Simulation of Alkane Adsorption in the Aluminophosphate Molecular Sieve AlPO₄⁻5
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Adsorption isotherms of alkanes ranging from methane through pentane in the microporous aluminophosphate AlPO₄⁻5 are calculated using grand canonical monte carlo simulations. These simulations predict a surprisingly complex behavior. For methane and ethane we find at low temperatures a low-density—high-density transition that resembles capillary condensation. At intermediate temperatures a high-density structural transition is observed in which the adsorption increases stepwise from four to six molecules per unit cell for methane and two to four molecules per unit cell for ethane. At room temperature a small inflection point in the isotherms signals this transition. For propane, we find a similar high-density transition, but for butane and pentane this transition is not present.

1. Introduction

AlPO₄⁻5 is a microporous aluminophosphate having cylindrical pores of diameter 7.3 Å. The micropores are not interconnected and form one-dimensional channels parallel to the c crystallographic axis (AFI network). This one-dimensional character of the pore system makes AFI an ideal model system for experimental and theoretical studies of processes occurring in a typical one-dimensional channel structure, like, for example, single-file diffusion of small molecules.1–4

Recently, Radhakrishnan and Gubbins5 have reported a molecular simulation study of methane adsorption using a simple model of AlPO₄⁻5. These simulations show a phase transition occurring between two different density states. The interesting aspect of their results is that this transition is quasi one-dimensional. Radhakrishnan and Gubbins propose that this transition is induced by the interactions with the molecules that are in neighboring channels. For their simulations, they used a simple model in which a zeolite channel is modeled as a structureless cylinder. The zeolite is a hexagonal array of these cylinders. It is an interesting question whether or not a similar quasi one-dimensional phase transition can be found in a more sophisticated model of zeolite in which the crystal structure is taken into account explicitly.

A different type of transition in this zeolite was found by Boutin et al.6 and Lachet et al.7 at a much higher temperature than the phase transition considered by Radhakrishnan and Gubbins. The simulations of Boutin et al. show a stepped isotherm. This step was attributed to a structural rearrangement of the adsorbed high-density phase. An important conclusion of this work is that this transition was only observed using a model that includes both two-body and three-body dispersion interactions, whereas a model with only two-body Lennard-Jones type potential did not show such a step. Such Lennard-Jones type potential is often used in simulations of adsorption in zeolites.8–12 This conclusion may have important consequences for these simulations. In this work, we study the adsorption of methane in AlPO₄⁻5 for a different set of parameters of the Lennard-Jones potential to investigate whether this conclusion of Boutin et al. and Lachet et al. is generally valid. In addition, we report grand canonical Monte Carlo simulations of adsorption of other linear hydrocarbons in AlPO₄⁻5 over a wide range of pressures and temperatures.

2. Model and Simulation Details

Adsorption isotherms are conveniently computed in the grand canonical ensemble.13 In this ensemble, the zeolite is in contact with a bath that fixes both the chemical potential and the temperature.

The simulation box contained 60 hexagonal unit cells (4 × 3 × 5, a = b = 13.72 Å and c = 8.484 Å) of the zeolite AlPO₄⁻5 with a total volume of 82 983 Å³.14 This box corresponds to 12 cylindrical channels. Alkanes molecules are modeled using a united-atom representation; i.e., the CH₄, CH₃, and CH₂ groups are considered as single interaction centers.15 We have used a fixed C–C bond length of 1.53 Å, and the bond-bending and torsion potentials are taken from refs 16–18. Intermolecular interactions are described by the 12-6 Lennard-Jones potential, which is truncated at 13.8 Å, and the usual tail corrections are added.13,19 The parameters for the alkane–alkane interaction are taken from refs 20 and 21 and are shown in Table 1.

The zeolite lattice is assumed to be rigid, and zeolite–alkane interactions are assumed to be dominated by dispersive forces involving mainly oxygen atoms.22,23 These interactions are also modeled with 12-6 Lennard-Jones potentials. Calculations of the alkane–zeolite potential were performed using a grid of approximately 0.14 Å spacing in each direction. Energy and size parameters of the alkane–zeolite potential have been fitted to reproduce the Henry coefficient and the heat of adsorption at zero coverage for various alkanes on the zeolite silicalite;10,11 see Table 1. We assume here that these parameters are also suitable for AlPO₄⁻5. Since the interactions are dominated by...
oxygen atoms, this may be a reasonable approximation. However, since we do not use optimized parameters for AlPO$_4$–5, we do not expect that our model is in quantitative agreement with experimental results.

