On involutions in extremal self-dual codes and the dual distance of semi self-dual codes.

Martino Borello

Member INdAM-GNSAGA (Italy)
Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni
Università degli Studi di Milano Bicocca
20125 Milan, Italy
e-mail: martino.borello@unimib.it

Gabriele Nebe

Lehrstuhl D für Mathematik,
RWTH Aachen University,
52056 Aachen, Germany,
e-mail: nebe@math.rwth-aachen.de.

Abstract

A classical result of Conway and Pless is that a natural projection of the fixed code of an automorphism of odd prime order of a self-dual binary linear code is self-dual [13]. In this paper we prove that the same holds for involutions under some (quite strong) conditions on the codes.
In order to prove it, we introduce a new family of binary codes: the semi self-dual codes. A binary self-orthogonal code is called semi self-dual if it contains the all-ones vector and is of codimension 2 in its dual code. We prove upper bounds on the dual distance of semi self-dual codes.
As an application we get the following: let $\mathcal{C}$ be an extremal self-dual binary linear code of length $24m$ and $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ be a fixed point free automorphism of order 2. If m is odd or if $m = 2k$ with $\binom{5k-1}{k-1}$ odd then $\mathcal{C}$ is a free $\mathbb{F}_2\langle\sigma\rangle$-module. This result has quite strong consequences on the structure of the automorphism group of such codes.

Keywords: semi self-dual codes, bounds on minimum distance, automorphism group, free modules, extremal codes

1. Introduction

The research in this paper is motivated by the study of involutions of extremal self-dual codes, which plays a fundamental role in [17, 6, 5, 8, 7, 21].

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}^\perp \leq \mathbb{F}_2^{24m}$ be an extremal binary self-dual code, so $d(\mathcal{C}) = 4m + 4$ [15]. Then $\mathcal{C}$ is doubly even [19]. There are unique extremal self-dual codes of length 24 and 48 and these are the only known extremal codes of length $24m$. It is an intensively studied open question raised in [20], whether an extremal code of length 72 exists. A series of many papers has shown that if such a code exists, then its automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{C}) = \{\sigma \in S_{24m} \mid \sigma(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}\}$ has order ≤ 5 (see [4] for an exposition of this result). Stefka Bouyuklieva [9] studies automorphisms of order 2 of such codes. She shows that if $\mathcal{C}$ is an extremal code of length $24m$, $m \geq 2$ and $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ has order 2, then the permutation σ has no fixed points, with one exception, $m = 5$, where there might be 24 fixed points. If $\sigma = (1, 2) \ldots, (24m - 1, 24m)$ is a fixed point free automorphism of a doubly even self dual code $\mathcal{C}$, then its *fixed code*

$$\mathcal{C}(\sigma) := \{c \in \mathcal{C} \mid \sigma(c) = c\}$$

is isomorphic to

$$\pi(\mathcal{C}(\sigma)) = \{(c_1, \ldots, c_{12m}) \in \mathbb{F}_2^{12m} \mid (c_1, c_1, c_2, c_2, \ldots, c_{12m}, c_{12m}) \in \mathcal{C}\}$$

such that

$$\pi(\{c + \sigma(c) \mid c \in \mathcal{C}\}) = \pi(\mathcal{C}(\sigma))^\perp \subseteq \pi(\mathcal{C}(\sigma)).$$

As $\mathcal{C}$ is doubly-even, all words in $\pi(\mathcal{C}(\sigma))$ have even weight. It is shown in [17] and [5] that the code $\mathcal{C}$ is a free $\mathbb{F}_2\langle\sigma\rangle$-module, if and only if $\pi(\mathcal{C}(\sigma))$ is self-dual. If $\pi(\mathcal{C}(\sigma))$ is not self-dual then it contains the dual $\mathcal{D}^\perp$ of some code $\mathcal{D}$ of length $12m$ with

$$\mathbf{1} := (1, \ldots, 1) \in \pi(\mathcal{C}(\sigma))^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^\perp \subseteq \pi(\mathcal{C}(\sigma)).$$

In particular $\mathrm{d}(\mathcal{D}^\perp) \geq \mathrm{d}(\pi(\mathcal{C}(\sigma))) = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{d}(\mathcal{C}(\sigma)) \geq \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{d}(\mathcal{C})$.

