Effects of age, sex, and treatment on weight-loss dynamics in overweight people
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Abstract: The objective of this work was to evaluate how sex, age, and the kind of treatment followed affect weight loss in overweight men and women, as well as to develop an explanation for the evolution of weight-loss dynamics. The study consisted of 119 overweight participants (18–50 years old, body mass index >25 and <29.9 kg·m⁻²), who were randomly assigned to 1 of 4 treatment programs, namely, strength training (n = 30), endurance training (n = 30), a combination of strength training and endurance training (n = 30), and a careful treatment including diet and physical recommendations (n = 29). Each of the training groups exercised 3 times per week for 24 weeks, and their daily diet was restricted to a specific protocol during the testing period and controlled carefully. Body weight changes in the participants were evaluated every 15 days. Based on this study, we developed and validated different sets of equations to accurately capture the weight-loss dynamics. There were no significant differences in terms of global body weight changes from the statistical viewpoint, either regarding the carried out treatment or the individuals’ ages. However, significant differences in weight-loss tendency were found depending on participant sex. We concluded that the effectiveness of different possible treatments for weight loss varies by sex and, based on our experimental observations, a quadratic function provides the most accurate model for capturing specific weight-loss dynamics. This trial is registered at Clinical Trials Gov.: number NCT01116856.
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Introduction

Forty to sixty percent of adults in the western world are actively attempting to reduce their body weight (BW). Nevertheless, overweight and obesity remain 2 highly predominant sources of health problems, suggesting that many options exist to improve the training programs and methods currently used for weight loss (Bendixen et al. 2002; Bish et al. 2005; Serdula et al. 1999).

Several studies have analyzed the factors that may influence weight loss and BW maintenance, and it has been determined that a reduced diet is a prerequisite in any weight-loss program (Ballor et al. 1988; Del Corral et al. 2009; Kraemer et al. 1997; Raatz et al. 2008). Moreover, these studies have indicated that possible weight loss is greater if exercise is included in the overall weight-loss program (Brochu et al. 2009; Ghrouri et al. 2009; Hagan et al. 1986). More precisely, some of these studies have described different weight-loss tendencies with different treatments (Brochu et al. 2009; Del Corral et al. 2009; Larson-Meyer et al. 2010), whereas others have analyzed how variables such as sex (Hagan et al. 1986), psychosocial actions (Jakicic et al. 2008), and lifestyle control (Redman et al. 2007; Volpe et al. 2008) affect weight loss. However, to the best of our knowledge, no study to date has focused on the overall effect of the combined interaction of all these variables on weight-loss tendencies.

In the usual approaches to weight loss, weight is lost very rapidly in the first phase of the program, and the greatest peak in loss occurs precisely 6 months after beginning treatment; however, the weight is regained slowly and usually returns to its initial level (Jeffery et al. 2000; Svetkey et al. 2008). Typically, 30%–35% of the lost weight is regained within a year after treatment (Wadden et al. 2004). Approximately 20% of individuals can be considered as successfully maintaining the results of a weight-loss program, which is defined as losing (at least) 10% of one’s weight and maintaining that loss for a minimum of 1 year (Wing and Hill 2001).
This weight-loss trend has been analyzed in the literature (Hagan et al. 1986; Jakicic et al. 2008; Kraemer et al. 1997; Redman et al. 2007; Volpe et al. 2008), and various studies have proposed mathematical models for weight-loss tendencies, based on BW or body composition changes (Hall et al. 2011, 2012; Thomas et al. 2009). However, none of these existing studies have been able to develop a specific trend line or validate any equation by analyzing variations related to age, sex, or treatment.

Therefore, research is needed to determine a mathematical function that correctly represents weight-loss dynamics and, even more important, to determine how this function behaves depending on the sex and age of the individual, as well as the treatment followed. The purpose of this work was to evaluate the effects of these variables on weight-loss tendencies and to analyze how they can be captured by a general function that represents weight-loss dynamics. This function should enable predictions to be made about future BW losses and help determine the most appropriate treatment for each person.

