Extreme ultraviolet ionization of pure He nanodroplets: Mass-correlated photoelectron imaging, Penning ionization, and electron energy-loss spectra
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The ionization dynamics of pure He nanodroplets irradiated by Extreme ultraviolet radiation is studied using Velocity-Map Imaging PhotoElectron-PhotoIon COincidence spectroscopy. We present photoelectron energy spectra and angular distributions measured in coincidence with the most abundant ions He+, He2+, and He3+. Surprisingly, below the autoionization threshold of He droplets, we find indications for multiple excitation and subsequent ionization of the droplets by a Penning-like process. At high photon energies we observe inelastic collisions of photoelectrons with the surrounding He atoms in the droplets. © 2013 AIP Publishing LLC. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4818531]

I. INTRODUCTION

Helium nanodroplets are intriguing many-body quantum systems which feature special properties such as very low equilibrium temperature (0.38 K), superfluidity, and the ability to efficiently cool and assemble embedded species (“dopants”). Therefore, pure He nanodroplets have been extensively studied using electron impact ionization1–5 as well as by photoexcitation and ionization with synchrotron radiation.6–11 Recently, time-resolved experiments have become possible using femtosecond light pulses in the extreme ultraviolet (EUV) spectral range provided by high-order harmonic generation.12–15 Based on the photoionization and dispersed fluorescence emission measurements, the following three distinct regimes of excitation and ionization have been identified:

(i) At photon energies 20.5 < hν < 23 eV, He nanodroplets are excited with large cross sections into perturbed excited states (“bands”) derived from the 1s2s1S and 1s2p1P He atomic levels. Fast droplet-induced intraband and inter-band relaxation as well as He2+ excimer formation follows the excitation.9,10,13,14 Due to the repulsive interaction between excited He* or He2+ and the He environment the excitation migrates to the surface presumably involving both resonant hopping of the electronic excitation as well as nuclear motion of the excited He* atom.3,14–16 Depending on the size of the He droplet, the He*(1s2p1P) state is trapped at the surface and eventually relaxes into the long-lived 1s2s1,3S states or into vibrationally excited He2+ molecules.2 The latter are subject to vibrational relaxation by coupling to the He droplet and eventually evaporate off the droplet surface.

(ii) At photon energies 23 < hν < 24.6 eV, the droplet response is even more complex. In addition to the aforementioned relaxation channels, the emission of He* and He2+ in Rydberg states dominates,10,14,15 while the fraction of He2+ dimers increases with rising excitation energies.9,10 At hν > 24 eV population of triplet states of He was also observed.9,12 As a further relaxation channel, autoionization of He droplets sets in at hν > 23 eV leading to the formation of small ionic fragments (He+2, n ≤ 17) as well as large cluster ions (N ≥ 103).6 A peculiarity of the ionization of He droplets below the ionization energy Ei,He = 24.59 eV of atomic He is the emission of electrons with very low kinetic energy <1 meV as seen in photoelectron imaging experiments.7,8 Recent time-resolved photoelectron and photoion imaging experiments have revealed the dynamics of various relaxation processes in this regime.12–15

(iii) At photon energies hν > 24.6 eV, that is above Ei,He, He+ ions (positive holes) are created in the droplets. The positive charges subsequently migrate through the He droplet by resonant hopping and eventually localize...
by forming He$_2^+$ molecular ions or by ionizing a dopant if present.\textsuperscript{1,3-5} The internal energy of the newly formed ion as well as the binding energy liberated upon formation of “snowball” structures (He atoms tightly bound around the ion core) is believed to stop the charge-hopping process and causes massive droplet fragmentation. Therefore, He$^+$ largely from background He atoms and He$_2^+$ from droplets are the dominant species appearing in the mass spectra.\textsuperscript{6,8,16,17}

Detailed insight into the dynamics of photoexcitation and ionization of pure He nanodroplets has been gained from ion mass spectra and velocity-map photoelectron imaging,\textsuperscript{7,8} as well as from dispersed fluorescence measurements.\textsuperscript{9-11,18} The photoelectron spectra (PES) recorded by ionizing He droplets at $h\nu = 25\,$eV have revealed the presence of a high-energy component extending to electron energies $E_e > h\nu - E_{1s,\text{He}}$ which was discussed in terms of the direct single ionization of paired up neighboring He atoms to form He$_2^+$ dimer ions in bound vibrational levels.\textsuperscript{8} Photoelectron angular distributions (PAD) measured for He droplets were found to be more isotropic than those for free He atoms indicating elastic scattering of the escaping electrons from He in the droplets. Apart from electrons created by direct photoionization with energies of $h\nu - E_{1s,\text{He}}$ in regime (iii), electrons with nearly vanishing kinetic energy were observed which arise from an indirect ionization mechanism in regime (ii) involving significant electron-He interactions. This component in the PES was most pronounced for large He droplets ionized in regimes (ii) and (iii) up to $h\nu = 27\,$eV.\textsuperscript{7,8} Possible origins such as trapping of electrons in so called bubble states that decay when they approach the droplet surface,\textsuperscript{19-22} or vibrational autoionization of highly excited electronic states of the droplets were discussed.\textsuperscript{8}

