Does locally delivered Zoledronate influence peri-implant bone formation? – Spatio-temporal monitoring of bone remodeling in vivo
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1. Introduction

The human skeleton is constantly renewed and repaired by the bone remodeling process, a well-coordinated sequence of osteoclast-mediated bone resorption and osteoblast-mediated bone formation [1,2]. Anti-catabolic drugs, such as bisphosphonates (BPs), can slow down this process and shift the balance between bone formation activity [3]. Osteoclasts are considered to be the main target for BPs as only this cell type can liberate and internalize mineral bound BPs during the resorption process [4,5]. However, effects of BPs on cells of the osteoblast lineage have also been described, which raises the question if BPs can also enhance bone formation activity [6,7]. In vitro experiments have shown that low concentrations of BPs can protect osteoblasts and osteocytes against apoptosis [8,9], stimulate mineralized bone nodule formation [10], and have a beneficial effect on osteoblast differentiation and protein synthesis [11]. Specifically for Zoledronate, the most potent bisphosphonate used in clinics today, an improved mineralization and proliferation of human osteoblast-like cells has been demonstrated [12]. However, conflicting results can also be found showing that BPs might inhibit mineralization and osteoblast growth, induce osteoblast apoptosis, and inhibit protein prenylation in osteoblasts in a dose-dependent manner [7,13]. Taken together, these findings suggest that BPs might, in addition to their known anti-resorptive effect, also have the ability to stimulate bone formation.

BPs are usually administered orally or intravenously. Local application is of particular interest in cases where existing bone needs to be preserved and augmented whilst avoiding the known side effects related to systemic administration [14,15]. Several in vivo studies have shown that a local delivery of BPs can enhance peri-implant bone density [16,17], implant fixation [18–22], and the repair of bony defects [23,24] in animal models and humans. Furthermore BPs have been shown to preserve bone allografts [25,26] as well as bone sites affected by osteonecrosis [27].

Currently published studies are mostly based on static analysis techniques like single CT/microCT scans, histology or pull-out/push-out tests performed after euthanasia of the animals [18,22,28]. These techniques do not allow a separate investigation of the dynamic bone formation and bone resorption processes. Few studies can be found that looked at dynamic bone parameters after a systemic administration of BPs, but they did not show enhanced...
bone formation activity in humans nor in animals [29,30]. Some studies even demonstrated reduced bone formation and a suppressed activity of pre-existing bone-forming surfaces at medium to high doses of BPs [31–35].

Therefore, the aim of the present study is to investigate the spatio-temporal effect of locally delivered Zoledronate on peri-implant bone remodeling using the so-called dynamic histomorphometry. This previously developed microCT-based technique enables us to quantitatively measure the average outcome of bone formation and resorption over long time periods [36,37], allowing an investigation of the so far unclear effect of locally applied BPs on the dynamic bone formation processes. Understanding the effects of Zoledronate and how it interacts with normal bone healing is important when it comes to designing drug delivering implants and materials. The active range, the time delay, and duration of the BPs' effects (very local versus widespread, fast versus slow, long term versus short-term effects) are crucial for targeting specific bone sites, as well as certain phases of bone healing.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. General study design

Custom-made polymeric miniature screws were implanted bilaterally in the femoral condyles of ovariectomized (OVX) rats. Unlike metal implants, these screws are visible on microCT scans without creating image disturbing artifacts. A hydrogel based drug delivery system was used to release Zoledronate into the peri-implant bone stock. During surgery, the hydrogel was inserted into the predrilled screw-hole before screw implantation. The study included 3 experimental groups with 4 animals assigned to each group. In the first group, the hydrogel was loaded with 5 μg Zoledronate (Zol–Gel-group); in the second group, unloaded hydrogel was used (Gel-group); and in the third group, no hydrogel was inserted (Control-group) before screw implantation. Time-lapsed in vivo microCT scans of the animals were performed for close monitoring of the bone response to the implantation and how it is altered by the biphasonulose delivery. A time line of the study is shown in Fig. 1.

