New experimental determination of fatigue strength of tubular truss joints in steel grades up to S690
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ABSTRACT: In this paper research work on welded butt weld connections between hot-rolled seamless tubes and steel castings are presented. Comparison is made between different weld preparations for the butt welds including different steel grades, repair methods, the influence of residual stresses and a study on large scale trusses. The steel grades investigated include S550QH as well as S690QH to complement previous test results on lower steel grades. These investigations are intended to lead towards the development of a concept for improving the fatigue life for bridges using such end-to-end connections.

1 INTRODUCTION

The outcome of two research projects funded by CIDECT and FOSTA (a national steel research funding organization in Germany) were presented at previous ISTS conferences, at ISTS-12 in Shanghai (2008) by Veselcic et al. and at ISTS-13 in Hong Kong (2010) by Nussbaumer et al.

Due to the very convincing results from these projects an extension of the work was carried out by a research group consisting of ICOM of EPFL Lausanne, the Competence Center for Tubes and Hollow Sections (CCTH), Karlsruhe, the Büro für Ingenieurarchitektur Dietrich in Traunstein and the Karlsruhe Institute of Technology KIT. The project was called "P816 - Optimal application of hollow sections and cast steel nodes in bridge building with the usage of steel S355 up to S690" and, as the previous project, sponsored by the German Forschungsvereinigung für Stahlanwendung FOSTA in Düsseldorf. The fatigue classes in both preceding projects were determined on the basis of a lower bound of the experimental dataset due to the little number of available results. To obtain more results and thus to enlarge the dataset for statistical evaluation, additional tests on previously investigated steel grades S355J2H and S460NH were carried out.

Additionally, new cast to CHS girth welded test specimens made of hot-rolled seamless hollow sections of steel grades S550QH and S690QH and the matching cast steel material were produced. The specimens were tested under 4-point bending as well as within complete truss girders. With this enlargement of the fatigue test database the influence of several parameters such as steel grade and tube thickness can be assessed.

This paper summarizes the whole project and presents the test results and the re-evaluated S-N-curves. One major finding is the confirmation of the relative independence of steel grade (from both CHS and cast steel) on the fatigue strength of end-to-end connections in tubular truss joints.

All these investigations will help allow for safer and more economical design of bridges made of thick-walled hollow sections and cast nodes.

2 RESEARCH PROJECT

2.1 Overview

Based on the previous project the new research work was planned as a combined work of Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT) and EPFL Lausanne. With this it was possible to investigate a lot of different aspects on test specimens under fatigue loading of tube to tube connections between cast steel joints and steel hollow sections.

Not all aspects of the research project are included in this paper, namely: architectural aspects of tubular bridges which were studied by the office of engineering-architecture Richard Dietrich, “integral planning” of bridges made of tubular steel structures which was enhanced and time-tested and the economical aspects of material, welding, non-destructive-testing, etc. which were also studied.

In this way, the economic value for the erection of such structures can be optimized and therefore a realization in practice will be promoted.
3 ANALYSIS OF BUTT WELDED JOINTS

3.1 Overview

Butt welds are used in bridge constructions in multifaceted varieties as a connection of two chords or between cast steel joint and steel tube. These connections are butt welds with or without weld backing. All welds can be carried out as single side welds only. Within the scope of this project different variants for butt weld designs are investigated.

Since the chemical as well as the mechanical-technological properties of the used cast steel parts are nearly the same as for the hot-rolled hollow sections, all following assumptions are not only valid for butt welds between hollow sections and cast steel parts but furthermore for butt welds connecting hollow sections also.

The tests carried out on butt-welded specimen have been performed in close cooperation between Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT) and the Center of competence for tubes and hollow sections (CCTH). On the basis of the test results derived from project P591(2010) and in close collaboration with EPFL Lausanne and the involved participants of the industry in the monitoring group of the project the research program for the examination of the butt welds was proposed. The objective was to reduce the previously investigated variants to the decisive ones and maintain a wide spectra of possible butt welded joints to perform an economic feasibility study with the different variants.

In the first part the testing program with the different variant is presented. Subsequently the chosen materials are given and the fabrication of the test specimens is described. Special attention is placed on the non-destructive examinations. Furthermore, repair welding on different test specimens is considered. One of the main aspects of the project was on the investigation of high strength steels. These test results are illustrated with a detailed analysis.

Furthermore, FE-calculations concerning the influence of the different wall-thicknesses and geometries have been performed. However, these results are not part of this paper.

