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ABSTRACT: Horseradish peroxidase (HRP) is one of the most relevant peroxidase enzymes, used extensively in immunochemistry and biocatalysis applications. Unlike the closely related catalase enzymes, it exhibits a low activity to disproportionate hydrogen peroxide (H2O2). The origin of this disparity remains unknown due to the lack of atomistic information on the catalase-like reaction in HRP. Using QM(DFT)/MM metadynamics simulations, we uncover the mechanism for reduction of the HRP Compound I intermediate by H2O2 at atomic detail. The reaction begins with a hydrogen atom transfer, forming a peroxyl radical and a Compound II-like species. Reorientation of the peroxyl radical in the active site, concomitant with the transfer of the second hydrogen atom, is the rate-limiting step, with a computed free energy barrier (18.7 kcal/mol, ∼6 kcal/mol higher than the one obtained for catalase) in good agreement with experiments. Our simulations reveal the crucial role played by the distal pocket residues in accommodating H2O2, enabling formation of a Compound II-like intermediate, similar to catalases. However, out of the two pathways for Compound II reduction found in catalases, only one is operative in HRP. Moreover, the hydrogen bond network in the distal side of HRP compensates less efficiently than in catalases for the energetic cost required to reorient the peroxyl radical at the rate-determining step. The distal Arg and a water molecule in the "wet" active site of HRP have a substantial impact on the reaction barrier, compared to the "dry" active site in catalase. Therefore, the lower catalase-like efficiency of heme peroxidases compared to catalases can be directly attributed to the different distal pocket architecture, providing hints to engineer peroxidases with a higher rate of H2O2 disproportionation.

1. INTRODUCTION

Catalases and peroxidases are oxidoreductase enzymes that can be found in nearly all aerobically respiring organisms. They play a crucial protective role in preventing the oxidative damage of cellular components caused by hydrogen peroxide (H2O2), a byproduct from the essential aerobic metabolism, as well as its highly reactive decomposition products. Both enzymes are able to catalyze the heterolytic cleavage of the peroxodic bond, therefore avoiding the accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in cells and also regulating their concentration in cellular signaling pathways.1 In addition, peroxidases, in particular horseradish peroxidase (HRP), employ H2O2 to oxidize organic substrates. Hence, HRP is extensively used in the fields of immunochemistry, biocatalysis, bioremediation and medicine.2

The enzymatic cycle of both heme catalases and peroxidases (Figure 1) is initiated with the H2O2-mediated oxidation of the resting state of the enzyme (Por−FeIII, where Por stands for the heme porphyrin) to form a high-valent iron-oxo intermediate known as Compound I (Cpd I).3 Cpd I is generally described as an oxoferryl porphyrin radical cation (Por−FeIV═O).4 However, the reactivity of this intermediate differs between heme catalases and peroxidases. Peroxidases preferentially...
catalyze Cpd I reduction by one-electron donating substrates, typically phenols or aromatic amines, to recover the native ferric state either directly or via an intermediate named Compound II (Cpd II).\(^5\) Cpd II is usually described as an oxo/hydroxoferryl heme intermediate (Por—Fe\(^{IV}==\)O/Por—Fe\(^{IV}−\)OH). In contrast, monofunctional heme catalases use a second \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) molecule to rapidly reduce Cpd I to the resting state, releasing \(\text{O}_2\).\(^6\) Therefore, both enzymes are able to degrade hydrogen peroxide, even though their preferred mechanistic route varies (Figure 1). Catalases catalyze \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) dismutation (catalatic reaction), whereas peroxidases use \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) for substrate oxidation (peroxidatic reaction). Nevertheless, catalatic-like activity, i.e., release of \(\text{O}_2\) in the presence of \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\), has been observed for some peroxidases, e.g., horseradish peroxidase (HRP), in the absence of one-electron donors. Why \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) dismutation is an extremely efficient process in catalases, but takes place at a much slower pace in peroxidases\(^5\) remains unknown.