2.2. Hydrogel preparation

A commercially available cross-linked hyaluronic acid (Termira AxxiGel™, Stockholm, Sweden) was used as the drug delivery system for the BPs because this gel had already been shown to be a suitable material for delivering drugs such as growth factors to bone [38–41]. The crosslinking of the hydrogel is achieved by mixing a hyaluronan derivative (component A) with a PVA cross-linker (component B). First, an aqueous solution containing 2 mg/ml Zoledronate (Art.-Nr. ALX-430-153-0000, Enzo Life Sciences, Farmingdale, USA) was prepared. The exact drug dose was ensured by mixing precisely weighed and measured amounts of Zoledronate and distilled water. All solutions were sterile filtered and the mixing of the gels was performed under sterile conditions. Five parts of the Zoledronate solution were mixed with 3 parts of component A and 2 parts of component B for the Zol–Gel-group. The Zoledronate solution was replaced by bi-distilled water for the Gel-group. The resulting gels were allowed to settle for 1 h before filling the capillary pistons of a positive displacement pipette (Microman®, Gilson, Middleton, USA) with 5 μl gel each. The capillaries were pulled off the pipette with the gel inside and left 1 h for settling. Then they were sterile packed in plastic tubes and frozen at −20°C.

2.3. Animal model

All animal procedures were approved by the local animal care and use committee (license no. 2508.1, EXPANIM, SCAV, Epalinges, Switzerland). Twelve 14 week old virgin female rats were received from Janvier Labs (Saint-Berthevin, France) and acclimatized in the animal facilities for 3 weeks. All rats were housed 4 per cage under 12 h light to 12 h dark cycles at 22°C room temperature with 55% humidity. They were fed with a standard rodent diet (KLJBA NAFAC 3436, Proovini Kluba AG, Switzerland) and tap water at libitum. After ovariectomy (OVX), food intake was limited to 50 g per kg body weight per day. Sterilized hay, paper tunnels and wooden sticks were offered as cage environment enrichment. The animals were fed in groups and equal nutrition of all animals was ensured by close monitoring and regular weighing.

2.4. Surgical procedures

2.4.1. Ovariectomy

The rats were ovariectomized bilaterally at an age of 17 weeks and a weight of 293 ± 11 g to induce an estrogen deficiency related bone loss [42]. We used a dorsal approach similar to the procedure described by Alghamdi et al. [43]. Buprenorphine (Temgesic™, Reckitt Benckiser AG, Wallisellen, Switzerland) was administered subcutaneously before surgery and every 8 h for the first 48 h after surgery for pain relief. Paracetamol (Dafalgan 500 mg effervescent tablet, UPIPSA Bristol-Meyers Squibb SA, Baar, Switzerland) was added to the drinking water of the rats for 5 days. The skin incisions were closed with running subcuticular sutures (Vinylo 5.0, Ethicon, Somerville NJ, USA) so that the rats did not have to be kept in separate cages after the surgery. No antibiotics were given.

2.4.2. Screw implantation

Screws were implanted when the rats were aged 22 weeks and weighed 338 ± 18 g. One miniature screw was implanted in each femoral condyle under isoflurane anesthesia with Buprenorphine to avoid intraoperative pain. The surgery was done under aseptic conditions. The rat was prepared for surgery and the first leg was fixed on a special table with the knee in a flexed position. A skin incision of 1–2 cm was made on the lateral side of the distal femoral end and the muscles were blunt dissected in order to expose the condyle. One hole with a diameter of 1.2 mm and 3.5 mm length was drilled uncorically in the condyle with a motorized dentist’s drill (DEC 100, Nobelcare, Sweden). The cortex was prepunched to avoid deformation and damage to the polymer screws. The drilled hole was rinsed with saline solution and surgical vacuum was used to remove bone particles. As a next step, the hydrogel was inserted in the predrilled hole with a positive displacement pipette before implanting a miniature screw (Fig. 2). The screws with a thread length of 3 mm and a diameter of 1.4 mm were custom made from radiopaque polyetheretherketone (RISystem, Davos, Switzerland) with a 100 nm titanium coating to mimic the interface with a standard orthopedic screw. After implanting the screw, the fascia of the muscle was closed with resorbable sutures (Vinylo 5.0). The skin was closed with interrupted subcuticular sutures (Vycril 5.0) before performing the same procedure at the contralateral femur. The rat was placed in a heated incubator for post-surgical recovery. The post-OP medication and care was similar to the procedure after ovariectomy described above.

