</td>
<td>90.47</td>
<td>7.99</td>
<td>4.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-031</td>
<td>78.59</td>
<td>8.94</td>
<td>6.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-032</td>
<td>77.96</td>
<td>9.11</td>
<td>5.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-034</td>
<td>102.91</td>
<td>7.98</td>
<td>5.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-038</td>
<td>83.26</td>
<td>5.97</td>
<td>7.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-042</td>
<td>96.83</td>
<td>7.54</td>
<td>6.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-046</td>
<td>73.44</td>
<td>5.78</td>
<td>5.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-047</td>
<td>81.99</td>
<td>27.25</td>
<td>8.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-049</td>
<td>95.66</td>
<td>6.59</td>
<td>9.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-050</td>
<td>79.92</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>6.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-051</td>
<td>84.27</td>
<td>8.51</td>
<td>7.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-060</td>
<td>70.86</td>
<td>7.15</td>
<td>4.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-061</td>
<td>51.11</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>3.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3.1: Description of IFIH1 LoF mutations

(A) IFIH1 gene, mRNA and protein (linear and 3D structure). Loss of function variants identified in IFIH1 are marked on IFIH1 gene. Exon boundaries are marked with nucleotide coordinates. Protein domain boundaries are marked with amino acid coordinates. CARD, caspase activation recruitment domain; Hel, helicase domain; P, pincer; CTD, C-terminal regulatory domain. (B) Alternative splicing of IFIH1 associated with rs35732034 and rs35337543 genotypes, seen in RNA sequencing data. The T allele at rs35732034 leads to exon 14 skipping. The G allele at rs35337543 leads to exon 8 skipping. The Sashimi plots illustrate the genotype-dependent abundance of splice junctions. The number of observed reads spanning the respective splice junctions is indicated on the Bezier curves, which connect exons. (C) Schematic three-dimensional representation of the IFIH1 structure (PDB: 4GL2, image produced using UCSC Chimera). The parts of the protein that are predicted to be missing due to rs35732034, rs35744605 and rs35337543 mutations are indicated in yellow.
3.3.9 Functional characterization of IFIH1 LoF mutations

To functionally characterize the identified variants, we first measured the ability of wild type (IFIH1-wt) and mutant IFIH1 isoforms to induce interferon β (IFNβ) in vitro. We transfected plasmids carrying IFIH1-wt, IFIH1-Δ8, IFIH1-Δ14 and IFIH1-ΔCTD into 293T cells. Overexpression of IFIH1-wt, but not of any of the mutant IFIH1 isoforms, led to IFNβ induction. Co-transfection of IFIH1-wt with each of the mutant IFIH1 isoforms showed a slight interference with IFIH1-wt induced IFNβ production (Figure 2A). We then checked the stability of the various IFIH1 protein isoforms by performing pulse-chase experiments in transfected 293T cells. The three mutant isoforms were less stable than IFIH1-wt (Figure 2B) and had a negative impact on the stability of the wild type isoform when co-transfected (Figure 2C). Finally, we tested the ATPase activity of recombinant IFIH1-wt and mutant IFIH1 isoforms. IFIH1-wt was able to hydrolyze ATP and showed a typical dsRNA-dependent increase in enzymatic activity, while the mutant isoforms had no detectable activity, even upon stimulation with polyinosinic:polycytidylic acid (polyI:C), a synthetic analogue of double-stranded RNA (Figure 2D). Furthermore, all mutant isoforms decreased IFIH1-wt ATPase activity in a dose-dependent manner, an interference that was specific to mutant IFIH1 isoforms, as demonstrated by the absence of any effect of bovine serum albumin on IFIH1-wt ATPase activity (Figure 2E-F). Jointly, these experiments demonstrate that the three putative LoF variants identified in our study population lead to severe disruption of IFIH1 signalling function, protein stability and enzymatic activity in vitro. In addition, the observations that the mutant IFIH1 isoforms interfere with the wild type protein in terms of IFNβ induction, protein stability and enzymatic activity suggest a potential dominant negative role for heterozygous LoF variants in IFIH1.
Figure 3.2: Functional characterization of IFIH1 LoF mutations

(A) While transfection with 20ng IFIH1-wt plasmid induce IFNβ in 293T cells, loss-of-function variants in IFIH1 impair IFNβ induction (40ng or 120ng of each mutant IFIH1 plasmids, n=3); Co-transfection of 20ng IFIH1-wt plasmid and mutant IFIH1 plasmids (40ng and 1200ng of IFIH1 mutant plasmids), co-expression of the alternate isoforms shows a slight interference with IFIH1-wt IFNβ induction (n=3); (B-C) Protein stability followed by pulse chase in 293T cells expressing IFIH1-wt, IFIH1-Δ14, IFIH1-Δ8 or IFIH1-ΔCTD; IFIH1-wt has a longer half-life than the alternate IFIH1 isoforms, and the stability of IFIH1-wt is reduced upon co-expression with the alternate isoforms (2ug plasmid expressing wt protein, 2ug of each mutant plasmid, n=1); (D-E) RNA-induced ATPase activity of purified IFIH1-wt and alternate IFIH1 isoforms; all three alternate isoforms lack ATPase activity with (purple) or without (yellow) poly(C) stimulation, and IFIH1-wt ATPase activity is reduced upon co-incubation with either of the isoforms in a dose dependent manner (300ng wt protein, 300ng or 600ng of each alternate isoform, 10ng poly(C), n=2); (F) Bovine serum albumin (BSA) does not interfere with ATPase activity of wt protein (300ng wt protein, 300ng or 600ng of BSA, 10ng poly(C), n=2). Data are represented as mean ± SD. wt: wild-type. poly(C): polynosinic:polycytidylic acid.
3.3.10 Role of IFIH1 in controlling respiratory viruses