Knowledge of the factors that determine the differences between the reactivity of Cpd I in heme catalases and peroxidases can be very valuable to assist the rational design of novel biocatalysts and biomimetic compounds able to mediate a large range of oxidative transformations.\(^8\) It is thus crucial to understand the mode of action of these enzymes to unambiguously characterize the molecular features that lie at the origin of this distinct Cpd I reactivity.\(^5\) In general, two aspects have been suggested\(^10\) to play a major role in Cpd I reactivity in hemeproteins: (i) solvent accessibility of the active site and (ii) structural and sequence differences in the heme active sites (Figure 2). While peroxidases exhibit an open and solvent-exposed active site, the heme of catalases is deeply buried in the enzyme and the access channel is long and narrow. Besides, both oxidoreductase families have different active site residues.\(^11\) In catalases, the proximal axial ligand is Tyr, whereas it is His in peroxidases. Furthermore, the main distal residues His/Asn in catalases are replaced by His/Arg in peroxidases (Figure 2). In addition, the distal His side chain is oriented nearly parallel to the heme group in catalases, whereas in peroxidases it is positioned approximately orthogonal to the plane defined by the heme.

Figure 1. Reactions catalyzed by peroxidases and catalases using hydrogen peroxide (\(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\)). The catalytic cycle begins with Compound I (Cpd I) formation for both oxidoreductase enzymes. In catalases, Cpd I is reduced back to the resting state by a second molecule of \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) (catalatic reaction). In contrast, peroxidases preferentially recover the resting state via the peroxidatic reaction, in which Cpd I sequencially reacts with two molecules of a one-electron donating substrate (e.g., phenol, PhOH), passing through another intermediate named Compound II (Cpd II).

Recent experimental\(^12\) and theoretical\(^13\) studies have shown that the distinct reactivity of Cpd I toward \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) in these enzymes cannot be explained in terms of differences in the nature of the proximal ligands, therefore pointing to the characteristic properties of the distal residues as the main cause for this diverse behavior.

The mechanism of Cpd I reduction by \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) in two monofunctional heme catalases, \(\text{Helicobacter pylori}\) catalase (HPC) and \(\text{Penicillium vitale}\) catalase (PVC) was previously investigated using quantum mechanical/molecular mechanical (QM/MM) molecular dynamics (MD) simulations.\(^14\) It was shown that the reaction does not proceed by a proton and hydride transfer, as has often been assumed, but rather by two sequential one electron transfers. In a first step (Figure 3), \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) transfers one hydrogen atom to the oxoferryl of Cpd I, forming a Cpd II-like species (Por—Fe\(^{IV}−\)OH). To complete the reaction, two pathways (named A and B) with very similar free energy barriers (~12 kcal mol\(^{-1}\)) can be operative. Pathway A involves the distal His as an acid–base catalyst, as proposed by Fita and Rossmann,\(^15\) shuttling a proton from the peroxyl radical to the hydroxoferryl, concomitantly with an electron transfer (His-mediated pathway or A). A variation of this mechanism, involving an ionizable tryptophan distal residue, was recently proposed for bifunctional catalase-peroxidases,\(^16\) which also disproportionate \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) at a high pace. Alternatively, the hydrogen atom can transfer directly to the hydroxoferryl by rotation of the peroxyl radical in the active site (direct pathway or B).

The lack of a similar kind of detailed atomistic level information in monofunctional peroxidases precludes understanding the factors underlying their low catalase-like activity. Here we present a QM(DFT)/MM MD investigation of the mechanism of Cpd I reduction by \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) in HRP, one of the most relevant and historically most important heme peroxidases. HRP is also the plant peroxidase with the highest overall turnover of \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\).\(^7\) We show that Cpd I reduction in HRP can follow only one out of the two pathways operative in catalase, the direct pathway, in which the two hydrogen atoms of \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) are directly and sequentially transferred to the oxoferryl oxygen, without participation of the distal His. Despite the similar mechanism, the energy barrier of the reaction is higher in HRP than in catalase due to the particular network of hydrogen bond interactions among the peroxide and the active site residues. This explains why HRP, and peroxidases in general, are less efficient than catalases to dismutate hydrogen peroxide. Analysis of the reaction pathway provides insights into the question how...
peroxidases have evolved to minimize the catalatic reaction and use other reducing substrates instead.