3.2 Testing Program

Detailed information on the manufacturing processes was presented in former publications mentioned above. Details on quality levels and welding parameters mentioned in this section are described in detail in Veselcic et al. (2006, 2007, 2009).

For the research projects steels according to Table 1 are considered for the tests. With the use of high strength steel, a reduction of the member thickness can be realized in practice. To ensure good weldability, the cast quality is chosen according the previous projects. For the welding, pre-heating was only used for the steel grade S690. For all other steel grades pre-heating could be omitted, which entails a significant cost reduction.

Table 1. Steel Grades for the Hollow Sections and corresponding Cast Steels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hollow Sections</th>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Cast Steel</th>
<th>Standard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S355J2H</td>
<td>EN 10210</td>
<td>G20Mn5(V)</td>
<td>EN 10293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S460NH</td>
<td>EN 10210</td>
<td>G10MnMo V 6-3</td>
<td>EN 10293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S460NH</td>
<td>EN 10210</td>
<td>G10Mn7V</td>
<td>EN 10293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S550QH</td>
<td>prEN 10210</td>
<td>G10Mn7V</td>
<td>EN 10293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S690QH</td>
<td>EN 10210</td>
<td>G10MnMo V 6-3</td>
<td>EN 10293</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Altogether, four butt weld solutions have been condensed from the previous project (see figure 1). The testing programme for all four solutions was identical.

A summary of the section dimensions of all tests on butt welds is given in Table 2. The influencing factors studied were the diameter and thickness of the tubular beams and the detailing of the CHS-castings butt welds. In solution 2 the cast steel wall thickness is chosen to be the same size as that of the hollow section to be welded to it. For the other solutions 10 mm is added to the cast steel components.

All fatigue test specimens were made out of two CHS and one cast steel member, forming tubular beam specimens. The tests were carried out under 4-point bending as shown in Figure 2. Altogether 32 tests have been performed on high strength steel.

Figure 1. The four different variants of butt weld solutions studied.

After welding the test specimens, an ultrasonic inspection of the different variants is carried out to detect any defects. However, for practical applications, it should be pointed out that with a multitude of regulations for ultrasonic inspection, the necessary requirements should be specified at an early stage of a project to avoid subsequent disagreements between the client and contractor and to maintain quality standards.
Additionally, an extended fatigue life and higher detail categories. For these specimen the life expectancy of bridge structures can be extended.

3.3 Tests on repair welded beam specimen

On test specimens previously tested repair welding should be conducted to study the influence of a repaired weld. Furthermore, as always two welds were simultaneously tested with only one failed in the tests, another test result can be obtained for the weld that not failed yet.

Prior to the selection of the specimens to be repair welded all suitable specimens have been ultrasonically tested. Based on this the specimens with small defects in the still intact weld could be excluded Only the specimens with none or small defects are taken into account for repair. Otherwise the weld would crack before the repair welded weld has any significant cycles to fatigue. The failed weld was tested with magnetic particle testing to obtain the full length of the existing crack. For repairing the crack was grind to provide a good quality.

After repair welding the fatigue tests were continued. The parameters used previously have not been changed. In total, 4 fatigue tests (with 4 repaired welds and 4 still intact welds) were performed. The test frequency was between 20 Hz and 30 Hz (depending on the stiffness of the specimen) with a load ratio $R = Q_{\text{min}}/Q_{\text{max}}$ of 0.2.

For each test, further applied cycles to fatigue the specimen was determined. The tests were stopped when either the repaired weld or the previously intact weld cracked. For two test specimen a crack occurred in both welds. For these specimens the repaired weld featured lower cycles to fatigue as for newly welded welds.

Both other test specimens showed a crack only in the previously intact weld. The endured cycles to fatigue was more than 50% higher than previously obtained. Die test results for all specimens are presented in Table 3. A proposal concerning further fatigue life of repair welds could not be derived based on only two test results. For this further tests are necessary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>specimen</th>
<th>previous cycles of fatigue</th>
<th>Loading $S_n$</th>
<th>Repaired weld cycles of fatigue</th>
<th>Intact weld cycles of fatigue</th>
<th>crack</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V3-B1</td>
<td>1.193.693</td>
<td>170 MPa</td>
<td>232.365</td>
<td>1.426.058</td>
<td>Both</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V5-B1</td>
<td>1.838.046</td>
<td>170 MPa</td>
<td>255.735</td>
<td>2.093.781</td>
<td>Both</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V5-B2</td>
<td>209.471</td>
<td>233 MPa</td>
<td>153.069</td>
<td>362.540</td>
<td>Existing weld</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V6-B1</td>
<td>434.024</td>
<td>170 MPa</td>
<td>226.188</td>
<td>660.212</td>
<td>Existing weld</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Table 2. Testing Program for all four solutions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outside diameter</th>
<th>Cast steel wall thickness</th>
<th>CHS wall thickness</th>
<th>No. of tests</th>
<th>Steel grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\varnothing$ mm</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>193.7</td>
<td>20/30*</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>S460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>298.5</td>
<td>30/40*</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>S690</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* depending on chosen solution
3.4 Test in high strength steel