2.5. MicroCT imaging, data processing and analysis

2.5.1. In vivo microCT imaging

In vivo microCT scans (Skyscan 1076, Bruker microCT, Kontich, Belgium) of only the right femur were performed one day before OVX and one day before screw implantation in order to confirm the bone loss caused by the estrogen deficiency. Both femurs were then scanned at days 3, 10, 17, 31, 45, and 58 after screw implantation for the dynamic histomorphometry. According to literature, no bone damage due to radiation can be expected after 8 consecutive microCT scans [44]. The animals were kept under isoflurane anesthesia during the scans to avoid motion artifacts. The rats were euthanized at the time of the last microCT scan with an intraperitoneal injection of pentobarbital (Esconaronk, Streuli Pharma SA, Uznach) while under anesthesia.

Fig. 1. Timeline of the study; the rats are ovariectomized at an age of 17 weeks followed by the bilateral implantation of miniature screws at an age of 22 weeks. Two microCT scans were performed to confirm the bone loss after OVX, 6 more were performed after the screw implantation to monitor bone remodeling.
2.5.3. Assessment of the estrogen deficiency induced bone loss

The scans taken before OVX and before screw implantation were analyzed with the software CTan (Bruker microCT) to confirm the induced bone loss. A volume of interest (VOI) with a length of 4 mm was defined proximal to the growth plate located in the femoral condyle. Cortical and trabecular regions were selected automatically with a customized algorithm before analyzing the morphometric parameters as well as the BMD of the trabecular region and the TMD of the cortical region.

2.5.4. MicroCT-based dynamic histomorphometry

The microCT datasets were used to perform dynamic histomorphometry, a technique that is based on the registration and comparison of two consecutive microCT scans [36,37]. Bone was considered to be resorbed if it was present on the first microCT scan and not on the second, whereas bone was considered to be formed if it was present on the second scan and did not appear on the first. Bone which appeared in both scans was considered to be quiescent. In this way, bone remodeling can be monitored in three dimensions over long periods of time without the need to euthanize the animals.

Image processing for the dynamic histomorphometry was done with Amira® (FEI Visualization Sciences Group, Burlington, USA) and CTan. In the femoral condyle, a VOI of 4.5 mm in height around the screw was manually defined with CTan for all animals. Using an automated algorithm, the background and the patella were removed and the dataset size was reduced to facilitate image processing. Then all datasets were loaded into Amira® for further processing with a custom script. The script first super positioned all original gray value images using the built-in registration function and their correlations as a similarity measure. Each dataset was registered with the image from the previous time step. All images were transformed into the coordinate system of the first image using the built-in standard interpolation method and the datasets were filtered with a median-based 3D noise-reduction filter. Next, a VOI containing only trabecular bone was automatically defined on the first dataset and adapted manually so that it would also fit consecutive scans which are slightly different due to ongoing bone remodeling. Then, for all datasets, the VOI was binarized with a threshold of 80. By comparing consecutive scans voxel by voxel, resorbed, formed, and quiescent bone regions were identified and assigned one of three gray values. Two additional gray values were assigned to the screw and the bone marrow. These images were saved and loaded into CTan. The static and dynamic bone parameters around the screw were measured in four layers of 368 μm (20 voxels) (Fig. 3). The static bone parameters bone volume fraction (BV/TV), trabecular thickness (Th.Th), trabecular number (Th.N), trabecular separation (Th.Sp), and structure model index (SMI) were analyzed for a complete assessment.
of the bone structure changes. The volume-based bone formation rate (BFR) and bone resorption rate (BRR) were chosen as representative parameters as their calculation is robust and not as sensitive to registration inaccuracies as the surface-based parameters. The bone formation rate is given in percent per day (%/d) and calculated as the ratio of formed bone volume to quiescent bone volume divided by the number of days between the two scans. The bone resorption rate is calculated as the ratio of resorbed bone volume to quiescent bone volume divided by the days between the scans.