2. METHODS

2.1. Classical Molecular Dynamics. The model used as starting configuration for the classical MD simulations was built based on the X-ray structure of HRP Cpd I (PDB code: 1HCH). The crystal structure was immersed in a periodic box of approximately $80 \times 86 \times 72 \AA^3$ containing about 14,000 water molecules, and neutralized with 1 Na$^+$ counterion. The box dimensions were chosen to achieve a minimum distance of 24 Å between periodically replicated images of the protein. Conventional (pH = 7) protonation states were assigned to all titratable protein residues using propKa, and further verified through inspection of their hydrogen bond pattern and nearby chemical environment. Accordingly, His42 in the distal active site pocket of the enzyme was chosen as $N_\delta$-protonated, which is the commonly assumed protonation state for this residue in horseradish peroxidase at neutral pH. This choice is also in agreement with the fact that the catalase-like activity of HRP occurs at pH > 6.4. In fact, the drop of catalase activity at pH < 6.4 has been attributed to double-protonation of His42. Consistently, the distal His has been found to be doubly protonated (i.e., positively charged) for cytochrome c peroxidase at slightly acidic conditions (pH 6.0).

The parm99SB parametrization of the all-atom AMBER force field was used to model standard protein residues and counterions, whereas the TIP3P model was employed for water molecules. For the heme prosthetic group in Cpd I, the bonded parameters by Banci were adopted, whereas the RESP charges were derived from quantum chemical computations performed at the density functional theory level (B3LYP/6-31G*) on a model system consisting of the prosthetic group and some of the protein residues next to it (the proximal residues His170 and Asp247, as well as its H-bonding network formed by Gln176, Arg31 and Ser35). Electrostatic interactions were taken into account using the Particle Mesh Ewald (PME) algorithm with a real space cutoff of 10 Å. The same cutoff was employed for the treatment of the van der Waals interactions. An integration time step of 1 fs was used. The physiological temperature of 310 K was achieved by coupling the system to a Langevin thermostat. After minimization and careful thermalization of the HRP Cpd I structure in water solution, an initial classical MD simulation was run for 5 ns. It was found that water molecules entered and left the active site cavity several times during this simulation via the channel that extends from Pro139 to the bulk solvent. This is in agreement with the conclusions of previous experimental and computational studies on HRP, which have addressed the problem of the accessibility of solvent molecules to the distal pocket and their role in Cpd I formation.

A hydrogen peroxide molecule was accommodated into the active site pocket between Pro139 and His42, H-bonded to this latter residue, through replacement of one of the water molecules present in the cavity (configuration 0 in Figure 4). A 10 ns MD simulation in the canonical (NVT) ensemble was performed to relax the Cpd I–H$_2$O$_2$ complex. A configuration was extracted from this simulation to initiate the subsequent QM/MM MD study. All the classical MD simulations were carried out using the NAMD package. VMD was used for visualization, analysis and image generation.

2.2. QM/MM Molecular Dynamics. The QM/MM implementation employed in this study combines the use of the QM program QUICKSTEP and the MM driver FIST, both forming part of the
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CP2K package (freely available at www.cp2k.org, released under GPL license). In this code, the general QM/MM scheme is based on a real space multigrid technique to compute the electrostatic coupling between the QM and MM regions. A quantum region (consisting of the oxoferryl porphyrin radical cation, the H₂O₂ molecule, the distal Arg38 and His42 residues, the proximal His170, and an additional water molecule that is hydrogen bonded to H₂O₂) was treated at the DFT level, whereas the remaining part of the system, including water molecules and counterions, was modeled at the classical level using the AMBER force field. Further support for the requirement of including all these residues as part of the QM subsystem can be found in a previous approximation using the BLYP functional. The valence of the terminal QM atoms was saturated by the addition of caping hydrogen atoms. A dual basis set, Gaussian and plane-wave (GPW) formalism, was employed to compute the interaction energy within the atoms, belonging to the QM region. In particular, a double-$ζ$ valence basis set augmented with a set of polarization functions (DZVP) was used in order to get an accurate description of the wave function of the QM subsystem, while an auxiliary plane-wave basis set expanded up to a density cutoff of 320 Ry was utilized to converge the electron density in conjunction with Goedecker-Teter-Hutter (GTH) pseudopotentials to describe the core electrons. Exchange and correlation energies were computed within the GGA approximation using the BLYP functional.