In the present paper, we report a synchrotron study of pure He nanodroplets using Velocity-Map Imaging PhotoElectron-PhotoIon COincidence (VMI-PEPICO) spectroscopy. This method allows us to measure PES and PAD in coincidence with specific ion masses which was not possible in previous experiments. The PES and PAD measured in correlation with the most abundant fragments He$_n^+$, $n = 1, 2, 3$ are discussed. The PES and PAD correlating to He$^+$ ions from the droplet beam show no significant deviation from those of free He atoms, indicating that no He$^+$ ions are formed by droplet ionization. In contrast, for He$_2^+$ and He$_3^+$ we find slightly altered PES as compared to He$^+$ as well as reduced anisotropy of the PADs, presumably due to elastic scattering of the outgoing photoelectrons with He atoms in the droplets. This effect was previously observed with rare gas clusters (Ar$^{25,24}$ Kr$^{25}$ and Xe$^{25,25,26}$) even for mean cluster sizes as small as $N \lesssim 100$. We find indications for multiple excitations and subsequent decay by Penning-like ionization when irradiating the droplets at $h\nu = 21.6\,$eV which corresponds to the maximum of the 1$s^21S\rightarrow1s2p^3P$ droplet absorption band.\textsuperscript{6,18,27} Upon ionization of He droplets at high photon energies $h\nu \gtrsim 2 \times E_{1s,\text{He}}$ we observe low-energy electrons in addition to those directly emitted, which are generated by inelastic electron-He collisions akin to excitonic satellites observed previously in PES of Ne and Ar clusters.\textsuperscript{28}

### II. EXPERIMENTAL

The experiments presented here are performed using a mobile He droplet machine attached to a VMI-PEPICO detector at the GasPhase beamline of Elettra-Sincrotrone Trieste, Italy.\textsuperscript{29} The experimental setup is described in more detail in a previous publication.\textsuperscript{16} In short, a continuous beam of He nanodroplets with a mean size ranging from 200 to 17 000 He atoms per droplet is generated by varying the temperature $T_0$ of a cryogenic nozzle.\textsuperscript{30,31} An adjacent vacuum chamber contains doping cells, which are not used in the experiments reported here, and a mechanical beam chopper for discriminating ion and electron counts correlated with the droplet beam from background counts due to residual He and other residual gas components.

In the detector chamber further downstream, the He droplet beam intersects the synchrotron light beam at right angles in the center of a velocity map imaging (VMI) spectrometer. The synchrotron radiation is linearly polarized along the direction of the He droplet beam, which is perpendicular to the symmetry axis of the VMI spectrometer. The latter is composed of field plates that accelerate photoelectrons onto a position and time resolving delay-line detector, while photoions are accelerated onto a microchannel plate detector without position resolution just to record flight times. Measuring electrons and ions in coincidence allows us to extract from the data both ion mass spectra and mass-correlated velocity-map photoelectron images. The latter are transformed into PES and PAD using standard Abel inversion programs.\textsuperscript{32,33}

The narrow-band synchrotron radiation ($E/\Delta E \gtrsim 10^4$) is varied between 21 and 66 eV in this study. All photon energy dependent ion and electron spectra are normalized to the light intensity which is monitored by a calibrated photodiode. Note that a non-negligible amount of second- and third-order radiation is present at the lower end of the tuning range $h\nu \lesssim 20\,$eV. The pulse repetition rate is 500 MHz and the peak intensity in the interaction region is estimated to $I \sim 15\,$W m$^{-2}$.

An additional beam-dump chamber is attached to the end of the apparatus which contains a channel electron multiplier mounted directly in the path of the He droplet beam. It is used for measuring the yield of metastable He atoms and droplets excited by the synchrotron radiation.

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this work, we focus on ion-mass correlated photoelectron spectroscopy of pure He nanodroplets irradiated by EUV radiation at variable photon energies $h\nu < E_{1s,\text{He}}$ up to $h\nu \gtrsim 2 \times E_{1s,\text{He}}$. Let us start the discussion of experimental results by presenting typical ion mass spectra. The dependence of electron and ion yields on the experimental parameters (photon energy $h\nu$, He droplet size $N$) will be discussed subsequently. Finally, the ion-mass correlated PES and PAD at variable $h\nu$ will be presented.

