

# ENDO-TRIVIAL MODULES: A REDUCTION TO $p'$ -CENTRAL EXTENSIONS

CAROLINE LASSUEUR AND JACQUES THÉVENAZ

ABSTRACT. We examine the behavior of the group of endo-trivial modules under inflation from a quotient modulo a normal subgroup of order prime to  $p$ . We prove that everything is controlled by a representation group of the quotient. Examples show that this inflation map is in general not surjective.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Endo-trivial modules play an important role in the representation theory of finite groups in prime characteristic  $p$ . They have been classified in a number of special cases (see the recent papers [CMN15, LM15b] and the references therein). Over an algebraically closed field  $k$  of prime characteristic  $p$ , endo-trivial modules for a finite group  $G$  form an abelian group  $T(G)$ , which is known to be finitely generated. The main question is to understand the structure of  $T(G)$  and of its torsion subgroup  $TT(G)$ .

We analyze how  $T(G)$  and  $T(G/O_{p'}(G))$  are related, where  $O_{p'}(G)$  denotes as usual the largest normal subgroup of  $G$  of order prime to  $p$ . Setting  $Q := G/O_{p'}(G)$  for simplicity, there is an inflation map

$$\text{Inf}_Q^G : T(Q) \longrightarrow T(G)$$

which is easily seen to be injective. But examples show that it is in general not surjective, so we cannot expect an isomorphism between  $T(G)$  and  $T(Q)$ .

We let  $TT(G)$  be the torsion subgroup of  $T(G)$  and  $X(G)$  be the subgroup of  $TT(G)$  consisting of all one-dimensional representations, that is,  $X(G) \cong \text{Hom}(G, k^\times)$ . We also let  $K(G)$  be the kernel of the restriction map  $\text{Res}_P^G : T(G) \longrightarrow T(P)$  to a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  of  $G$ . It is known that  $X(G) \subseteq K(G) \subseteq TT(G)$  and that  $K(G) = TT(G)$  in almost all cases (namely if we exclude the cases when a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  is cyclic, generalized quaternion or semi-dihedral). Moreover, there are numerous cases where  $K(G)$  is just equal to  $X(G)$ , but not always. However, the structure of  $K(G)$  is not known in general.

Associated with  $Q$ , there is a  $p'$ -representation group  $\tilde{Q}$  which is a central extension with kernel of order prime to  $p$ . This controls the behavior of projective representations of  $Q$  (in the sense of Schur). When  $Q$  is a perfect group, then  $\tilde{Q}$  is unique and is also called the universal  $p'$ -central extension of  $Q$ . When  $Q$  is not perfect, then  $\tilde{Q}$  may not be unique.

Our main result is as follows:

---

*Date:* December 17, 2015.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20C20; Secondary 20C25.

*Key words and phrases.* Endo-trivial modules, Schur multipliers, central extensions, perfect groups.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group with  $p$ -rank at least 2 and no strongly  $p$ -embedded subgroups. Let  $\tilde{Q}$  be any  $p'$ -representation group of the group  $Q := G/O_{p'}(G)$ .*

(a) *There exists an injective group homomorphism*

$$\Phi_{G,\tilde{Q}} : T(G)/X(G) \longrightarrow T(\tilde{Q})/X(\tilde{Q}).$$

*In particular,  $\Phi_{G,\tilde{Q}}$  maps the class of  $\text{Inf}_Q^G(W)$  to the class of  $\text{Inf}_{\tilde{Q}}^{\tilde{Q}}(W)$ , for any endo-trivial  $kQ$ -module  $W$ .*

(b) *The map  $\Phi_{G,\tilde{Q}}$  induces by restriction an injective group homomorphism*

$$\Phi_{G,\tilde{Q}} : K(G)/X(G) \longrightarrow K(\tilde{Q})/X(\tilde{Q}).$$

(c) *In particular, if  $K(\tilde{Q}) \cong X(\tilde{Q})$ , then  $K(G) \cong X(G)$ .*

Examples show that  $\Phi_{G,\tilde{Q}}$  is in general not surjective (see Section 7), but the theorem provides some information on  $K(G)$ , for all groups such that  $G/O_{p'}(G) = Q$ , provided  $K(\tilde{Q})$  is known for the single group  $\tilde{Q}$ . We also conjecture that  $\Phi_{G,\tilde{Q}}$  induces an isomorphism on the torsion-free part of  $T(G)$  and  $T(\tilde{Q})$  (see Section 5). Moreover, in case  $Q$  is perfect, then there is an alternative approach to  $\Phi_{G,\tilde{Q}}$  which we present in Section 6.

The two main assumptions on  $G$  in Theorem 1.1 are needed for applying a result of Koshitani and Lassueur [KL15]. However, these assumptions are not really restrictive because endo-trivial modules are completely understood in the two excluded cases: they are classified if the  $p$ -rank is 1, by [MT07, CMT13], and  $T(G) \cong T(H)$  if  $G$  has a strongly  $p$ -embedded subgroup  $H$ , see [MT07, Lemma 2.7]. The two assumptions also allow us to prove that  $T(G) \cong T(G/[G, A])$ , where  $A = O_{p'}(G)$ , or in other words that the extension

$$1 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 1$$

with kernel  $A$  of order prime to  $p$  can always be replaced by the central extension

$$1 \longrightarrow A/[G, A] \longrightarrow G/[G, A] \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 1.$$

This is explained in Section 3.

## 2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

Throughout, unless otherwise specified, we use the following notation. We let  $k$  denote an algebraically closed field of prime characteristic  $p$ . We assume that groups are finite, and that all modules over group algebras are finitely generated. We set  $\otimes := \otimes_k$ . If  $G$  is an arbitrary finite group and  $V$  is a  $kG$ -module, we denote by  $\rho_V : G \longrightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  the corresponding  $k$ -representation, and by  $\pi_V : \text{GL}(V) \longrightarrow \text{PGL}(V)$  the canonical surjection.

Assuming moreover that  $p \mid |G|$ , we recall that a  $kG$ -module  $V$  is called *endo-trivial* if there is an isomorphism of  $kG$ -modules  $\text{End}_k(V) \cong k \oplus (\text{proj})$ , where  $k$  denotes the trivial  $kG$ -module and  $(\text{proj})$  some projective  $kG$ -module, which might be zero. Any endo-trivial  $kG$ -module  $V$  splits as a direct sum  $V = V_0 \oplus (\text{proj})$  where  $V_0$ , the projective-free part of  $V$ , is indecomposable and endo-trivial. The relation

$$U \sim V \iff U_0 \cong V_0$$

is an equivalence relation on the class of endo-trivial  $kG$ -modules, and  $T(G)$  denotes the resulting set of equivalence classes (which we denote by square brackets). Then  $T(G)$ , endowed with the law  $[U] + [V] := [U \otimes V]$ , is an abelian group called the *group of endo-trivial modules of  $G$* . The zero element is the class  $[k]$  of the trivial module and  $-[V] = [V^*]$ , the class of the dual module. By a result of Puig, the group  $T(G)$  is known to be a finitely generated abelian group, see e.g. [CMN06, Corollary 2.5].