The self-interaction error (SIE), inherent to this methodology, may result in a poor description of open-shell species. Hence, we performed single-point calculations on representative structures along the reaction pathway using the hybrid B3LYP functional, which is known to be less affected by the SIE. The obtained spin densities (Table 1 and Figure S2) are very similar to those obtained with BLYP, indicating that this particular case does not seem to be affected significantly by the known limitations of GGA DFT. A similar conclusion was reached in our previous work on catalase. A quartet spin state was considered to carry out all the QM/MM MD simulations. This decision was motivated from previous computational and experimental studies, which found the quartet state to be the relevant one for the catalytic reaction in catalases (since the crossing from the quartet to the higher spin states characteristic of the enzyme resting state took place at the products state and not during the reaction). The quartet and doublet states are nearly degenerated in HRP Cpd I, therefore, it is reasonable to assume that the catalase-like activity of HRP takes place on the quartet spin state surface as well.

Table 1. Distances and Number of Unpaired Electrons ($n^{unp}$) at the Local Minima of the Free Energy Surface of Figure 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>reactants</th>
<th>A2</th>
<th>B3</th>
<th>products</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe−O (Å)</td>
<td>1.68 ± 0.19</td>
<td>1.70 ± 0.26</td>
<td>1.83 ± 0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O−O (Å)</td>
<td>1.50 ± 0.17</td>
<td>1.37 ± 0.21</td>
<td>1.38 ± 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO ($n^{unp}$)</td>
<td>2.1−2.1</td>
<td>2.0−2.1</td>
<td>1.7−2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OO ($n^{unp}$)</td>
<td>0.0−0.2</td>
<td>0.8−0.9</td>
<td>0.9−1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porph ($n^{unp}$)</td>
<td>0.7−1.0</td>
<td>0.0−0.2</td>
<td>0.0−0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The spin density was integrated using Bader's atoms in molecules theory. The variation between BLYP and B3LYP functionals is given.

All the ab initio MD simulations were performed with Born–Oppenheimer dynamics in the NVT ensemble and using an integration time step of 0.5 fs. The QM region was initially relaxed by performing a geometry optimization while the MM part was kept frozen. Then the system was thermalized and further equilibrated without any constraint during 2 ps employing a stochastic velocity rescaling thermostat to maintain the temperature at 310 K. A representative snapshot was subsequently selected to initiate the metadynamics simulation.

2.3. Metadynamics. The direct formulation of the metadynamics algorithm was used to enhance the sampling of the phase space and estimate the free energy landscape associated with the reaction under study. This algorithm relies on the addition of a repulsive Gaussian-like potential to the regions of space previously visited, discouraging the system from re-exploring the conformations already sampled during the dynamics and, thereby, accelerating the exploration of other regions. Two collective variables (CV1 and CV2) were chosen to reconstruct the free energy landscape: (i) the coordination number between the two oxygen atoms and the two hydrogen atoms of the H₂O₂ molecule, which gives an indication of the degree of O₂ formation (one of the final products of the reaction), and (ii) the coordination number between the oxoferryl oxygen atom and the hydrogen atoms of both the H₂O₂ and one H₂O molecule located in the active site cavity (labeled wat), which measures the degree of formation of the new water molecule (the other product of the reaction under study). Similar CVs were previously employed to investigate the same process in heme catalases.