#### A. Ion yield spectra

Figure 1 compares typical mass spectra recorded at $h\nu = 25\,$eV (a) and at $h\nu = 23.8\,$eV (b). The use of a mechanical
chopper that periodically intercepts the He droplet beam allows us to discriminate the ion signals originating from the He droplet beam from background gas ions. When the beam chopper is in the “open” position, both contributions are measured whereas in the “closed” position, only background ions contribute. Thus, the shown difference signal visualizes the contribution correlated to the He droplet beam only. At $h\nu = 25\,\text{eV}$ (a) the He atoms in the droplets are directly ionized (regime (iii)), whereas at $h\nu = 23.8\,\text{eV}$ (b) the He droplets are resonantly excited into the droplet equivalent of the 1s3pP and 1s4pP atomic He levels out of which they decay by autoionization and other processes (regime (ii)). The He droplet beam source is operated at He expansion conditions of $p_0 = 50\,\text{bars}$ and $T_0 = 23\,\text{K}$. The corresponding mean He droplet size amounts to $N = 1900$.\(^{31}\)

At photon energies $h\nu > E_{i,\text{He}}$ (Fig. 1(a)), the highest intensity mass peaks in the spectra are those of He$^+$ and He$^{2+}$. Note that He$^{2+}$ is even more abundant than He$^+$, in contrast to earlier electron impact and synchrotron experiments.\(^2,6,17,34\)

This may be due to a better suppression of background contributions in the present experiment by (a) chopping the droplet beam and (b) providing a long flight distance from the nozzle up to the ionization region of 71 cm, which results in a highly collimated droplet beam where the content of free He atoms accompanying the droplet beam is further diminished. The efficient formation of He$^{2+}$ ions agrees with the established notion that the initially created He$^+$ positive hole migrates within the He droplets and localizes by forming a He$^{2+}$ ion. The binding energy liberated by forming the He$^{2+}$ molecule as well as by forming a tightly bound shell of He atoms around the ion ("snowball") subsequently induces droplet fragmentation and the ejection of bare He$^{2+}$. Higher He$^{n+}$ cluster ion masses are also present with lower intensities in the entire mass range shown. The H$_2$O$^+$ signal stems from water molecules picked up by the He droplets from the residual gas which are ionized by charge transfer ionization.

At photon energies $h\nu < E_{i,\text{He}}$ (Fig. 1(b)), the He$^+$ signal nearly completely vanishes as expected due to energy conservation. Here, however, at $h\nu = 23.8\,\text{eV}$, that is in regime (ii), aside from other relaxation channels the excited He droplets are subject to autoionization yielding He$^{2+}$ and small He$^{n+}$ cluster ions as well as ultraslow photoelectrons.\(^6,9,13,15\) This explains the high He$^{2+}$ yield as compared to all other masses.

The dependence of the characteristic ionization signals on the photon energy $h\nu$ is studied by recording the non-coincident electron and He$^{2+}$ ion signals for varying $h\nu$. The resulting spectra are depicted in Fig. 2. The He expansion conditions are set to $p_0 = 50\,\text{bars}$ and $T_0 = 21\,\text{K}$ corresponding to a mean droplet size of $N = 2900$ for all photon energies. Different vertical scales are used for the three panels (a)-(c). The yield of metastable atoms and droplets shown in (c) is recorded in the “chopper open” position using a single channel electron multiplier mounted such that the entire He droplet beam hits the detector. Therefore, it contains contributions from both, the He droplet beam as well as from atomic He effusing into the detection chamber. Note that we cannot strictly exclude contributions to the signal from EUV fluorescence light reaching the electron multiplier.

The broadband structure in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b) at photon energies $23 \leq h\nu \leq 24.6\,\text{eV}$ is in good agreement with previous ionization spectra recorded with neat He nanodroplets.\(^6\) Note that we systematically measure higher electron count rates than total ion yields by a factor of 5–15 depending on $h\nu$. This indicates the partial presence of large He$^{n+}$ cluster ions with $n > 100$ which fall beyond the detection range of our setup.\(^6\) The peaked structures around 21.8, 23.1, 23.8 and 24.7 eV in (a) and (b) can be assigned to excited He droplet
states that mostly derive from the 1s2p^1P, 1s3p^1P, 1s4p^1P, and highly excited Rydberg levels of atomic He. At \( h\nu > 24.6 \text{ eV} \), the He droplets are directly ionized yielding the highest ion and electron signals. When varying the mean droplet size by changing the He nozzle temperature between \( T_0 = 17 \) and 27 K the overall \( E_{i,\text{He}} \) count rate slightly changes with a maximum at \( T_0 = 21 \text{ K} \) but the structure of the spectrum remains nearly constant. Surprisingly, we find a weak broad maximum in the \( E_{i,\text{He}} \) signal around \( h\nu = 21.6 \text{ eV} \) which corresponds to the 1s2p^1P excitation band of He droplets. Since this band lies below \( E_{i,\text{He}} \) by about 3 eV, which is more than the binding energy of \( \text{He}^+_2 \), autoionization of singly excited droplets is impossible. As we will discuss below, we attribute this feature to multiply excited He droplets that decay by a Penning-like process in which one \( \text{He}^+ \) excitation relaxes to the ground state whereas the other \( \text{He}^+ \) is ionized.