Viral testing of respiratory samples showed that six of the patients harboring *IFIH1* LoF alleles were infected with RSV and two with human rhinovirus (HRV). To study the effect of IFIH1 on RSV and HRV replication, we used Huh7.5 cells, which lack endogenous expression of IFIH1 and express a mutated, inactive form of RIG-I, and thus are completely unreactive to the RNA pathogen-associated molecular patterns that normally activate these pathways (11). The cells were transduced with an IFIH1-expressing lentiviral vector. We observed a much higher level of viral replication in native than in IFIH1-transduced Huh7.5 cells upon infection with HRV-B14, HRV-A16 (Figure 3A-B). The role of IFIH1 in HRV restriction was further demonstrated by $^{35}$S labelling of infected cells, which showed a stronger shutoff of cellular protein synthesis in native than in IFIH1-transduced Huh7.5 cells, due to higher replication of HRV-B14 in the absence of IFIH1 (Figure 3C). We observed a much higher level of viral replication in native than in IFIH1-transduced Huh7.5 cells upon RSV infection (Figure 3D-F). We also measured RSV replication in mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs), *ifih1(+/+)*, and in IFIH1-knock out MEFs, *ifih1(-/-)*, and obtained similar results (Figure 3G-I). Together, these results affirm the central role of IFIH1 in innate immune recognition of RSV and HRV (12, 13). Therefore, LoF variants in *IFIH1* can be reasonably expected to increase susceptibility to these viruses.
Figure 3.3: Role of IFIH1 in controlling HRV and RSV infections

(A-B) Real time PCR of HRV-B14 (n=6) and HRV-B16 (n=4) RNA in Huh7.5 and huh7.5-LV-IFIH1 transduced cells 1 and 24 hpi show that HRV-B14 and HRV-B16 replicates more efficiently in the absence of IFIH1; (C) 35S labeling of cellular proteins at 24 and 48 hpi with both HRV-B14, HRV-B16 showing a much stronger shutoff of cellular protein synthesis in huh7.5 cells than in huh7.5-LV-IFIH1 transduced cells, due to higher viral replication; (D-F) FACS analyses of mCherry-tagged RSV in Huh7.5 cells and Huh7.5-LV-IFIH1 transduced cells at 24, 48 and 72 hpi show that RSV replicates more efficiently in the absence of IFIH1, (n=2). (H-J) FACS analyses of GFP-tagged RSV in MEF-ifih1(-/-) and MEF-LV-IFIH1 transfected cells at 24, 48 and 72 hpi show that RSV replicates more efficiently in the absence of IFIH1, (n=2). Data are represented as mean ± SD. GFP: green fluorescent protein, MOI: multiplicity of infection, hpi: hours post infection.
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3.4 Conclusion and discussion

It has been shown previously that rare human genetic variants can cause pathogen specific primary immunodeficiencies or confer predisposition to a narrow range of infections in otherwise healthy individuals (19–26). We hypothesized that extreme susceptibility to common viral respiratory infection – a rare, potentially lethal phenotype – could also reflect an underlying immunodeficiency. Using an unbiased exome-wide approach in carefully selected study participants, we identified a rare monogenic defect predisposing to severe clinical presentations of RSV and enterovirus/rhinovirus infections. Three deleterious variants were observed in \textit{IFIH1}, which encodes a cytoplasmic receptor critical for viral RNA sensing. The IFIH1 protein binds to dsRNA in an ATP-dependent manner, and initiates a signalling cascade that leads to type 1 interferon production, a process that is disrupted in the presence of any of the LoF variants found in our study population. Interestingly, all three have been shown to be protective against type 1 diabetes (27). Common \textit{IFIH1} variants have also been associated with diabetes and other autoimmune diseases (28–30), as well as with hepatitis C virus (HCV) clearance (31). Additionally, rare gain-of-function mutations in \textit{IFIH1} dramatically upregulate type I interferon production, resulting in Aicardi-Goutières syndrome or Singleton-Merten syndrome (32–34). Considered together, the results from all these human genetic studies underscore the pivotal role of innate immune activation in the intricate balance between host defense, inflammation and autoimmunity.

LoF variants in \textit{IFIH1} were only found in a minority of the 120 children enrolled in our study, suggesting that other genetic or non-genetic risk factors remain to be discovered. Genetic heterogeneity is likely to be the rule rather than the exception in extreme presentations of infectious diseases, and larger sample sizes will be required to identify exceedingly rare causal alleles. Whole genome sequencing will also be needed to obtain a more complete coverage of exonic regions (35), and to explore non-coding and large-scale structural variation.

The elucidation of the human genetic basis of severe infection provides mechanistic insight into pathogenesis and innate immune response. An immediate practical implication is the possibility to develop diagnostic assays to identify susceptible individuals that could benefit from specific preventive and interventional measures. By highlighting the genes and pathways that play an essential role in host-pathogen interaction, genetic discovery in individuals with extreme phenotypes also provides the opportunity to design new therapeutic strategies that could be useful for the vast majority of patients with milder clinical presentation.
3.5 References

Chapter 4  Deep sequencing and de novo assembly of two respiratory syncytial virus genomes isolated from previously healthy children with severe infection

4.1  Introduction

Human Respiratory Syncytial Virus (RSV) is the leading cause of lower respiratory tract infection in children worldwide. Between 50 and 70% of newborns get infected with RSV within their first year of life and almost all children suffer from RSV infection before the age of two \(^{(1, 2)}\). RSV belongs to the family paramyxoviridae. It is an enveloped, negative-sense RNA virus with a single-stranded genome of approximately 15 kb \(^{(3)}\). The nonsegmented RSV genome encodes 11 proteins. Small hydrophobic protein (SHpr), fusion glycolprotein (Fpr) and attachment glycoprotein (Gpr) are transmembrane glycoproteins. Fpr and Gpr are the two major glycoproteins on RSV’s surface that mediates the attachment and entry of the virus to the host cells. Matrix protein (Mpr) is an internal membrane associated matrix protein; nucleoprotein (Npr), phosphoprotein (Ppr), matrix M2-1 protein (M2-1pr) and RNA polymerase (Lpr) are components of the nucleocapsid/polymerase complex; nonstructural protein 1 and 2 (NS1 and NS2) are presumptive nonstructural proteins that act against the host immune system; and matrix M2-2 protein (M2-2pr) is a low abundance protein that is suspected to play a regulatory role in RNA transcription and translation \(^{(4, 5)}\). There are two main RSV strains: RSVA and RSVB. At the serological level, the two strains are characterized by different antibody responses against Fpr and Gpr \(^{(6)}\). Each strain is further subdivided into different genotypes according to phylogenetic analyses of specific genomic regions.