For all fatigue tests specimens with two welds have been investigated. All fatigue tests have been stopped, when a crack through the wall-thickness (through crack) was visually observed. All failures for tests observed started from inside at a weld root with the crack propagating in the weld area or the heat affected zone.

In total, 32 fatigue tests (with 64 welds altogether) were carried out at load ratios \( R = Q_{\text{min}} / Q_{\text{max}} \) of 0.2.

3.5 Evaluation of test results

All fatigue classes determined in the evaluation are only suggested as a lower bound of the experiments due to the number of available testing data. With more experimental results using the existing and only partially broken test specimens.

Since the specimens contained two butt welds, the results are biased towards the weaker joint, allowing a conservative safety margin for the evaluations. Also, since in the bending tests only part of the joint is subjected to the highest stress ranges and the weld root is less loaded, the expected fatigue life is obviously higher than in the case of tension tests, where the weakest joint part will fail first.

The failure criteria used for determining S-N curves is through-thickness cracking. As expected, the bending tests give good fatigue strengths, with characteristic nominal stress range values at 2 million cycles, \( \Delta \sigma_C \), ranging between 100 and 120 N/mm² and a fatigue slope coefficient \( m = 5 \).

The fatigue test results are summarized in Table 4. Here not only the test results obtained in this project are described but furthermore they are compared with the previous results.

It is notable that for welding the high strength steel the correspondent filler material is chosen respectively. For some tests however the filler material used had lower yield strength. In an evaluation of all specimens no negative effect of the yield strength was observed. The evaluation of the specimens with filler material of lower yield strength leads to a higher detail category in comparison to the specimens with adequate yield strength. Therefore the filler material had only a small or nearly no influence on the test results.

According to Eurocode 3, part 1-9 (2005), only solution 2 (see Table 4, grey marked section) can directly be classified. It is a transverse butt weld, full penetration, between curved plates (EN1993-1-9, Table 8.3, detail 14), with a fatigue strength or corresponding detail category of 71 N/mm² and \( m = 3 \) (with size effect reduction if \( t > 25 \text{ mm} \)). Note that these joints cannot be classified using EN1993-1-9, Table 8.6 (hollow sections), detail 3, since here the allowable range is only for \( t < 12.5 \text{ mm} \). All tests and statistical analyses show higher slopes, usually closer to \( m = 5 \).

Solution 2 and 5 in both projects give comparable results. With this no difference in steel quality concerning the fatigue life is observed. The other solutions 3 and 5 give slightly lower results (approximately 5%).

Table 4. Test results for all solutions on butt welds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solution</th>
<th>Project P591</th>
<th>Project P816</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta \sigma_C ) and ( m )</td>
<td>( \Delta \sigma_C ) and ( m )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>122 (( m=5 ))</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>119 (( m=5 ))</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>128 (( m=5 ))</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>130 (( m=5 ))</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>113 (( m=5 ))</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>100 (( m=5 ))</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In a first analysis, the results on the different steel grades were pooled together, i.e. it was agreed that there was no significant difference in fatigue behavior between the different steel grades. Also, all specimen sizes could be pooled together as no significant size effect was noticed apart from the effect of additional bending due to misalignment. (see also Nussbaumer et al. 2013).

Table 5. Parameters of the evaluation for different steel grades

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steel grade</th>
<th>calculated slope ( m )</th>
<th>95% survival probability ( N/\text{mm}^2 )</th>
<th>Difference between mean stress to 95% survival probability ( % )</th>
<th>Stress range ( S_\text{R} ) as lower bound of test results ( N/\text{mm}^2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S355</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>109.8</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S460</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>111.1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S550</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>72.8</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S690</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>126.5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
However, in a second analysis the test results have been evaluated according to their steel grades (S355, S460, S550 and S690). With this all test results for one steel grade have been pooled together for evaluation. The parameters of this evaluation are presented in Table 5.