We let  $X(G)$  denote the group of one-dimensional  $kG$ -modules endowed with the tensor product  $\otimes$ , and recall that  $X(G) \cong \text{Hom}(G, k^\times) \cong (G/[G, G])_{p'}$ . Identifying a one-dimensional module with its class in  $T(G)$ , we consider  $X(G)$  as a subgroup of  $T(G)$ .

Furthermore, if  $P$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ , we set

$$K(G) = \text{Ker}(\text{Res}_P^G : T(G) \longrightarrow T(P)) .$$

In other words, the class of an indecomposable endo-trivial  $kG$ -module  $V$  belongs to  $K(G)$  if and only if  $V \downarrow_P^G \cong k \oplus (\text{proj})$ , that is, in other words,  $V$  has trivial source. We have  $X(G) \subseteq K(G)$  because any one-dimensional  $kP$ -module is trivial. Moreover,  $K(G) \subseteq TT(G)$  (see [CMT11a, Lemma 2.3]), and  $K(G) = TT(G)$  unless  $P$  is cyclic, generalized quaternion, or semi-dihedral, by the main result of [CT05].

By a central extension  $(E, \pi)$  of  $Q$ , we mean a group extension

$$1 \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow E \xrightarrow{\pi} Q \longrightarrow 1$$

with  $Z = \text{Ker } \pi$  central in  $E$ . Recall that  $(E, \pi)$  is said to have the *projective lifting property (relative to  $k$ )* if, for every finite-dimensional  $k$ -vector space  $V$ , every group homomorphism  $\theta : Q \longrightarrow \text{PGL}(V)$  can be completed to a commutative diagram of group homomorphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & Z & \longrightarrow & E & \xrightarrow{\pi} & Q \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \lambda|_Z \downarrow & & \lambda \downarrow & & \theta \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & k^\times \cdot \text{Id}_V & \longrightarrow & \text{GL}(V) & \xrightarrow{\pi_V} & \text{PGL}(V) \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

In general, the homomorphism  $\lambda$  is not uniquely defined. However, by commutativity of the diagram, the following holds:

**Lemma 2.1.** *In the above situation, if  $\lambda, \lambda' : E \longrightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  are two liftings of  $\theta$  to  $E$ , then there exists a degree one representation  $\mu : E \longrightarrow \text{GL}(k)$  such that  $\lambda' = \lambda \otimes \mu$ .*

By results of Schur (slightly generalized for dealing with the case of characteristic  $p$ ), given a finite group  $Q$ , there always exists a central extension  $(E, \pi)$  of  $Q$ , with kernel  $M_k(Q) := H^2(Q, k^\times)$ , which has the projective lifting property. A  $p'$ -representation group of  $Q$  (or a *representation group of  $Q$  relative to  $k$* ) is a central extension  $(\tilde{Q}, \pi)$  of  $Q$  of minimal order with the projective lifting property. In this case  $M_k(Q) \cong \text{Ker } \pi \leq [\tilde{Q}, \tilde{Q}]$ . We recall that  $M_k(Q) \cong H^2(Q, \mathbb{C}^\times)_{p'}$ , the  $p'$ -part of the Schur multiplier of  $Q$ , and that in general a group  $Q$  with  $X(Q) \neq 1$  may have several nonisomorphic  $p'$ -representation groups. Furthermore, fixing a  $p'$ -representation group  $(\tilde{Q}, \pi)$  of  $Q$ , the abelian group  $M_k(Q)$  becomes isomorphic to its  $k^\times$ -dual via the transgression homomorphism

$$\text{tr} : \text{Hom}(M_k(Q), k^\times) \longrightarrow H^2(Q, k^\times)$$

defined by  $\text{tr}(\varphi) = [\varphi \circ \alpha]$ , where the cocycle  $\alpha \in Z^2(Q, M_k(Q))$  is in the cohomology class corresponding to the central extension  $1 \rightarrow M_k(Q) \rightarrow \tilde{Q} \xrightarrow{\pi} Q \rightarrow 1$ . For further details and proofs we refer the reader to [NT89, Chap. 3, §5] and [CR90, §11E].

If  $V, W$  are two finite-dimensional  $k$ -vector spaces, then the tensor product of linear maps induces a tensor product  $- \otimes - : \text{PGL}(V) \times \text{PGL}(W) \rightarrow \text{PGL}(V \otimes W)$  via  $\pi_V(\alpha) \otimes \pi_W(\beta) := \pi_{V \otimes W}(\alpha \otimes \beta)$  for any  $\alpha \in \text{GL}(V)$  and any  $\beta \in \text{GL}(W)$ . Therefore, if  $\mu : Q \rightarrow \text{PGL}(V)$  and  $\nu : Q \rightarrow \text{PGL}(W)$  are group homomorphisms, we may define a group homomorphism  $\mu \otimes \nu : Q \rightarrow \text{PGL}(V \otimes W)$  via  $(\mu \otimes \nu)(q) := \mu(q) \otimes \nu(q)$  for every  $q \in Q$ . We shall use the following well-known results throughout:

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{\pi} Q \rightarrow 1$  be an arbitrary group extension.*

- (a) *Whenever  $V$  is a  $kG$ -module such that  $\rho_V(A) \subseteq k^\times \cdot \text{Id}_V$ , the group homomorphism  $\rho_V : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  induces a uniquely defined group homomorphism  $\theta_V : Q \rightarrow \text{PGL}(V)$  such that the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & G & \xrightarrow{\pi} & Q \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \rho_V|_A \downarrow & & \rho_V \downarrow & & \theta_V \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & k^\times \cdot \text{Id}_V & \longrightarrow & \text{GL}(V) & \xrightarrow{\pi_V} & \text{PGL}(V) \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

- (b) *If  $V, W$  are  $kG$ -modules such that  $\rho_V(A) \subseteq k^\times \cdot \text{Id}_V$  and  $\rho_W(A) \subseteq k^\times \cdot \text{Id}_W$ , then  $\rho_{V \otimes W}(A) \subseteq k^\times \cdot \text{Id}_{V \otimes W}$  and we have  $\theta_{V \otimes W} = \theta_V \otimes \theta_W$ .*

*Proof.* (a) Choose a set-theoretic section  $s : Q \rightarrow G$  for  $\pi$  and define  $\theta_V := \pi_V \circ \rho_V \circ s$ . Since  $\rho_V(A) \subseteq k^\times \cdot \text{Id}_V$ , the map  $\theta_V$  is a group homomorphism making the diagram commute. Clearly  $\theta_V$  is uniquely defined since  $\pi$  is an epimorphism.