In the vast majority of cases, RSV infection manifests itself as a normal cold, with spontaneous resolution of respiratory symptoms after a few days \(^{(7)}\). Beside socio-economic and environmental factors (e.g. mal-nutrition and smoking parents), a number of risk factors have been described for severe susceptibility to respiratory viruses including male gender, preterm birth, chronic diseases and immune suppression \(^{(8–11)}\). However, approximately 1 out of 1000 children without any known risk factor or co-morbidity requires intensive care support due to life-threatening manifestations of RSV infection. Our recent work showed that rare protein truncating mutations in the IFIH1 gene lead to extreme susceptibility to RSV in a subset of otherwise healthy children (Asgari et al., submitted). A
number of earlier studies focused on RSV genetic diversity and suggested that the severity of RSV infection depends in part on viral strain or in a few cases on variations of large effects (deletions and duplications) in the viral genome (12–14).

Here, we sequence and reconstruct the complete genomes of two RSV strains found in children with very severe RSV disease, who have also been fully characterized at the exome and blood transcriptome levels. We further compare them with 53 recently sequenced RSV genomes isolated mainly from patients with mild symptoms (15). This study aims at establishing a framework to explore the genetic diversity of RSV strains recovered from patients with unusually severe disease, in an attempt to determine whether viral genetic factors are partly responsible for the most severe clinical presentations.

4.2 Material and methods

4.2.1 Subject recruitment and specimen collection

Study participants were recruited at the Royal Children's Hospital (RCH) and Mater Children's Hospital (MCH) in Brisbane, Australia, in 2013. The study was approved by the relevant Ethics Commissions and written informed consent was obtained from parents or legal guardian. A nasopharyngeal aspirate (NPA) was collected from previously healthy children who needed admission to the intensive care unit (ICU) and ventilation support due to severe lower respiratory tract RSV infection. Viral RNA was extracted individually (100ul sample + 300ul PBS) using the NucliSens Easymag© according to the manufacturer's instructions. Respiratory virus screening was performed using the FTD Respiratory pathogens 21 assay on a Viia7 instrument. The viral strain was determined prior to sequencing using PCR with strain specific primers.

4.2.2 Viral RNA sequencing

We sequenced one sample positive for RSVA (PRI-024) and one sample positive for RSVB (PRI-030). The number of viral RNA copies was inferior to the limit of detection of the quantitative PCR assay. Ribosomal RNA (rRNA) was removed using a Ribo-Zero Gold kit according to the manufacturer's protocol. rRNA-depleted specimens were purified on Zymo columns. Libraries were prepared with the low-throughput TruSeq total RNA preparation protocol from Illumina using 15 PCR cycles. Library concentrations were measured with Q-bit. Size distribution of fragments was estimated with 2200 TapeStation. Fragments of 200 to 450 bp were obtained. All specimens were sequenced paired-end using the 100-bp protocol with indexing on a HiSeq 2500 sequencer in pools of two specimens per lane. RNA-seq libraries were loaded at 8 pM.

4.2.3 De novo assembly and analysis

Short reads from each sample were mapped to the human reference genome GRCh37 to identify and remove human sequences. Unmapped paired-end reads were extracted and used for de novo assembly using the default options of CLC genomics workbench (https://www.qiagenbioinformatics.com/). To make contigs, the CLC genomics workbench de novo assembler used Bruijn graphs, an efficient algorithm allowing fast contig formation. The basic idea is to hash the reads according to a predefined k-mer length called word
size. In the next step, for a word size of \( k \) the algorithm will find all the neighbouring words of \( k-1 \) overlapping ends. The ideal word size is a balance between specificity and sensitivity. A de Bruijn graph is made of each word as a node and each neighbour as an edge. In an ideal situation only one forward neighbour and one backward neighbour is available for each word and long, linear stretches of connected nodes can be made. In many situations, however, many nodes have more than one incoming or outgoing edge. The de Bruijn graph is resolved by following a Eulerian trail in the graph, a trail that visits every edge exactly once. For de novo assembly we used the word size of 23 and minimum contig length of 2500 bp. To identify the produced contigs we used the generated contigs as query sequences for NCBI nucleotide BLAST to find the best matches to the query sequences, defined as matches with the lowest E-value and the highest sequence similarity.

4.2.4 Maximum likelihood phylogeny analysis

The newly sequenced and assembled genomes were compared with 53 complete RSV genomes (34 RSVA and 19 RSVB, BioProject PRJNA73049, Table 4.3). These 53 sequences are fully characterized RSV genomes from respiratory samples collected in Mexico, Argentina, Belgium, Italy, Germany, Australia, South Africa, and the USA between 1998-2010. These samples were collected from adult and infant patients with normal / mild clinical presentation of the disease to investigate RSV genomic diversity. Multiple alignments of PRI-024 and PRI-030 genome sequence with these publically available genomes were used for inferring a maximum likelihood tree with 100 bootstraps using CLC genomics workbench.

4.2.5 Protein similarity analysis

PRI-024 and PRI-030 were annotated for ORF using VIGOR (http://jcvi.org/vigor/index.php) and the sequence of each of the 11 RSV proteins was obtained. We annotated the 34 RSVA and 19 RSVB listed in table 4.3 and obtained a consensus sequence for each RSV protein by multiple alignments of these protein sequences. We used dot plots to search for gaps or stretches of low similarity between our samples and the consensus protein sequences from the above-mentioned RSV genomes. To look for single amino acid changes, we used multiple alignments of our samples and the 34 RSVA and 19 RSVB listed in table 4.3.

