Doping incorporation paths in catalyst-free Be-doped GaAs nanowires
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The incorporation paths of Be in GaAs nanowires grown by the Ga-assisted method in molecular beam epitaxy have been investigated by electrical measurements of nanowires with different doping profiles. We find that Be atoms incorporate preferentially via the nanowire side facets, while the incorporation path through the Ga droplet is negligible. We also show that Be can diffuse into the volume of the nanowire giving an alternative incorporation path. This work is an important step towards controlled doping of nanowires and will serve as a help for designing future devices based on nanowires. © 2013 American Institute of Physics.

Semiconductor nanowires have stimulated extensive interest in the last decade because of their potential as building blocks in future generations of electronic, optoelectronic devices as well as for energy conversion and applications.1–5 In order for nanowires to become a technological reality, control of the conductivity by doping is extremely important. Doping involves the incorporation of impurities with a small ionization energy, which can transfer carriers to either the conduction or valence band. The incorporation paths of dopants in nanowires have been discussed in the last few years, in views of controlling their concentration and position in the nanowire. For this, the understanding of the growth process of a nanowire is important. Bottom-up grown nanowires are typically formed via the vapor-liquid-solid (VLS) mechanism,6–8 where a nanoscale liquid droplet acts as a catalyst for nanowire crystal formation. It is generally accepted that incorporation paths of dopants are similar from the growth precursors and result either from the radial growth of the nanowire or diffusion through the catalyst.9–14 Still, one may consider a third path, which consists on the diffusion of dopants in the core and/or from the shell to the nanowire core. The relevance of this path should depend on the coefficient of diffusion of the dopant and the growth temperatures used.15 Typical dopants with a high diffusion coefficient in III-Vs are Be and Te.16,17 In this work, we investigate the doping of GaAs nanowires with Be. By measuring the spatial dependence of the conductivity of nanowires deposited with a flow of Be under different conditions, we identify the incorporation paths and discuss the advantages and limitations of this dopant. In principle, this work can be extrapolated to other III-V materials.

In the correlation between the electrical conductivity and dopant incorporation, three main incorporation paths will be distinguished as schematized in Fig. 1: (i) axial incorporation through the VLS mechanism, (ii) radial incorporation through the VS mechanism and as an extension of the latter, and (iii) diffusion of the dopants from the shell to the core in the volume of the nanowire.

The nanowires were grown on (111) Si using a self-catalyzed (VLS) method,18–20 with a Ga deposition rate corresponding to a nominal growth of 0.27 Å/s, for times ranging between 30 and 60 min (Table I), at 630 °C substrate temperature, and a V/III flux ratio of 60.21 The vertical growth rate for all the nanowires was around 15 μm/h. The p-doping was achieved by adding a flux of beryllium either during growth of the core or a posteriori during the growth of a shell. The shell was obtained at a lower temperature (465 °C), switching the As source from As4 to As2 and increasing the V/III ratio to 150.22 To give some insight into the Be incorporation mechanisms during axial VLS and radial VS growth of self-catalyzed GaAs nanowires, six different types of growths with varying doping profiles were carried out, see Table I.

To give a reasonable estimate of the effective carrier concentrations, the effective resistance in the nanowires was measured by carrying out 4-point electrical measurements at room temperature23 on around 50 samples. The high number of devices was obtained within a reasonable time frame with our auto-contacting software. The electrical contacts

---

**Fig. 1.** Processes that influence the Be incorporation in GaAs nanowires: VLS mechanism, possibility of growing a doped shell (VS) and diffusion of dopants during the growth process.
The average carrier concentration in GaAs at room temperature can be obtained from the relation \( p = N_A \), where \( N_A \) is the doping density. One can use the equation

\[
N_A = \frac{\sigma}{\mu e},
\]

where \( e \) is the electrical charge of the electrons, \( \mu \) is the mobility of the electrons, and \( \sigma \) is the conductivity. We assume \( \mu = 31 \text{ cm}^2/(\text{V} \cdot \text{s}) \), as recently measured in similar nanowires\(^{26} \) and doping concentration range.\(^{27} \) The fundamental parameter we extract from the electrical measurements is the current crossing the nanowire. In order to extract the doping concentration, the following effects should be taken into account: (i) non-uniform radial doping distribution, (ii) surface effects such as depletion and dopant deactivation, and (iii) diffusion of dopants during the growth process. Our model considers these three effects, as it is shown in the following.

We start by presenting the effect of surface band bending, especially important for small diameters and/or low doping concentrations. The exposure of nanowires to ambient conditions causes the formation of a thin oxide layer on the surface. This results in the pinning of the Fermi level at the surface. In GaAs, the pinning occurs near the middle of the bandgap, thereby producing a depletion layer close to the surface.\(^{28} \) In a semi-classical model, the spatial dependence of the band bending is obtained by considering the difference \( \varphi \) of the Fermi level at surface states with respect to the Fermi level of the bulk. The variation of \( \varphi \) in the nanowire geometry can be described by the Poisson equation in cylindrical coordinates

\[
\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r \frac{\partial \varphi(r)}{\partial r} \right) = -\frac{eN_A}{\varepsilon_0}. \tag{2}
\]

This equation can be solved by using the boundary conditions of a vanishing electric field at the surface: \( \varphi(r_0) = \Phi \), where \( \Phi \) corresponds to the pinning position of the Fermi level (in the relevant doping level range, the difference in fermi level and valence band level is negligible compared to the surface potential which is found to be 0.5 V in p-type GaAs.\(^{29} \) The result is an implicit function for the nanowire depletion layer width \( w \) which depends on the nanowire radius \( r_0 \) and the doping concentration \( N_{\text{shell}} \):\(^{30} \)

\[
-\frac{w^2}{2} + r_0w - (r_0 - w)^2 \ln \left( \frac{r_0}{r_0 - w} \right) = \frac{2\varepsilon_0\Phi}{eN_{\text{shell}}}.
\tag{3}
\]