- (b) This is a straightforward computation. □

### 3. ENDO-TRIVIAL MODULES AND CENTRAL EXTENSIONS

We now fix  $G$  to be a finite group of order divisible by  $p$ , we set  $A := O_{p'}(G)$  and  $Q := G/A$ , and we denote by  $\pi_G : G \rightarrow Q$  the quotient map. Moreover, we let  $(\tilde{Q}, \pi_{\tilde{Q}})$  be a fixed  $p'$ -representation group of  $Q$ .

Since  $A$  is a  $p'$ -subgroup of  $G$ , inflation induces an injective group homomorphism

$$\text{Inf}_Q^G : T(Q) \rightarrow T(G), \quad [V] \rightarrow [\text{Inf}_Q^G(V)].$$

This is because the inflation of a projective module remains projective when the kernel  $A$  is a  $p'$ -group. We emphasize that endo-trivial  $kG$ -modules cannot be recovered from endo-trivial  $kQ$ -modules, as in general the inflation map  $\text{Inf}_Q^G$  is not an isomorphism (see Section 7).

**Hypothesis 3.1.** Assume  $G$  is a finite group fulfilling the following two conditions:

- (1) the  $p$ -rank of  $G$  is greater than or equal to 2; and
- (2)  $G$  has no strongly  $p$ -embedded subgroups.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Suppose that  $G$  satisfies Hypothesis 3.1.*

- (a) If  $V$  is an indecomposable endo-trivial  $kG$ -module, then  $V \downarrow_A^G \cong Y \oplus \cdots \oplus Y$ , where  $Y$  is a one-dimensional  $kA$ -module.
- (b) If  $V$  is an indecomposable endo-trivial  $kG$ -module, then  $\rho_V(A) \subseteq k^\times \cdot \text{Id}_V$ .
- (c) The inflation map

$$\text{Inf}_{G/[G,A]}^G : T(G/[G,A]) \longrightarrow T(G)$$

is a group isomorphism.

*Proof.* (a) Since  $G$  satisfies Hypothesis 3.1, any composition factor  $Y$  of  $V \downarrow_A^G$  is  $G$ -invariant, by [KL15, Lemma 4.3]. Therefore  $V \downarrow_A^G \cong Y \oplus \cdots \oplus Y$  and [KL15, Theorem 4.4] proves that  $\dim Y = 1$  (using a result of Navarro and Robinson [NR12]).

(b) This is a restatement of (a).

(c) Since  $[G, A]$  is a normal  $p'$ -subgroup of  $G$ , the inflation map  $\text{Inf}_{G/[G,A]}^G$  is a well-defined injective group homomorphism. In order to prove that it is surjective, it suffices to prove that  $[G, A]$  acts trivially on any indecomposable endo-trivial  $kG$ -module  $V$ . But by (b) we have

$$\rho_V([G, A]) \subseteq [\rho_V(G), \rho_V(A)] \subseteq [\rho_V(G), k^\times \cdot \text{Id}_V] = \{\text{Id}_V\}.$$

Hence  $[G, A]$  acts trivially on  $V$ . □

Part (c) of Lemma 3.2 is a reduction to the case of central extensions. Explicitly, for the study of endo-trivial modules, we may always replace the given extension

$$1 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 1$$

and consider instead the central extension

$$1 \longrightarrow A/[G, A] \longrightarrow G/[G, A] \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 1.$$

We shall in fact not use this reduction for the proof of our main result, but rather apply directly Lemma 3.2(b).

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $(\tilde{Q}, \pi_{\tilde{Q}})$  be a  $p'$ -representation group of  $Q$ . Then  $X(\tilde{Q}) = \text{Inf}_{\tilde{Q}}^{\tilde{Q}}(X(Q))$ , hence  $X(\tilde{Q}) \cong X(Q)$ .*

*Proof.* We apply the fact, mentioned in Section 2, that  $\text{Ker } \pi_{\tilde{Q}} \subseteq [\tilde{Q}, \tilde{Q}]$ . This implies that any one-dimensional representation of  $\tilde{Q}$  has  $\text{Ker } \pi_{\tilde{Q}}$  in its kernel, hence is inflated from  $\tilde{Q}/\text{Ker } \pi_{\tilde{Q}} \cong Q$ .

Another way of seeing the same thing is to associate to the central extension

$$1 \longrightarrow M_k(Q) \longrightarrow \tilde{Q} \xrightarrow{\pi_{\tilde{Q}}} Q \longrightarrow 1$$

the Hochschild-Serre five-term exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(Q, k^\times) \xrightarrow{\text{Inf}} \text{Hom}(\tilde{Q}, k^\times) \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} \text{Hom}(M_k(Q), k^\times) \xrightarrow{\text{tr}} H^2(Q, k^\times) \xrightarrow{\text{Inf}} H^2(\tilde{Q}, k^\times).$$

Since the transgression map  $\text{tr}$  is an isomorphism, the first map  $\text{Inf}$  must be an isomorphism as well. □

## 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Keep the notation of the previous section. Moreover, given an endo-trivial  $kG$ -module  $V$  such that  $\rho_V(A) \subseteq k^\times \cdot \text{Id}_V$ , we let

$$\theta_V : Q \longrightarrow \text{PGL}(V)$$

denote the induced homomorphism constructed in Lemma 2.2(a). The projective lifting property for the central extension  $(\tilde{Q}, \pi_{\tilde{Q}})$  allows us to fix a representation

$$\rho_{V_{\tilde{Q}}} : \tilde{Q} \longrightarrow \text{GL}(V)$$

lifting  $\theta_V$  to  $\tilde{Q}$ . We denote by  $V_{\tilde{Q}}$  the corresponding  $k\tilde{Q}$ -module.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $V$  be an endo-trivial  $kG$ -module such that  $\rho_V(A) \subseteq k^\times \cdot \text{Id}_V$ . Then  $V_{\tilde{Q}}$  is an endo-trivial  $k\tilde{Q}$ -module.*

*Proof.* We have to work with two group extensions

$$1 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow G \xrightarrow{\pi_G} Q \longrightarrow 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow \tilde{Q} \xrightarrow{\pi_{\tilde{Q}}} Q \longrightarrow 1,$$

where  $M := M_k(Q)$ . Both  $A$  and  $M$  have order prime to  $p$ .