Materials and methods

A recent paper published by Zapico et al. (2012) provides a detailed description of the type of methodology used in this work. Therefore, in this section, we describe this initial methodology as it was adapted for this study.

Participants

The characteristics of the participants are summarized in Table 1. All the subjects were healthy, with an overweight condition (i.e., a body mass index (BMI) between 25 and 29.9 kg-m⁻²); they were nonsmokers, sedentary (i.e., ≤2 h of exercise per week) (Brochu et al. 2009), and had normal fasting glycemia (Rutter et al. 2012). The female participants had regular menstrual cycles.

All the participants were recruited through advertisement campaigns covering a wide variety of media (television, radio, press, and Internet). The final sample set consisted of 46 middle-aged males (aged 18–50 years) and 73 females, who were all living in the Madrid region of Spain. Because of drop-out, there were 84 participants at the end of the intervention period, and 51 of those answered an online questionnaire about lifestyle and BW evolution 6 months after the intervention (Fig. 1). The participants were classified by age and sex and were divided randomly into a strength-training group (S), an endurance-training group (E), a combined strength- and endurance-training group (SE), and a diet and physical recommendations group (C). An institutionally approved consent document was signed by each individual before the start of the intervention, in agreement with the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki regarding research on human subjects.

In addition, the project was approved by the Human Research Review Committee of La Paz University Hospital (PI-643).

Experimental design

Body composition was assessed through dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA). Resting maximal heart and 15 repetition maximum (15RM) rates were measured before and after the 16-week intervention, and BW was evaluated every 15 days. All the subjects were instructed to continue their usual daily activities from the period right before the intervention period, and accelerometer devices were provided to monitor their physical activity during a full week each month. Then, 6 months after the end of the intervention, all the subjects who completed the study were required to provide their lifestyle information and BW on a Web questionnaire. We used this information to further analyze their respective weight-loss tendencies; no follow-up on their exercise patterns or food intake was performed during these months.

Table 1. Baseline data (n = 84).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Women (n = 48)</th>
<th>Men (n = 36)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>Minimum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>37.29±8.25</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (kg)</td>
<td>73.54±5.89</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>1.62±0.06</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg·m⁻²)</td>
<td>28.01±1.32</td>
<td>25.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat (%)</td>
<td>43.28±3.62</td>
<td>32.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat-free mass (kg)</td>
<td>40.25±4.30</td>
<td>32.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone mineral density (g·cm⁻²)</td>
<td>1.18±0.10</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMR (kcal·d⁻¹)</td>
<td>1485.74±76.44</td>
<td>1353.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: BMI, body mass index; RMR, resting metabolic rate.

*p < 0.05.

*Significantly different from women.
Each training session (in the S, E, and SE groups) started with a 5-min aerobic warm-up routine followed by the session routine and then a 5-min cool-down and stretching exercise. An MP3 audio track was played for each participant to set the execution rhythm of the exercises during all the sessions. HR was monitored by a pulse-meter (Polar RS800CX, Polar Electro, Kempele, Finland). The cadence for the resistance exercises was fixed at 1:2 (concentric-eccentric phase). In the case of S, a session routine
consisted of 8 scheduled exercises (i.e., shoulder press, squat, barbell row, lateral split, bench press, front split, biceps curl, and French press for triceps). For E, running, cycling, or elliptical (self-selected) exercises were the main components of the session routine, whereas the routine for SE consisted of a combination of cycle ergometry, treadmill, or elliptical intercalated with squat, row machine, bench press, and front split. Finally, the participants in C followed the dietary intervention and the physical activity recommendations of the American College of Sports Medicine (Donnelly et al. 2009).

The volume and intensity of the 3 training programs increased progressively. During the adaptation period (i.e., weeks 1–4), the subjects were taught the different exercise routines. During weeks 5 to 8, the exercises were carried out at an intensity of 50% of 15RM and HRR, and the subjects performed 2 laps of the circuit (51 min and 15 s in total). During weeks 9 to 14, the intensity was increased to 60% of 15RM and HRR. Finally, during weeks 15 to 24, the volume was increased to 3 circuit laps instead of 2 (64 min in total). In addition, 5-min recovery periods were established between the circuit laps. The S and SE participants performed 15 repetitions (45") for each exercise, including a rest period of 15 s between repetitions.