4.3 Results

4.3.1 Study subjects

Sample PRI-024 was extracted from a 72 day old female and sample PRI-030 was extracted from a 21 day old male, both of recent Western European ancestry. They required ICU admission and non-invasive ventilatory support for respiratory symptoms attributed to RSV bronchiolitis. No co-infection or co-morbidity was reported. Both had a full recovery and their medical history was otherwise unremarkable. A separate analysis of their germline DNA (by exome sequencing) and transcriptome (by RNA sequencing) did
not reveal any information that could explain the severity of the presentation (Asgari et al., submitted).

4.3.2 Viral RNA sequencing

For PRI-024, 149,805,670 paired-end reads were obtained. 96.2% of reads had phred scale sequence quality score \( \geq 20 \) (88.5% \( \geq 30 \)). 64% of the reads were mapped to the human reference genome. 30% of the reads were paired-end reads that were not mapped to human genome.

For PRI-030, 144,588,304 paired-end reads were obtained. 96.5% of reads had phred scale sequence quality score \( \geq 20 \) (86% \( \geq 30 \)). 47.2% of the reads were mapped to human reference genome. 49.6% of the reads were paired-end reads that were not mapped to human genome.

4.3.3 de novo assembly and analysis

For de novo assembly we used all paired-end reads that were not mapped to human reference genome (the reads that were mapped to human reference genome and all the single reads were removed). For PRI-024, 45,018,680 paired-end reads were used. N50 was 3,247 and the longest contig was 15,219 bp, resulting from the assembly of 5,388,825 reads (11.97% of reads) (Figure 4.1 A and C). For PRI-030 71,837,152 paired-end reads were used. N50 was 3,281 and the longest contig was 15,321 bp, resulting from the assembly of 4,988,115 reads (6.94% of reads) (Figure 4.1 B and D). In both cases, the longest contig had a high coverage (34000x on average) and matched the expected length of the RSV genome.

To identify the reconstructed contigs we used them as query sequences for nucleotide BLAST against the NCBI nucleotide database. For PRI-024, 72 contigs with length > 2500bp was generated. For the longest PRI-024 contig, a human RSVA was the best match (accession: KJ627365). For PRI-030, 44 contigs with length > 2500bp was generated. For PRI-030 the longest PRI-030 longest contig a human RSVB was the best match (accession: JX576745). The BLAST analysis of these contigs allowed the identification of additional viral and bacterial species in the samples. Most had no known correlation with respiratory infections, but a single contig in PRI-030 showed high similarity to Moraxella catarrhalis strain 25240. (Table 4.1 and 4.2)
Figure 4.1: de novo assembly of RSV genome

A & B) de novo assembly contig length distribution; in each assembly the longest contig was around 15,000 bp, which is the expected length of the RSV genome. C & D) Coverage distribution for the longest contig from each assembly. Both contigs were deeply sequenced and fully covered.
Table 4.1: BLAST analysis for PRI-024 *de novo* assembled contigs

