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#### Abstract

Rheology of powder suspensions is a key factor in many processing routes, and better understanding of the parameters that control the rheology improves technical progress by reducing the empirical factors in the formulation of powder slurries. The strict requirements for the production of transparent polycrystalline alumina demand a fundamental understanding of powder handling and processing steps. The use of dopants ( $\mathrm{Mg}, \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{La}$ ) has proven useful, but produce noticeable effects on suspension rheology and influence the choice of the processing route. With the prospect of spray granulation of such slurries, the rheological behavior of doped alumina suspensions was investigated from a fundamental approach taking into account slurry and particle characteristics by computing the inter-particle potentials. The information proved by the inter-particle interactions was then used in a yield stress model which successfully predicted the rheological behavior of the doped alumina slurries. A strategy for improved slurry formulation is presented.


## 1. Introduction

Rheology represents an important aspect of many domains, including concretes, food technology, paints, and pharmaceuticals, as well as ceramic processing. In particular for ceramics, suspension rheology is crucial in a variety of processing steps such as slip casting ${ }^{1-3}$, injection molding ${ }^{4,5}$, screen printing ${ }^{6}$, tape casting ${ }^{7}$, and extrusion ${ }^{8}$. Also for dry forming processes, the quality of the granules depends on the suspension rheology during spray drying ${ }^{9}$. To obtain dense and homogeneous granules, high solid load and low viscosity slurries are required. The rheology behavior of ceramic suspensions depends on the colloidal stability, determining the degree of interaction or agglomeration of the particles.

The colloidal stability depends on the inter-particle forces comprising both (1) attractive van der Waals forces as well as (2) repulsive electrostatic and steric forces ${ }^{10}$. Most formulations for wet ceramic processing slurries are the result of trial and error. Although efforts have been made to link the inter-particle forces with slurry formulation, it is often only treated qualitatively via zeta potential or viscosity measurements ${ }^{11}$. Although there are many limitations with computing interparticle forces and potentials (i.e. particle shape), knowledge-based based progress in ceramic processing cannot proceed without understanding the key factors determining the rheological properties. A first step towards better understanding is the computation of inter-particle forces (hamaker.epfl.ch) ${ }^{12}$ which can be applied to the prediction of the hetero-coagulation of silica and alumina slurries ${ }^{1,13}$.

Besides the inter-particle forces, other key parameters in the rheological behavior of ceramic suspensions include the solid load and particle shape. When solid loadings are above 30 vol. $\%$, ceramic slurries often show a yield stress caused by an attractive network of particles. This can be beneficial for certain forming methods such as tape casting ${ }^{14}$ or low pressure injection molding ${ }^{15}$, where a certain yield stress is desired to avoid flow after passing the high shear region. A model to predict the yield stress for concentrated particulate suspensions (YODEL) ${ }^{10,16}$ has been recently
developed and applied to cement suspensions ${ }^{17,18}$. It is derived from first principles taking into account inter-particle forces, suspension microstructure, and particle size distributions, allowing a better understanding of the key parameters controlling suspension rheology.

In this paper, we investigate the aqueous dispersion of $\alpha-\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ powders in concentrated slurries for the freeze granulation process. The aim is to produce granules for dry pressing and subsequent sintering using Pulsed Electric Current Sintering (PECS), also referred to as Spark Plasma Sintering (SPS), to produce transparent polycrystalline alumina (PCA). Previous studies on freeze-dried powders have shown that doping had a beneficial effect on the real in-line transmittance (RIT) ${ }^{19}$, the best RIT being $57 \%$ compared to $86 \%$ for single crystal sapphire ${ }^{20}$. Other processing routes namely, colloidal processing followed by natural sintering and post hot isostatic pressing - have also shown very promising results, with RITs above $60 \%{ }^{21,22}$. One of the key factors for this improvement was attributed to careful colloidal processing ${ }^{23}$ and the elimination of any aggregates or agglomerates. However, one of the drawbacks for the application of these transparent PCAs at an industrial level is the lack of simple processing methods such as dry pressing.