The values of the depletion region \( w \) as a function of the doping concentration and for two different nanowire radii are shown in Figure 3. For high doping concentrations, the depletion region can be as small as few nm. It increases rapidly for lower doping concentrations. Already in the case of doping concentration close to \( 10^{18} \text{atoms/cm}^3 \), the depleted region corresponds to several tens of nm. For example, a
nanowire with \( r_0 = 40 \text{ nm} \) would be completely depleted and therefore insulating for carrier concentrations below \( 1 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3} \).

The actual doping profile in the nanowire depends also on the diffusion process during growth, which is non-negligible for Be in GaAs.\(^{15,31}\) In fact, during axial growth, there will be a concentration of Be adatoms on the nanowire sidewalls which can be incorporated.\(^{18,23}\) The concentration of Be in the vicinity of the nanowire surface is kept constant during axial growth, which is non-steady state concentration at the surface during growth, \( p_0 \). Diffusion of Be from the surface to the nanowire core is driven by the gradient in Be concentration. It is sufficient to solve the one-dimensional diffusion equation, which gives\(^{32}\)

\[
p(x, t) = p_0 \times erfc \left( \frac{x}{2 \times (Dt)^{1/2}} \right),
\]

where \( p_0 \) is the doping concentration at the interface, \( x \) is the distance from the interface, \( t \) is the diffusion time, and \( D \) is the diffusion coefficient

\[
D = D_0 \times e^{-E_0/kT},
\]

where for Be diffusion in GaAs, \( D_0 = 0.655 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s} \) and \( E_0 = 2.43 \text{ eV} \).\(^{32}\) The diffusion length is defined as the distance with which the concentration is 1/e of the shell concentration (Table II). As schematically drawn in Fig. 4, the existence of a depletion region and the diffusion of Be strongly modify the range of electrically active part of the nanowires.

\[
\int_0^{r_0} \int_0^{2\pi} p(x, t) x \sin(\theta) dx d\theta = \frac{L}{\mu R e} \int_0^{\pi/2} \left( \frac{r}{r-w} - \frac{w^2}{2} \right) - \frac{2e_0 \Phi}{en_{\text{shell}}} = 0,
\]

where \( R/L \) is the resistance per unit length, \( n_{\text{shell}} \) is the shell concentration, and the doping distribution \( (p(x, t)) \) is

\[
p(x, t) = \begin{cases} 
N_{\text{shell}} \times \text{erfc} \left( \frac{x}{2 \times (Dt)^{1/2}} \right) & \text{core} \\
N_{\text{shell}} & \text{active part of shell} \\
0 & \text{depletion region}
\end{cases}
\]

For doping concentrations below \( 5 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3} \), the depletion region is larger than the shell thickness. This concerns samples 1, 3, and 4. These three samples exhibit a very low conductivity. By taking into account the depletion region at the surface, we can extract the doping concentration at the shell and find that it is very close to the nominal doping concentration. For higher doping concentrations, the depletion region reaches few nm and affects much less the overall conductivity. We should point out that electrical measurements performed along the nanowire axis with multiple contacts showed a homogeneous conductivity along the nanowire. It is interesting to note that even though the incorporation of Be via VLS mechanism is negligible, it is possible to dope the nanowires almost homogeneously during axial growth provided the Be flux is high. For nominal doping concentration higher than \( 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3} \), the highly doped shell and the long growth time results into a strong diffusion

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Sample & Carrier conc. & Shell conc. & Total shell & \multicolumn{2}{c|}{(1/e) Diff.} \\
& cm\(^{-3}\) & (atoms/cm\(^3\)) & (nm) & (nm) & \\
\hline
1 & \((1 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{15}\) & \((1.2 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{18}\) & 4 & 27 & 38 \\
2 & \((3 \pm 1) \times 10^{19}\) & \((5 \pm 1) \times 10^{19}\) & 8 & 3.5 & 53 \\
3 & \((5 \pm 3) \times 10^{15}\) & \((5 \pm 1) \times 10^{17}\) & 36 & 50 & 46 \\
4 & \((1 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{17}\) & \((6 \pm 3) \times 10^{17}\) & 36 & 9 & 46 \\
5 & \((3 \pm 2) \times 10^{18}\) & \((5 \pm 3) \times 10^{18}\) & 14 & 12 & 38 \\
6 & \((4 \pm 1) \times 10^{18}\) & \((7 \pm 2) \times 10^{18}\) & 34 & 10 & 38 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Carrier concentration, shell doping concentration calculated with the model described previously, total expected shell, depletion region \((w)\), and diffusion length defined by the distance which the concentration is 1/e of the shell concentration.}
\end{table}
of Be inside the core, leading to an almost fully doped nanowire. This is extremely advantageous, for example, in the case where an ohmic contact with a substrate is relevant (e.g., solar cells).

In conclusion, we have shown that the Be atoms are mostly incorporated from the side facets and that the incorporation through the Ga droplet is negligible. The doping concentration is homogeneous along the nanowire and can be tuned between $6 \times 10^{17}$ and $5 \times 10^{19}$ cm$^{-3}$.
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26. The structure of the nanowires is zinc blende with some twinning, similarly as what we have observed in the case of Si-doped nanowires in similar conditions.