2.6. Histology
The rat femurs were dissected just after euthanasia and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde solution before dehydrating them in a series of ethanol solutions with ascending concentration (70%, 80%, 90% and 100%). After clearing the samples in toluol, they were embedded by infiltration with methylmethacrylate 99% (MMA), bis(tert-butylcyclohexyl) peroxydicarbonate (Perkadox 16) and dibutylphthalate (DBP). All agents were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich (St. Louis, US). After polymerization during 3 weeks at room temperature, the samples were cut with a diamond-coated inner diameter saw (Leica SP 1600, Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) to slices of around 200 μm thickness. Those slices were glued with acrylic glue (Locite 401, Henkel, Düsseldorf, Germany) to custom-made opaque PMMA microscope slides (Semadeni, Ostermundigen, Switzerland) and ground to around 50 μm thickness with a grinding machine (Pedemax-2, Struers, Willich, Germany). Finally, the surface of the slides was etched with 0.7% formic acid (Applichem, Gatersleben, Germany) before staining it with toluidine blue (VWR, Dietikon, Switzerland). Images were taken with an upright light microscope (DM 5500, Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany).

2.7. Statistics
Statistical testing was done with Matlab (Mathworks, Natick, MA, USA). Values lying outside an interval of 1.5 times the quartile range were identified as outliers and excluded. The results of the OVX scans were analyzed with a paired t-test after performing a Lilliefors test for a normal distribution. In case the normal distribution could not be confirmed, a Wilcoxon signed rank test was used. The static and dynamic bone parameters were tested for significance with a non-parametric Kruskal Wallis ANOVA followed by a Tukey’s HSD (Honestly Significant Difference) test since not all groups followed a normal distribution and nor did they all have equal variance.

3. Results
3.1. Clinical observations
All rats tolerated both surgeries well and returned to normal activity post-surgery. One rat from the Zol–Gel-group had to be euthanized 4 weeks after screw implantation due to a cholangiocarcinoma that was unrelated to the study. The results from this animal were still included in the analysis as the statistical tests did not identify them as outliers when compared with the other animals of the same group. The limited food intake allowed a drug delivery system did not show any effect on the analyzed bone parameters. No significant differences were found between the Control-group and the Gel-group at any time. Volume rendered comparisons of two time points for one femur from the Gel-group and one from the Zol–Gel-group are shown in Fig. 4.

3.2. Assessment of the estrogen deficiency induced bone loss

The in vivo microCT scans confirmed that the rats had a diminished BMD, enlarged marrow cavities, and a significantly lower trabecular bone volume only 35 days after OVX (Table 1). This was shown by the decreasing BV/TV and Tb.N, and the increasing Tb.Sp. No significant changes could be detected for the Tb.Th. The rising SMI showed that the trabecular bone had shifted from a mainly plate-like structure to a more rod-like structure that is typical of osteoporotic bone [45]. However, the estrogen deficiency had no short-term influence on the cortical bone. No changes could be detected in the cortical thickness (C.Th); the TMD of the cortex increased slightly by 2%.

3.3. MicroCT-based dynamic histomorphometry
A total of 138 microCT scans were analyzed for the dynamic histomorphometry, of which 2 had to be excluded due to motion artifacts. The sample size of the analyzed groups varied from 5 to 8 after removal of the outliers. The hyaluronic acid hydrogel used as a drug delivery system did not show any effect on the analyzed bone parameters. No significant differences were found between the Control-group and the Gel-group at any time. Volume rendered comparisons of two time points for one femur from the Gel-group and one from the Zol–Gel-group are shown in Fig. 4.

3.3.1. Static bone parameters
Two main bone regions can be distinguished in the results: the inner layer (0–368 μm from screw surface) and part of the second layer (368–736 μm) reflect the bone reaction to the screw implantation. The two outer layers (736–1472 μm) are not affected by the implant and show stable bone parameters.