It stands out that in the statistical evaluation the difference of the 95% survival probability compared to the mean stress for the steel grades S355, S460 and S690 is roughly 20%, with a minimum characteristic value of approx. 110 N/mm², $m = 5.4$. In the case of S550 the difference is nearly 40%. This could possibly be attributed to defects in the welding. Furthermore the small number of tests has to be taken into account. The slope ended up with $m=3.6$ which also gives the impression that there have been some problems with the welding. In all other cases the slope is between $m=5.4$ and 6.8.

Of particular concern is the lower boundary of the test results. The stress variation range is lower for the steel grade 550 compared to the other steel grades. This is due to the higher variation.

A complete evaluation of all test results is presented in Figure 3. In total, there are 108 individual test results put together for the evaluation. For all test specimens there are two test results available – one concerning the failed weld and one for the non-failed weld. In cases where both welds have failed both test results have been considered.

As shown on Figure 4, welding simulations with a simplified nb of passes, but considering phase transformations, were also carried out and gave val-
ues and a trend globally similar to the measured values. Also, the values at the left and right toes were found to be very similar, even if influenced by the start position.

4.3 Trusses fatigue tests

Similar tube sizes and truss size as in the previous studies were used, namely upper and lower chords were 193.4 x 20 mm circular hollow sections and braces were 101.6 x 8 mm. The trusses were 9.66 m in length and 1.97 m in height. Keeping the size also provided a more convenient comparison between the specimens. In particular, the eccentricity ratio was kept close to the values used in specimens S5 to S7 tested during P591 (P591, 2010). In addition to the K-joints and next to them, cast nodes were introduced. They were made like tubes parts in order to get butt joints between them and the tubes. They were 20 and 30 mm thick and made out of G10MnMoV6-3.

A three-point load-controlled bending test setup similar to experiments previously done was employed. The tests were carried out at constant amplitude and with a load ratio R equal to 0.1. The loading frequencies, were selected as 1.8Hz and 1.3Hz for S10 and S11, resp. Cyclic loading was stopped at regular intervals to repeat the static tests and crack detection. After the failure of the first joint in each truss, the tests were stopped temporarily to carry out the repair operation and then the cyclic loading continued. A rapid repair method using post-tensioning – which did not require dismounting the truss from the test platform – was successfully applied to the trusses. Truss S11 was tested first; the test lasted 516000 cycles. Afterwards, truss S10 was tested with a lower load range up to 1949000 cycles.

Nominal stresses in truss joints were numerically evaluated using a beam element structural model. From previous studies, the following modeling procedure was found to give most accurate results:
- Nodal eccentricities are simulated by rigid links
- For section properties of brace elements in the connection region, the real section is used instead of rigid section properties.
- Brace moments are evaluated at brace-to-chord surface intersection.

Measurements of the strains were also carried out. Experimentally determined were generally in agreement with the numerical values. Hot-spot stresses at the joints were calculated by multiplying axial and bending stresses in the joint by relevant stress concentration factors (SCF). However, the formulas from the CIDECT recommendations were not used since geometric parameters of test trusses are outside the application range for SCF tables given by Zhao et al. [CIDECT recommendations, 2000]. Instead, SCF tables provided in publication [VSS578 2004] were used for calculation of the SCF. These tables were the result of an extensive parametric study at a parameter range more suitable for bridge application. structures (0.5 ≤ β ≤ 0.7, 4 ≤ γ ≤ 12, and 0.3 ≤ τ ≤ 0.7, with realistic consideration of nodal eccentricities in FE models). Using these SCF, the values of the hot spot stresses determined from the nominal ones are the closest to the real values (extrapolated at hot spot from strain meas.).

For the K-joints, no difference was observed, nor in the behavior neither the fatigue strength, between S690 trusses and S355 trusses previously tested. Thus, the same fatigue category can be used for both steel grades. The trusses systematically failed from the K-joints, i.e. for the load combination applied, the K-joints have a lower fatigue strength compared to the cast to tube butt joints. Thus only run-outs but no failures resulted form the fatigue tests on the cast to tube butt joints. Subsequent NDE by KIT on some of the joints using phased-array method confirmed that no fatigue crack initiated in these joints. A couple of the run-outs are below but near to the curve ΔCt = 71, m = 5, which is a logic confirmation that for these load levels and number of cycles no fatigue cracks should be found.

