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Motivation

- Face recognition under uncontrolled conditions is a popular research area due to its scientific challenges and applications.
- Easily affected by head pose, illumination and facial expression changes, and occlusions and aging.
- Potential applications: video surveillance, smart cards, HCI, electronic services such as e-banking and e-home, etc.
- We propose a robust face recognition/verification system which works reliably under uncontrolled conditions.

Our Contribution & Approach

- The main contributions of this work are:
  - Comprehensive local curvature Gabor feature extraction, selection and fusion of classifiers,
  - Overall system design using modifications on existing methods and smart combination of them,
  - The best reported performance in the literature.
- Our robust face recognition system consists of:
  - Face registration, CG feature extraction, generation of CG classifiers, Sequential Forward Floating Search-based classifier selection, Log-likelihood ratio (LLR) based classifier fusion.

Gabor & Curvature Gabor Wavelets

- Gabor wavelets: Defined as the multiplication of cosine/sine waves with Gaussian kernel window, optimally localized in both spatial and frequency domain.
- Curvature Gabor wavelets: A typical face image contains facial components such as eyes, nose, cheeks, lips, and eyebrows. Since these components show curved characteristics rather than straight ones, it is natural to use curvature kernels as well as straight ones.

Face Registration & Feature Extraction

- Face registration:
  - Parameters: eye centers and inter-ocular distance, information content vs. noise trade-off

Feature extraction:
- Resolution (HR) images are used, i.e., 128x160 pixels
- Local feature extraction is performed
  - full convolution of a CG wavelet with the HR face image,
  - Spatial partitioning of each magnitude image into 20 non-overlapping local blocks of size 32x32 pixels,
  - Downscaling the features in each local block by averaging.
- Local feature extraction provides the following advantages:
  - Overcomes the problem of local information loss
  - Makes the system robust to registration errors
  - Provides relatively lower dimensionality

Classifier Generation

- CG block features are L2-normalized before the subspace analysis to centralize the data and normalize the variance.
- We perform PCLDQA, that is applying PCA followed by LDA on each block's normalized features independently.
- This results in 20 local block classifiers based on nearest neighbor with normalized cross correlation as similarity metric.
- The decision of each block classifier is accumulated to form a single image classifier.
- Since there are 5 x 6 x 20 parameter configurations, 20 CG classifiers are generated in overall.

Selection of Classifiers

- Each of 20 CG wavelets is good at representing some particular features; therefore, some classifiers have complementary information when combined with others.
- We adopt a widely used feature selection algorithm, SFFS, to exploit this complementariness.
- SFFS-based classifier selection algorithm:
  1. Initialization: \( Y_0 = \{0\}, V_{\max} = 0, 0 = 0 \)
  2. While \( k < 20 \) and \( \Phi(k) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \omega_i \) is not achieved:
    - Increment of a classifier: \( \Phi(k+1) = \Phi(k) + \omega_k \)
    - Evaluation of a classifier: \( \Phi(k+1) = \Phi(k) + \gamma \omega_k \)
    - Selection of a classifier (backtrack): \( \Phi(k+1) = \Phi(k) + \gamma \omega_i \)
- Since there are 5 x 6 x 20 parameter configurations, 20 CG classifiers are generated in overall.

Fusion of Classifiers

- Following from Neyman-Pearson lemma [1], we perform LLR-based score fusion for combining selected classifiers to achieve a higher separability between classes.
- Deluling densities as Gaussian distributions, Gaussian parameters are computed from the training set.
- We also combine global CG classifier obtained above with the local DCT classifier [2] at score level by learning the weights with a statistical technique, PLSR.
- PLSR is trained on a randomly generated subset of the training set.

Experiments & Results

- We evaluated our system on FRGC v2.0. Exp. 4 dataset [3].
- Results on individual CG classifiers:
  - The best individual classifier achieves 91.05% by a conventional wavelet (0.0, 1.17) while the worst one achieved 81.36% by a curvature wavelet (0.2, 1.51).
  - Results on individual block classifiers of the best individual image classifier:
  - Results on fusion of CG classifiers:
  - Fusion of 8 classifiers achieves 93.46%, that is better than the best reported result in literature.
  - Selected wavelet kernels by SFFS-based classifier selection:
  - Fusion of final fused CG classifier and the local DCT classifier improves the verification rate to 94.16%.
- Therefore, we achieved the best verification rate on this dataset reported in the literature.

Performance comparison with previous work

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Features</th>
<th>ROC %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wang, 2006</td>
<td>Holistic Hybrid Fourier</td>
<td>74.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kumar, 2006</td>
<td>Holistic Gabor with PCA</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tan, 2007</td>
<td>Holistic Gabor + LBP</td>
<td>83.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Su, 2005</td>
<td>Holistic Fourier + Local Gabor</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu, 2009</td>
<td>Local DCT + LBP + Local Gabor</td>
<td>93.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gao, 2010</td>
<td>Multi-res. Local Gabor + Local DCT</td>
<td>92.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang, 2011</td>
<td>Holistic ECG</td>
<td>90.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed</td>
<td>Local CG</td>
<td>93.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed</td>
<td>Local CG + Local DCT</td>
<td>94.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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