All experimental groups showed a clear gain in BV/TV, Tb.Th, and Tb.N in direct proximity to the screw between day 3 and day 10 after screw implantation (Figs. 5–7). The Tb.Sp and the SMI decreased in accordance with the other bone parameters, which indicates a more compact bone structure (Figs. 8 and 9). This gain in bone mass continued for the Zol–Gel-group until day 17 and plateaued at a BV/TV of around 55% until the end of the study. In the Control- and the Gel-groups, the initial bone gain was followed first by a rapid and then later by a moderate bone loss. The final BV/TV in those two groups was around 13%. The effect of Zoledronate diminished with increasing distance from the screw surface. The trabecular parameters of the Zol–Gel-group showed that the gain in bone volume further from the screw was mainly caused by an increasing Tb.N and a decreasing Tb.Sp (Figs. 6 and 7). The Tb.Th in the outer two layers was identical for all groups and constant throughout the whole study (Fig. 8).

3.3.2. Dynamic bone parameters
In direct proximity to the screw, a constant low BRR of around 2–3%/d was seen for the Zol–Gel-group throughout the whole study (Fig. 10). The Control- and Gel-groups initially showed (days 3–10) an equally low BRR followed by a high peak of around 12%/d from days 10 to 17. From then onward, the BRR diminished until the study end point and reached a value equal to the Zol–Gel-group for the last period analyzed, which was 45–58 days after screw implantation. In the outer three layers, the BRR in the Control- and Gel-groups was initially very high (8–10%/day) compared to the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cancellous bone parameters</th>
<th>Cortical bone parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before OVX</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 Days after OVX</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean change</td>
<td>−28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p Value</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Changes in bone parameters following OVX. Bone within the first 4 mm proximal to the femoral growth plate was analyzed. The mean changes were calculated as ratio of the difference between the mean values before and after OVX to the mean value before OVX.
Zol–Gel-group (3–5%/day). This difference evened out over time and was no longer significant from days 31 to 58.

Unlike the effect of Zoledronate on bone resorption, its influence on bone formation was small. The results of the BFR showed that the implantation of the screw induced an early peak in bone formation in the inner two layers in all groups (Fig. 11). The BFR in the Control- and Gel-groups was characterized by a peak of around 20%/d from days 3 to 10, followed by an almost constant low BFR of 1–2%/d during the rest of the study. This phenomenon was also found to a lesser degree in the second layer from 368 to 736 µm where the initial BFR reached 10%/d and then decreased to 2–3%/d. The BFR in the two outer layers was unaffected by the screw implantation and showed a constant value of 3–4%/d. The initial peak was enhanced for the Zol–Gel-group compared to the two other groups, a significant difference was found from days 10 to 17 in the inner layer and from days 3 to 17 in the second layer. The outer two

Fig. 4. Three-dimensional visualization of the peri-implant bone indicating bone formation and resorption sites of two samples, one from the Gel-group (top), one from the Zol–Gel-group (bottom). The data were acquired by a comparison of pairs of consecutive microCT scans as described in Section 2.5.4. The analyzed trabecular region of the bone is shown in light gray, red and green, the screw in dark gray and the cortex in transparent.

Fig. 5. Bone volume/tissue volume measured in 4 layers around the screw, the gray asterisks indicate the significant differences between Zol–Gel-group and Gel-group, the black ones between Control-group and Zol–Gel-group.
Fig. 6. Trabecular separation measured in 4 layers around the screw, the gray asterisks indicate the significant differences between Zol–Gel-group and Gel-group, the black ones between Control-group and Zol–Gel-group.

Fig. 7. Trabecular number measured in 4 layers around the screw, the gray asterisks indicate the significant differences between Zol–Gel-group and Gel-group, the black ones between Control-group and Zol–Gel-group.
Fig. 8. Trabecular thickness measured in 4 layers around the screw, the gray asterisks indicate the significant differences between Zol–Gel-group and Gel-group, the black ones between Control-group and Zol–Gel-group.

Fig. 9. Structure model index measured in 4 layers around the screw, the gray asterisks indicate the significant differences between Zol–Gel-group and Gel-group, the black ones between Control-group and Zol–Gel-group.
layers of the Control- and the Gel-groups were not affected by the screw implantation and showed a constant BFR of around 5%/d. The ZolGel-group differed in this region only from days 3 to 10, where it showed a small but significant bone formation peak of 9%/d in the region from 736 to 1104 mm respective 6%/d from 1104 to 1472 mm.