The following definition for the coordination number (CN) of a given species $A$ with respect to another species $B$ was adopted:

$$CN_{AB} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_A} \sum_{j=1}^{N_B} 1 - \frac{\langle r_{ij}^2 \rangle}{\langle d_{AB}^2 \rangle}$$

where $N_A$ and $N_B$ are the number of atomic species $A$ and $B$, respectively, $r_{ij}$ are interatomic distances, and $\langle d_{AB}^2 \rangle$ are the parameters that characterize the decay of the function. In our simulations, we used $A = [O\_1, O\_2]$, $B = [H\_p, H\_p, H\_p, H\_p]$, $d_{AB} = 1.0 \, Å$, $p = 12$, $q = 15$ to describe CV1, whereas the parameters employed in CV2 definition were $A = [O\_1, B = [H\_p, H\_p, H\_p, H\_p]]$, $d_{AB} = 1.8 \, Å$, $p = 3$, $q = 6$. The width of the Gaussian-shaped potential hills was set to 0.05 and 0.08 for CV1 and CV2, respectively, while their height was set to 0.6 kcal mol⁻¹. The time deposition interval between two consecutive Gaussians was set to 15 fs. A total of 400 Gaussian hills were deposited. The simulation was stopped once the products well was sampled and the oxygen molecule was escaping from the active site, which precluded the system to diffuse back to the reactants. Given that only one walker was used to estimate the FEL and the values of the metadynamics parameters chosen, the error in the energy barrier is expected to be ≤1.9 kcal/mol. This is of the same order as the error of the FEL in catalase, for which similar metadynamics parameters were used, but recrossing, which did not affect the reaction mechanism, was observed.

2.4. Calculation of Active Site Hydrogen Bond Interaction Energies. Additional gas-phase calculations on active site model systems were performed to identify the factors that cause the distinct reactivity of Cpd I toward H₂O₂ in HRP and catalase. To this end, we built simplified active site cluster models corresponding to the minimum and transition state configurations of the rate-limiting step of the reaction. In particular, the models comprised the heme (modeled as iron-porphyrin), the proximal residue (Tyr339 in catalase and His170 in HRP, modeled as methylenophenolate and methylimidazole, respectively), the water molecules in the active site cavity (only present in HRP), the H₂O₂ substrate (present as a peroxyl radical in the rate-limiting step), and the distal residues capable of interacting with these molecules (Asn129 and His56 in catalase and Arg38 and Pro139 in HRP, modeled as acetamide, methylimidazole, methylguanidinium and 2-acetylpyrrole-dine, respectively). Only the side chains up to the Cγ atom of the active site residues were included, and their valences were saturated using hydrogen atoms. The rest of the protein environment was not considered.

The fragments defined, together with the main hydrogen bond interactions connecting them at the configurations examined, are depicted in Figure S1. The arginine residue in HRP was considered in the same fragment as the heme, as there is a strong salt bridge between the two groups. For each of the fragments in Figure S1, the fragment was displaced 7 Å away (a distance in which the interaction between fragments can be considered as negligible) from the peroxyl radical or the water molecule, while keeping the rest of the fragments at their original position; this procedure was repeated for all fragments, one at a time (the ordering in which the fragments are displaced does not affect the calculated interaction energies). Moreover, the spin density distribution of the system remains the same (i.e., one unpaired electron on the peroxyl radical and two unpaired electrons on the hydroxoferryl group of Cpd II), and thus there are no electronic changes that could affect the calculated interaction energies. The interaction energy (ΔE) was calculated as the energy difference between the gas phase cluster model with all fragments at their original positions and the same model.
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when the distance between the fragment and the peroxy radical or water molecule is about 7 Å. Similar decomposition approaches have been successfully used by others\textsuperscript{39} to rationalize reactivity trends in terms of the deformation and interaction energy changes undergone by the reactants.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Dynamics of H$_2$O$_2$ in the Active Site of HRP. As a first step in our study, we investigated the dynamics of hydrogen peroxide in the active site of HRP by classical MD. Three different modes of interaction between the H$_2$O$_2$ molecule and the active site residues were observed (Figure 4). Initially, the peroxide molecule is hydrogen bonded to Pro139 and His42 (configuration 1, Figure 4). At ~ 6 ns, the H$_2$O$_2$ molecule undergoes a small rotation (configuration 2), breaking the hydrogen bonding interaction with Pro139 and forming two new hydrogen bonds, one with the oxygen atom of the oxoferryl group, and another one with a water molecule (labeled wat) that enters the active site and sits in between H$_2$O$_2$ and Pro139. At ~ 10 ns, the peroxide molecule swaps position with the water molecule: H$_2$O$_2$ moves to the vestibule of the active site, losing the aforementioned contact with the oxoferryl moiety and recovering the initial direct hydrogen bond with Pro139, whereas the water molecule sits in between H$_2$O$_2$ and the oxoferryl and the distal histidine (configuration 3). Out of the three configurations explored, only configuration 2 is expected to be reactive, since the hydrogen peroxide molecule interacts with the oxoferryl unit as in catalase (Figure 3). Therefore, a snapshot of the classical MD with this configuration was chosen to start the subsequent QM/MM MD simulations.