Experimental assessments
All the tests were standardized and were conducted by the same test team before and after the intervention. Furthermore, all assessments, data collection, and previous training sessions were carried out using the same devices and the same free-weight volumes (Johnson Health Tech, Iberica, Matrix, Spain).

Body weight
BW was measured in kilograms every 15 days, with a scale precision in the range of 100 g. The participants who were receiving both diet and physical recommendations used their own scales to monitor their BW at home, except for the initial and final measurements. On the contrary, BW follow-up for the individuals in the training groups was performed at the gym with a Tanita scale (TANITA BC-420MA, Bio Lógica, Tecnología Médica SL).

Body composition
Anthropometric measurements included height (stadiometer SECA, range 80–200 cm) and BW (TANITA BC-420MA). The samples characterization was assessed by DXA (GE Lunar Prodigy, GE Healthcare, Madison, Wis., USA), and scan analysis was performed using GE Encore 2002 software (version 6.10.029), measuring the total body fat (%), body fat-free mass (FFM) (kilograms), and bone mineral density (grams·centimetre–2).

Resting metabolic rate
The subjects were asked to refrain from doing any exercise for at least a period of 24 h before the test. To assess the resting metabolic rate (RMR), the participants were cited between 7 h to 10 h, after a 9-h overnight fasted period. The RMR was then measured standing up (for 11 min) and in a lying-down position (for an additional 20 min) by indirect calorimetry. Oxygen consumption (\(\dot{V}\text{O}_2\)) and carbon dioxide production (\(\dot{V}\text{CO}_2\)) were recorded by a gas analyzer (Jaeger Oxygen Pro, Erich Jaeger, Viasys Healthcare, Germany), and resting HR was measured using an HR monitor (Polar RS800CX). All these parameters were averaged during the last 5 min of the standing-up position and the last 10 min of the lying-down position. Finally, \(\dot{V}\text{O}_2\) and \(\dot{V}\text{CO}_2\) data were used to calculate the RMR figures according to the formula proposed by Weir (1949).

Physical activity and DEE
Physical activity was assessed once a month, as described in the previous section, by using a SenseWear Pro3 Armband (Body Media, Pittsburgh, Pa., USA). The subjects were instructed to wear the monitoring system continuously for 5 days, including both weekends and weekdays, while following the general recommendations proposed by Murphy et al. (2009). Data were recorded in 15-min intervals. Finally, DEE was calculated using the body media propriety algorithm (Interview Research Software, version 6.0).

Energy balance, adherence to diet, and exercise
All the subjects were instructed to continue their typical daily activity sequence during the intervention period. At the beginning of the intervention, negative energy balance was calculated, taking into account the DEE and a 3-day food record, to accurately decrease the energy intake by 25%–30% during the intervention period. Energy intake was calculated every 3 months through a 3-day food record, including 2 weekdays and 1 weekend day. The subjects were instructed to accurately record the weights of food consumed whenever possible; otherwise, they were requested to use typical home-related object measurements (spoonfuls, cups, etc.). The energy and nutritional content of the food consumed was calculated subsequently using DIAL software (Alce Ingeniería 2004). Finally, to determine diet compliancy, the obtained values were compared with the recommended dietary intakes for the Spanish population (Ortega et al. 2004). The subjects were required to report the kind, duration, and intensity of any physical activity, as well as the amount of any food intake, during the intervention period by recording everything in a notebook on a daily basis.

Adherence to diet recommendations was calculated as the estimated kilocalories of the diet divided by the real kilocalorie intake, as a percentage (estimated kilocalories of diet/real kilocalorie intake) × 100), 100% being the greatest adherence. Adherence to exercise was calculated as the number of completed sessions with respect to the theoretical sessions (sessions performed/total sessions) × 100). Greater than 90% adherence to the training sessions and greater than 80% adherence to the diet were required.