5 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Experimental studies were initiated with the aim of establishing design recommendations for the fatigue behavior of end-to-end connections. Especially the previously derived detail categories for material S355 and S460 were examined using higher steel grades up to S690.

To obtain this, four variants of butt-welded connections had been determined to be investigated on high strength steel. Furthermore, repair welding has been performed on selected test specimens. All fatigue tests have been carried out either as 4-point bending tests on beam specimens or 3-point bending on the trusses. The results obtained on beam specimens have thus been verified on large scale truss girder tests. All experimental data have been evaluated according Eurocode 3 and using S-N curves with a slope m = 5.

The following conclusions can be drawn from this program combined with the previous ones:
- For the beam specimens, some difference between steel grades was observed. For the steel grades S355, S460 and S690, this difference in the 95% survival probability compared to the mean stress was roughly 20%, with a minimum characteristic value of approx. 110 N/mm², m = 5.4. Only S550 gave worse results, but number of results is very limited so not conclusive.
- There was no difference in results for trusses made of S355 and S690. For all tests there was a failure on the K-joints. No failure was observed from the butt welded joint, the run-outs being in
agreement with results from the beam tests. There is thus a lower fatigue strength of the K-
joint compared to butt welded joint.
- For tubular bridge constructions made of CHS and cast steels, the obtained fatigue classifications for butt-welds are also applicable to high strength steels up to S690, a summary of the de-
tail categories is given in table 6 as a proposal for design recommendations and regulations.
- The K-joints made out of S690 showed residual stresses about 60% of the yield stress in the di-
rection transverse to the weld bead. The absolute values are close to previous measurements
on S355, thus the residual stress field is found not to be a function of the yield stress, which is
in opposition with BS 7910.

In this paper, structural hollow sections in bridge construction are shown to meet the project goals:
functionality, aesthetics, durability, and economic viability.
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Table 6. Summary of proposed new S-N curves for tubular bridge construction made of structural steels up to S690.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Detail category*</th>
<th>Detail</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Δσ_{Cb} = 112</td>
<td>Butt-joint</td>
<td>between CHS and cast</td>
<td>Weld must be UT controlled.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δσ_{Ct} = 71**</td>
<td>with backing</td>
<td>made of ceramic elements or a steel ring</td>
<td>The cast steel meets a quality level V1S1 at its ends, with NDT control on surface cracks. Tube slenderness: ( γ \leq 5.0 ) Minimum wall thickness ( T \geq 20\text{mm} ) Maximum wall thickness ( T \leq 60\text{mm} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((m=5))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δσ_{Cb} = 112</td>
<td>Butt-joint</td>
<td>between CHS and cast</td>
<td>Weld must be UT controlled.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δσ_{Ct} = 71**</td>
<td>with different thicknesses:</td>
<td>steel with different thicknesses:</td>
<td>The cast steel meets a quality level V1S1 at its ends, with NDT control on surface cracks. Tube slenderness: ( γ \leq 5.0 ) The thickness variation should not exceed ( T_1 / T_2 &gt; 0.6 ) ( k_f = \left(1 + \frac{6e}{T_1 T_1^{1.5}} + T_2^{1.5}\right) ) with ( T_2 &gt; T_1 ) Account for secondary bending correction using ( k_f = \left(1 + \frac{6e}{T_1 T_1^{1.5}} + T_2^{1.5}\right) ) with ( T_2 &gt; T_1 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((m=5))</td>
<td>- beveled, with tack welded backing</td>
<td>steel ring (( t = 3 ) to ( 4 ) mm) or ceramic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- with TIG root pass as backing</td>
<td>backing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δσ_{Cb} = 100</td>
<td>Butt-joint</td>
<td>between CHS and cast</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δσ_{Ct} = 71**</td>
<td>with different thicknesses and CHS</td>
<td>steel with different thicknesses and CHS not beveled, with or without backing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((m=5))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δσ_{C,hs} = 80</td>
<td>Welded K-joint</td>
<td>((m=3))</td>
<td>For chord wall thicknesses ( T &gt; 16 \text{mm} ), account for size effect using Equation (2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* expressed in nominal stress range, \( Δσ_{C_i} \) (index b for bending or t for tension), or hot spot stress range, \( Δσ_{C,hs} \)

** detail category 71 was temporarily predefined as a lower bound. Conservative estimation because a lot of test specimens did not fail
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