Definition 1.1. *A binary self-orthogonal code $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^\perp \leq \mathbb{F}_2^n$ of length n is called semi self-dual, if $\mathbf{1} := (1, \ldots, 1) \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\dim(\mathcal{D}^\perp/\mathcal{D}) = 2$.*

Self-orthogonal codes always consist of words of even weight, so $\mathrm{wt}(c) := |\{i \mid c_i = 1\}| \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ for all $c \in \mathcal{D}$. Hence already the condition that $\mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{D}$

implies that the length $n = 12m$ of $\mathcal{D}$ is even. Note that $\mathcal{D}^\perp \subseteq \mathbf{1}^\perp = \{c \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \mid \mathrm{wt}(c) \in 2\mathbb{Z}\}$ implies that also $\mathcal{D}^\perp$ consists of even weight vectors. The *dual distance* of $\mathcal{D}$ is the minimum weight of the dual code $\mathrm{dd}(\mathcal{D}) := \mathrm{d}(\mathcal{D}^\perp) := \min(\mathrm{wt}(\mathcal{D}^\perp \setminus \{0\}))$.

In this paper we will bound the dual distance $\mathrm{dd}(\mathcal{D}) = \mathrm{d}(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$ of semi self-dual codes. In particular if the length of $\mathcal{D}$ is $12m$ with either m odd or $m = 2\mu$ such that $\binom{5\mu-1}{\mu-1}$ is odd, then $\mathrm{dd}(\mathcal{D}) \leq 2m$ (see Theorem 2.1 below for the general statement).

Then we may conclude the following Theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}^\perp \leq \mathbb{F}_2^{24m}$ be an extremal code of length $24m$ and $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ be a fixed point free automorphism of order 2. Then $\mathcal{C}$ is a free $\mathbb{F}_2\langle\sigma\rangle$-module if m is odd or if $m = 2\mu$ with $\binom{5\mu-1}{\mu-1}$ odd.*

In particular, for $m = 3$, we obtain [17, Theorem 3.1] without appealing to the classification of all extremal codes of length 36 in [1] and without any serious computer calculation.

Remark 1.3. *In* [22]*, Zhang proved that extremal self-dual binary linear codes of length a multiple of* 24 *may exist only up to length* $3672 = 153 \cdot 24$*. About* 72% *of these lengths are covered by Theorem 1.2. In particular the projections of fixed codes by fixed point free involutions in self-dual* $[96, 48, 20]$ *and* $[120, 60, 24]$ *codes (see* [11, 10] *for an exposition of the state of the art for the codes with these parameters) are self-dual.*

The same arguments as in [17] can now be applied to obtain the following quite strong consequence on the structure of the automorphism group of such extremal codes.

Corollary 1.4. *Let $m \geq 3$ be odd and assume that $m \neq 5$. Let $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}^\perp \leq \mathbb{F}_2^{24m}$ be an extremal code. If 8 divides $|\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{C})|$ then a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ is isomorphic to $C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$, $C_2 \times C_4$ or D_8.*

Proof. Let S be a Sylow-2-subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$.
By our assumption and [9] all elements of order 2 in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ act without fixed points on the places $\{1, \ldots, 24m\}$. This immediately implies that all S-orbits have length $|S|$, so $|S|$ divides $24m$ and hence $|S| = 8$.
So we only need to exclude $S = C_8$ and $S = Q_8$. This is done by considering the module structure of $\mathcal{C}$ as an $\mathbb{F}_2 S$-module. Note that both groups have a unique elementary abelian subgroup, say Z, and $Z \cong C_2$. By Theorem 1.2

the module $\mathcal{C}$ is a free $\mathbb{F}_2 Z$-module. Chouinard's Theorem [12] states that a module is projective if and only if its restriction to every elementary abelian subgroup is projective. Then $\mathcal{C}$ is also a free $\mathbb{F}_2 S$-module of rank

$$\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbb{F}_2 S}(\mathcal{C}) = \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(\mathcal{C})}{|S|} = \frac{12m}{8} = 3 \cdot \frac{m}{2} \notin \mathbb{N}$$

a contradiction. □

Remark 1.5. *Note that the cyclic group C_8 is already excluded by the Sloane-Thompson Theorem (see also [14]) because $S \cong C_8$ acting fixed point freely on $24m$ points implies that S is not in the alternating group, so S does not fix any doubly-even self-dual code.*