Determination coefficients of the functions to assess weight-loss dynamics
The BW values obtained every 15 days were used to derive the functions to assess the dynamics of weight loss by applying different types of regression methods. In particular, 5 different regression equations (linear, power law, exponential, logarithmic, and quadratic) were calculated, and the best coefficients were obtained and compared.

Statistical analyses
The data were analyzed statistically using PASW Statistics, version 18.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Ill., USA). Data are presented as means ± SD. A multivariate analysis of variance (ANOVA) (MANOVA) was employed to analyze the baseline measurements for sex, age, and treatment. Next, an analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) with repeated measures was used to compare the initial and final BW by sex, age, and group, with diet and exercise adherence as covariates. A 3-way ANOVA with repeated measures (in the sixth and 12th month) was used to compare the FFM and the RMR changes by treatment. In addition, multiple evaluations were made with the Bonferroni post hoc test. Finally, we considered values of p below 0.05 to be statistically significant.

Results
Baseline characteristics
The characteristics of the 84 individuals who completed the intervention are shown in Fig. 1. MANOVA revealed differences according to sex in BW (kilocalories) \(F_{(1,62)} = 79.071, p < 0.001\), BMI \(F_{(1,62)} = 14.436, p < 0.001\), body fat \(F_{(1,62)} = 18.0, p < 0.001\), and RMR \(F_{(1,62)} = 259.379, p < 0.001\) at baseline.
All these values were higher for the men than for the women, except for body fat (%). Finally, no differences were found in these baseline variables by taking age range and treatment into account (p > 0.05).

**FFM, RMR, and DEE**

After the intervention period, FFM decreased only in C (−0.913 ± 1.635 kg, p < 0.05); it remained unaltered in S, E, and SE (0.189 ± 0.874, −0.376 ± 1.249, and 0.023 ± 1.618, respectively; p > 0.05). The RMR was significantly decreased in all groups (S: −62.94 ± 1.635 kg, E: −78.54 ± 58.8 kcal, SE: −104.35 ± 44.75 kcal; and C: −52.93 kcal; E: −78.54 ± 58.8 kcal, SE: −104.35 ± 44.75 kcal; and C: −52.93 kcal; E: −78.54 ± 58.8 kcal, SE: −104.35 ± 44.75 kcal; and C: −52.93 kcal). The DEE decreased significantly in all groups (S: −200.971 ± 290.36 kcal, and −24.895 ± 386.14 kcal, respectively; p > 0.05). Similarly, within each treatment, significant differences were found between the sexes (S: −111.22 ± 60.79; E: −80.41 ± 28.2; SE: −165.57; and C: −165.57). The DEE decreased consistently in both sexes (women: −56.904 ± 36.645 and men: −111.22 ± 60.79; p < 0.05). In the case of the men, those participants aged 41 to 50 years reduced their BW by 7.49% in S, by 9.97% in E, by 10.52% in SE, and by 8.1% in C (p < 0.05). In the case of the women, those participants aged 31 to 40 years reduced their BW by 7.33% in S, by 9.38% in C (p < 0.05). BW was also reduced for the men aged 31 to 40 years following the E, SE, and C treatments (by 6.09%, 11.64%, and 10.22%, respectively; p < 0.05). For those participants aged 18 to 30 years, BW was reduced only in the case of treatments E and SE (by 16.55% and 8.33%, respectively; p < 0.05).

**Functions of weight-loss dynamics**

Table 2 shows the extracted coefficients (R²) used to apply a linear, power law, exponential, logarithmic, and quadratic fit to the BW changes, according to sex. For this analysis, the sample set consisted of the 51 subjects who completed the online form 6 months after the end of the intervention. When the R² values were compared, significant differences were found between the sexes (F₁,242 = 12.686, p < 0.001) and among the types of function (F₂,242 = 11.271, p < 0.001). The observed statistical powers for these comparisons were 0.944 and 0.999, respectively. Figures 2 and 3 represent the 5 types of functions analyzed in both sexes at months 6 and 12.

