# Exact soliton-like probability measures for interacting jump processes

M.-O. Hongler, (max.hongler at epfl.ch)

EPFL/ IMT/ LPM

Station 17

CH-1015 Lausanne, (Switzerland)

#### Abstract

The cooperative dynamics of a 1-D collection of Markov jump, interacting stochastic processes is studied via a mean-field (MF) approach. In the time-asymptotic regime, the resulting nonlinear master equation is analytically solved. The nonlinearity compensates jumps induced diffusive behavior giving rise to a soliton-like stationary probability density. The soliton velocity and its sharpness both intimately depend on the interaction strength. Below a critical threshold of the strength of interactions, the cooperative behavior cannot be sustained leading to the destruction of the soliton-like solution. The bifurcation point for this behavioral phase transition is explicitly calculated.

**Keywords**: jump Markov processes, exact solution of a nonlinear master equation, mean-field description of interacting stochastic processes, soliton-like propagating probability measures.

Classification: 60J75

#### 1 Introduction

Interacting stochastic agents are modeled by a collection of nonlinearly coupled Markovian stochastic processes. Inspired by the dynamics recently exposed in Balázs [1], we focus on pure, right-oriented jump processes. For large and homogeneous swarms, the mean-field description offers a powerful method to characterize the resulting nonlinear global dynamics. Adopting the MF approach, the swarm behavior is summarized into a field density variable obeying a nonlinear master equation.

Such partial differential integral equations are in general barely completely solvable. Nevertheless, several explicitly solvable models have been recently studied Hongler [2], Balázs [1]. Our present goal is to enrich this yet available collection by proposing an intrinsically nonlinear extension of the recent models introduced by Balázs [1]. Models involving pure jumps complete the solvable models with dynamics driven either by Brownian Motion and or by alternating Markov renewal processes Hongler [2]. For strong enough mutual interactions, we explicitly observe the existence of a stationary probability measure propagating like a soliton. This soliton-like dynamics can be formed since the underlying nonlinear mechanism due to interactions exactly compensates the jump induced diffusion. This exhibits a close analogy with nonlinear wave dynamics where nonlinearity compensates the velocity dispersion. Since the model is uni-dimensional, long-range interactions between the agents are mandatory for the existence of cooperative behaviors here described by soliton-like probability measures. Decreasing the strength of the mutual interactions, via a barycentric modulation function similar to the one used in Balázs [1], we reach a critical threshold below which no stable cooperative behavior can be sustained. The critical threshold where the behavioral phase transition occurs can here be exactly calculated.

## 2 Linear pure jump stochastic processes

Let us first describe the dynamics of a single, isolated jump process which later in section 2, will enter into the composition of our interacting swarm. On  $\mathbb{R}$ , we consider the right-oriented jump Markovian process X(t) characterized by the (linear) master equation:

$$\partial_t P(x,t) = -P(x,t) + \int_{-\infty}^x P(y,t)\varphi(x-y)dy,\tag{1}$$

where P(x,t) with P(x,0) = f(x) stands for the transition probability density. The function  $\varphi(x) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^+$  defines the probability density for the (right oriented) lengths of the process jumps.

Taking the x-Laplace transform of Eq.(1) and taking into account of the convolution structure, we obtain directly:

$$\partial_t \tilde{P}(s,t) = -\left[1 - \tilde{\varphi}(s)\right] \tilde{P}(s,t). \tag{2}$$

whose solution reads:

$$\tilde{P}(s,t) = e^{-t + \tilde{\varphi}(s)t},\tag{3}$$

where in writing Eq.(3), we have already assumed the initial condition:

$$P(x,t)|_{t=0} = \delta(x). \tag{4}$$

**Example.** Consider the dynamics obtained when  $\varphi(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$  yielding  $\tilde{\varphi}(s) = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + s}$  and when the initial probability density is  $f(x) = \delta(x)$ . Accordingly Eq.(3) reads:

$$\tilde{P}(s,t) = e^{-t} \left[ e^{t\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda + s}\right)} \right] = e^{-t} \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!} \left[ \frac{1}{\lambda + s} \right]^n \right\}.$$
 (5)