3.4. Histology

Histology results showed that the hyaluronic acid hydrogel was fully degraded after 58 days of implantation since hydrogel residues could no longer be detected in neither the Gel- nor the ZolGel-groups (see Fig. 12). The screws were well osteointegrated in all groups; no significant amounts of fibrotic tissue could be detected close to the screw surfaces. In the Control- and the Gel-groups, new bone matrix was deposited only in direct proximity to the screws; no new bone formation could be detected further away. Only small periosteal callus formation was visible around the screw heads of the Control- and Gel-groups, the bone structure within those calli was still immature. In contrast, a large amount of newly formed bone was detected close to and further away from the screw surface in the ZolGel-group. This newly formed bone also showed a woven structure typical of immature bone with an irregular arrangement of the collagen fibers, a large cell number and reduced mineral content. In the ZolGel-group, significant periosteal callus formation was found even far from the implantation site. Normal-shaped osteoclasts and foreign body giant cells were present in all three groups, but to a much lower extent in the ZolGel-group than in the other two groups. The bone lining osteoblasts seemed to be more activated in the ZolGel-group compared to Control- and Gel-groups. The histology showed a significantly enhanced peri-implant bone density in the ZolGel-group. The newly formed bone was not fully remodeled in all groups, whereas the bone remodeling in the ZolGel-group seemed to be less advanced than in the other two groups.

4. Discussion

The main goal of the present study is to investigate the spatio-temporal effect of locally delivered Zoledronate on peri-implant bone remodeling based on time-lapsed microCT scans. In this context, a cross-linked hyaluronic acid hydrogel was used as drug delivery material. The significant new result of this study is an up to 100% increase of the early bone formation rate caused by locally delivered Zoledronate that accompanies an efficient inhibition of peri-implant bone resorption. It is the first in vivo study unambiguously demonstrating the positive effect of Zoledronate on bone formation. This result opens new possibilities for local delivery of this drug as well as for the understanding of its global effect on bone remodeling.

MicroCT-based histomorphometry was chosen for this study as it has been shown to be a very suitable technique for the quantitative analysis of three-dimensional bone formation and bone resorption rates over long in vivo time periods [36]. Obtaining data from multiple time points without having to euthanize the animals is a key advantage of a longitudinal study compared to the traditional two-dimensional histology-
The second benefit of the microCT-based technique compared to histology is that bone resorption rate can be quantified in a direct manner that is very useful when studying the effect of anti-resorptive drugs such as BPs [36]. Metal induced artifact issues that are typically related to CT scans and complicate the analysis of peri-implant bone were overcome in the present study by using radiopaque PEEK screws.

In order to understand the influence of the BPs on the peri-implant bone remodeling, it is important to have a look at the normal bone healing as it was found in the Control-group. First, the changes in bone structure caused by the estrogen deficiency are consistent with those reported in the literature and confirm the success of the OVX [31]. The results from the histomorphometry performed after screw implantation showed that the bone reaction to the trauma caused by the screw implantation took place principally in the two innermost layers (the “bone-healing-zone”, 0–736 µm from the screw surface). This zone was characterized by a large increase in bone formation rate during the first 17 days after the surgery up to a distance of 736 µm from the screw surface. This early peri-implant bone formation is in accordance with literature where it was shown to be the result of the so-called static osteogenesis [46,47]. Osteogenic cells and a new calcified matrix are therefore deposited after only a few days on the cement line created on the implant surface [48]. This rapid deposition of calcified matrix restores the continuity of the bone structure, even if the mechanical competence of the woven bone is lower than that of mature remodeled bone due to the random orientation of the collagen fibers [49]. No microCT-based studies could be found confirming bone formation this early next to an implant.

The woven structure of the newly formed bone in the Control-group was confirmed in the present study by a decrease in SMI and an increase in Tb.N and Tb.Th that accompanied the gain in BV/TV in the bone-healing-zone from days 3 to 10. Woven bone is reported to be progressively remodeled and substituted by mature bone with a trabecular structure and delimiting marrow spaces later on [50], a process that also removes bone debris and necrotized bone caused by the implant bed preparation [51]. In the present study, the remodeling was initiated by a significant loss in bone volume in the bone-healing-zone that started 10 days after screw implantation in the Control-group.