The signal measured using the ion detector intercepting the droplet beam at the end of the beam line shows sharp peaks corresponding to atomic lines as well as one broad maximum around \( h\nu = 21.6 \text{ eV} \) (Fig. 2(c)). The sharp atomic lines at energies corresponding to excitation of the levels 1snp^1P, \( n=2, 3, \ldots \) reflect the detection of metastable atomic states of He populated by radiative decay of the ^1P states excited in the atomic He part of the beam.\(^{35}\) We attribute the broad peak at \( h\nu = 21.6 \text{ eV} \) to the 1s2p^1P droplet excitation which decays by relaxation into the metastable 1s2s^1S state of He atoms or into the lowest \(^1\Sigma_g^+\) state of \( \text{He}^+_2 \) excited dimers. The latter either remain weakly bound to the droplet surface or desorb off the droplets due to vibrational relaxation.\(^2\) Note that the relaxation of the 1s2p^1P droplet excitation into 1s2s^1S and even lower-lying levels of \( \text{He}^+ \) and \( \text{He}^+_2 \) was previously observed for doped droplets.\(^{36,36}\)

**B. Photoelectron imaging**

In order to obtain more detailed information about the dynamics of He droplet ionization in the different regimes (i)–(iii) we record photoelectron images in coincidence with the most abundant ions \( \text{He}^+ \) and \( \text{He}^+_2 \). Figure 3 gives an overview of such images recorded at various photon energies \( h\nu \). In these images, the polarization vector of the synchrotron radiation is oriented vertically in the image plane as indicated by the arrow in (a). The electron distribution measured in coincidence with \( \text{He}^+ \) at \( h\nu = 25 \text{ eV} \) (a) shows an anisotropic ring-shaped structure which matches the characteristic angular distribution of a p-wave, as expected for direct one-photon ionization out of the He 1s-orbital. The electrons correlating to \( \text{He}^+_2 \) emitted at the same photon energy (b) feature a similar ring-shaped distribution which has the same radius but is more isotropic. The PAD of directly emitted electrons recorded in correlation with \( \text{He}^+_2 \) and \( \text{He}^+_2 \) are analyzed further below.

At the photon energy \( h\nu = 24 \text{ eV} \), that is in regime (ii), the electron signal correlating to \( \text{He}^+ \) nearly vanishes, whereas that correlating to \( \text{He}^+_2 \) concentrates in a small central spot indicating very low electron kinetic energy. As mentioned above, nearly zero kinetic energy electrons have been observed in many experiments with pure and doped He droplets.\(^{7,8,13,16,36,37}\) They appear most prominently when \( h\nu \) is tuned slightly below \( E_{i,\text{He}} \) and droplet autoionization becomes an important decay channel.

Surprisingly, at \( h\nu = 21.6 \text{ eV} \), that is in regime (i) of pure droplet excitation into the 1s2p^1P band, significant electron signals correlating to both \( \text{He}^+ \) and \( \text{He}^+_2 \) are recovered. The two images feature extended isotropic circular structures of nearly equal size. As discussed below in more detail, we attribute these electrons to the decay of multiply excited He droplets by Penning-like ionization.