The Laplace inversion of Eq.(5) yields:

$$P(x,t) = e^{-t} \left\{ \delta(x) + e^{-\lambda x} \underbrace{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!} \frac{x^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}}_{:=J(x,t)} \right\}.$$
 (6)

For J(x,t), we can write:

$$J(x,t) = \frac{d}{dx} \left\{ \underbrace{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!} \frac{x^n}{n!}}_{\mathbb{I}_0(2\sqrt{\lambda x t}) - 1} \right\} = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda t}}{\sqrt{x}} \mathbb{I}_1 \left( 2\sqrt{\lambda x t} \right)$$
 (7)

where  $\mathbb{I}_m(z)$  stands for the *m*-modified Bessel's functions. Hence the final probability density P(x,t) reads:

$$P(x,t) = e^{-t} \left\{ \delta(x) + e^{-\lambda x} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda t}}{\sqrt{x}} \mathbb{I}_1 \left( 2\sqrt{\lambda x t} \right) \right\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^+$$
 (8)

and one may explicitly verify that one indeed has;  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} P(x,t) dx = 1$ , (use the entry 6.643(2) in Gradshteyn [3]).

For time asymptotic regimes, Eq.(8) behaves as:

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} P(x,t) \simeq \frac{(\lambda t)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{2\sqrt{\pi}x^{\frac{3}{4}}} e^{-\left[\sqrt{\lambda x} - \sqrt{t}\right]^2},\tag{9}$$

exhibiting therefore a diffusive propagating wave with vanishing amplitude and velocity  $V := \frac{1}{\lambda}$ . Due to translation invariance of the dynamics, we note that P(x-y,t) fulfills a  $\delta(x-y)$  initial condition.

Hence, when P(x,0) = f(x), the linearity of the dynamics Eq.(1) enables us to write:

$$\begin{cases}
P_f(x,0) = f(x), \\
P_f(x,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} P((x-y),t)f(y)dy.
\end{cases}$$
(10)

# 3 Non-linear Markovian jump processes

Keeping the jumps probability density as  $\varphi(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$ , let us now consider a large homogeneous collection of identical processes evolving like Eq.(1) now subject to mutual long-range interactions. The class of interactions we consider yields, in the mean-field limit, the nonlinear master equation:

$$\begin{cases}
\Omega(x,t) = \int_{x}^{\infty} g(z - \langle X(t) \rangle) \, \partial_{z} G(z,t) dz \\
\partial_{xt} G(x,t) = -\Omega(x,t) \partial_{x} G(x,t) + \int_{-\infty}^{x} \Omega(y,t) \partial_{y} G(y,t) \lambda e^{-\lambda(x-y)} dy, \\
\langle X(t) \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}} y \, \partial_{y} G(y,t) \, dy,
\end{cases} \tag{11}$$

where G(x,t) stands for the cumulative distribution of the a nonlinear jump process, (i.e. G(x,t) is monotonically increasing with boundary conditions  $G(-\infty,t)=0$  and  $G(\infty,t)=1$ ). Note that while in Eq.(1) the jumping rate is unity, in Eq.(11) it is replaced by  $\Omega(x,t)>0$  which is explicitly state-dependent. This is precisely where the mutual interaction introduces a strong nonlinearity into the dynamics. In the sequel, we focus on cases where g(x)=g(-x)>0.

For asymptotic time, we now postulate that Eq.(11) admits  $\xi$ -functional dependent solutions with  $\xi = (x - Vt)$  and with the even symmetry:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \xi \partial_{\xi} G(\xi) d\xi = 0, \tag{12}$$

where V is a propagating velocity parameter. In terms of  $\xi$ , Eq.(11) can be rewritten as:

$$V\left[\partial_{\xi\xi\xi}^{3}G(\xi) + \lambda\partial_{\xi\xi}^{2}G(\xi)\right] = \partial_{\xi}\left\{\Omega(\xi)\partial_{\xi}G(\xi)\right\}. \tag{13}$$

Defining  $\mathcal{L}(\xi) := \log [\partial_{\xi} G(\xi)]$ , after one integration step where the integration constant is taken to be zero, Eq.(13) can be rewritten as:

$$V\partial_{\xi\xi}^{2}\mathcal{L}(\xi) = -\lambda V + \int_{\xi}^{\infty} \left[ g(\eta)\partial_{\eta}G(\eta) \right] d\eta. \tag{14}$$

