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## The Index Calculus Method

Consider the DLP in $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$. The ICM consists of two stages:

1. Choose a factor base $\mathcal{F}$, find relations between elements and then compute their logarithms.
2. For an arbitrary element, express it as a product of lower degree elements; recurse until all leaves are in $\mathcal{F}$.
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## 'The Fundamental Theorem of Cryptography'

"If we have no clue about something, then we can safely assume that it behaves as a uniformly distributed random variable."

## The Joux-Lercier FFS variation [JL06]

To find factor base relations in $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$ one uses the following setup.

- Choose $g_{1}, g_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$ of degrees $d_{1}, d_{2}$ s.t. $X-g_{1}\left(g_{2}(X)\right)$ has a degree $n$ irreducible factor $I(X)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, so that $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}=\mathbb{F}_{q}[X] /(I(X))=\mathbb{F}_{q}(x)$
- Let $y=g_{2}(x)$; then $x=g_{1}(y)$ and $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}} \cong \mathbb{F}_{q}(x) \cong \mathbb{F}_{q}(y)$
- In best case factor base is $\left\{x-a \mid a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\right\} \cup\left\{y-b \mid b \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\right\}$

Relation generation:

- Considering elements $x y+a y+b x+c$ with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, one obtains the $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$-equality

$$
x g_{2}(x)+a g_{2}(x)+b x+c=y g_{1}(y)+a y+b g_{1}(y)+c
$$

- When both sides split over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ one obtains a relation


## Optimising $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ in [JL06]

F.T.C. $\Longrightarrow$ that as $q \rightarrow \infty$ each side of $x y+a y+b x+c$ splits over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ with probability $1 /\left(d_{2}+1\right)$ ! and $1 /\left(d_{1}+1\right)$ ! respectively.

- $\Longrightarrow$ Choose $d_{1} \approx d_{2} \approx \sqrt{n}$
- For $q=L_{q^{n}}\left(1 / 3,3^{-2 / 3}\right)$ algorithm is $L_{q^{n}}\left(1 / 3,3^{1 / 3}\right)$
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## A Counterpoint to the F.T.C.

Fortunately, in one sub-case of the [JLO6] setup, we do have a clue.
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The paper contains:

- The first polynomial time relation generation method for degree one elements
- The first polynomial time elimination method for degree two elements


## An auspicious choice for $g_{2}$ in [JL06]

Assume now that the base field is $\mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}$ for $k \geq 2$.

- Let $y=g_{2}(x)=x^{q}$
- Eliminates half of the factor base since

$$
(y+b)=\left(x+b^{1 / q}\right)^{q} \Longrightarrow \log (y+b)=q \log \left(x+b^{1 / q}\right)
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- Eliminates half of the factor base since

$$
(y+b)=\left(x+b^{1 / q}\right)^{q} \Longrightarrow \log (y+b)=q \log \left(x+b^{1 / q}\right)
$$

- The l.h.s. of $x y+a y+b x+c$ becomes

$$
x^{q+1}+a x^{q}+b x+c
$$

- This polynomial provably splits over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}$ with probability

$$
\approx 1 / q^{3} \gg 1 /(q+1)!
$$

## Bluher polynomials

Let $k \geq 3$ and consider the polynomial $X^{q+1}+a X^{q}+b X+c$.
If $a b \neq c$ and $a^{q} \neq b$, this may be transformed into

$$
F_{B}(\bar{X})=\bar{X}^{q+1}+B \bar{X}+B, \quad \text { with } \quad B=\frac{\left(b-a^{q}\right)^{q+1}}{(c-a b)^{q}}
$$

via $X=\frac{c-a b}{b-a^{q}} \bar{X}-a$.

## Theorem (Bluher '04)

The number of elements $B \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}^{\times}$s.t. the polynomial $F_{B}(\bar{X}) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}[\bar{X}]$ splits completely over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}$ equals

$$
\frac{q^{k-1}-1}{q^{2}-1} \quad \text { if } k \text { is odd }, \quad \frac{q^{k-1}-q}{q^{2}-1} \quad \text { if } k \text { is even } .
$$

## Polynomial time relation generation: $k \geq 3$

Assume that $g_{1}$ can be found s.t. $X-g_{1}\left(X^{q}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod I(X))$ with $\operatorname{deg}(I)=n \leq q d_{1}$. Then we have the following method:

- Compute $\mathcal{B}=\left\{B \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}^{\times} \mid X^{q+1}+B X+B\right.$ splits over $\left.\mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}\right\}$
- Since $B=\left(b-a^{q}\right)^{q+1} /(c-a b)^{q}$, for any $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}$ s.t. $b \neq a^{q}$, and $B \in \mathcal{B}$, there exists a unique $c \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}$ s.t. $x^{q+1}+a x^{q}+b x+c$ splits over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}$
- For each such $(a, b, c)$, test if r.h.s. $y g_{1}(y)+a y+b g_{1}(y)+c$ splits; if so then have a relation
- If $q^{3 k-3}>q^{k}\left(d_{1}+1\right)$ ! then for $d_{1} \geq 1$ constant we expect to compute logs of degree 1 elements of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{k n}}$ in time

$$
O\left(q^{2 k+1}\right)
$$

## Degree 2 elimination

Let $Q(y)=y^{2}+q_{1} y+q_{0} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{k n}}$ be an element to be eliminated, i.e., written as a product of linear elements.

- Recall that in $\mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}$ we have $y=x^{q}$ and $x=g_{1}(y)$, so for any univariate polynomials $w_{0}, w_{1}$ we have

$$
w_{0}\left(x^{q}\right) x+w_{1}\left(x^{q}\right)=w_{0}(y) g_{1}(y)+w_{1}(y)
$$

- Compute a reduced basis of the lattice
$L_{Q}=\left\{\left(w_{0}(Y), w_{1}(Y)\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}[Y]^{2}: w_{0}(Y) g_{1}(Y)+w_{1}(Y) \equiv 0(\bmod Q(Y))\right\}$
- In general we have $\left(u_{0}, Y+u_{1}\right),\left(Y+v_{0}, v_{1}\right)$, with $u_{i}, v_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}$, and for $s \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}$ we have $\left(Y+v_{0}+s u_{0}, s Y+v_{1}+s u_{1}\right) \in L_{Q}$
- r.h.s. $\left(y+v_{0}+s u_{0}\right) g_{1}(y)+\left(s y+v_{1}+s u_{1}\right)$ has degree $d_{1}+1$, so cofactor splits with probability $\approx 1 /\left(d_{1}-1\right)$ !
- I.h.s. is $\left(x^{q}+v_{0}+s u_{0}\right) x+\left(s x^{q}+v_{1}+s u_{1}\right)$ which is of the form

$$
x^{q+1}+a x^{q}+b x+c
$$

## Degree 2 elimination

Consider the I.h.s. $x^{q+1}+s x^{q}+\left(v_{0}+s u_{0}\right) x+\left(v_{1}+s u_{1}\right)$.

- Compute the set $\mathcal{B}$ of elements $B \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}$ such that $X^{q+1}+B X+B$ splits over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}$
- For each $B \in \mathcal{B}$ we try to solve $B=\left(b-a^{q}\right)^{q+1} /(c-a b)^{q}$ for $s$, i.e., find $s \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}$ that satisfies

$$
B=\frac{\left(-s^{q}+u_{0} s+v_{0}\right)^{q+1}}{\left(-u_{0} s^{2}+\left(u_{1}-v_{0}\right) s+v_{1}\right)^{q}}
$$

by taking GCD with $s^{q^{k}}-s$ : Cost is $O\left(q^{2} \log q^{k}\right) \mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}$-ops

- Probability of success is $\approx 1-\left(1-\frac{1}{\left(d_{1}-1\right)!}\right)^{q^{k-3}}$
- Hence need $q^{k-3}>\left(d_{1}-1\right)$ ! to eliminate $Q(y)$ with good probability: Expected cost is

$$
O\left(q^{2}\left(d_{1}-1\right)!\log q^{k}\right) \mathbb{F}_{q^{k}-\text { ops }}
$$

## Joux's insights

- Independently of [GGMZ13], Joux discovered an isomorphic polynomial time degree one relation generation method.
- For $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2 n}}$, assume $h_{1}(X), h_{0}(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}[X]$ of very small degree exist s.t. $h_{1}(X) X^{q}-h_{0}(X)$ has an irreducible factor $I(X)$ of degree $n$.