Let  $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ , set  $\bar{P} := AP/A \in \text{Syl}_p(Q)$ , and let  $\iota_P : P \longrightarrow AP$  be the inclusion map, so that  $\varphi := \pi_G \circ \iota_P : P \longrightarrow \bar{P}$  is an isomorphism. Next choose  $\tilde{P} \in \text{Syl}_p(\tilde{Q})$  such that  $M\tilde{P}/M = \bar{P} \in \text{Syl}_p(Q)$ . Let  $\iota_{\tilde{P}} : \tilde{P} \longrightarrow M\tilde{P}$  be the inclusion map, so that  $\psi := \pi_{\tilde{Q}} \circ \iota_{\tilde{P}} : \tilde{P} \longrightarrow \bar{P}$  is an isomorphism. Consider now the two commutative diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \bar{P} \\ \rho_V \downarrow & & \theta_V \downarrow \\ \text{GL}(V) & \xrightarrow{\pi_V} & \text{PGL}(V) \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{P} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \bar{P} \\ \rho_{\tilde{Q}} \downarrow & & \theta_V \downarrow \\ \text{GL}(V) & \xrightarrow{\pi_V} & \text{PGL}(V) \end{array}$$

where we write  $\rho_{\tilde{Q}} := \rho_{V_{\tilde{Q}}}$  for simplicity. Since  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are isomorphisms, for any  $u \in \bar{P}$ , we have

$$\pi_V \rho_V \phi^{-1}(u) = \theta_V(u) = \pi_V \rho_{\tilde{Q}} \psi^{-1}(u).$$

We claim that if two elements  $u_1, u_2 \in \text{GL}(V)$  have  $p$ -power order and satisfy  $\pi_V(u_1) = \pi_V(u_2)$ , then  $u_1 = u_2$ . Postponing the proof of the claim, we deduce that

$$\rho_V \phi^{-1}(u) = \rho_{\tilde{Q}} \psi^{-1}(u),$$

because they have  $p$ -power order. This means that the representations  $(\rho_V)|_P$  and  $(\rho_{\tilde{Q}})|_{\tilde{P}}$ , transported via isomorphisms to representations of  $\bar{P}$ , are equal. Now, a module is endo-trivial if and only if its restriction to a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup is (see [CMN06, Proposition 2.6]). Moreover, this property is preserved when transported via group isomorphisms. Since  $V$  is endo-trivial, so is  $V \downarrow_P$ , hence so is  $V_{\tilde{Q}} \downarrow_{\tilde{P}}$ , and it follows that  $V_{\tilde{Q}}$  is endo-trivial.

We are left with the proof of the claim. If  $\pi_V(u_1) = \pi_V(u_2)$ , then  $u_1 = \alpha u_2$  where  $\alpha \in k^\times$ . For some large enough power  $p^n$ , we have  $u_1^{p^n} = u_2^{p^n} = 1$ . Therefore we obtain

$$1 = u_1^{p^n} = (\alpha u_2)^{p^n} = \alpha^{p^n} u_2^{p^n} = \alpha^{p^n}.$$

But there are no nontrivial  $p$ -th roots of unity in  $k^\times$ , so we get  $\alpha = 1$ , hence  $u_1 = u_2$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.2.** *Assume  $G$  satisfies Hypothesis 3.1. Then there is an injective group homomorphism*

$$\Phi_{G, \tilde{Q}} : T(G)/X(G) \longrightarrow T(\tilde{Q})/X(\tilde{Q})$$

defined by  $\Phi_{G, \tilde{Q}}([V] + X(G)) := [V_{\tilde{Q}}] + X(\tilde{Q})$  for any indecomposable endo-trivial  $kG$ -module  $V$ . Moreover, for any endo-trivial  $kQ$ -module  $W$ , the homomorphism  $\Phi_{G, \tilde{Q}}$  maps the class of  $\text{Inf}_Q^G(W)$  to the class of  $\text{Inf}_{\tilde{Q}}^{\tilde{Q}}(W)$ .

*Proof.* First, Lemma 4.1 allows us to define a map  $\phi : T(G) \longrightarrow T(\tilde{Q})/X(\tilde{Q})$  by setting  $\phi([V]) := [V_{\tilde{Q}}] + X(\tilde{Q})$  for any  $[V] \in T(G)$  such that  $\rho_V(A) \subseteq k^\times \cdot \text{Id}_V$ . The definition of  $\phi([V])$  does not depend on the choice of  $V_{\tilde{Q}}$ , for if  $\rho_{V'_Q}$  is a second lifting of  $\theta_V$  to  $\tilde{Q}$ , then by Lemma 2.1 there exists  $X \in X(\tilde{Q})$  such that  $V'_Q \cong V_{\tilde{Q}} \otimes X$ , hence  $\phi([V'_Q]) = \phi([V_{\tilde{Q}}])$ .

Next, let  $V, W$  be two indecomposable endo-trivial  $kG$ -modules. Part (b) of Lemma 3.2 implies that  $\rho_{V \otimes W}(A) = (\rho_V \otimes \rho_W)(A) \subseteq k^\times \cdot \text{Id}_{V \otimes W}$ . Thus, by Lemma 2.2(b),  $\theta_{V \otimes W} = \theta_V \otimes \theta_W$ , and it is easy to verify that  $\rho_{V_{\tilde{Q}}} \otimes \rho_{W_{\tilde{Q}}}$  lifts  $\theta_V \otimes \theta_W$  to  $\tilde{Q}$ . Therefore, by Lemma 2.1, there exists  $X \in X(\tilde{Q})$  such that  $(V \otimes W)_{\tilde{Q}} \cong V_{\tilde{Q}} \otimes W_{\tilde{Q}} \otimes X$ . This shows that  $\phi$  is a group homomorphism.

It is clear that  $\text{Ker } \phi = X(G)$ , since by construction  $\dim V_{\tilde{Q}} = \dim V$  for any indecomposable endo-trivial  $kG$ -module  $V$ . As a result,  $\phi$  induces the required homomorphism  $\Phi_{G, \tilde{Q}}$ .