Another interesting finding from the Control-group is the initially high, and then progressively decreasing, BRR in the outer two layers that are not in contact with the screw (the “bone-remodeling-zone”). This phenomenon can be explained by the initial rapid bone loss phase induced by the estrogen deficiency of the animals that terminates 3–4 months after OVX [42]. The bone loss is even enhanced in this study by the growth of the animals that results in a “flow” of the screw and the surrounding volume of interest towards the less trabecularized diaphyseal region. Normally, the growth of rats slows down and ceases around 26 weeks of age [52], this corresponds in this study with day 31 after screw implantation. When comparing bone resorption with formation in the Control-group, it can be seen that, beside the early bone formation peak, the BRR clearly exceeded the BFR in all bone regions most of the time and leveled out only towards the end of the study. This imbalance resulted in a steady bone loss in the bone-
remodeling-zone as can be seen in the diminishing BV/TV in the control animals.

The Gel-group did not show any statistically different results compared to the Control-group, therefore the chosen hydrogel can be considered not to have any influence on peri-implant bone remodeling. It was resorbed completely during the study without leaving any visible residuals, as confirmed by histology.

The locally delivered Zoledronate interfered with the normal healing and remodeling process at a very early stage as demonstrated in the Zol–Gel-group. An increase of up to 100% of the BFR was seen from days 3 to 17 in the bone-healing-zone and from days 3 to 10 in the bone-remodeling-zone. Later on during the study, no more differences could be detected between the groups. This result suggests that Zoledronate is only influencing bone formation during the first “flush” with the drug where bone-forming cells are either in contact with the gel or exposed to Zoledronate in solution. The direct contact could allow them to incorporate the drug via fluid phase endocytosis, an uptake mechanism for Zoledronate that has been described by Thompson et al. [53]. BPs are known to be rapidly cleared from the circulation and absorbed to bone mineral surface [54]. The fast uptake in the bone can explain why no further Zoledronate effect on bone formation could be detected later on in the study. This is because osteoclasts are the only cells that can liberate and incorporate BPs once they are bound to bone mineral [4]. It remains unclear if the initial boost in bone formation is caused by a direct stimulation of the osteoblast activity, or by unknown indirect coupling effects. Arnoldi et al. recently found a higher level of cellular proliferation and osseous differentiation at an early time point around implants coated with fibrinogen and loaded with a very low dose of Zoledronate [55]. This finding supports the theory that Zoledronate can have a direct anabolic effect on bone formation. Published in vitro studies are not conclusive as they demonstrate that Zoledronate can have positive as well as negative results on osteoblasts, depending on the dose and study design [11,12,56]. Orriss et al. showed that the Zoledronate dose has to be increased 10- to 100-fold to achieve the same inhibitory effect on osteoblasts when those are cultured on dentin slides, a finding that supports the theory that absorbed BPs are significantly less effective on osteoblasts [13].

Since Zoledronate is an anti-resorptive agent, the drug is expected to mainly influence bone resorption. In the present study, this was shown by the significantly reduced BRR in the drug treated animals. The strong resorption peak seen in the Control-group was not at all present in the bone-healing-zone of the Zol–Gel-group in which the BRR remained at a constant low level. A slightly higher but still constant BRR level could be found in the bone-remodeling-zone of the Zol–Gel-group. The investigated time period was too short to detect a clear end of the drug effect on bone resorption. However, static and dynamic bone parameters of the last analyzed time points and periods suggested a diminishing drug effect with a slightly increasing BRR and decreasing differences between the groups, particularly in the bone-remodeling-zone.

Looking at the spatial effect of the locally delivered Zoledronate, this study revealed that the majority of the effects of BPs occur at a distance of up to 736 μm around the screw, which corresponds to the earlier defined bone-healing-zone. Tests with hydrogel loaded with hydroxyapatite-particles (size 200 nm) that give the gel a light radiopacity (data not shown) revealed that the gel is located within a range of 0–600 μm around the screw. Therefore, it can be assumed that bone is in direct contact with the Zoledronate-loaded