2. Bounds on the dual distance of semi self-dual codes

In the previous section we introduced the definition of semi self-dual codes. Now we will prove upper bounds on their dual distance. Even if this family of codes was introduced as a tool for the proof of Theorem 1.2, it seems to be interesting also by itself. Applying the methods from [19], we show the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{D} \leq \mathbb{F}_2^n$ be a semi self-dual code. Then the dual distance of $\mathcal{D}$ is bounded by*

$$\mathrm{dd}(\mathcal{D}) = \mathrm{d}(\mathcal{D}^\perp) \leq \begin{cases} 4\lfloor \frac{n}{24} \rfloor + 2 & \text{if } n \equiv 0,2,4,6,8,10,12,14 \pmod{24} \\ 4\lfloor \frac{n}{24} \rfloor + 4 & \text{if } n \equiv 16,18,20 \pmod{24} \\ 4\lfloor \frac{n}{24} \rfloor + 6 & \text{if } n \equiv 22 \pmod{24}. \end{cases}$$

If $n = 24\mu$ for some integer μ and $\mathcal{D}$ is doubly-even or $\binom{5\mu-1}{\mu-1}$ is odd then

$$\mathrm{dd}(\mathcal{D}) = \mathrm{d}(\mathcal{D}^\perp) \leq 4\mu.$$

Theorem 2.1 follows by combining Remark 3.1, Proposition 4.1, Proposition 5.2 and Proposition 5.3.

Remark 2.2. *The well-known Kummer's theorem on binomial coefficients implies that $\binom{5\mu-1}{\mu-1}$ is odd if and only if there are no carries when 4μ is added to $\mu - 1$ in base 2.*

By direct calculations with MAGMA, using a database [16] of all self-dual binary linear codes of length up to 40, most of the bounds of Theorem 2.1 can be shown to be sharp. In particular, we have semi self-dual codes such that their dual codes have parameters $[4,3,2]$, $[6,4,2]$, $[8,5,2]$, $[10,6,2]$, $[12,7,2]$, $[14,8,2]$, $[16,9,4]$, $[18,10,4]$, $[20,11,4]$ and $[22,12,6]$ and a doubly-even semi self-dual code with dual code of parameters $[24,13,4]$.

3. Self-dual subcodes

From now on let $\mathcal{D}$ be a semi self-dual code of even length $n \geq 4$. Furthermore, let $\mu = \lfloor \frac{n}{24} \rfloor$.

Remark 3.1. *There are exactly three self-dual codes $\mathcal{C}_i = \mathcal{C}_i^{\perp}$ $(i \in \{1,2,3\})$ with*

$$\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2, \mathcal{C}_3 \subset \mathcal{D}^{\perp}.$$

From the bound on $\mathrm{d}(\mathcal{C}_i)$ given in [19, Theorem 5] *we obtain*

$$\mathrm{dd}(\mathcal{D}) = \mathrm{d}(\mathcal{D}^{\perp}) \leq \mathrm{d}(\mathcal{C}_1) \leq \begin{cases} 4\mu+6 & \text{if } n \equiv 22 \pmod{24} \\ 4\mu+4 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We aim to find a better bound.

4. Shadows: the doubly-even case

Proposition 4.1. *If $\mathcal{D}$ is doubly-even, then*

$$\mathrm{d}(\mathcal{D}^{\perp}) \leq \begin{cases} 4\mu & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{24} \\ 4\mu+2 & \text{if } n \equiv 4,8,12 \pmod{24} \\ 4\mu+4 & \text{if } n \equiv 16,20 \pmod{24}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since every doubly-even binary linear code is self-orthogonal, $\mathcal{D}^{\perp}$ cannot be doubly-even and so in $\mathcal{D}^{\perp}$ there exists a codeword of weight $w \equiv 2 \pmod 4$. Thus we can take $\mathcal{D} < \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}^{\perp} < \mathcal{D}^{\perp}$ with $\mathcal{F}$ not doubly-even, so that $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{F}_0 := \{f \in \mathcal{F} \mid \mathrm{wt}(f) \equiv 0 \pmod 4\}$ is the maximal doubly-even subcode of $\mathcal{F}$.