BLAST analysis of 72 contigs generated from *de novo* assembly of PRI-024 sample showed that the best matching sequence to the longest contig is a RSVA genome, while the remaining contigs map to mostly yeast genomes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Query</th>
<th>Lowest E-value</th>
<th>Accession for lowest E-value</th>
<th>Description for lowest E-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>KJ627365</td>
<td>Human respiratory syncytial virus strain RSVA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>HF558455</td>
<td>Rhodotorula taiwanensis RS1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>LK052953</td>
<td>Rhodosporidium toruloides strain CECT1137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>HF558455</td>
<td>Rhodotorula taiwanensis RS1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>HF558455</td>
<td>Rhodotorula taiwanensis RS1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>XM_002548769</td>
<td>Candida tropicalis MYA-3404 C-1-tetrahydrofolate synthase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>XM_002616278</td>
<td>Clavispora lusitaniae ATCC 42720 conserved hypothetical protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>XM_001382415</td>
<td>Scheffersomyces stipitis CBS 6054 plasma membrane H+ATPase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>XM_007385036</td>
<td>Punctularia strigosozonata HHB-11173 SS5 hypothetical protein, (PUNSTDRAFT_104183)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>LK052965</td>
<td>Rhodosporidium toruloides strain CECT1137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>KR055655</td>
<td>Pseudogymnoascus pannorum strain NN050741 mitochondrion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_12</td>
<td>6.5E-169</td>
<td>LK052940</td>
<td>Rhodosporidium toruloides strain CECT1137, genomic scaffold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>HF558455</td>
<td>Rhodotorula taiwanensis RS1, complete mitochondrial genome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>XM_007917050</td>
<td>Togninia minima UCRPA7 putative plasma membrane atpase protein mRNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>contig</td>
<td>E-value</td>
<td>Accession</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>LK052936</td>
<td>Rhodosporidium toruloides strain CECT1137, genomic scaffold, scaffold, RHTO0S01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_16</td>
<td>3.7E-69</td>
<td>XM_001483899</td>
<td>Meyerozyma guilliermondii ATCC 6260 hypothetical protein (PGUG_03330) partial RNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_17</td>
<td>9.6E-83</td>
<td>CP003834</td>
<td>Cryptococcus neoformans var. grubii H99 mitochondrial, complete genome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>XM_002548335</td>
<td>Candida tropicalis MYA-3404 elongation factor 3, mRNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_19</td>
<td>5.3E-143</td>
<td>LK052943</td>
<td>Rhodosporidium toruloides strain CECT1137, genomic scaffold, scaffold, RHTO0S08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>FQ311441</td>
<td>Sporisorium reilianum SRZ2 chromosome 2 complete DNA sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_21</td>
<td>6E-161</td>
<td>XM_009547565</td>
<td>Heterobasidion irregulare TC 32-1 oligopeptide transporter mRNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>XM_014321648</td>
<td>Trichosporon asahii var. asahii CBS 2479 delta-9 fatty acid desaturase partial RNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>LK052949</td>
<td>Rhodosporidium toruloides strain CECT1137, genomic scaffold, scaffold, RHTO0S14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>LK052954</td>
<td>Rhodosporidium toruloides strain CECT1137, genomic scaffold, scaffold, RHTO0S19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>CP000502</td>
<td>Scheffersomyces stipitis CBS 6054 chromosome 8, complete sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_26</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>HF558455</td>
<td>Rhodotorula taiwanensis RS1, complete mitochondrial genome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_27</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>LK052942</td>
<td>Rhodosporidium toruloides strain CECT1137, genomic scaffold, scaffold, RHTO0S07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_28</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>LK052939</td>
<td>Rhodosporidium toruloides strain CECT1137, genomic scaffold, scaffold, RHTO0S04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_29</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>XM_013568353</td>
<td>Aureobasidium namibiae CBS 147.97 hypothetical protein partial mRNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>LK052951</td>
<td>Rhodosporidium toruloides strain CECT1137, genomic scaffold, scaffold, RHTO0S16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_31</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>LK052965</td>
<td>Rhodosporidium toruloides strain CECT1137, genomic scaffold, scaffold, RHTO0S30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contig ID</td>
<td>Accession</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_32</td>
<td>LK052940</td>
<td>Rhodosporidium toruloides strain CECT1137, genomic scaffold, scaffold, RHTOOS05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_33</td>
<td>LK052945</td>
<td>Rhodosporidium toruloides strain CECT1137, genomic scaffold, scaffold, RHTOOS10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_34</td>
<td>LK052940</td>
<td>Rhodosporidium toruloides strain CECT1137, genomic scaffold, scaffold, RHTOOS05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_35</td>
<td>KC616429</td>
<td>Scheffersomyces coipomoensis isolate NRRL_Y-17651 endogenous virus ScV, putative proteins and tryptophan-2,3-dioxygenase genes, complete cds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_36</td>
<td>LK052940</td>
<td>Rhodosporidium toruloides strain CECT1137, genomic scaffold, scaffold, RHTOOS05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_37</td>
<td>CP012999</td>
<td>Enterobacter sp. E20, complete genome</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_38</td>
<td>XM_007374069</td>
<td>Spathaspora passalidarum NRRL Y-27907 hypothetical protein, (SPAPADRAFT_134536), partial mRNA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_39</td>
<td>XM_002545697</td>
<td>Candida tropicalis MYA-3404 phosphoribosylformylglycinamidine synthase, mRNA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_40</td>
<td>XM_007372211</td>
<td>Spathaspora passalidarum NRRL Y-27907 beta-1,3-glucan synthase, (SPAPADRAFT_145419), mRNA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_41</td>
<td>LK052948</td>
<td>Rhodosporidium toruloides strain CECT1137, genomic scaffold, scaffold, RHTOOS13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_42</td>
<td>XM_014800750</td>
<td>Pseudozyma antarctica carbamoyl-phosphate synthase partial mRNA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_43</td>
<td>XM_006965283</td>
<td>Trichoderma reesei QM6a predicted protein (TRIREDRAFT_121820), mRNA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_44</td>
<td>5.8E-67</td>
<td>Rhodosporidium toruloides strain CECT1137, genomic scaffold, scaffold, RHTOOS03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_45</td>
<td>XM_014803277</td>
<td>Pseudozyma antarctica family 3 glycosyltransferase partial mRNA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_46</td>
<td>1.4E-131</td>
<td>Rhodosporidium toruloides strain CECT1137, genomic scaffold, scaffold, RHTOOS07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_47</td>
<td>XM_007374531</td>
<td>Spathaspora passalidarum NRRL Y-27907 hypothetical protein, (SPAPADRAFT_66085), mRNA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>contig</td>
<td>score</td>
<td>accession</td>
<td>description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_48</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>XM_014797905</td>
<td>Pseudozyma antarctica Na/K ATPase alpha 1 subunit partial mRNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_49</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>FQ311435</td>
<td>Sporisorium reilianum SRZ2 chromosome 14 complete DNA sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>XM_013566968</td>
<td>Aureobasidium namibiae CBS 147.97 hypothetical protein partial mRNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_51</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>XM_007373532</td>
<td>Spathaspora passalidarum NRRL Y-27907 hypothetical protein, (SPAPADRAFT_49095), partial mRNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_52</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>LK052939</td>
<td>Rhodosporidium toruloides strain CECT1137, genomic scaffold, scaffold, RHTO0S04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_53</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>LK052936</td>
<td>Rhodosporidium toruloides strain CECT1137, genomic scaffold, scaffold, RHT00S01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_54</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>LK052949</td>
<td>Rhodosporidium toruloides strain CECT1137, genomic scaffold, scaffold, RHT00S14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_55</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>AB920149</td>
<td>Cryptococcus tepidarius gene for plasma membrane H(+-)-ATPase 1, complete, sequence, strain: JCM 11965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_56</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>LK052939</td>
<td>Rhodosporidium toruloides strain CECT1137, genomic scaffold, scaffold, RHT00S04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_57</td>
<td>4.9E-68</td>
<td>LK052943</td>
<td>Rhodosporidium toruloides strain CECT1137, genomic scaffold, scaffold, RHT00S08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_58</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>LK052941</td>
<td>Rhodosporidium toruloides strain CECT1137, genomic scaffold, scaffold, RHT00S06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_59</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>LK052886</td>
<td>Cyberlindnera fabianii strain YJS4271 genomic scaffold, scaffold CYFA0S01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_60</td>
<td>4.6E-05</td>
<td>CP012620</td>
<td>Oryza sativa Indica Group cultivar RP Bio-226 chromosome 12 sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_61</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>XM_007880608</td>
<td>Pseudozyma flocculosa PF-1 hypothetical protein partial mRNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_62</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>XM_013458970</td>
<td>Exophiala xenobiotica dihydroxy-acid dehydratase mRNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_63</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>XM_001383177</td>
<td>Scheffersomyces stipitis CBS 6054 glycine cleavage system protein partial mRNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024_contig_64</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>LK052940</td>
<td>Rhodosporidium toruloides strain CECT1137, genomic scaffold, scaffold, RHT00S05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Query</td>
<td>Lowest E-value</td>
<td>Accession for lowest E-value</td>
<td>Description for lowest E-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-030_contig_1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>JX576745</td>
<td>Human respiratory syncytial virus strain 08-045952 from Netherlands, complete genome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-030_contig_2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>CP007457</td>
<td>Bifidobacterium pseudolongum PV8-2, complete genome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-030_contig_3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>DQ831892</td>
<td>Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. lactucae isolate FK09701 28S ribosomal RNA gene, partial sequence; 28S-18S ribosomal RNA intergenic spacer, complete sequence; and 18S ribosomal RNA gene, partial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-030_contig_4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>XM_001382817</td>
<td>Scheffersomyces stipitis CBS 6054 Elongation factor partial mRNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-030_contig_5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>AM905426</td>
<td>Bacterium Ellin514 23S rRNA gene, isolate Ellin514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-030_contig_6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>CP000094</td>
<td>Pseudomonas fluorescens Pf0-1, complete genome</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.2: BLAST analysis for PRI-030 de novo assembled contigs