**1. Mass-correlated photoelectron spectra**

First, we examine the PES which we obtain by inverse Abel transformation and angular integration of the photoelectron images recorded in regime (iii). The spectra shown in Fig. 4 are recorded at \( h\nu = 25 \text{ eV} \) for different He droplet sizes by varying the nozzle temperature \( T_0 \) as indicated in the legend. The spectra correlating to \( \text{He}^+ \) (Fig. 4(a)) are obtained from the background-subtracted (“chopper closed”) electron images of the full signal (“chopper open”) so as to discriminate the electrons correlated with the He droplet beam. The dashed line represents the result of fitting the average of the experimental curves by a log-normal distribution function, which is an empirical function that reproduces well the measured peak shape. The maximum is peaked at 0.39(2) eV which matches the excess energy \( h\nu - E_{i,\text{He}} = 0.41 \text{ eV} \) in the direct ionization of He atoms. The width of the spectral feature of \( \text{He}^+ \) reflects the energy resolution of the spectrometer and matches the width measured for atomic He from background gas. Thus, within the experimental
FIG. 4. Photoelectron spectra measured in coincidence with He$^+$ (a), He$_2^+$ (b), and He$_3^+$ ions (c) at $h\nu = 25$ eV for different He droplet sizes. The He$^+$ spectrum (a) is modeled by a log-normal distribution (dashed line). The dashed line in (b) represents the convolution of the fit function in (a) and a log-normal distribution that accounts for the pure He$_2^+$ spectrum. The inset in (b) compares the resulting nearest-neighbor distribution for pairs of He atoms with an \textit{ab initio} calculation by Peterka et al.\textsuperscript{8} Uncertainties, we see no significant influence of the presence of He droplets on the PES. This suggests that the He$^+$ atomic ions stem from the atomic component that accompanies the droplet beam. Possibly, however, there is a contribution from He atoms located at the outer surface of the droplets where the He density is too low to cause significant line shifts and to induce charge migration and He$_2^+$ formation.\textsuperscript{5} As discussed in Sec. III B 2, the high degree of anisotropy of the He$^+$-correlated photoelectrons (Figs. 5 and 6) further supports this interpretation.

The peak in the PES correlating to He$_2^+$ (Fig. 4(b)), however, is slightly shifted and significantly broadened towards higher kinetic energies. The spectrum of He$_2^+$ (c) is even more extended towards higher electron energies than that of He$_2^+$. In previous work, Peterka et al.\textsuperscript{8} have measured and analyzed the total PES recorded without ion mass correlation. The presence of a shoulder extending to higher energies was interpreted using a simple model based on the assumption that ionization occurs vertically for pairs of closely spaced He atoms in the droplet, thereby accessing the attractive potential region of the cationic He$_2^+$ core. The resulting photoelectrons have higher kinetic energy than those of atomic He.\textsuperscript{8} The shape of this shoulder was simulated by a Franck-Condon model based on the He$_2$ and He$_2^+$ difference potential and the distribution of He–He interatomic distances for the nearest He–He atom pairs (“nearest neighbor”) obtained from path integral Monte Carlo calculations.

We adopt the same model in order to infer the distribution $nn(r)$ of distances $r$ for nearest He–He atom pairs which we identify as precursors for He$_2^+$ formation. Note that this model of vertical transitions from He–He pairs into bound levels of He$_2^+$ does not contradict the concept of creating He$^+$ charges that migrate through the droplets before localizing by forming deeply bound He$_2^+$. Due to the presence of one or more additional He atoms close to the respective He–He pair the total interaction potential is extended to a double-well or
multiple-well potential where the heights of the barriers depend on the distances between the three or more atoms. For sufficiently close spacing and excitation of high-lying levels above the barriers, the charge is therefore delocalized. Localization then occurs due to vibrational relaxation into one potential well which prevents further hopping over or tunneling through a barrier. Thus, the low-energy edge of the He\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{+} peak (Fig. 4(b)) is associated with He\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{+} formed after charge migration, whereas the high-energy tail is identified with direct formation of deeply bound He\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{+}.

The model curve shown as a dashed line in Fig. 4(b) is obtained by fitting the convolution of the fit function of the He\textsuperscript{+} peak (dashed line Fig. 4(a)) with a log-normal distribution function \( f_{\text{He}^+}(E_e) \). This function is chosen empirically to describe the characteristic line shape of the He\textsuperscript{+} component. From that distribution we obtain \( n(r) \) by mapping the energy distribution \( f_{\text{He}^+} \) onto the shifted difference potential \( \Delta V = V_{\text{He}^+} - V_{\text{He}} + h\nu - E_e \text{He} \)\textsuperscript{38,39} using the transformation \( n(r) = f_{\text{He}^+}(\Delta V(r))d\Delta V(r)/dr \) where \( h\nu = 25 \text{ eV} \). The result is shown as a solid line in the inset of Fig. 4(b).

It only slightly deviates from the original calculation (dashed line) in that its maximum is slightly shifted to a shorter He–He distance \( r = 2.9 \text{ Å} \) instead of \( R = 3.0 \text{ Å} \) in the original calculation. Since the measured He\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{+} ions are formed in regions of varying density inside or at the surface of the droplets the shown \( n(r) \) distribution is a density average for the present experimental conditions.