In a grand canonical simulation, it is essential to exchange particles successfully with the reservoir. For ethane and the higher alkanes, we have used the configurational-bias Monte Carlo technique to enhance the number of successful exchanges.$^{13,24}$ In every simulation, the number of trial orientations was equal to six. A simulation consists of 150 000 cycles, and the number of trial moves in a cycle is equal to the number of particles with a minimum of 20 trial moves per cycle. Further details on computational aspects can be found in refs 10, 11, 25, and 26.

3. Simulation Results

3.1. Methane. Figure 1 presents several simulated adsorption isotherms of methane in AlPO$_4$–5. Isotherms calculated from 18 to 30 K show a sharp discontinuous jump. To

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interaction</th>
<th>$e/k_B$ (K)</th>
<th>$\sigma$ (Å)</th>
<th>$e/k_B$ (K)</th>
<th>$\sigma$ (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH$_4$–CH$_4$</td>
<td>148.0</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>CH$_4$–O</td>
<td>96.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_3$–CH$_3$</td>
<td>98.1</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>CH$_3$–O</td>
<td>80.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_2$–CH$_2$</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>CH$_2$–O</td>
<td>58.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ All Lennard-Jones interactions were truncated at 13.8 Å, and the usual tail corrections have been applied.$^{13,19}$

Figure 1. Methane adsorption isotherms on AlPO$_4$–5. The closed symbols are the adsorption branch and the open symbols the desorption branch. The circles at 80 and 92 K are experimental data from ref 30.
investigate whether hysteresis may occur, we have computed both the isotherms for adsorption and desorption. Simulation of desorption were performed starting from a high-density state. For the isotherms calculated on desorption, the reverse jump occurs at lower chemical potential, giving rise to a hysteresis loop. The hysteresis amplitude decreases when simulation temperature increases, until 33 K where the simulated isotherm becomes continuous and reversible. Above 60 K, an inflection point in the isotherm is observed when the amount of adsorbed methane reaches four molecules per unit cell (one molecule per unit cell corresponds to 0.68 mmol/g). Following this step, the number of adsorbed methane molecules increases until a maximum loading of six methane molecules per unit cell. A hysteresis loop is also found when desorption simulations are performed starting from this last phase. Figure 1 shows that the amplitude of the inflection and the length of the hysteresis decrease with increasing temperature; the hysteresis loop strongly reduces at 92 K and vanishes at 110 K. At room temperature the step almost disappears.

At low temperatures, we observe a transition that is quite similar to a capillary condensation. This behavior can be related to a first-order phase transition from a gaslike low-density state to a liquidlike high-density phase. We have performed thermodynamics integration following Peterson and Gubbins's method27 to make an estimation of the critical temperature. From these calculations it is difficult to estimate the critical point because of the large fluctuations near the critical temperature. From the resulting coexistence phase diagram, the critical temperature can be estimated at 33 ± 3 K. Note that this temperature is much lower than the critical temperature of methane (190.4 K).28

It is interesting to compare our results with those on the simple AFI model of Radhakrishnan and Gubbins.5 Radhakrishnan and Gubbins have found different results for isotherms calculated with an isolated pore compared with those obtained using an array of several cylindrical pores. In the first case, the isotherms were Langmuir type I isotherms; hence, no low-density vaporlike/high-density liquidlike phase coexistence was observed. For the array of pores Radhakrishnan and Gubbins did observe a low-density—high-density coexistence. It is therefore important to investigate if, in our model of AFI, we restrict the molecules to be in a single pore only, the high-density—low-density transition also disappears. To make this comparison, we have also performed simulation on a simulation box that consists of (4 × 3 × 50) unit cells. In addition, in all pores but one, the potential was set to an infinite value. Hence, the molecules can only adsorb in a single cylinder along the c axis that is 10 times larger as that for the ordinary system.