Finally, if  $W$  is any endo-trivial  $kQ$ -module, then the  $k\tilde{Q}$ -module constructed from  $V = \text{Inf}_Q^G(W)$  is easily seen to be the inflated module  $V_{\tilde{Q}} = \text{Inf}_{\tilde{Q}}^{\tilde{Q}}(W)$ , because the map  $\theta_V : Q \rightarrow \text{PGL}(V)$  comes from a group homomorphism  $Q \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ . This shows that the class of  $\text{Inf}_Q^G(W)$  is mapped to the class of  $\text{Inf}_{\tilde{Q}}^{\tilde{Q}}(W)$  under the map  $\Phi_{G, \tilde{Q}}$ , proving the additional statement.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.3.** *Assume  $G$  satisfies Hypothesis 3.1. If  $\tilde{Q}_1$  and  $\tilde{Q}_2$  are two non-isomorphic  $p'$ -representation groups of  $Q$ , then*

$$\Phi_{\tilde{Q}_1, \tilde{Q}_2} : T(\tilde{Q}_1)/X(\tilde{Q}_1) \longrightarrow T(\tilde{Q}_2)/X(\tilde{Q}_2)$$

is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* Let  $V$  be an indecomposable  $k\tilde{Q}_1$ -module. By construction

$$\Phi_{\tilde{Q}_1, \tilde{Q}_2}([V] + X(\tilde{Q}_1)) = [W] + X(\tilde{Q}_2),$$

where  $W := V_{\tilde{Q}_2}$  is a  $k\tilde{Q}_2$ -module such that  $\rho_W$  lifts  $\theta_V : Q \rightarrow \text{PGL}(V)$  to  $\tilde{Q}_2$ . But then  $\rho_V$  lifts  $\theta_W = \theta_V$  to  $\tilde{Q}_1$ , so that by construction

$$\Phi_{\tilde{Q}_2, \tilde{Q}_1}([W] + X(\tilde{Q}_2)) = [V] + X(\tilde{Q}_1).$$

In other words,  $\Phi_{\tilde{Q}_1, \tilde{Q}_2} \circ \Phi_{\tilde{Q}_2, \tilde{Q}_1} = \text{Id}$ . Similarly  $\Phi_{\tilde{Q}_2, \tilde{Q}_1} \circ \Phi_{\tilde{Q}_1, \tilde{Q}_2} = \text{Id}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.4.** *Assume  $G$  satisfies Hypothesis 3.1. The map  $\Phi_{G,\tilde{Q}}$  induces by restriction an injective group homomorphism*

$$\Phi_{G,\tilde{Q}} : K(G)/X(G) \longrightarrow K(\tilde{Q})/X(\tilde{Q}).$$

*In particular, if  $K(\tilde{Q}) \cong X(\tilde{Q})$ , then  $K(G) \cong X(G)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$  and let  $V$  be an indecomposable endo-trivial  $kG$ -module. As in the proof of Lemma 4.1, the two modules  $V \downarrow_P^G$  and  $V_{\tilde{Q}} \downarrow_{\tilde{P}}^{\tilde{Q}}$  are isomorphic, provided we view them as modules over the group  $\bar{P}$  via the isomorphisms  $P \cong \bar{P}$  and  $\tilde{P} \cong \bar{P}$ . It follows that  $V$  has a trivial source if and only if  $V_{\tilde{Q}}$  has. Therefore  $\Phi_{G,\tilde{Q}}$  restricts to an injective group homomorphism

$$\Phi_{G,\tilde{Q}} : K(G)/X(G) \longrightarrow K(\tilde{Q})/X(\tilde{Q}).$$

The special case follows. □

Proposition 4.2 together with Corollary 4.4 prove Theorem 1.1.

## 5. CONJECTURE ON THE TORSION-FREE PART

We keep the notation of the previous sections. Let  $TF(G) = T(G)/TT(G)$ , the torsion-free part of the group of endo-trivial modules. Since  $X(G) \subseteq TT(G)$ , the map

$$\Phi_{G,\tilde{Q}} : T(G)/X(G) \longrightarrow T(\tilde{Q})/X(\tilde{Q})$$

induces an injective group homomorphism

$$\Psi_{G,\tilde{Q}} : TF(G) \longrightarrow TF(\tilde{Q}).$$

We know that  $\Phi_{G,\tilde{Q}}$  is in general not surjective, but we conjecture that  $\Psi_{G,\tilde{Q}}$  is surjective.

**Conjecture 5.1.** (a) *The map  $\text{Inf}_Q^G : TF(Q) \longrightarrow TF(G)$  is an isomorphism.*

(b) *The map  $\Psi_{G,\tilde{Q}} : TF(G) \longrightarrow TF(\tilde{Q})$  is an isomorphism.*

Note that (b) follows from (a), by applying (a) to both  $\text{Inf}_Q^G : TF(Q) \longrightarrow TF(G)$  and  $\text{Inf}_{\tilde{Q}}^{\tilde{Q}} : TF(Q) \longrightarrow TF(\tilde{Q})$  and composing, because the map  $\Psi_{G,\tilde{Q}} : TF(G) \rightarrow TF(\tilde{Q})$  is the identity on modules inflated from  $Q$ .

Part (a) of Conjecture 5.1 is in fact a consequence of any of the two conjectures made in [CMT11b]. First, Conjecture 10.1 in [CMT11b] asserts that, if a group homomorphism  $\phi : G \rightarrow G'$  induces an isomorphism between the corresponding  $p$ -fusion systems, then  $\phi$  should induce an isomorphism  $TF(G') \xrightarrow{\sim} TF(G)$ . In the special case where  $\phi$  is the quotient map  $\phi : G \rightarrow Q = G/O_{p'}(G)$ , it is well-known that the fusion systems are isomorphic, so we would obtain the isomorphism  $TF(Q) \xrightarrow{\sim} TF(G)$  of Conjecture 5.1 above. This special case is explicitly mentioned at the end of Section 10 in [CMT11b].

Conjecture 9.2 in [CMT11b] asserts that the group  $TF(G)$  should be generated by endo-trivial modules lying in the principal block. Since  $O_{p'}(G)$  acts trivially on any module lying in the principal block of  $G$ , such a module is inflated from  $Q$ , so the inflation map  $\text{Inf}_Q^G : TF(Q) \longrightarrow TF(G)$  in Conjecture 5.1 above should be an isomorphism.

Example 7.3 below illustrates a method allowing one to prove that the maps in Conjecture 5.1 are isomorphisms in specific cases.



## 6. THE PERFECT CASE

When the group  $Q = G/O_{p'}(G)$  is perfect, there is an alternative approach to the construction of the injective group homomorphism of Theorem 1.1(a) using universal central extensions.