2. Mass-correlated photoelectron angular distributions

Next, we discuss the angular distributions of photoelectrons correlating to He\textsuperscript{+}, He\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{+}, and He\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{+} in more detail. To this end, we record photoelectron images at variable \( h\nu \) up to 50 eV for droplet sizes ranging from \( N = 1200 \) to 5600. Typical raw photoelectron images recorded at \( h\nu = 35 \text{ eV} \) are depicted in Fig. 5. As for \( h\nu = 25 \text{ eV} \) (Figs. 3(a) and 3(b)), we note a reduced anisotropy of the photoelectron distributions correlating to the molecular ions He\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{+} and He\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{+} (Figs. 5(b) and 5(c)). The images, we infer the average anisotropy parameter \( \beta \) by fitting the angular dependence of the signal intensity \( I(\theta) \) in the inverse Abel transformed images using the standard expression \( I(\theta) \propto 1 + \beta P_2(\cos \theta) \).\textsuperscript{40}

The resulting values of \( \beta \) are compiled in Fig. 6(a) for variable \( h\nu \) and in Fig. 6(b) for variable \( T_0 \) (droplet size). While for electrons correlating to He\textsuperscript{+} we find a constant value \( \beta = 2.0(1) \) as expected for the direct ionization of unperturbed He atoms, for He\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{+} and He\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{+} the anisotropy parameter is reduced to \( \beta = 0.8(1) \) which does not significantly vary as a function of the parameters \( h\nu \) and \( T_0 \). On the one hand, this seems to indicate that He\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{+} and He\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{+} also merely stem from the He\textsubscript{2} and He\textsubscript{3} molecular components which accompany the He droplet beam. On the other hand, the fact that the photoelectron distributions measured in coincidence with dopant ions generated by charge transfer ionization\textsuperscript{16} strongly resemble those of He\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{+} suggests that He\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{+} do stem from droplets. Besides, the extremely weak perturbation of the He atomic orbital by the binding of He in He\textsubscript{2} or He\textsubscript{3} van der Waals molecules is not likely to cause substantial deviations of the PAD from that of atomic He. The reduced anisotropy is probably due to elastic scattering of the outgoing photoelectron from the He droplets. We believe that the probed range of droplet sizes is not sufficiently broad to see a significant influence of a changing average He density on the photoelectron distribution. Note that the first observation of the reduction of the anisotropy of the PADs from Xe clusters did not exhibit a size dependence.\textsuperscript{25} In that work, for Xe clusters in the size range \( N = 1000–4000 \), the observed anisotropy parameter \( \beta \) was reduced by factors 0.6 and 0.9 for photoelectrons coming from cluster bulk and surface atoms, respectively, which compares reasonably well with the anisotropy reduction of 0.4 measured in this work for He nanodroplets. A significant size-dependent anisotropy reduction due to elastic scattering was only observed for rare gas clusters at low photon energies \( \lesssim 100 \text{ eV} \) and for large cluster sizes \( N > 1000 \).\textsuperscript{23,36} A better understanding of the PAD and spectra requires further experimental and theoretical efforts. In particular, the comparison with PES of mass-selected He\textsubscript{3} as recently studied\textsuperscript{41} would give interesting new insights into the effect of the He droplet on the photoelectron distributions.
3. Penning ionization

So far, we have examined the photoelectron distributions of He nanodroplets in the regime (iii) of direct ionization. However, the photon energy dependent ion yield measurements (Fig. 2) and photoelectron images (Fig. 3) have revealed weak ionization signals even at $h\nu = 21.6$ eV which falls below the droplet autoionization threshold.

The PES recorded in coincidence with He$^+$ and He$^+$ ions (c), (d) at $h\nu = 21.6$ eV and at expansion conditions $p_d = 50$ bars and $T_d = 17$ K ($N = 7000$) (a), (c) and $T_d = 27$ K ($N = 800$) (b), (d). The vertical lines indicate electron energies expected for the relaxation of two excited He atoms into various 1s2p-states or into the lowest excited state of He$^+$. Labels “Open” and “Closed” refer to data recorded in the open and closed positions of the droplet beam chopper.