For $Q \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}[X]$ of degree $D$ let $F, G$ have degree $<D$. Consider

$$
G \cdot \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q}}(F-\alpha G)=F^{q} G-F G^{q}
$$

- Since $X^{q} \equiv h_{0}(X) / h_{1}(X)(\bmod I(X)), F^{q} \& G^{q}$ have small degree
- Joux insists that r.h.s. is divisible by $Q \Longrightarrow$ results in a bilinear quadratic system, and that the cofactor is ( $D-1$ )-smooth
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Balancing classical descent with this elimination results in an algorithm with heuristic complexity

$$
L_{q^{2 n}}(1 / 4+o(1))
$$

## New method DLP solutions in 2013

- 11th Feb'13, Joux: $\mathbb{F}_{2^{1778}}$ in 220 core hours
- 19th Feb'13, GGMZ: $\mathbb{F}_{2^{1971}}$ in 3,132 core hours
- 3rd May'13, GGMZ: $\mathbb{F}_{2^{3164}}$ in 107,000 core hours
- 22nd Mar'13, Joux: $\mathbb{F}_{2^{4088}}$ in 14,100 core hours
- 11th Apr'13, GGMZ: $\mathbb{F}_{2^{6120}}$ in 750 core hours
- 21st May'13, Joux: $\mathbb{F}_{2^{6168}}$ in 550 core hours
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## Supersingular binary curves: genus 1

For $i \in \mathbb{F}_{2}$ consider the elliptic curves

$$
E_{i} / \mathbb{F}_{2}: Y^{2}+Y=X^{3}+X+i
$$

- Both $E_{i}$ are supersingular $\left(E_{i}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{2}\right)\right.$ has no points of order 2)
- For prime $p$ we have

$$
\# E_{i}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2^{p}}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
2^{p}+1+(-1)^{i} 2^{(p+1) / 2} & \text { for } p \equiv 1,7 & (\bmod 8) \\
2^{p}+1-(-1)^{i} 2^{(p+1) / 2} & \text { for } p \equiv 3,5 & (\bmod 8)
\end{array}\right.
$$
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- $\left(2^{p}+1 \pm 2^{(p+1) / 2}\right) \mid\left(2^{4 p}-1\right) \Longrightarrow E_{i}$ has embedding degree 4
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## Lesson 1 (MOV '93)

Elliptic curves with small embedding degree are weak.

## Lesson 2 (Pairing-based cryptography '00/01)

Provided that the applications are good enough, ignore Lesson 1.

## Supersingular binary curves: genus 2

For $i \in \mathbb{F}_{2}$ let

$$
H_{i} / \mathbb{F}_{2}: Y^{2}+Y=X^{5}+X^{3}+i
$$

- Both $H_{i}$ are supersingular $\left(\mathrm{Jac}_{H_{i}}\right.$ is isogenous to a product of two supersingular elliptic curves)
- We have $\# \operatorname{Jac}\left(H_{i}\right)\left(\mathbb{F}_{2^{p}}\right)=$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2^{2 p}+(-1)^{i} 2^{(3 p+1) / 2}+2^{p}+(-1)^{i} 2^{(p+1) / 2}+1 \text { for } p \equiv 1,7,17,23 \quad(\bmod 24) \\
2^{2 p}-(-1)^{i} 2^{(3 p+1) / 2}+2^{p}-(-1)^{i} 2^{(p+1) / 2}+1 \text { for } p \equiv 5,11,13,19 \quad(\bmod 24)
\end{array}\right. \\
\bullet \# \operatorname{Jac}\left(H_{i}\right)\left(\mathbb{F}_{2^{p}}\right) \mid\left(2^{12 p}-1\right) \Longrightarrow \operatorname{Jac}\left(H_{i}\right) \text { has embedding degree } 12 .
\end{gathered}
$$
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Only genus 1 and 2 seriously considered $\Longrightarrow$ we are interested in the DLPs in (the prime order $r \mid \#$ Jac subgroups of ) $\mathbb{F}_{2^{4 p}}^{\times}$and $\mathbb{F}_{2^{12 p}}^{\times}$.

## Concrete security of small characteristic pairings

Adj, Menezes, Oliveira and Rodríguez-Henríquez used the techniques from [Joux13] and [BGJT13] to analyse the concrete security of the DLP in pairing fields once thought to be 128 -bit secure.
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- The DLP in the 698 -bit order $r$ subgroup of $\mathbb{F}_{2^{12,367}}^{\times}$can be solved in time $2^{94.6} M_{r}$, using $q=2^{12}$ and $k=2$
- The DLP in the 1221-bit order $r$ subgroup of $\mathbb{F}_{2^{4.1223}}^{\times}$can be solved in time $\approx 2^{128} M_{r}$, using $q=2^{12}$ and $k=2$
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- Principle of parsimony: always try to work in the target field; only when this fails should one embed into an extension
- A bonus of solving factor base logs in an extension is that one can factor elements over the extension during the descent
- We can also use $k=1$ for the GB phase, eliminating higher degrees \& postponing the need for the QPA
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'Breaking '128-bit Secure' Supersingular Binary Curves (or how to solve discrete logarithms in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{4 \cdot 1223}}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{2^{12: 367}}$ )'

Robert Granger, Thorsten Kleinjung and Jens Zumbrägel.
eprint.iacr.org/2014/119

## Solving the DLP in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{12: 367}}$

Over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{367}}$ the Jacobian of $H_{0} / \mathbb{F}_{2}: Y^{2}+Y=X^{5}+X^{3}$ has a subgroup of prime order $r=\left(2^{734}+2^{551}+2^{367}+2^{184}+1\right) /(13 \cdot 7170258097)$.