BLAST analysis of 44 contigs generated from de novo assembly of PRI-030 sample showed that the best matching sequence to the longest contig is an RSVB genome, while the remaining contigs map to mostly commensal genomes.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRI-030_contig_7</th>
<th>1.8E-09</th>
<th>AM087550</th>
<th>Ophiostoma mitovirus 3b RdRp gene for RNA-dependent RNA polymerase, genomic RNA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRI-030_contig_8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>CP012752</td>
<td>Kibdelosporangium phytohabitans strain KLBMP1111, complete genome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-030_contig_9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>HF558455</td>
<td>Rhodotorula taiwanensis RS1, complete mitochondrial genome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-030_contig_10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>AY874423</td>
<td>Fusarium oxysporum voucher VPRI 19292 mitochondrion, partial genome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-030_contig_11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>XM_012193664</td>
<td>Cryptococcus neoformans var. grubii H99 elongation factor 3 mRNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-030_contig_12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>CP001630</td>
<td>Actinosynnema mirum DSM 43827, complete genome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-030_contig_13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>X73672</td>
<td>T.papilionaceus mitochondrion gene for large subunit rRNA, exons 1 and 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-030_contig_14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>HF679031</td>
<td>Fusarium fujikuroi IMI 58289 draft genome, chromosome FFUJ_chr09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-030_contig_15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>XM_013570087</td>
<td>Aureobasidium namiae CBS 147.97 elongation factor 3 partial mRNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-030_contig_16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>XM_013575636</td>
<td>Aureobasidium namiae CBS 147.97 hypothetical protein partial mRNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-030_contig_17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>HF679024</td>
<td>Fusarium fujikuroi IMI 58289 draft genome, chromosome FFUJ_chr02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-030_contig_18</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>CP001843</td>
<td>Treponema primitia ZAS-2, complete genome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-030_contig_19</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>KJ807813</td>
<td>Bean common mosaic virus isolate PSTV-JX014, complete genome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-030_contig_20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>CP007155</td>
<td>Kutzneria albida DSM 43870, complete genome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-030_contig_21</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>HE804045</td>
<td>Saccharothrix espanensis DSM 44229 complete genome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-030_contig_22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>XM_013568353</td>
<td>Aureobasidium namiae CBS 147.97 hypothetical protein partial mRNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-030_contig_23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>HF558455</td>
<td>Rhodotorula taiwanensis RS1, complete mitochondrial genome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-030_contig_24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>HF558455</td>
<td>Rhodotorula taiwanensis RS1, complete mitochondrial genome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-030_contig_25</td>
<td>4.7E-169</td>
<td>LK052940</td>
<td>Rhodosporidium toruloides strain CECT1137, genomic scaffold, scaffold RHTO0S05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-030_contig_26</td>
<td>1E-164</td>
<td>XM_013586131</td>
<td>Medicago truncatula mu-like prophage flumu protein gp28 partial mRNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-030_contig_27</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>KT598234</td>
<td>Soybean-associated single stranded RNA virus 2 isolate SaSSRV2-1, partial sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRI-030_contig_28</td>
<td>8.9E-136</td>
<td>CP003834</td>
<td>Cryptococcus neoformans var. grubii H99 mitochondrion, complete genome</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
After multiple sequence alignment of PRI-024 with RSVA sequences and of PRI-030 with RSVB sequences listed in table 4.3 we used a maximum likelihood approach to infer the phylogenetic tree for both RSV genomes. Each tree includes viruses that have already been assigned to genotypes GA5 and GA2 for RSVA and BA for RSVB. PRI-024 clustered with GA2, which is one of the predominant circulating RSVA genotypes. PRI-030 clustered with BA genotype, the dominant genotype for RSVB worldwide (15) (Figure 4.2).

4.3.4 Maximum likelihood tree generation

After multiple sequence alignment of PRI-024 with RSVA sequences and of PRI-030 with RSVB sequences listed in table 4.3 we used a maximum likelihood approach to infer the phylogenetic tree for both RSV genomes. Each tree includes viruses that have already been assigned to genotypes GA5 and GA2 for RSVA and BA for RSVB. PRI-024 clustered with GA2, which is one of the predominant circulating RSVA genotypes. PRI-030 clustered with BA genotype, the dominant genotype for RSVB worldwide (15) (Figure 4.2).
Table 4.3: Pairwise alignment results