This interpretation is supported by the results of earlier experiments studying the dynamics of excitations in bulk superfluid He and on molecular beam studies of He*–He* Penning collisions. In superfluid He the lifetimes of He$^*$ in $1s2s^1S$ and of He$^+$ excimers in their lowest state $a$$^3\Sigma^+_u$ ($v = 0$) were measured to be about 15 $\mu$s and 13 s, respectively. However, upon producing multiple excitations in bulk He the He$^+$ excimer population was found to decay due to binary Penning ionization collisions with a rate coefficient rising up to $2 \times 10^{-10}$ cm$^3$/s at He temperatures 1.5 K. Translating this to a He nanodroplet of radius 50 Å containing 12 000 He atoms and two He$^+$ excimers this corresponds to a decay time of about 1.3 ns, provided the He$^+$ move freely inside the He droplets as in superfluid He. Note that the crossover from diffusive to ballistic motion of He$^+$ in superfluid He was observed only recently in the temperature range between 100 and 200 mK, close to the He droplet temperature. The Penning ionization rate for He$^+$ can be estimated using the known cross section $\sigma_{He^+} \approx 300$ Å$^2$ from molecular beam scattering experiments. Assuming a mean relative velocity of the He$^+$ atoms of 60 m/s the rate coefficient amounts to about $2 \times 10^{-7}$ Hz.
1.8 × 10^{-10} \text{cm}^3/\text{s} and the Penning collision time is 1.5 ns. Thus, from these considerations we may expect that Penning ionization occurs within one synchrotron pulse repetition period and inside the interaction region of the droplet beam with the EUV beam. Note that large Penning ionization rates were also observed for He droplets doped with alkali atoms which reside in weakly bound states at the droplet surface.\textsuperscript{16,48} Accordingly, Penning ionization of He\(^*\) or He\(_2\)^+ excitations may be enhanced by the simultaneous migration of the two excitations towards the droplet surface due to repulsive He–He\(^*\) interaction\textsuperscript{a,5,16} while polarization forces steer them towards each other as in the case of charge migration.\textsuperscript{49}

The vertical dashed lines at 15 ≤ \(E_e\) ≤ 18.6 eV indicate the energies of electrons created by symmetric Penning reactions of He\(^*\) in various electronic levels as denoted in the legend. Other asymmetric combinations of excited states such as He\(^*\)(1s2p\(^1\)P)+He\(^*\)(1s2s\(^1\)S) are also possible but omitted in Fig. 7 for the sake of clarity. Penning ionization of the directly excited 1s2p\(^1\)P droplet state yields an electron energy \(E_e = 2 × \nu - E_{1\text{He}}\) (blue dashed line) whereas Penning ionization following the relaxation of He\(^*\) into atomic levels 1s2p\(^3\)P, 1s2s\(^1\)S, 1s2s\(^3\)S diminishes the Penning electron energy (light dashed lines).

At He expansion conditions where small droplets \((N = 800)\) are formed (Figs. 7(b) and 7(d)), the PES are dominated by direct ionization or by Penning ionization of the unrelaxed He droplet state, which we cannot distinguish. For larger He droplets \(N \gtrsim 4000\) (Figs. 7(a) and 7(c)), Penning ionization of He\(^*\) that have relaxed into 1s2s\(^1\)S and lower-lying levels prior to ionization becomes more pronounced. This can be rationalized by the longer migration distances covered by the two He\(^*\) excitations in large droplets in order to come close and react. The fact that the PES recorded in coincidence with He\(^2\)^+ significantly differ from the ones of He\(^*\) points to a process where first He\(^2\)^+ excited dimers form and then the Penning reaction occurs. The conceivable alternative process, He\(^2\)^+ dimer ion formation after Penning ionization of He\(^*\),\textsuperscript{2} would result in an identical PES. The small shift of the Penning peak to lower energies in Fig. 7(c) indicates that Penning ionization involves He\(^2\)^+ in various vibronic levels that reach down to even lower energies than the atomic triplet states (vertical dashed line at \(E_e \approx 11\) eV). Given the low statistics of our data and the limited energy resolution of the spectra we cannot infer more details about this ionization mechanism.

### 4. Inelastic photoelectron-helium collisions

Finally, we present PES measured at high photon energies \(h\nu > 46\) eV. To the best of our knowledge, no experiments with He nanodroplets at such elevated photon energies have been reported to date. Figure 8(a) depicts typical PES correlating to He\(^+\) and He\(^2\)^+ recorded at \(h\nu = 50\) eV. The corresponding raw image for He\(^2\)^+ is shown as an inset. While the photoelectron distribution correlating to He\(^2\)^+ is significantly more isotropic than that of He\(^+\) (Fig. 6), the PES are nearly identical at these high photon energies. In addition to the highest peak from directly emitted electrons with energy

\[
h\nu = E_{1\text{He}} = 25.41\text{ eV}
\]

a second peak appears at energy \(E_{E-\text{loss}} = h\nu - E_{1\text{He}} - E_{1s2p}\) ≈ 5 eV. Here, \(E_{1s2p}\) stands for the energies of all levels of the 1s2s and 1s2p configurations of He atoms (\(^2\)S, \(^1\)S, \(^3\)P, \(^1\)P) which can be excited by electron impact but remain unresolved in the PES. This low-energy feature is present at the reduced energy \(E_{E-\text{loss}}\) in all measured spectra where \(h\nu > 46\) eV, as shown in Fig. 8(b). It is due to the loss of kinetic energy of the photoelectrons by inelastic collisions with surrounding He atoms as they pass through the droplets in a process of the type

\[
e^-(E_{\text{kin}}) + \text{He}(1s^2) \rightarrow \text{He}^* + e^-(E_{\text{kin}} - E_{E-\text{loss}}).
\]