- Let $\mathbb{F}_{2^{12}}=\mathbb{F}_{2}[U] /\left(U^{12}+U^{3}+1\right)=\mathbb{F}_{2}(u)$
- Let $\mathbb{F}_{2^{367}}=\mathbb{F}_{2}[X] /(I(X))=\mathbb{F}_{2}(x)$ where $I(X)$ the irreducible degree 367 divisor of $h_{1}\left(X^{64}\right) X-h_{0}\left(X^{64}\right)$, with

$$
h_{1}=X^{5}+X^{3}+X+1, h_{0}=X^{6}+X^{4}+X^{2}+X+1
$$

- $\mathbb{F}_{2^{12 \cdot 367}}$ is then the compositum of $\mathbb{F}_{2^{12}}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{2^{367}}$
- We chose as our generator $g^{\prime}=g^{\left(2^{4404}-1\right) / r}$ where $g=x+u^{7}$, and target element $x_{\pi}^{\prime}=x_{\pi}^{\left(2^{4004}-1\right) / r}$ where

$$
x_{\pi}=\sum_{i=0}^{4403}\left(\left\lfloor\pi \cdot 2^{i+1}\right\rfloor \bmod 2\right) \cdot u^{11-(i \bmod 12)} \cdot x^{\lfloor i / 12\rfloor}
$$

## Factor base logs and initial descent

We also represent $\mathbb{F}_{2^{12}}$ as $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$ with $q=2^{6}$ and $k=2$ :

- Let $\mathbb{F}_{2^{6}}=\mathbb{F}_{2}[U] /\left(T^{6}+T+1\right)=\mathbb{F}_{2}(t)$
- Let $\mathbb{F}_{2^{12}}=\mathbb{F}_{2^{6}}[V] /\left(V^{2}+t V+1\right)=\mathbb{F}_{2^{6}}(v)$

Since $q^{2 k-3} \ngtr(6+1)$ ! we consider relations over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{4}}$ instead:

- Let $\mathbb{F}_{2^{24}}=\mathbb{F}_{2^{6}}[W] /\left(W^{4}+W^{3}+W^{2}+t^{3}\right)=\mathbb{F}_{2^{6}}(w)$

For the factor base $\left\{x+a \mid a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{24}}\right\}$ we have:

$$
(x+a)^{2^{367}}=x+a^{2^{367}}=x+a^{2^{7}}
$$

$\Longrightarrow$ reduced factor base has 699,252 elements and linear system was solved in 4896 core hours on a 24 core cluster.
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Initial descent: We performed a continued fraction initial split, then degree-balanced classical descent to degrees $\leq 8$ in 38224 core hours.
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The GB phase cost 8432 core hours on Magma V2.20-1, for a total of approximately 52240 core hours. On 30/1/14 we announced that $x_{\pi}^{\prime}=g^{\prime \log }$, with $\log =$
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## Heuristic 1

Given a prime $p$ and an integer $n$, for $q$ the smallest power of $p$ greater than $n$ and for an integer $k=O(1)$, there exist polynomials $h_{0}, h_{1} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}[X]$ of degree at most two s.t. $h_{1}\left(X^{q}\right) X-h_{0}\left(X^{q}\right)$ has an irreducible factor of degree $n$ (or the equivalent for $h_{1}(X) X^{q}-h_{0}(X)$ ).
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## Heuristic 1
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## Heuristic 2

There exists a polynomial time algorithm for obtaining the logarithms of polynomials of bounded degree using the parameters from Heuristic 1.

## A new quasi-polynomial algorithm

## Theorem (G.-Kleinjung-Zumbrägel '14)

Subject to Heuristics 1 and 2, the running time of the new algorithm is quasi-polynomial, namely

$$
q^{\log _{2} n+O(1)}
$$
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'On the Powers of 2'. Robert Granger, Thorsten Kleinjung and Jens Zumbrägel. eprint.iacr.org/2014/300
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