34 RSVA and 19 RSVB full genomes were downloaded from GenBank. Our two de novo assembled genomes were highly similar (on average 96.36% for PRI-024 and 97.94% for PRI-030) in their sequence to the previously sequenced RSV genomes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Year collected</th>
<th>Genome size</th>
<th>Identity with de novo assembled RSV (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KF530258</td>
<td>RSVA</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>15123</td>
<td>98.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF530260</td>
<td>RSVA</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>14980</td>
<td>94.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF530261</td>
<td>RSVA</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>15100</td>
<td>98.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF530263</td>
<td>RSVA</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>15118</td>
<td>98.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF530264</td>
<td>RSVA</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>15039</td>
<td>98.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF530267</td>
<td>RSVA</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>15078</td>
<td>96.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF530268</td>
<td>RSVA</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>15128</td>
<td>94.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF530269</td>
<td>RSVA</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>15049</td>
<td>97.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826816</td>
<td>RSVA</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>14948</td>
<td>92.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826817</td>
<td>RSVA</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>14744</td>
<td>93.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826821</td>
<td>RSVA</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>15177</td>
<td>98.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826823</td>
<td>RSVA</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>15197</td>
<td>95.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826824</td>
<td>RSVA</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>15200</td>
<td>95.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826826</td>
<td>RSVA</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>15197</td>
<td>95.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826827</td>
<td>RSVA</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>15209</td>
<td>95.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826828</td>
<td>RSVA</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>15191</td>
<td>95.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826830</td>
<td>RSVA</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>15186</td>
<td>98.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826831</td>
<td>RSVA</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>15195</td>
<td>98.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826832</td>
<td>RSVA</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>15176</td>
<td>95.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826833</td>
<td>RSVA</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>15129</td>
<td>98.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826836</td>
<td>RSVA</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>15165</td>
<td>94.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826837</td>
<td>RSVA</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>15194</td>
<td>95.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826838</td>
<td>RSVA</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>15189</td>
<td>98.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826840</td>
<td>RSVA</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>15106</td>
<td>97.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826841</td>
<td>RSVA</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>15194</td>
<td>95.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826846</td>
<td>RSVA</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>15142</td>
<td>94.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826847</td>
<td>RSVA</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>15179</td>
<td>95.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826848</td>
<td>RSVA</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>15190</td>
<td>97.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826849</td>
<td>RSVA</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>15182</td>
<td>98.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826850</td>
<td>RSVA</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>15193</td>
<td>95.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826852</td>
<td>RSVA</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>15167</td>
<td>95.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826854</td>
<td>RSVA</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>15192</td>
<td>94.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826855</td>
<td>RSVA</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>15163</td>
<td>98.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826856</td>
<td>RSVA</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>15188</td>
<td>98.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF530259</td>
<td>RSVB</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>15157</td>
<td>98.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accession</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Length</td>
<td>Identity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF530262</td>
<td>RSVB</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>15045</td>
<td>97.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF530266</td>
<td>RSVB</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>15064</td>
<td>97.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826820</td>
<td>RSVB</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>15181</td>
<td>94.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826822</td>
<td>RSVB</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>15269</td>
<td>98.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826825</td>
<td>RSVB</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>15259</td>
<td>98.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826829</td>
<td>RSVB</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>15215</td>
<td>97.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826834</td>
<td>RSVB</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>15218</td>
<td>98.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826835</td>
<td>RSVB</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>15238</td>
<td>96.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826839</td>
<td>RSVB</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>15278</td>
<td>98.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826842</td>
<td>RSVB</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>15269</td>
<td>98.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826843</td>
<td>RSVB</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>15280</td>
<td>98.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826844</td>
<td>RSVB</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>15218</td>
<td>98.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826845</td>
<td>RSVB</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>15147</td>
<td>97.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826851</td>
<td>RSVB</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>15279</td>
<td>98.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826853</td>
<td>RSVB</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>15183</td>
<td>96.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826857</td>
<td>RSVB</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>15278</td>
<td>98.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826858</td>
<td>RSVB</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>15233</td>
<td>98.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826860</td>
<td>RSVB</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>15249</td>
<td>98.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4.2: Maximum likelihood phylogeny tree

Maximum likelihood phylogeny trees of RSV genome sequences, including PRI-024 (A) and PRI-030 (B) genome sequences. This maximum likelihood phylogeny trees are made using the RSV A and RSV B sequenced in this study plus 34 RSV A and 19 RSV B retrieved from GenBank. Average number of substitution per site is shown on the branches. In panel A, branches shorter than 0.0014 in length are shown as having a length of 0.0014. In panel B branches shorter than 0.126 in length are shown as having a length of 0.126.
4.3.5 Protein similarity analysis

We annotated the ORFs of our two reconstructed RSV genomes and obtained the sequence of the 11 RSV proteins. We compared these protein sequences with consensus sequences derived from RSVA proteins in GA2 clade for PRI-024 (15 genomes) and BA clade for PRI-030 (18 genomes). For both strains all proteins were highly similar to consensus sequence proteins in amino acid composition, amino acid sequence and protein sequence length (Figure 4.3 and 4.4). To get a more detailed picture of RSV protein variation we also performed a multiple alignment of PRI-024 and PRI-030 proteins with other genomes in the same clade and for each protein listed the number of unique amino acids changes. Unique changes were defined as amino acid changes that were observed only once in multiple alignment. Neither PRI-024 nor PRI-030 showed unusually higher variability (Table 4.4).
Figure 4.3: PRI-024 protein similarity dot plot

Dot plot showing the level of similarity between PRI-024 proteins translated from the RSVA sequenced in this study and the GA2 clade consensus sequence proteins. The Y axis shows positions in PRI-024 protein sequence and the X axis positions in the consensus protein sequence.
Figure 4.4: PRI-030 protein similarity dot plot

Dot plot showing the level of similarity between PRI-030 proteins translated from the RSVB sequenced in this study and the BA clade consensus sequence proteins. The Y axis shows positions in PRI-030 protein sequence and the X axis positions in the consensus protein sequence.
Table 4.4: Pairwise comparison of unique amino acid changes

Number of unique changes in each RSV protein. The number was calculated using multiple alignment of each PRI-024 protein with GA2 clade RSV proteins and each PRI-030 proteins with BA clade RSV proteins. An hyphen signals the absence of any unique change.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>NS1</th>
<th>NS2</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SH</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>M2-1</th>
<th>M2-2</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>Total unique changes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRI-024</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826833</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826855</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826849</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF530269</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826817</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826856</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF530264</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF530261</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826830</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826831</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826838</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF530258</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826840</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF530263</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826848</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF530260</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826842</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826822</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826839</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826837</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826843</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF530262</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826851</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF530266</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF530259</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826845</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826835</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826860</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826834</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826844</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826820</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826825</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826858</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF826829</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.4 Conclusion and discussion

Susceptibility to RSV is the result of the interplay between host, pathogen and the environment, and the pathogen genetic variability can play a role in disease outcome. Most previous RSV genomic studies focused on partial coding sequences from laboratory strains, and mainly on the attachment glycoprotein (Gpr) (16, 17), which is the most variable protein in the RSV genome. Till 2011, only 6 full RSV genomes of non-laboratory strains were reported. During the past three years however, with the widespread availability of high-throughput sequencing technologies, many more RSV genomes became available. Currently there are 739 full RSV genomes available in Genbank. These data provide a valuable resource for better understanding of RSV evolution as well as development of better diagnostic test for RSV. Yet, there has been no report using full RSV sequence to study the signatures of virulence in RSV genome.