This interpretation is supported by a vanishing anisotropy parameter \(\beta = 0.1(3)\) of the PAD in the full range of electron energies covered by peak (2) as opposed to \(\beta = 1.9(1)\) for peak (1). As expected, the information about the direction of

FIG. 8. (a) Photoelectron spectra recorded in coincidence with He\(^+\) (shaded area) and He\(^2\)^+ (solid line) at \(h\nu = 50\) eV (\(p_0 = 50\) bars, \(T_0 = 23\) K). Peak 1 corresponds to electrons created directly by ionization of He atoms or He\(^2\) dimers in the droplets. Peak 2 stems from electrons that lost energy by inelastic collisions with surrounding He atoms. The inset depicts the photoelectron image correlating to He\(^2\)^+. (b) Dependence of the peak positions on \(h\nu\). The dashed lines depict the energies of photoelectrons emitted directly (black) or after energy-loss by inelastic collisions (colored) when considering the He atomic ionization energy \(E_{1\text{He}}\) and the level energies of the 1s2s\(^1\)S and 1s2p\(^1\)P atomic levels.
emission of the photoelectrons is completely lost by the inelastic electron-He collisions. Our interpretation is in line with previous studies on a solid Ne surface and on small \((N \sim 300)\) Ne clusters.\(^{28,50}\) The appearance of additional features in the PES of the Ne surface and of Ne clusters as compared to the PES of free Ne atoms was ascribed to satellite lines resulting from energy loss of the outgoing photoelectrons due to the creation of the lowest cluster excitations ("excitons").\(^{28}\)

The probability \(P_{\text{inel}}\) for such collisions in our experiments can be estimated using the well-known inelastic scattering cross sections \(\sigma_{\text{inel}}\).\(^{51}\) \(P_{\text{inel}} = \sigma_{\text{inel}} \rho_{\text{He}} R_{\text{He}}\). Here, \(\rho_{\text{He}} = 0.0218 \text{ Å}^{-3}\) denotes the density of He droplets\(^{52}\) and \(R_{\text{He}} = (3N/(4\pi \rho_{\text{He}}))^{1/3} = 32 \text{ Å}\) is the droplet radius for a mean droplet size \(N = 2900\). For \(\hbar = 50 \text{ eV}\) we obtain \(P_{\text{inel}} \approx 6\%\) when summing over all the relevant channels, which roughly matches the ratio of areas of peaks \((2)\) and \((1)\) amounting to about 20\%. When estimating the probability of ionizing He by collisions with photoemitted electrons in the same way, we find \(P_{\text{ion}} \approx 1\%–2\%\). Unfortunately, this falls below the noise level in our measurements. Besides, in ionizing electron collisions the excess energy is arbitrarily divided between the primary and secondary electrons which leads to a featureless background in the PES instead of a sharp peak as in the case of electron impact excitation.

Note that the elastic electron-He scattering cross section is even larger than the total inelastic cross section for energy-loss collisions by a factor 30.\(^{53}\) This further confirms our interpretation that the He\(^{+}\) atomic ions, for which we measure a very pronounced anisotropy of the coincident electron distribution, stem from the atomic He component accompanying the He droplet beam.

IV. CONCLUSION

Using velocity-map imaging photoelectron-photon coincidence (VMI-PEPICO) measurements we have investigated the photoionization dynamics of pure He nanodroplets in the regimes of direct ionization and autoionization. We present photoelectron distributions measured in coincidence with the most abundant ion masses He\(^{+}\), He\(_2^+\), and He\(_3^+\) in a wide range of photon energies. The He\(_2^+\) mass-correlated photoelectron spectra are interpreted in terms of contributions from ionized He droplets that relax to form He\(_3^+\) and from vertically ionized pairs of nearest neighboring He atoms. The highly anisotropic PAD of the electrons recorded in coincidence with He\(^{+}\) indicate that free He atoms accompanying the droplet beam contribute overwhelmingly to the He\(^{+}\) signal. In contrast, PAD of electrons detected in coincidence with He\(_2^+\) and He\(_3^+\) display significantly reduced anisotropy, presumably due to scattering of the outgoing photoelectron from the He droplet.

In the regime of pure droplet excitation we measure ionization signals which indicate multiple excitation of the droplets that decay by Penning-like ionization even in the range of small droplets \((N \lesssim 20000)\). Future studies at higher photon fluxes as available at free electron lasers will give more insight into the dynamics of multiple and collective excitations. At high photon energies, we observe electron energy-loss processes by inelastic collisions of the photoelectrons with He atoms in the droplets. Such multiple scattering of photoelectrons in clusters is expected to have a severe effect on photoelectron distributions measured in free electron laser experiments.
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