Let $\mathrm{S}(\mathcal{F}) := \mathcal{D}^{\perp} - \mathcal{F}$ denote the shadow of $\mathcal{F}$. By [3],

$$2\mathrm{d}(\mathcal{F}) + \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{S}(\mathcal{F})) \leq 4 + \frac{n}{2}. \tag{1}$$

Note that $\mathrm{d}(\mathcal{D}^\perp) = \min\{\mathrm{d}(\mathcal{F}), \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{S}(\mathcal{F}))\}$, since $\mathcal{D}^\perp = \mathrm{S}(\mathcal{F}) \cup \mathcal{F}$. Since we have the bound (1), the maximum for $\min\{\mathrm{d}(\mathcal{F}), \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{S}(\mathcal{F}))\}$ is reached if

$$\mathrm{d}(\mathcal{D}^\perp) = \mathrm{d}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{S}(\mathcal{F})) = \left\lfloor \frac{4 + \frac{n}{2}}{3} \right\rfloor$$

so that

$$\mathrm{d}(\mathcal{D}^\perp) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{8+n}{6} \right\rfloor,$$

which yields the proposition since $\mathrm{d}(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$ is even. □

In [18] Rains proved more general bounds on the dual distance of doubly-even binary linear codes, without assuming that they contain the all-ones vector.

Length	Rains' bound	Our bound
24μ	$4\mu + 4$	$\mathbf{4}\mu$
$24\mu + 4$	$4\mu + 2$	$4\mu + 2$
$24\mu + 8$	$4\mu + 4$	$\mathbf{4}\mu\mathbf{+2}$
$24\mu + 12$	$4\mu + 2$	$4\mu + 2$
$24\mu + 16$	$4\mu + 4$	$4\mu + 4$
$24\mu + 20$	$4\mu + 4$	$4\mu + 4$

With our additional assumption there is a substantial improvement in particular for lengths divisible by 24.

5. Weight enumerators: the non doubly-even case.

In this section we assume that $\mathcal{D}$ is not doubly-even. We will use the following notation:

- $N := \frac{n}{2}$, $2d := d(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$;
- $A(x,y) := W_{\mathcal{D}}(x,y) = \sum_{c \in \mathcal{D}} x^{n-\mathrm{wt}(c)} y^{\mathrm{wt}(c)} = x^{2N} + \sum_{i=d}^{N-d} a_i x^{2N-2i} y^{2i} + y^{2N}$ the weight enumerator of $\mathcal{D}$;
- $D(x,y) := A(\frac{x+y}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{x-y}{\sqrt{2}}) = \frac{1}{2}x^{2N} + \sum_{i=d}^{N-d} d_i x^{2N-2i} y^{2i} + \frac{1}{2}y^{2N}$, so that $2D$ is the weight enumerator of $\mathcal{D}^\perp$;
- $B(x,y) := A(x,y) - D(x,y) = \frac{1}{2}x^{2N} + \sum_{i=d}^{N-d} b_i x^{2N-2i} y^{2i} + \frac{1}{2}y^{2N}$;

- $F(x,y) := B\left(\frac{x+y}{\sqrt{2}}, i\frac{x-y}{\sqrt{2}}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\left(W_{\mathrm{S}(\mathcal{D})}(x,y) - W_{\mathrm{S}(\mathcal{D})}\left(\frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}}x, \frac{1-i}{\sqrt{2}}y\right)\right)$, where $\mathrm{S}(\mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{D}_0^{\perp} - \mathcal{D}^{\perp}$ is the shadow of $\mathcal{D}$.

The polynomial $B(x,y)$ is anti-invariant under the MacWilliams transformation $H : (x,y) \mapsto 1/\sqrt{2}(x+y, x-y)$ and invariant under the transformation $I : (x,y) \mapsto (x,-y)$, so by [2, Lemma 3.2]

$$B(x,y) \in (x^4 - 6x^2y^2 + y^4) \cdot \mathbb{C}[x^2+y^2, x^2y^2(x^2-y^2)^2].$$

and we can write

$$B(x,y) = (x^4 - 6x^2y^2 + y^4) \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{N-2}{4} \rfloor} e_i (x^2+y^2)^{N-2-4i} (x^2y^2(x^2-y^2)^2)^i \quad (2)$$

and, consequently,

$$F(x,y) = 2(x^4+y^4) \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{N-2}{4} \rfloor} e_i (2xy)^{N-2-4i} \left(-\frac{1}{4}x^8 + \frac{1}{2}x^4y^4 - \frac{1}{4}y^8\right)^i. \quad (3)$$