The simulations with a single pore and the full AFI model gave similar isotherms. For both cases, the phase transition occurs at the same chemical potential (Figure 2). This suggests that correlation effects between adjacent pores are not essential to observe a phase transition when simulations are performed using a more realistic model of AlPO4−5.

An analysis of the first high-density phase (Figure 3) shows that this state corresponds to a loading of four adsorbed methane molecules per AFI unit cell. The distribution along one channel is not continuous, the adsorbed molecules are located exclusively at c/4 and 3c/4, where the adsorption sites are located (six sites at c/4 and six at 3c/4 [7]). At c/4 and 3c/4 we observe two methane molecules, and we can see in Figure 3 that these adsorbed molecules are placed following a helix arrangement. The centers of the pores are never occupied.

Figure 2. Methane adsorption isotherms on AlPO4−5 calculated at 20 K. Closed symbols and open symbols are isotherms calculated with 12 and 1 channels, respectively. Triangles are the adsorption branch and diamonds the desorption branch.

Figure 3. Snapshots of the different methane adsorbed phases. The lines represent the zeolite structure and the spheres the methane molecules. The top figure is the first high-density state with six molecules per unit cell, and the bottom figure is the second high-density state with six molecules per unit cell. The left figures are a projection on the (a, b) plane, and the right figures a view of one channel on the (a, c) plane.

The simulations at higher temperature show that increasing the pressure results in a new condensed state, with six adsorbed methane molecules per unit cell, which are located at c/4 and 3c/4 (Figure 3). The resulting structure forms a layered arrangement. This phase has already been described as the {0-3-0-3} structure type found by Lachet et al. from simulations.
involving their M3 potential. 7 Whereas Boutin et al. 6 and Lachet et al. concluded that three-body interactions are essential, our simulations show that the classical two-body Lennard-Jones type potential is sufficient to reproduce the stepped isotherms and the maximum loading with six methane molecules per unit cell. It is important to note that we have used a different set of Lennard-Jones parameters compared to those used in refs 6 and 7. A calculation at 77.3 K with the Lennard-Jones methane—zeolite parameters used by Lachet et al. ($\epsilon/k_B = 100.0$ K and $\sigma = 3.233$ Å) and the methane—methane potential from Table 1 gives similar qualitative results, which implies that the difference in the adsorption isotherm shape cannot be explained only on the basis of the difference in the Lennard-Jones parameter for the methane—zeolite interaction. A possible explanation may be the difference in the grid size and the interpolation procedure used to calculate zeolite—alkane interactions. We have used a grid spacing of 0.14 Å, whereas in refs 6 and 7, a spacing of approximative 0.2 Å is used. Another explanation might be the slightly different methane—methane potential in the work of Lachet et al.

It is interesting to compare our data with the experimental results of Martin et al., 29,30 see Figure 1. The shape of the experimental coexistence curves at $T = 77.3$ K and $T = 96.5$ K is very similar to ours; both studies observe a step in the adsorption isotherm. As can be expected the quantitative agreement is not as good since our parameters have not been optimized for AlPO₄-5. It is interesting to note that the shape of the hysteresis curve as found in the experiments is very similar to ours. For $T = 77.3$ K it was not possible to measure hysteresis. In addition, our simulations confirm that this experimentally observed hysteresis loop is not related to capillary condensation.

The mechanism of hysteresis has been the subject of many investigations. 31 Statistical mechanical theories assume that this hysteresis arises from a metastable state in a single pore. Other theories, however, assume that the way a pore is filled or emptied (due to instabilities of adsorbed films) has an influence on the shape of the hysteresis curve.32,33 This implies that we have to be careful to compare the shape of the simulated hysteresis curve with the experiments. Furthermore, we have to realize that if we would perform infinitely long simulations of an infinitely large system we would not observe any hysteresis at all. However, a finite system in a metastable state is relatively stable to small perturbations. In a Monte Carlo simulation, trial moves that introduce large perturbations (for example, filling or emptying the zeolite completely in one trial move) are never performed because in practice they will never be accepted. It is essential that in our simulations we increased the chemical potential in small steps and started from a previous configuration. The fact that we observe a hysteresis that is similar in

Figure 4. Ethane adsorption isotherms on AlPO₄-5. The closed symbols are the adsorption branch and the open symbols the desorption branch. The open circles at 300 K are experimental data from ref 34.
shape to the experimental one may be some evidence that the mechanism for this case is the metastable states.