At 6 months, no differences between the women and the men (p > 0.05) were revealed among the different equations. In both cases, it is shown that the quadratic function has the highest coefficient (0.893 ± 0.16 for the women and 0.948 ± 0.051 for the men) and the power law function has the lowest (0.779 ± 0.209 for the women and 0.878 ± 0.09 for the men). The men have the higher R² in the 5 functions; it is significantly higher in the power law (0.878 ± 0.09) and logarithmic (0.882 ± 0.089) functions than is the women’s R² (0.779 ± 0.209 and 0.784 ± 0.211, respectively) (p < 0.05). At 12 months, the R² value is decreased in all functions of each subgroup was not sufficient to perform a more thorough statistical analysis.
for both sexes compared with the $R^2$ at 6 months ($p < 0.05$), except in the quadratic function, in which it remains higher (0.864 ± 0.204 for the women and 0.927 ± 0.064 for the men). In this case, the men’s $R^2$ values are not significantly different from those of the women. In the women, the quadratic function has the highest $R^2$ value, and it is different from the other 4 functions ($p < 0.05$). In the men, the $R^2$ for the quadratic function is the highest as well, but it is not significantly different from all the other models. The quadratic function for the men is shown to be differentiated clearly from the linear (0.575 ± 0.228) and the exponential (0.583 ± 0.231) fitting functions.

### Discussion

The main purpose of this study was to evaluate the effects of the variables sex, age, and treatment on weight loss and how they affect the function that represents these dynamics. This study showed that BW loss is affected mainly by sex, because age and treatment did not appear to influence BW loss, and that the function that best fits the BW loss dynamics for both sexes is the quadratic function.

Abdominal fat loss could have been used as an appropriate metric because of its higher rates of pathogenesis; however, from a practical point of view, a study of the dynamics of weight loss is more interesting to health professionals, because weight loss is the main concern for people following any weight-loss program. We analyzed the BW loss in kilograms, because it is the easiest measurement that a person can obtain every 15 days (only a scale is necessary). Other measurements, such as body fat (%), require more complex equipment, and a large spectrum of measurement possibilities exists (e.g., anthropometric, BIA, DXA, etc.). Even though a possible limitation was that we did not assess the DXA value more frequently, we believe it was not necessary to perform a DXA every 15 days because it is an expensive method and, moreover, it is not recommended for humans because of its high frequency exposure. During the exercise intervention, any weight loss would have been a loss of fat mass because it has been demonstrated that exercise preserves lean tissue during any period of significant weight loss (Janssen et al. 2002; Janssen and Ross 1999; Rice et al. 1999). Hence, it was enough to measure BW to conclude that the person had a new water balance or a decrease in fat mass (%), although water rebalancing tends to occur in the early stages of exercise. In fact, this situation was observed in this study, because the training groups conserved FFM.

In addition, our diet and physical recommendations group was not completely sedentary. This group carried out some exercise according to physical activity recommendations, as happens in real life when a person begins a weight-loss program, but it appears that these recommendations were not sufficient to maintain the FFM. Therefore, when regulated exercise is not included in a weight-loss program, as in C, part of the BW loss is due to the loss of FFM. For this reason, although weight loss was significant in this group (except for the men aged 18–30 years), we advocate including physical exercise to maintain FFM in any weight-loss program, which would contribute to maintaining the body’s overall energy expenditure rate (Ravussin et al. 1986) and has significant cardiometabolic health benefits (Larson-Meyer et al. 2010). However, because exercise cannot guarantee a 25% caloric use constraint by itself (i.e., it requires approximately 120 min for women and 90 min for men, which is very difficult to guarantee with the busy professional lives today (Redman et al. 2007)), we recommend a combination of diet and exercise.