Recall that a *universal  $p'$ -central extension* of an arbitrary finite group  $Q$  is by definition a central extension

$$1 \longrightarrow M_{p'} \longrightarrow \tilde{Q} \xrightarrow{\pi_{\tilde{Q}}} Q \longrightarrow 1$$

with  $M_{p'} = \text{Ker } \pi_{\tilde{Q}}$  of order prime to  $p$  and satisfying the following universal property:

For any central extension

$$1 \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow E \xrightarrow{\pi} Q \longrightarrow 1$$

with  $Z = \text{Ker } \pi$  of order prime to  $p$ , there exists a unique group homomorphism  $\phi : \tilde{Q} \rightarrow E$  such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & M_{p'} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{Q} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\tilde{Q}}} & Q \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \phi|_{M_{p'}} \downarrow & & \phi \downarrow & & \text{Id} \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & Z & \longrightarrow & E & \xrightarrow{\pi} & Q \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

A standard argument shows that if a universal  $p'$ -central extension  $(\tilde{Q}, \pi_{\tilde{Q}})$  exists, then it is unique up to isomorphism.

**Lemma 6.1.** *If  $(\tilde{Q}, \pi_{\tilde{Q}})$  is a universal  $p'$ -central extension of a finite group  $Q$ , then  $(\tilde{Q}, \pi_{\tilde{Q}})$  is  $p'$ -representation group of  $Q$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(\check{Q}, \pi_{\check{Q}})$  be an arbitrary  $p'$ -representation group of  $Q$ . Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional  $k$ -vector space and  $\theta : Q \rightarrow \text{PGL}(V)$  a group homomorphism. Because  $(\check{Q}, \pi_{\check{Q}})$  has the projective lifting property and  $(\tilde{Q}, \pi_{\tilde{Q}})$  is universal, there exist group homomorphisms  $\tilde{\theta} : \check{Q} \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  and  $\phi : \tilde{Q} \rightarrow \check{Q}$  such that  $\tilde{\theta} \circ \phi$  lifts  $\theta$ . Therefore  $(\tilde{Q}, \pi_{\tilde{Q}})$  has the projective lifting property as well.

Now, because  $(\tilde{Q}, \pi_{\tilde{Q}})$  is universal, it is easy to see that  $X(\tilde{Q}) = X(Q) = 1$ . Therefore the Hochschild-Serre 5-term exact sequence associated to  $(\tilde{Q}, \pi_{\tilde{Q}})$  is:

$$1 \longrightarrow 1 \longrightarrow 1 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(M_{p'}, k^\times) \xrightarrow{\text{tr}} H^2(Q, k^\times) \xrightarrow{\text{Inf}} H^2(\tilde{Q}, k^\times)$$

Thus the transgression map  $\text{tr} : \text{Hom}(M_{p'}, k^\times) \rightarrow H^2(Q, k^\times) = M_k(Q)$  is injective. But  $M_{p'} \cong \text{Hom}(M_{p'}, k^\times)$ , therefore by minimality of  $(\check{Q}, \pi_{\check{Q}})$ , we have  $|M_{p'}| = |M_k(Q)|$  and  $|\tilde{Q}| = |\check{Q}|$ , proving that  $(\tilde{Q}, \pi_{\tilde{Q}})$  is a  $p'$ -representation group of  $Q$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 6.2.** *Any finite perfect group  $Q$  admits a universal  $p'$ -central extension.*

*Proof.* Since  $Q$  is a perfect group, it is well-known that  $Q$  has a representation group relative to  $\mathbb{C}$ , say  $(\hat{Q}, \pi_{\hat{Q}})$ , which is unique up to isomorphism and that

$$\text{Ker}(\pi_{\hat{Q}}) =: M \cong M_{\mathbb{C}}(Q) = H^2(Q, \mathbb{C}^\times),$$

the Schur multiplier of  $Q$ . Moreover,  $(\widehat{Q}, \pi_{\widehat{Q}})$  is a universal central extension of  $Q$ , in particular perfect, see [Rot95, Theorem 11.11]. Thus, for any central extension

$$1 \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow E \xrightarrow{\pi} Q \longrightarrow 1$$

where  $Z = \text{Ker } \pi$ , there exists a unique group homomorphism  $\psi : \widehat{Q} \rightarrow E$  such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & \widehat{Q} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\widehat{Q}}} & Q \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \psi|_M \downarrow & & \psi \downarrow & & \text{Id} \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & Z & \longrightarrow & E & \xrightarrow{\pi} & Q \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

If  $Z$  has order prime to  $p$ , then the  $p$ -part  $M_p$  of  $M$  lies in the kernel of  $\psi|_M$ . Passing to the quotient by  $M_p$ , we define  $\widetilde{Q} := \widehat{Q}/M_p$  and denote by  $\phi : \widetilde{Q} \rightarrow E$  the map induced by  $\psi$ . Thus we obtain an induced central extension

$$1 \longrightarrow M_{p'} \longrightarrow \widetilde{Q} \xrightarrow{\pi_{\widetilde{Q}}} Q \longrightarrow 1$$

where  $M_{p'} := M/M_p$ . This is a universal  $p'$ -central extension of  $Q$  by construction.  $\square$

Given an arbitrary group extension  $1 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 1$  with perfect quotient  $Q$  and kernel  $A$  of order prime to  $p$ , there is an induced  $p'$ -central extension:

$$1 \longrightarrow A/[G, A] \longrightarrow G/[G, A] \xrightarrow{\pi_G} Q \longrightarrow 1$$

Moreover, by the above,  $Q$  admits a universal  $p'$ -central extension, which is in fact a  $p'$ -representation group  $(\widetilde{Q}, \pi_{\widetilde{Q}})$  of  $Q$ . Therefore, by the universal property, there exists a unique group homomorphism  $\phi_G : \widetilde{Q} \rightarrow G/[G, A]$  lifting the identity on  $Q$ .

**Lemma 6.3.** *The homomorphism  $\phi_G : \widetilde{Q} \rightarrow G/[G, A]$  induces a group homomorphism*

$$\phi_G^* : T(G/[G, A]) \longrightarrow T(\widetilde{Q})$$

*such that  $\phi_G^* = \text{Inf}_{\text{Im}(\phi_G)}^{\widetilde{Q}} \circ \text{Res}_{\text{Im}(\phi_G)}^{G/[G, A]}$ . Moreover, both  $\text{Inf}_{\text{Im}(\phi_G)}^{\widetilde{Q}}$  and  $\text{Res}_{\text{Im}(\phi_G)}^{G/[G, A]}$  preserve indecomposability of endo-trivial modules.*

*Proof.* The kernel of  $\phi_G$  is contained in  $\text{Ker } \pi_{\widetilde{Q}} = M_{p'}$ , which is a  $p'$ -group. Therefore, there is an induced inflation map  $\text{Inf}_{\text{Im}(\phi_G)}^{\widetilde{Q}} : T(\text{Im}(\phi_G)) \rightarrow T(\widetilde{Q})$ , preserving indecomposability of endo-trivial modules.