Freeze drying is often used to avoid formation of aggregates on drying, but does not produce the free-flowing powder needed for industrial scale dry-pressing. Freeze granulation, however, has been demonstrated to be a very promising method for producing dense high quality granules with homogeneous distribution of additives ${ }^{24,25}$. To achieve high solid loadings and low viscosities required for freeze granulation, we have investigated the effects of different concentrations of dopants $\left(\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}, \mathrm{La}^{3+}, \mathrm{Y}^{3+}\right)$ on the slurry rheological behavior, then used inter-particle force modeling ${ }^{12}$ along with the YODEL yield stress modeling ${ }^{10,16}$ to provide further insight. We show that YODEL correctly models the behavior of these ceramic slurries, allowing us to predict the rheological behavior, improve the slurry compositions and thus the granule properties.

## 2. Materials and experimental methods

The alumina powder used in this study was a polyhedral near-spherical high purity $\alpha-\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ with a median particle size $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{v} 50}$ of 510 nm (Mastersizer S, Malvern Instruments, UK), having a total impurity concentration of less than 0.01 mass\% ( $\leq 5 \mathrm{ppm}$ for $\mathrm{Si}, \mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{Mg}, \mathrm{Cu}$ and Fe ), a specific surface $\mathrm{S}_{\text {BET }}$ of $4.2 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{g}$, and an isoelectric point (IEP) of 9.86 (AcoustoSizer II, Colloidal Dynamics, USA). For the sintering experiments, doping has been introduced into the powders via dopant nitrates in suspension before freeze drying. Sintering was performed at $1350^{\circ} \mathrm{Cand} 1400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by PECS (Dr. Sinter 2050, Sumitomo Coal Mining Co., Tokyo, Japan), as described in detail elsewhere ${ }^{19}$. After mechanical polishing, thermal etching was performed in air at $1150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes (heating rate $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ ) in order to reveal the grain boundaries at the sample surface. These were then imaged in an SEM (FEG JSM-7000, JEOL, Japan), with the grain size distributions subsequently determined using image analysis with imageJ and an applied grain size correction factor of 1.249 for random surface cuts through the grains ${ }^{26}$.

For the rheology tests, the powder was dispersed in 0.0001 M HNO before addition of the dopant nitrate(s), themselves also previously dissolved in $0.0001 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}$. After stirring and ultrasonication for 15 minutes of the 25 mL suspension in an ultrasonic bath, the slurries were loaded in a rheometer (RheoStress RS100, Haake, Germany) using the Couette type DIN 53019 (for high viscosity) or double gap DIN 53544 (for low viscosity) setup, and kept at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}+-0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by a thermostatic bath. The data acquisition was performed three times on the same slurry with the same cycle with one minute waiting time between the cycles. The cycle used was: (1) ramp from 0$200 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ in 90 seconds, (2) hold at $200 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for 60 seconds, and (3) decrease from 200-0 $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ in 90 seconds. The yield stress was determined using the Bingham model by taking the average on the three decreasing shear rate curves. The interaction energies are calculated using the Hamaker 2 software, selecting the non-retarded and the Hogg-Healy-Fuerstenau models for the dispersion and the electrostatic forces, respectively.

Zeta-potential measurements (AcoustoSizer II, Colloidal Dynamics, USA) were performed by dispersing 4 g of powder into 156 g of $\mathrm{HNO}_{3} 0.01 \mathrm{M}$ ( $2.5 \mathrm{wt} \%$ suspension) and titrating over a pH range from 2-10 by addition of KOH . To avoid double-layer compression affecting the zeta-potential measurement and thereby remain close to real investigated ionic concentrations, no further electrolyte was added for the titration.