### BW loss

As explained previously, to achieve weight loss in any program, it is necessary to generate a negative energy balance, mainly by a restrictive diet because a significant decrease in BW cannot be achieved with exercise alone (Villareal et al. 2011). In this study, it was generated by the 25%–30% CR that was combined with the training protocols in some groups. To analyze the weight loss, we took into account the 6-month intervention period. There was a significant reduction in BW in both sexes, according to the results obtained by Hagan et al. (1986) and Redman et al. (2007) in the CALERIE Study. As people age, there is a loss of FFM and a decrease in RMR (Kraemer et al. 1997; Pavlou et al. 1986; Redman et al. 2007). This can produce a smaller weight loss in middle-aged than in younger people. Nevertheless, it does not occur when the intervention is controlled and supervised (Ghoubi et al. 2009) and the diet is individually adapted to the DEE estimated by accelerometry, as in this study. Our results do not show differences in weight loss among the types of treatment. A growing body of literature supports this finding, indicating that exercise, in combination with dietary restriction, leads to similar reductions in BW (Brochu et al. 2009; Crnecvë-Orlič et al. 2008; Deibert et al. 2007; Larson-Meyer et al. 2010; Redman et al. 2007). Similar BW changes were obtained in other 6-month interventions with overweight populations. In those cases, BW was reduced by approximately 10% (Crnecvë-Orlič et al. 2008; Jakicic et al. 2008; Larson-Meyer et al. 2010; Redman et al. 2007, 2009). Hagan and Kraemer showed a reduction in BW of around 10% in a 3-month intervention, which differed from previous findings. Hagan’s results can be explained by the 1200 kcal-day−1 diet intervention and the endurance exercise treatment, which took place 5 days-week−1 instead of 3 days-week−1, and Kraemer’s results can be explained by the exercise intensity, which was from 70% to 80% of functional capacity for the endurance exercise and 5 to 10 repetition maximum for the strength exercise (Kraemer et al. 1997). Moreover, Del Corral et al. (2009) obtained greater BW reductions (about 15.6%) with 800 kcal-day−1 meals provided twice a week. When interventions are conducted in a clinic (Del Corral et al. 2009), greater results are obtained. However, our results are closer to real life, because each

### Table 3. $R^2$ of the linear, power law, exponential, logarithmic, and quadratic equations from the body weight evolution by sex (n = 51).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equation</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>Men</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 mo</td>
<td>12 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear</td>
<td>0.830 ± 0.244</td>
<td>0.502 ± 0.275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power law</td>
<td>0.779 ± 0.209</td>
<td>0.675 ± 0.258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exponential</td>
<td>0.833 ± 0.246</td>
<td>0.530 ± 0.271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logarithmic</td>
<td>0.784 ± 0.211</td>
<td>0.638 ± 0.239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quadratic</td>
<td>0.893 ± 0.160</td>
<td>0.864 ± 0.204</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significantly different from 6 months, $p < 0.05$.
†Significantly different from exponential equation, $p < 0.05$.
‡Significantly different from linear equation, $p < 0.05$.
§Significantly different from power law equation, $p < 0.05$.
*Significantly different from quadratic equation, $p < 0.05$.

Note: Data are presented as means ± SD.
Fig. 2. Functions and $R^2$ of the dynamics of weight loss in women. Data are presented as means and SE.
Fig. 3. Functions and $R^2$ of the dynamics of weight loss in men. Data are presented as means and SE.
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6 months

Linear
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$R^2 = 88.71$

Potential

$y = 89.723x - 0.044$
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Exponential
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Logarithmic
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$R^2 = 88.29$

Quadratic

$y = 0.0349x^2 - 1.2298x + 89.53$

$R^2 = 94.82$

12 months

Linear
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Potential
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Exponential
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Quadratic
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$R^2 = 92.71$
participant prepared his or her own meals at home, which leads to a long-lasting acquisition of healthy habits. Figures 2 and 3 show how the weight loss was maintained for at least 6 months.