Since  $\text{Im}(\phi_G)$  maps onto  $Q$  via  $\pi_G$ , the group  $G/[G, A]$  is the product of  $\text{Im}(\phi_G)$  and the central  $p'$ -subgroup  $A/[G, A]$ . It follows that  $\text{Im}(\phi_G)$  is a normal subgroup of  $G/[G, A]$  of index prime to  $p$ . Therefore, by [CMN09, Prop. 3.1], the restriction to  $\text{Im}(\phi_G)$  of any indecomposable endo-trivial  $k(G/[G, A])$ -module remains indecomposable and is endo-trivial.

We define  $\phi_G^*$  to be the composite of  $\text{Inf}_{\text{Im}(\phi_G)}^{\widetilde{Q}}$  and  $\text{Res}_{\text{Im}(\phi_G)}^{G/[G, A]}$ .  $\square$

Composing the group homomorphism

$$\phi_G^* : T(G/[G, A]) \longrightarrow T(\widetilde{Q})$$

with the inverse of the isomorphism

$$\text{Inf}_{G/[G,A]}^G : T(G/[G,A]) \longrightarrow T(G)$$

of Lemma 3.2, we obtain a group homomorphism

$$\Phi : T(G) \longrightarrow T(\tilde{Q}).$$

We now show that this provides the alternative approach to the map of Theorem 1.1.

**Proposition 6.4.** *Suppose that  $G$  satisfies Hypothesis 3.1 and that  $Q$  is perfect.*

- (a)  $\text{Ker } \Phi = X(G)$ .
- (b) *The induced injective group homomorphism*

$$\bar{\Phi} : T(G)/X(G) \longrightarrow T(\tilde{Q}) = T(\tilde{Q})/X(\tilde{Q})$$

*coincides with the map  $\Phi_{G,\tilde{Q}}$  of Theorem 1.1.*

*Proof.* Consider the map  $\phi_G^* : T(G/[G,A]) \rightarrow T(\tilde{Q})$  of Lemma 6.3. It is clear that the image of a one-dimensional module is one-dimensional, hence trivial since  $X(\tilde{Q}) = 1$  by Lemma 3.3. Therefore  $X(G) \subseteq \text{Ker } \Phi$ . It follows that  $\Phi$  induces a group homomorphism  $\bar{\Phi}$  as in the statement.

Our assumption on  $G$  implies that, if  $V$  is an endo-trivial  $kG$ -module, then  $[G,A]$  acts trivially on  $V$  (Lemma 3.2). Moreover,  $\rho_V : G/[G,A] \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  lifts  $\theta_V : Q \rightarrow \text{PGL}(V)$ , as in Section 4. It is then clear that  $\rho_V \phi_G : \tilde{Q} \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  also lifts  $\theta_V : Q \rightarrow \text{PGL}(V)$ . Therefore, the definition of  $\Phi_{G,\tilde{Q}}$  (see Proposition 4.2) shows that the class of  $V$  is mapped by  $\Phi_{G,\tilde{Q}}$  to the class of the module  $V_{\tilde{Q}}$  corresponding to the representation  $\rho_V \phi_G$ . In other words,  $[V_{\tilde{Q}}] = \Phi([V])$  and this shows that  $\Phi_{G,\tilde{Q}}$  coincides with  $\bar{\Phi}$ .

Finally, since  $\Phi_{G,\tilde{Q}}$  is injective and is equal to  $\bar{\Phi}$ , we have  $\text{Ker } \bar{\Phi} = \{0\}$ . Therefore we obtain  $\text{Ker } \Phi = X(G)$ .  $\square$

*Remark 6.5.* The proof we give above shows that Proposition 6.4 remains valid if the assumption that  $Q$  is perfect is replaced with the assumption that  $Q$  admits a universal  $p'$ -central extension. It is possible to prove that this happens if and only if  $X(Q) = 1$ , although we could not find a reference to such a statement in the literature. Hence, for simplicity, we restrict ourselves to the perfect case in this article.

## 7. EXAMPLES

In this final section, we provide various examples, in particular illustrating cases where the morphism  $\Phi_{G,\tilde{Q}}$  is not surjective.

*Example 7.1.* Suppose that  $Q$  is simple and take  $G = Q$ , hence  $A = O_{p'}(G) = \{1\}$ . Then  $\Phi_{Q,\tilde{Q}}$  is just the inflation map  $T(Q) \rightarrow T(\tilde{Q})$ . If  $Q$  is a finite simple group listed in the table below, then it is known that its unique  $p'$ -representation group  $\tilde{Q}$  has indecomposable endo-trivial modules lying in faithful  $p$ -blocks, namely not inflated from  $Q$ .

The results concerning the sporadic groups can be found in [LM15b, Table 3], and those about the alternating group  $\mathfrak{A}_6$  in [LM15c, Theorem A & Theorem B] together with [CMN09, Theorem A & Theorem B].

$Q$	$p$	$\tilde{Q}$	$T(Q)$	$T(\tilde{Q})$
$\mathfrak{A}_6$	3	$2.\mathfrak{A}_6$	$\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/4$	$\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/8$
$\mathfrak{A}_6$	2	$3.\mathfrak{A}_6$	$\mathbb{Z}^2$	$\mathbb{Z}^2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/3$
$M_{22}$	3	$4.M_{22}$	$\mathbb{Z} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2)^2$	$\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/4$
$J_3$	2	$3.J_3$	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/3$
$Ru$	3	$2.Ru$	$\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$	$\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/4$
$Fi_{22}$	5	$6.Fi_{22}$	$\mathbb{Z} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2)^2$	$\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/6 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$

Further examples are given by the exceptional covering group  $2.F_4(2)$  of the exceptional group of Lie type  $F_4(2)$ , which possesses simple torsion endo-trivial modules lying in faithful blocks in characteristics 5 and 7 (see [LM15a, Proposition 5.5]), although the full structure of the group of endo-trivial modules has not been determined in these cases.

*Example 7.2.* Assume  $p > 2$ , let  $n \geq \max\{2p, p + 4\}$  be an integer and denote by  $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n$  and  $\hat{\mathfrak{S}}_n$  the two isoclinic  $p'$ -representation groups of the symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ . Corollary 4.3 yields

$$T(\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n)/X(\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n) \cong T(\hat{\mathfrak{S}}_n)/X(\hat{\mathfrak{S}}_n).$$

However, [LM15c, Thm. B(1),(2)] proves a stronger result, namely  $T(\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n) = \text{Inf}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n}^{\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n}(T(\mathfrak{S}_n))$  and  $T(\hat{\mathfrak{S}}_n) = \text{Inf}_{\hat{\mathfrak{S}}_n}^{\hat{\mathfrak{S}}_n}(T(\mathfrak{S}_n))$ .