Notice that (3) implies that the degrees of the monomials of $F(x,y)$ are congruent to $N-2 \pmod 4$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} F(x,y) &= \frac{1}{2}\left(W_{\mathrm{S}(\mathcal{D})}(x,y) - W_{\mathrm{S}(\mathcal{D})}\left(\frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}}x, \frac{1-i}{\sqrt{2}}y\right)\right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left(W_{\mathrm{S}(\mathcal{D})}(x,y) - i^N W_{\mathrm{S}(\mathcal{D})}(x,-iy)\right), \end{aligned}$$

it is easy to see that $F(x,y)$ is the weight enumerator of the following set

$$\mathcal{S} := \{s \in \mathrm{S}(\mathcal{D}) \mid \mathrm{wt}(s) \equiv N-2 \pmod 4\}.$$

So the coefficients of $F(x,y)$ are non-negative integers.

Then we get the following.

Corollary 5.1. *Let e_i be as in (2) and (3) and put $\epsilon_i := (-1)^i 2^{N-1-6i} e_i$. Then all ϵ_i are non-negative integers.*

Proof. We have

$$F(1,y) = (1+y^4)y^{N-2} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{N-2}{4} \rfloor} \epsilon_i y^{-4i} (1-y^4)^{2i}.$$

with $\epsilon_i := (-1)^i 2^{N-1-6i} e_i$. Substitute $\lfloor \frac{N-2}{4} \rfloor - i = h$.

$$F(1,y) = y^{N-2-4\lfloor \frac{N-2}{4} \rfloor}(1+y^4)(1-y^4)^{2\lfloor \frac{N-2}{4} \rfloor} \cdot \sum_{h=0}^{\lfloor \frac{N-2}{4} \rfloor} \epsilon_{\lfloor \frac{N-2}{4} \rfloor - h}(y^4(1-y^4)^{-2})^h.$$

Let $r := N-2-4\lfloor \frac{N-2}{4} \rfloor$. Note that r is the remainder of the division of $N-2$ by 4.

$$\begin{aligned} F(1,y) &= \sum_{j=0}^{2N} f_j y^j = f_0 + \ldots + f_{r-1} y^{r-1} + y^r \sum_{j=r}^{2N} f_j y^{j-r} \\ &= y^r (1+y^4)(1-y^4)^{2\lfloor \frac{N-2}{4} \rfloor} \cdot \sum_{h=0}^{\lfloor \frac{N-2}{4} \rfloor} \epsilon_{\lfloor \frac{N-2}{4} \rfloor - h}(y^4(1-y^4)^{-2})^h. \end{aligned}$$

Then $f_j = 0$ if $j \not\equiv r \pmod 4$. Set $Z = y^4$. Then

$$\sum_k f_{4k+r} Z^k = (1+Z)(1-Z)^{2\lfloor \frac{N-2}{4} \rfloor} \cdot \sum_{h=0}^{\lfloor \frac{N-2}{4} \rfloor} \epsilon_{\lfloor \frac{N-2}{4} \rfloor - h}(Z(1-Z)^{-2})^h.$$

Put

$$f(Z) := (1+Z)^{-1}(1-Z)^{-2\lfloor \frac{N-2}{4} \rfloor}, \quad g(Z) := Z(1-Z)^{-2}.$$

Then there are coefficients $\gamma_{h,k}$ such that

$$Z^k f(Z) = \sum_{h=0}^{\lfloor \frac{N-2}{4} \rfloor} \gamma_{h,k} g(Z)^h.$$

Since $g(0) = 0$ and $g'(0) \neq 0$, we can apply the Bürmann-Lagrange theorem (see [19, Lemma 8]) to obtain

$$\gamma_{h,k} = [\text{coeff. of } Z^{h-k} \text{ in } (1-Z)^{-1-2\lfloor \frac{N-2}{4} \rfloor + 2h}] = \binom{2\lfloor \frac{N-2}{4} \rfloor - h - k}{h-k} > 0.$$

In particular

$$\epsilon_{\lfloor \frac{N-2}{4} \rfloor - h} = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{h-r}{4} \rfloor} \gamma_{h,k} f_{4k+r}$$

is a non-negative integer for all h. □

Proposition 5.2. *If $\mathcal{D}$ is not doubly-even and $n \equiv 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14 \pmod{24}$ then $\mathrm{d}(\mathcal{D}^\perp) \leq 4\mu + 2$.*