This study is a pilot exploration of the role of RSV genetic variation in the most severe clinical cases. The two RSV genomes sequenced in this study, isolated from ICU hospitalized, previously healthy children, were shown to belong to the main globally circulating clades of RSV and to be highly similar to RSV isolated from non-extreme cases at both the DNA and protein levels. We did not identify any single nucleotide or structural variant that could confer increased virulence to the virus. However, an obvious limitation of our pilot study is an extremely limited number of sequenced genomes, making it impossible to exclude an impact of RSV genomic variation in pathogenesis. We have isolated an additional 57 RSV genomes from patients with severe clinical presentation of RSV infection, for which host exome sequencing data is also available. This phenotypically homogeneous collection of RSV strains from the most extreme cases of RSV infection will provide a valuable resource to explore the role of RSV genetic variation in susceptibility and clinical outcome of the infection. Sequencing of these viruses and combining the result of viral sequencing study with the available host genomic data is needed to get a comprehensive picture of severe susceptibility to RSV. The current study provides a general framework for this research.
4.5 References

Chapter 5  Conclusion

Inter-individual variability is a well-known but poorly understood characteristic of infectious diseases. The work presented in this thesis provides conclusive evidence for the role of rare human genetic variants in susceptibility to life-threatening *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (*P. aeruginosa*) and respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) infections in otherwise healthy children. In parallel, it provides a framework for exploring viral genetic variation using high-throughput sequencing (HTS) technology.

In chapter two, I tested the hypothesis that severe sepsis due to community-acquired *P. aeruginosa* in previously healthy children can reflect an underlying immunodeficiency. I used exome sequencing to search for primary immunodeficiency in 11 children with *P. aeruginosa* bacteraemia. I identified mutations leading to known immunodeficiencies in two fatal cases: X-linked agammaglobulinemia due to a novel BTK mutation and ICF immunodeficiency syndrome due to a novel DNMT3B mutation. I concluded that severe *P. aeruginosa* sepsis in children without clinical risk factors can represent the first manifestation of a previously unrecognized primary immunodeficiency.

In chapter three, I tested the hypothesis that rare genetic variations can explain the clinical severity of common viral respiratory infections in previously healthy children. 120 previously healthy children requiring intensive care support because of a severe illness caused by a respiratory virus were recruited. After exome sequencing, I identified three rare loss-of-function variants in IFIH1. Functional characterization of the variants demonstrated that the mutated proteins are unable to induce interferon-β, are intrinsically less stable than wild-type IFIH1, and lack ATPase activity. Experiments also showed a dominant negative effect of the mutated proteins on wild-type IFIH1 stability and ATPase activity. *In vitro* assays showed effective restriction of human respiratory syncytial virus and rhinovirus by IFIH1. I concluded that IFIH1 deficiency causes a primary immunodeficiency manifested in extreme susceptibility to common respiratory RNA viruses.

In chapter four, I performed a pilot study for exploring RSV genetic variation. The main goal of this work was to set up a framework for analysing HTS data derived from the pathogen genome. Two RSV strains were isolated from nasopharyngeal aspirates (NPA) of two previously healthy children with severe clinical presentation of RSV infection. After sequencing, I used bioinformatic analysis to reconstruct the RSV genome for each strain and to compare them at the RNA and protein level with strains isolated from routine cases of RSV infection. The analysis showed that these two RSV genomes belonged to the most prevalent clades. I did not find any variation at RNA or protein level that can explain the unusual severe outcome of RSV infection in the selected patients. However, given the high variability of RSV genome and the small size of this study, one cannot exclude an impact of RSV genomic variation on pathogenesis and clinical outcome.
The results presented in chapters two and three of this thesis demonstrate that exome-sequencing is a powerful tool to study the genetic basis of infectious disorders and highlights the power of extreme phenotype sampling in sporadic cases for gene discovery. The prototype study I described in chapter four can be extended to large-scale studies aiming at establishing a link between pathogen genome variation and disease severity. Pathogen genomic studies in combination with host genomic studies can provide a more comprehensive picture of host-pathogen interaction and consequently lead to development of better preventive and therapeutic measures.

In our host genomics studies, causal mutations were found and confirmed in 18% (for *P. aeruginosa* infection) and 7% (for RSV infection) of cases. While non-genetic and pathogen-related factors could explain some of the remaining cases, we cannot rule out the possibility of other human genetic factors being involved. Larger sample sizes in combination with functional follow-up of new candidate variants is required to find pathogenic variants in these cases. Furthermore, whole genome sequencing is needed to explore the non-coding variants, large structural variants and also exonic variants that might not be well covered using current exome capture kits. An obvious limitation of our pathogen genomics study is the extremely limited number of sequenced genomes. We have isolated an additional 57 RSV genomes from patients with severe clinical presentation of RSV infection. Sequencing of these additional RSV genomes and combining the results with our data from host genomics of RSV infection is a necessary next step in our efforts to study the role of RSV genetic diversity in disease severity.

Although the role of heritable factors in infectious diseases has been suspected for a long time, it's only during the past decade that multiple studies were published that demonstrated the importance of host genetics in the pathogenesis of several infectious diseases. During the same period, the maturation and democratization of high-throughput sequencing technologies has made it possible to run pathogen genomic studies that have widened and sharpened our understanding of the genetic diversity of pathogens, a necessary step toward deciphering the role of pathogen genetic variability in disease pathogenesis. Because of the unremitting nature of the evolutionary arms race between host and pathogen, infectious diseases will continue to be part of the human experience. By promising a more fundamental understanding of the genetic contributors to infection outcomes, the current genomic era has the potential to bring better preventative and therapeutic strategies to individuals and populations.
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