3.2. The Catalase-like Reaction Mechanism in HRP. The mechanism of Cpd I reduction by H$_2$O$_2$ in HRP was investigated with QM(DFT)/MM metadynamics using two collective variables (see Methods). To analyze the results obtained, it is useful to recall the meaning of the two collective variables used in the metadynamics simulation. CV1 (named oxygen formation) involves the distances between the two oxygen atoms of H$_2$O$_2$ and its two hydrogen atoms, thus it gives an idea of the degree of formation of the product oxygen molecule. This variable ranges from a value of ~2 at the reactants state (R), where each hydrogen atom is bound to its corresponding peroxy oxygen atom, to ~0 in the products state (P), in which none of the hydrogen atoms is bound to the peroxy oxygen atoms. The second collective variable, CV2 (named water formation) involves the distances between the oxoferryl oxygen atom and the two hydrogen atoms of H$_2$O$_2$, as well as the active site water molecule (wat). Thus, this variable, which changes from 0 to ~2 upon going from reactants to products, accounts for the formation of the second product of the catalatic reaction, a new water molecule.

The free energy landscape (FEL) reconstructed from the metadynamics simulation is shown in Figure 5a. The reactants (R, heme$^+$-Fe$^{IV}$=O + H$_2$O$_2$) and products (P, heme-Fe$^{III}$ + H$_2$O + O$_2$) correspond to the two minima located on the bottom-right and upper-left-hand sides, respectively. Two minimum energy pathways can be drawn on the FEL: one that connects the reactants state with the products well (a reactive pathway) and another one that connects the reactants with the local minimum A2 (a dead-end pathway that does not reach the products). Representative configurations along each pathway are shown in Figures 6 and 7.

As shown in Figure 5a, the system can easily escape the reactants well via the lowest energy transition state A1 ($\Delta G^\ddagger = 5$ kcal/mol) to reach the A2 minimum. This pathway, depicted in Figure 6, corresponds to the transfer of a peroxy proton to the distal histidine (note CV2 does not change from R to A2, as the bonding pattern of the oxoferryl oxygen remains unaltered). Species A2 is characterized by the shrinking of the O−O distance (1.37 Å) compared to the one of the H$_2$O$_2$ molecule at R (1.50 Å), together with the presence of one unpaired electron in a $\pi$ orbital shared between the two peroxy oxygen atoms (see Table 1 and Table S1). The porphyrin radical, characteristic of Cpd I, disappears at A2. Therefore, formation of A2 consists of a proton transfer from H$_2$O$_2$ to His42 with a concomitant electron transfer from the peroxide to the porphyrin, forming a peroxy radical (HOO*).