Proof. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} B(1, Y) &= 1/2 + \sum_{j=d}^{N-d} b_j Y^j + 1/2 Y^N \\ &= (1 - 6Y + Y^2)(1 + Y)^{N-2} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{N-2}{4} \rfloor} e_i (Y(1-Y)^2(1+Y)^{-4})^i. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$f(Y) := (1 - 6Y + Y^2)^{-1}(1 + Y)^{2-N}, \qquad g(Y) := Y(1 - Y)^2(1 + Y)^{-4}.$$

As before we find coefficients $\alpha_i(N)$ such that

$$f(Y) = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{N-2}{4} \rfloor} \alpha_i(N) g(Y)^i.$$

Then, for $i < d$,

$$e_i = \frac{1}{2}\alpha_i(N).$$

Since $g(0) = 0$ and $g'(0) \neq 0$, we can apply the Bürmann-Lagrange theorem, in the version of [19, Lemma 8], to compute

$$\alpha_i(N) = \text{coeff. of } Y^i \text{ in } \frac{Y g'(Y)}{g(Y)} f(Y) \left(\frac{Y}{g(Y)} \right)^i =: \star$$

We compute

$$\star = (1 + Y)^{1-N+4i}(1 - Y)^{-2i-1} = (1 - Y^2)^{-2i-1}(1 + Y)^{2+6i-N}.$$

As $(1 - Y^2)^{-2i-1}$ is a power series in Y^2 with positive coefficients, we see that $\alpha_i(N)$ is positive if $2 + 6i - N > 0$, so if $i > \frac{N-2}{6}$. For $i < d$ we know that $\alpha_i(N) = 2e_i = (-1)^i 2^{-N+2+6i}\epsilon_i$ where ϵ_i is a non-negative integer, so $\alpha_i(N)$ is not positive for odd $i < d$.

Write $N = 12\mu + \rho$ with $0 \leq \rho \leq 7$ and assume that $d > 2\mu + 1$. Then $\alpha_{2\mu+1} > 0$ because $6(2\mu + 1) + 2 - (12\mu + \rho) = 8 - \rho > 0$ which is a contradiction. We conclude that $d \leq 2\mu + 1$ for $\rho = 0, 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7$. $\square$

We aim to find an analogous result to Proposition 4.1 for semi self-dual codes of length 24μ. So we need to find the bound $\mathrm{dd}(\mathcal{D}) \leq 4\mu$ also for not doubly even semi-self dual codes $\mathcal{D}$ of length 24μ. For certain values of μ, we may show that some coefficient of $F(x, y)$ is not integral.

Proposition 5.3. *If $\mathcal{D}$ is not doubly-even and $n = 24\mu$ with $\binom{5\mu-1}{\mu-1}$ odd then $\mathrm{d}(\mathcal{D}^\perp) \leq 4\mu$.*

Proof. With the notations used above, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{2\mu}(12\mu) &= \text{coeff. of } Y^{2\mu} \text{ in } (1-Y^2)^{-4\mu-1}(1+2Y+Y^2)\\ &= \text{coeff. of } Z^{\mu} \text{ in } (1-Z)^{-4\mu-1} + \text{coeff. of } Z^{\mu-1} \text{ in } (1-Z)^{-4\mu-1}\\ &= \binom{5\mu}{\mu} + \binom{5\mu-1}{\mu-1} = 6\binom{5\mu-1}{\mu-1}.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, assuming that $\mathrm{d}(\mathcal{D}^\perp) \geq 4\mu + 2$, we have

$$\alpha_{2\mu}(12\mu) = 2e_{2\mu} = 2^2\epsilon_{2\mu}.$$

As $\epsilon_{2\mu}$ is a non-negative integer, we get that $\binom{5\mu-1}{\mu-1}$ is even. □

It seems to be impossible to obtain the same bound for the other values of μ by just looking at weight enumerators. For $\mu = 5$ (the first value for which $\binom{5\mu-1}{\mu-1}$ is even), we get examples of $\{e_i\}$ for which $F(x, y)$ has non-negative integer coefficients and $B(1, y) = 1/2 + \mathrm{O}(y^{22})$. From one of these we computed $W_{\mathcal{D}}(1, y) = 1 + \mathrm{O}(y^{22})$, $W_{\mathcal{D}^\perp}(1, y) = 1 + \mathrm{O}(y^{22})$ and $W_{\mathrm{S}(\mathcal{D})}(1, y) = \mathrm{O}(y^{18})$, all with non-negative integer coefficients.