Consequently, given any finite group  $G$  such that  $G/O_{p'}(G)$  is isomorphic to one of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ ,  $\tilde{\mathfrak{S}}_n$  or  $\hat{\mathfrak{S}}_n$  (with  $n \geq \max\{2p, p + 4\}$ ), by Theorem 1.1 there exist injective group homomorphisms

$$T(\mathfrak{S}_n)/X(\mathfrak{S}_n) \longrightarrow T(G)/X(G) \xrightarrow{\Phi_{G, \hat{\mathfrak{S}}_n}} T(\hat{\mathfrak{S}}_n)/X(\hat{\mathfrak{S}}_n) \xrightarrow{\sim} T(\mathfrak{S}_n)/X(\mathfrak{S}_n),$$

where the first map is induced by inflation. Hence we have  $T(G)/X(G) \cong T(\mathfrak{S}_n)/X(\mathfrak{S}_n)$ . We recall that the structure of  $T(\mathfrak{S}_n)$  is known by [CMN09].

*Example 7.3.* In this final example, we outline a method which allows us to show that the maps  $\text{Inf}_Q^{\tilde{Q}}$  is an isomorphism on the torsion-free part of the groups of endo-trivial modules of  $Q$  and  $\tilde{Q}$  in some concrete cases.

Specifically, we may use the fact that endo-trivial modules are liftable to characteristic zero, and afford characters taking root-of-unity values at  $p$ -singular conjugacy classes, see [LMS13, Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 2.3]. Therefore, if for every faithful  $p$ -block  $B$  of  $k\tilde{Q}$  (of full defect) no elements of  $\mathbb{Z}\text{Irr}_{\mathbb{C}}(B)$  take root-of-unity values at  $p$ -singular conjugacy classes of  $\tilde{Q}$ , then any endo-trivial  $k\tilde{Q}$ -module is inflated from  $Q$ , hence

$$\text{Inf}_Q^{\tilde{Q}} : TF(Q) \longrightarrow TF(\tilde{Q})$$

is an isomorphism.

This was used in [LM15c, Theorem B] in the case that  $Q = \mathfrak{S}_n$ ,  $n \geq \max\{2p, p + 4\}$  (as mentioned in Example 7.2 above), as well as in [LM15b, Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 6.2] for a large number of sporadic simple groups  $Q$ . More precisely, in characteristic  $p = 2$

for  $Q = M_{12}, M_{22}, J_2, HS, McL, Ru, Suz, ON, Fi_{22}, Co_1, Fi'_{24}$ , or  $B$ , in characteristic  $p = 3$  for  $Q = M_{12}, J_2, HS, Suz, Fi_{22}, Co_1$ , or  $B$ , in characteristic  $p = 5$  for  $Q = J_2, HS, Ru, Suz, Co_1, Fi'_{24}$ , or  $B$ , and in characteristic  $p = 7$  for  $Q = Co_1, Fi'_{24}$ , or  $B$ .

**Acknowledgements.** The authors are indebted to Shigeo Koshitani for several useful discussions and for providing references.

## REFERENCES

- [CMN06] J. CARLSON, N. MAZZA AND D. NAKANO, Endotrivial modules for finite groups of Lie type, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **595** (2006), 93–119.
- [CMN09] J. CARLSON, N. MAZZA AND D. NAKANO, Endotrivial modules for the symmetric and alternating groups, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* **52** (2009), 45–66.
- [CMN15] J. CARLSON, N. MAZZA AND D. NAKANO, Endotrivial modules for the general linear group in a nondefining characteristic, *Math. Z.* **278** (2014), 901–925.
- [CMT11a] J. CARLSON, N. MAZZA AND J. THÉVENAZ, Endotrivial modules for  $p$ -solvable groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **363** (2011), no. 9, 4979–4996.
- [CMT11b] J. CARLSON, N. MAZZA AND J. THÉVENAZ, Torsion-free endotrivial modules, *J. Algebra* **398** (2011), 413–433.
- [CMT13] J. CARLSON, N. MAZZA AND J. THÉVENAZ, Endotrivial modules over groups with quaternion or semi-dihedral Sylow 2-subgroup, *J. European Math. Soc.* **15** (2013), 157–177.
- [CT05] J. CARLSON AND J. THÉVENAZ, The classification of torsion endo-trivial modules, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **165** (2005), 823–883.
- [CR90] C. W. CURTIS AND I. REINER, *Methods of representation theory. Vol. I*, Wiley Classics Library, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1990.
- [KL15] S. KOSHITANI AND C. LASSUEUR, Endo-trivial modules for groups with dihedral Sylow 2-subgroups, *J. Group Theory*, to appear.
- [LM15a] C. LASSUEUR AND G. MALLE, Simple endotrivial modules for linear, unitary and exceptional groups, *Math. Z.* **280** (2015), 1047–1074.
- [LMS13] C. LASSUEUR, G. MALLE AND E. SCHULTE, Simple endotrivial modules for quasi-simple groups, *J. reine angew. Math.*, to appear, DOI: 10.1515/crelle-2013-0100.
- [LM15b] C. LASSUEUR AND N. MAZZA, Endotrivial modules for the sporadic simple groups and their covers, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **219** (2015), 4203–4228.
- [LM15c] C. LASSUEUR AND N. MAZZA, Endotrivial modules for the Schur covers of the symmetric and alternating groups, *Algebr. Represent. Theory* **18** (2015), 1321–1335.
- [MT07] N. MAZZA AND J. THÉVENAZ, Endotrivial modules in the cyclic case, *Arch. Math.* **89**, (2007), 497–503.
- [NT89] H. NAGAO AND Y. TSUSHIMA, *Representations of Finite Groups*. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, 1989.
- [NR12] G. NAVARRO AND G.R. ROBINSON, On endo-trivial modules for  $p$ -solvable groups, *Math. Z.* **270** (2012), 983–987.
- [Rot95] J. ROTMAN, *An introduction to the theory of groups*. Fourth edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 148. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

CAROLINE LASSUEUR, FB MATHEMATIK, TU KAISERSLAUTERN, POSTFACH 3049, 67653 KAISERSLAUTERN, GERMANY.

*E-mail address:* lassueur@mathematik.uni-kl.de

JACQUES THÉVENAZ, EPFL, SECTION DE MATHÉMATIQUES, STATION 8, CH-1015 LAUSANNE, SWITZERLAND.

*E-mail address:* jacques.thevenaz@epfl.ch