3.2. Ethane. Ethane adsorption on AlPO₄-5 is similar to the behavior of methane. At low temperatures, we observe a low-density vaporlike—high-density liquidlike transition with a critical point \( T_c = 55 \pm 3 \) K (Figure 4), which is much lower than the critical temperature of ethane (305.4 K). The concentration of the high-density state is two ethane molecules per hexagonal AFI unit cell. At high temperature, an inflection in the isotherm is also displayed in much the same way as observed for methane. For ethane, the maximum loading is four adsorbed molecules per unit cell. The simulations show a large hysteresis loop at 70 and 80 K. The length of the step decreases with increasing temperature, and, at 300 and 400 K, only an inflection point can be observed. At 300 K, we have also plotted experimental data from ref 34 at 305 K. The agreement is reasonable.

In the first high-density state, two ethane molecules per unit cell are adsorbed at \( c/4 \) and \( 3c/4 \). The \((a, b)\) projection of Figure 5 shows that the center of the pores remains unoccupied. When all the sites are occupied, a large driving force is needed to add an additional ethane molecule in each layer, which results in a large inflection at low temperatures. When the pressure is sufficiently high, additional molecules can adsorb resulting in the second high-density phase with four ethane molecules per unit cell.

3.3. Propane, Butane, and Pentane. For short-chain alkanes, the adsorbed molecules are trapped in specific adsorption sites and are not distributed continuously along the channels. The adsorption sites are limited in size, and one should therefore expect that, for longer alkanes, the selective adsorption on these sites become more difficult. To investigate how the longer alkanes are adsorbed in the channels, we have calculated the isotherms for propane, butane, and pentane at 300 K. These isotherms are shown Figure 6. We have compared our simulations of pentane with experimental data from ref 4. Because our alkane—zeolite parameters were optimized for silicalite, we may expect some differences. However, the agreement is reasonable.

The simulated adsorption isotherm for propane at 300 K shows also a step when the loading is close to two molecules per unit cell. Figure 7 shows a snapshot of the adsorbed phase at the loading where the isotherm reaches the step. Figure 7 also shows the probability distribution of propane at maximum loading. Also propane shows a preferential adsorption at \( c/4 \) and \( 3c/4 \), but the probability to find molecules between these sites is higher than for methane and ethane. The snapshot at maximum loading shows that it is still possible to put two propane molecules at \( c/4 \) and \( 3c/4 \), but the propane molecules can also be located out from these specific positions. For butane and pentane, the insertion needs a high pressure and the maximum loading is lower compared to those observed for propane. The probability distribution in Figure 8 shows that molecules can move freely through the entire channel and are

Figure 5. Snapshots of the different ethane adsorbed phases. The lines represent the zeolite structure and the spheres the atoms of the ethane molecules. The top figure is the first high-density state with two molecules per unit cell and the bottom figure the second high-density state with four molecules per unit cell. The left figures are a projection on the \((a, b)\) plane and the right figures a view of one channel on the \((a, c)\) plane.

Figure 6. Left: Adsorption isotherms at 300 K for propane, butane, and pentane on AlPO₄-5. Right: Comparison between simulations for pentane at 300 K with experimental data from ref 4 at 303 K.
not trapped near the adsorption sites. It seems that pentane can occupy the center of the pore where there is no adsorption site (compare Figures 3 and 8). In this configuration, both tails of pentane occupy adsorption sites at \( \frac{c}{4} \) and \( 3\frac{c}{4} \). The entire channel can be packed by these molecules, and no step in the adsorption isotherm is observed.

4. Concluding Remarks

The adsorption of short-chain alkanes in \( \text{AlPO}_4-5 \) has a surprisingly complex behavior. The complex behavior of methane in \( \text{AlPO}_4-5 \), as observed experimentally, can be reproduced qualitatively using a simple two-body Lennard-Jones potential. In this study we have used parameters that were optimized for all silica structures. As can be expected, to obtain a better quantitative agreement with experiments these parameters should be optimized for aluminophosphates.
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