Functions of weight-loss dynamics

In our study, the BW loss in the 6-month program matches a quadratic function well. Furthermore, these results are similar beyond 6 months of weight maintenance. Our study was based on monitoring the BW evolution during the intervention, as well as when the intervention ended (i.e., when the participants returned to a completely autonomous lifestyle). The 6-month intervention may have been a limitation of this study because it has been shown in previous studies that weight is regained over a longer term. Jakicic et al. (2008) showed that in an intervention similar to ours, after a similar weight loss at 6 months, BW increased progressively to 24 months. The decrease in physical activity after month 6 and the lack of compliance with dietary recommendations may have contributed to regaining the BW (Jakicic et al. 2008). In the future, it would be interesting to use a longer intervention period and to determine the different factors that influence the dynamics of weight loss.

The expected further weight reduction (or even maintaining the weight loss) may have been difficult to achieve after the intervention period because of hormonal factors (Hagopian et al. 2009), a decline in DEE, genetics characteristics (Bray 2008), a decline in adherence to diet (Acharya et al. 2009; Svetkey et al. 2008), an energy intake substantially higher than reported by the subjects (Heymsfield et al. 2007, Lichtman et al. 1992), or a metabolic adaptation (MA) (Heilbronn et al. 2006; Martin et al. 2007). In fact, MA occurs when the body adapts to CR by decreasing RMR. This adaptation occurred in our sample, because the RMR was decreased in the sixth month for all treatments, even in the training groups that maintained FFM. This MA was also observed in CR clinical studies with durations of between 3 and 8 months, in which RMR decreased by approximately 6%, which supports our results of a decrease of 4.8% (Apfelbaum et al. 1971; Bray 1969; Heilbronn et al. 2006). There appears to be considerable variance in how much MA inhibits individual weight loss (Heilbronn et al. 2006; Martin et al. 2007). For example, Pavliou et al. (1986) reported that even without kilojoule restriction, obese individuals are characterized by a suppressed RMR when compared with individuals of normal BW and body composition. Redman et al. (2009) showed that the total DEE was lower during weight loss with 25% CR and tended to be lower during weight-loss maintenance. Brochu et al. (2009) and Hunter et al. (2008) showed this decreased RMR in a 6-month intervention. However, the strength trainers group from Hunter’s study did not decrease the RMR that led to maintenance of RMR following a return to energy balance, as this group trained at 65–80% of the 1 repetition maximum, an intensity higher than ours. This means that, to maintain RMR and avoid MA, exercise intensity should be high in any weight-loss program based on CR. This “physiological adaptation” is postulated to be an integral factor in protecting against excessive weight loss during CR and, importantly, predisposing to weight regain in postobese individuals (Leibel et al. 1995). In other studies, a 10% weight loss in lean subjects resulted in a 10–15% lower energy requirement for weight maintenance. These adaptations in the metabolic rate may be explained by an improved metabolic efficiency of the skeletal muscle or, as also postulated, by a reduction in physical activity with weight loss, because the energy cost of physical activity is proportional to BW (Hall 2010; Leibel et al. 1995; Rosenbaum et al. 1996, 2003). An interesting phenomenon also observed in different CR studies is the change in nonexercise activity thermogenesis (NEAT). The impact of NEAT on resistance to change in body mass has been the focus of several studies, which found that physical activity levels decreased over 3 months of CR (Hirsch 2003; Leibel et al. 1995; Levine et al. 1999; Martin et al. 2007; Sims 1976). In short, a combination of all these factors may contribute to a reduction in the usual course of weight-loss dynamics, even to an increase in BW after the 6-month intervention period, as has been demonstrated previously (Jeffery et al. 2000), thus describing the BW loss as a quadratic function.

Conclusions

In conclusion, in this study of weight-loss programs with 25%–30% of CR, BW was significantly decreased in both sexes, regardless of age and type of treatment followed. According to the results of this study, the weight loss achieved by an individual (male or female) during a 6-month intervention period similar to the one developed in this work can be represented by any of the 5 functions (linear, power law, exponential, logarithmic, and quadratic), but the quadratic fitting achieves the best results. Moreover, after 6 months of the intervention period, the best representation of the weight evolution is shown by the quadratic function formulation for both women and men. Future research is needed to determine if this conclusion and the developed models can be extrapolated to other weight-loss programs.
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