Acknowledgements

Both authors are indebted to the Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni, Università degli Studi di Milano-Bicocca, and the Lehrstuhl D für Mathematik, RWTH Aachen University, for hospitality and excellent working conditions, while this paper has mainly been written.

This paper is partially in the PhD thesis [4] of the first author who expresses his deep gratitude to his supervisors Francesca Dalla Volta and Massimiliano Sala.

[1] C. Aguilar Melchor, P. Gaborit, *On the classification of extremal [36, 18, 8] binary self-dual codes*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **54** (2008) 4743–4750.

[2] C. Bachoc, *On harmonic weight enumerators of binary codes*, Des. Codes Cryptogr. **18** (1999) 11–28.

[3] C. Bachoc, P. Gaborit, *Designs and self-dual codes with long shadows*, J. Combin. Theory A **105** (2004) 15–34.

[4] M. Borello, *Automorphism groups of self-dual binary linear codes with a particular regard to the extremal case of length 72*, PhD Thesis, Università degli studi di Milano-Bicocca 2014.

[5] M. Borello, W. Willems, *Automorphisms of order* $2p$ *in binary self-dual extremal codes of length a multiple of* 24, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **59** (2013) 3378–3383.

[6] M. Borello, *The automorphism group of a self-dual* $[72, 36, 16]$ *binary code does not contain elements of order* 6, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory **58** (2012) 7240–7245.

[7] M. Borello, *The automorphism group of a self-dual* $[72, 36, 16]$ *code is not an elementary abelian group of order* 8, Finite Fields and Their Applications **25** (2014) 1–7.

[8] M. Borello, F. Dalla Volta and G. Nebe, *The automorphism group of a self-dual* $[72, 36, 16]$ *code does not contain* $\mathcal{S}_3$, $\mathcal{A}_4$ *or* D_8, Advances in Mathematics of Communications **7** (2013) 503–510.

[9] S. Bouyuklieva, *On the automorphisms of order* 2 *with fixed points for the extremal self-dual codes of length* $24m$, Des. Codes Cryptogr. **25** (2002) 5–13.

[10] S. Bouyuklieva, J. de la Cruz and W. Willems, *On the automorphism group of a binary self-dual* $[120, 60, 24]$ *code*, Appl. Algebra Engrg. Comm. Comput. **24** (2013), 201–214.

[11] S. Bouyuklieva, W. Willems, and N. Yankov, *On the Automorphisms of Order 15 for a Binary Self-Dual* $[96, 48, 20]$ *Code*, arXiv:1403.4735 (2014).

[12] L. Chouinard, *Projectivity and relative projectivity over group rings*, J. Pure and Applied Algebra **7** (1976) 278–302.

[13] J.H. Conway and V. Pless, *On primes dividing the group order of a doubly-even* $(72; 36; 16)$ *code and the group order of a quaternary* $(24; 12; 10)$ *code*, Discrete Mathematics **38** (1982) 143–156.

[14] A. Günther and G. Nebe, *Automorphisms of doubly even self-dual binary codes*, Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society **41** (2009) 769–778.

[15] C.L. Mallows and N.J.A. Sloane, *An upper bound for self-dual codes*, Inf. Control **22** (1973) 188–200.

[16] A. Munemasa, *Database of binary self-dual codes*, Online available.

[17] G. Nebe, *An extremal* $[72, 36, 16]$ *binary code has no automorphism group containing* $Z_2 \times Z_4$, Q_8, *or* Z_{10}, Finite Fields and their applications **18** (2012) 563–566.

[18] E.M. Rains, *Bounds for Self-Dual Codes Over* Z_4, Finite Fields and Their Applications **6** (2000) 146–163.

[19] E.M. Rains, *Shadow bounds for self-dual codes*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **44** (1998) 134–139.

[20] N.J.A. Sloane, *Is there a* $(72; 36)$ $d = 16$ *self-dual code?*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **2** (1973) 251.

[21] V. Yorgov and D. Yorgov, *The Automorphism Group of a Self-Dual* $[72, 36, 16]$ *Code Does Not Contain* Z_4, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **60** (2014) 3302–3307.

[22] S. Zhang, *On the nonexistence ofextremal self-dual codes*, Discrete Appl. Math. **91** (1999) 277–286.