To continue the catalase-like reaction, it is necessary that the histidine proton “travels” to the oxoferryl oxygen. However, the FEL does not show any direct pathway from A2 to the products. The direct transformation from A2 to B3 would present a free energy barrier much higher than the highest one sampled in our simulation, and thus the backward reaction to the reactants is the only favorable route to escape from A2. This is not surprising as the distance between the side chain of the distal His and the oxoferryl oxygen atom (4.8 Å) is too large for a facile proton transfer and the distal water molecule is not properly located to mediate such transfer. Indeed, a recent spectroscopic study\textsuperscript{40} has

![Figure 5](image-url)
It is tempting to suggest that the catalase-like reaction (Figure 5), following a mechanism that this work.41 and thus it was not explored in relevant hydrogen bonds.41 The resulting reactive one (Figure 3),14 it corresponds to a dead-end for HRP. In contrast, pathway B2 → B3 → P consists essentially in the reorientation of the peroxy radical and the pocket water inside the active site cavity until the optimal alignment between the hydroxoferryl oxygen and the peroxy radical is reached at the transition state B4. This is in line with the results in catalase, which showed that H-bonding reorganization is the main determinant of the reaction barrier. Afterward, the second hydrogen atom, H1p, is transferred from HOO* to the hydroxoferryl unit forming the products of the reaction, oxygen and water. As consequence, the Fe−O distance lengthens from 1.83 to 3.10 Å and the O−O distance shrinks from 1.38 to 1.25 Å (see Table 1 and Table S2), consistent with the formation of molecular oxygen. The spin-density analysis (Figure S2) also confirms the formation of triplet O2 and H2O, as well as the reduction from Fe(IV) to Fe(III), recovering the resting state of the enzyme.

The dynamical description of the chemical reaction provided by the metadynamics44 approach allows capturing the motion of the active site residues during catalysis.11b Interestingly, the side chain of the distal His swings backward and the Arg side chain rotates and moves up (compare states R and P in Figure 7), leaving enough space to facilitate the departure of the O2.

Figure 6. Representative snapshots along pathway A or the His-mediated pathway. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity, except those involved in relevant hydrogen bonds.

Figure 7. Representative snapshots along pathway B or the direct pathway. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity, except those involved in relevant hydrogen bonds.
molecule from the active site cavity. The motion of these residues is also important to guide HOO* reorientation in the active site (compare states B3 and B4 in Figure 7), as discussed in the next section. Hints of the conformational motions observed in the metadynamics simulations can be already inferred from the available X-ray structures (see Tables S4 and S5 and Figure S3). However, only atomistic simulations can unravel the pathway connecting the stationary states trapped in the crystal.\textsuperscript{11b}

3.3. Hydrogen Bond Interactions in the Active Site. To identify the factors responsible for the reaction energy barrier of the catalatic reaction in HRP, we focused on the rate-determining step (from B3 to products) and quantified the change in hydrogen bond interactions in the active site (Figure S1) on going from minimum B3 to the transition state B4, following the procedure described in the Methods section 2.4. From the interaction energy changes ($\Delta E$) we can estimate the contribution of a given hydrogen bond to the reorientation of the peroxyl radical and hydroxoferryl unit in the active site. The sum of all interaction energy differences ($\Delta E_{\text{TOTAL}}$) is expected to be an estimate to the reaction potential energy barrier. For comparison, we performed the same analysis for the catalase active site. The results of the interaction energy analysis are shown in Figure 8 and collected in Table S3. It should be noted that the wet active site of HRP contains two molecules, HOO* and wat, and thus we considered the interactions of both molecules with their neighboring active site residues (see Methods). In contrast, the dry active site of catalase only contains the peroxyl radical.