Assuming now a functional dependence  $g(\xi) = \cosh^{-n}(\xi)$  with  $n \in \mathbb{R}$ , by direct substitution, one can immediately see that Eq.(14) is solved by the (normalized) probability density  $\partial_{\xi} G(\xi)$ :

$$\begin{cases}
\partial_{\xi} G(\xi) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{m+1}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\frac{m}{2})} \cosh^{-m}(\xi), & m > 0, \\
m = \lambda = 2 - n, \\
V = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{m+1}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\frac{m}{2})}.
\end{cases} (15)$$

Due to the  $\xi$ -symmetry of the probability density  $\partial_{\xi}G(\xi)$ , Eq.(12) is trivially satisfied.

For  $n \in ]2, -\infty]$ , Eq.(15) implies that a stationary propagating density  $\partial_x G(x)$  is sustained by the nonlinear dynamics Eq.(11). However, for short decaying g(x)-modulation, occurring when n>2, no stationary propagating probability density exists, (i.e. for this parameter range, m<0 in Eq.(15) and the solution cannot be normalized to unity as required for a probability measure). For this exactly solvable dynamics, we also observe that the average jump length  $\lambda^{-1}$  and the barycentric modulation strength controlled by the factor n are intimately dependent control parameters. In addition, we note that for large m, the asymptotic expansion of the  $\Gamma$ -function implies that  $\lim_{m\to\infty} V \simeq \sqrt{m}$ .

Illustration. Along the same lines as in Hongler [2], the nonlinear dynamics given by Eq.(11) can be viewed as representing the mean-field evolution associated with a large population of stochastic jumping agents subject to a mutual imitation process. The swarm dynamics is described via the probability density function  $\partial_x G(x,t)$  obeying a nonlinear partial differential equations (PDE). Mutual interactions of agents are responsible for the state-dependent jumping rate  $\Omega(x,t)$  in Eq.(11). The functional form of  $\Omega(x,t)$  simultaneously includes two distinct nonlinear features, namely:

a) **imitation process**. To isolate this process, we may consider the case  $g(x) \equiv 1$ , (i.e. n = 0) implying that

$$\Omega(x,t) = 1 - G(x,t). \tag{16}$$

The resulting state-dependent jumping rate Eq.(16) induces a traveling and compacting tendency. As the agents are subject to pure right-oriented jumps, Eq.(16) effectively describes situations where the laggard agents jump more frequently than the leaders, (i.e. laggards try to effectively imitate the leaders' behavior).

- b) barycentric range modulation of the mutual interactions. The modulation obtained when  $g(x) \neq 1$  describes the relative importance attributed to interactions with agents remote from the barycenter  $\langle X(t) \rangle$  of the swarm. Here, we may separate two distinct tendencies:
  - i) when  $n \in [0, 2[$ , far remote agents tend not to influence the dynamics. In this case, the resulting behavior can be referred as a **weak cooperative identity** and the propagating probability density given by Eq.(15) exhibits the shape of a **table-top soliton** with a plateau increasing when the limiting value 2 is approached. One observes a comparatively low propagating velocity V of these table-top like aggregates. Again, we emphasize that for n > 2, the cooperative interactions are not strong enough to sustain the propagation of a cooperative behavior in asymptotic time. This is well known in general for 1-D stochastic interacting system, (the Ising model being the paradigmatic example) where no cooperative phase can be formed when the interactions operate on too limited ranges.
  - ii) for n < 0, the g(x) modulation effectively gives rise to a **strong cooperative identity**. Far remote agents increasingly influence the swarm. This gives rise to sharply peaked solitons-like probability densities propagating with high velocities.

### References

- [1] M. Balázs, M. Z. Miklós and B. Tóth. "Modeling flocks and prices: Jumping particles with an interactive interaction". Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, **50**(2), (2014), 425-454.
- [2] M.-O. Hongler, R. Filliger and O. Gallay. "Locas versus nonlocal barycentric interactions in 1D agent dynamics". Mathematical Biosciences 11(2), April 2014.
- [3] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik. *Table of Integrals, Series and Products*. Academic press (1980).