Fig. 12. Toluidine blue stained ground sections from the Control-group (left), the Gel-group (middle) and the Zol–Gel-group (right). The white asterisks in the darker blue regions indicate immature, non-remodeled bone with an irregular structure and large amounts of cells, the white arrows on brighter blue regions show mature trabecular bone with less cells and a regular structure.
gel within the region that shows the strong drug effect. These results suggest that it may be possible to control the range of action of the Zoledronate-loaded gel via its penetration depth in bone. This is a promising approach as the penetration depth can be adapted by altering the mechanical properties of the gel or the volume of gel inserted into the implant bed. However, a significantly weaker drug effect was also shown for the bone-remodeling-zone that is not in contact with the gel. This finding suggests that a small part of the released Zoledronate diffuses through the bone despite its high affinity to mineral and its fast absorption in bone surfaces.

The boost in initial bone formation, the effective inhibition of the bone resorption in the bone-healing-zone, and the stabilization of the bone loss in the bone-remodeling-zone resulted in a BV/TV of 2.6 in the Control-group compared to an SMI of 0.6 that characterized the bone in the bone-healing-zone at day 58 compared to an SMI of 2.6 in the Control-group confirmed that the very compact woven bone had not yet remodeled. Findings in similar studies provide enough evidence to show that Zoledronate treated bone can enhance implant fixation despite the reduced mechanical competence of the immature bone structure. This is a very positive finding as an augmented microstructure of peri-implant bone is linked to improved implant anchorage [57–59]. The gain in bone volume and therefore in mechanical resistance might nevertheless be partly compensated for by the less mature bone structure that was present 2 months after new implantation, as confirmed by histology findings in our study. The SMI of 0.6 that characterized the bone in the bone-healing-zone of the Zol–Gel-group at day 58 compared to an SMI of 2.6 in the Control-group confirmed that the very compact woven bone had not yet remodeled. Findings in similar studies provide enough evidence to show that Zoledronate treated bone can enhance implant fixation despite the reduced mechanical competence of the immature bone structure [18,19,22]. Furthermore, Amanat et al. showed with an indentation study that Zoledronate has no influence on the intrinsic mechanical properties of healing bone [60].

The present study has inherent limitations. The microCT-based dynamic histomorphometry averages bone formation and resorption rate over the time period between two scans what makes the results sensitive to the chosen time points for the scans [36]. In this study bone formation and bone resorption have to be interpreted as gain and loss of mineralized bone tissue since the microCT cannot analyze the bone on a cellular level. Furthermore, the age of the rats was not ideal due to the fact that the end of the initial OVX related bone loss phase and the cessation of bone length growth occurred during the experimental phase. In future studies, histology should be performed at early time points for a better understanding of how Zoledronate enhances very early peri-implant bone formation. It might also be useful to prolong the experimental phase in order to assess when bone maturity is reached in the Zol–Gel-group. In this study, only one drug dose of 5 μg per implant was used. A future dose–response study could help to investigate the dose-dependency of the present findings.

In summary, the quickly degradable hydrogel used in this study appeared to be a very suitable drug delivery system for BPs. The texture and material properties of the gel allowed for an easy and precise application, combined with a deep penetration of the bone tissue that significantly increased the range of action of the drug. Numerous studies have shown that BPs released from coatings stay highly localized and result in a very thin layer of dense bone around implants which is not ideal for implant fixation [61,62]. This is not the case in the present study where an increased bone volume could be shown all over the analyzed trabecular region. The present study also demonstrated a positive influence of Zoledronate on early bone formation in addition to its known anti-resorptive action. This effect seems to be supported by a fast release of the small BP molecules from the highly porous hydrogel, another point in favor of the use of hydrogels as drug-delivery systems for BPs.

5. Conclusions

The present study was able to show that Zoledronate delivered from a quickly degrading hydrogel can boost early bone formation and later on efficiently inhibit peri-implant bone resorption close to an implant. It can also stabilize bone loss away from an implant in an OVX rat model. This process significantly enhanced bone mass and improved bone micro-structure in the treated peri-implant bone during the two months post-implantation that were studied. This is a positive finding since a reinforced bone structure is directly linked to improved implant fixation. The presented approach using Zoledronate-loaded hydrogel for implant bed preparation is highly promising, especially for patients suffering from low quality bone, though more studies are needed.
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