In catalases, the main contribution to the reaction energy barrier turns out to be the weakening of the interactions of the peroxyl radical with the active site residues (mainly with His56) at the TS by $\sim$18 kcal/mol (Figure 8a), which is only partially compensated by the strengthening of its interaction with the heme-FeOH group ($\sim$8.3 kcal mol$^{-1}$). The picture differs in the case of HRP (Figure 8b), for which HOO* reorientation causes a more dramatic disruption of the hydrogen bond network than in catalase. In terms of interaction energies, the stabilizing interactions of both HOO* and the water molecule (wat) with their neighbors (Pro139 and another water molecule) decrease considerably (by 21.3 kcal mol$^{-1}$) on going from the minimum (B3) to the TS (B4). On the other hand, the stabilizing interactions of the HOO* molecule (with the heme-FeOH···Arg38 fragment) increase only by $\sim$3.1 kcal mol$^{-1}$, and thus compensate only marginally the first term. Remarkably, summing up all contributions, the total destabilization of the TS ($\Delta E_{\text{TOTAL}}$ in Figure 8) amounts to 10.5 and 18.2 kcal mol$^{-1}$ for catalase and HRP, respectively, which is in good agreement with the values obtained for the free energy barriers using metadynamics (12.0 kcal mol$^{-1}$ for catalase\textsuperscript{14} and 18.7 kcal mol$^{-1}$ for HRP). This gives further validation to the fragment strategy employed here and, furthermore, supports the idea that the analysis of the distal site interactions captures the main factors that contribute to the different Cpd I reactivity of both enzymes.

In summary, we found that the reduction of Cpd I by H$_2$O$_2$ in HRP consists of an initial hydrogen transfer to form a Cpd II-like species, followed by reorientation of the resulting peroxyl radical and the FeOH unit in the active site, with the subsequent transfer of the second hydrogen atom. This reaction pathway is similar to the "direct pathway" found for catalase (Figure 3),\textsuperscript{14} but the energy barrier of the reaction is significantly higher (6.7 kcal/mol) for HRP due to a more hindered reorientation of the active site molecules. Furthermore, our results give support to the interpretation of the kinetic experiments in myoglobin (Mb) mutants:\textsuperscript{65} the L29H/H64L Mb mutant, which mimics the HRP active site, was proposed to follow a direct pathway.

4. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Using QM(DFT)/MM metadynamics simulations, we have uncovered the reaction mechanism for Cpd I reduction by H$_2$O$_2$ in HRP at atomic detail. The free energy landscape reconstructed from the metadynamics simulation, using two collective variables, shows two different minimum free energy pathways. Pathway A involves the distal His while B does not involve this residue. In this respect, the computed FEL is similar to the one obtained for catalase.\textsuperscript{14} However, whereas the His-mediated pathway or A in catalase is a reactive one, it corresponds to a dead-end for HRP, as the location of the distal His, being further away from the heme iron compared to catalases, precludes proton shuttling toward the oxoferryl unit. In contrast, the direct pathway or B, involving a substantial reorientation of the peroxyl radical and the FeOH unit, leads to the products of the reaction in both HRP and catalases. Therefore, the global mechanism of Cpd I reduction by H$_2$O$_2$ in HRP consists in two consecutive hydrogen transfer steps, with a free energy barrier of 18.7 kcal mol$^{-1}$, in very good agreement with experiments.\textsuperscript{11}

The comparison of the reaction mechanism in HRP and catalase shows that the more crowded active site cavity in HRP, particularly designed to catalyze the oxidation of other substrates than H$_2$O$_2$, is the reason behind its lower catalatic activity. The presence of the positively charged distal Arg and a water molecule impedes the formation of interactions between HOO*···
and the active site residues strong enough to compensate the reorganization cost at the rate-determining step of the enzymatic reaction. In other words, the hydrogen bond network present in the "wet" active site cavity of horseradish peroxidase is disrupted more dramatically than in the "dry" catalase active site. This has a substantial impact on the height of the free energy barrier, which increases from 12 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) in catalase to 18.7 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) in HRP. Our results suggest that proper modification of the distal pocket, diminishing the number and/or the strength of hydrogen bond interactions (e.g., replacing the distal Arg by a less bulkier residue or a neutral one) could enhance the catalase-like activity of HRP and other heme proteins.
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According to conventional TST: \( k = (k_B T/\hbar) \exp(-\Delta G^*/RT) \), where \( \Delta G^* \) is the free energy barrier.