The YODEL model ${ }^{10,16}$ was used to predict the yield stress for suspensions from first principles by taking into account inter-particle forces, suspension microstructure, and particle size distributions. The model predicts the yield stress using the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=m_{1} \frac{\phi\left(\phi-\phi_{0}\right)^{2}}{\phi_{\max }\left(\phi_{\max }-\phi\right)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi_{\max }$ is the maximum packing of the dispersed powder, $\phi_{0}$ is the percolation threshold, and $m_{1}$ is a prefactor that accounts for particle size, particle size distribution, and inter-particle forces. The prefactor $m_{1}$ can be described by assuming that the contact points among particles have an average fixed radius of curvature $a^{*}$, which itself depends on the particle shape more than on the particle size. This takes into account that the inter-particle forces do not simply scale with the particle size - derived for smooth spheres - and that faceted particles may have much smaller contact curvatures. $m_{1}$ can then be written as:

$$
m_{1}=\frac{1.8}{\pi^{4}} G_{\max } a * u_{k, k}\left(\frac{f_{\sigma, \Delta}^{*}}{R_{v, 50}^{2}}\right)
$$

equation (2)
where $G_{\text {max }}$ is the maximum attractive inter-particle force normalized by the radius of curvature at the contact points. For van der Waals attraction with a Hamaker constant $A_{0}$ and a minimum separation distance $H$, this can be then written as:

$$
G_{\max } \cong \frac{A_{0}}{12 H^{2}}
$$

The particle size distribution is taken into account by the factors, $u_{k, k}$, and $R_{v 50}$ and $f_{\sigma, \Delta}^{*}$, described elsewhere in more detail ${ }^{10,16}$.

## 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1. Microstructural characterization of PECS sintered PCAs

To investigate the possible reasons underlying the lower RITs found for samples sintered by PECS from freeze dried powders ${ }^{19}$ compared to those prepared by natural sintering followed by post-HIP for colloidally-produced samples ${ }^{22}$, microstructural analysis was performed. From the SEM images of the PECS sintered samples, it can be observed that there is a tendency for bimodal microstructures to develop, especially at higher sintering temperatures. Indeed, one observes many cases in which the grain growth occurs in concentrated spots surrounded by unaffected areas with small grain sizes (Fig. 1).

The observed bimodal tendency increases with increasing sintering temperature, and is favored by the absence of $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ doping and/or increased grain growth, as shown in Fig. 2 for the $450 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{Y}^{3+}, \mathrm{La}^{3+}\right)$ and $225 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{3+}, \mathrm{La}^{3+}\right)$-doped samples sintered at $1350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $1400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The signature of the bimodal characteristic are the plateaus observed in the cumulative grain size distribution curves, which are very weak at $1350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ but more pronounced at $1400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In fact at $1350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the sintering temperature is insufficient for extensive grain growth to occur and both microstructures are highly similar representing a small plateau at the upper grain size edge. At $1400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ however, the thermal energy is sufficient for the system to tend towards a thermodynamic equilibrium state after full densification and grain growth, supposedly starting from the inhomogeneities caused by powder agglomeration, occurs.

To understand the origin of these microstructural trends, a particle size distribution analysis was performed on the powders before and after doping followed by freeze drying (Fig. 3). From the cumulative particle size distributions, it can be observed that freeze granulation increases the apparent particle size by agglomeration, resulting in multimodal distributions. This heavy agglomeration can be mostly reversed during an ultrasonic horn treatment for 15 minutes, but the tail of the particle size distribution indicates residual agglomerates. These results suggest that particle agglomeration during freeze drying may cause inhomogeneous powder compacts and therefore favor inhomogeneous sintering and grain growth development.

As the optical properties are dominated by the fraction of the largest grains ${ }^{27}$, elimination of hard agglomerates is essential. Although this could in principle be achieved by milling, the high purity required for transparent PCA excludes this possibility, instead favoring a colloidal-based process. With dry pressing still in mind, we are currently investigating freeze granulation ${ }^{28}$ for which the rheological behavior of the powder suspensions is a key parameter. In general, highly stable and fluid suspensions are required with minimal aggregates or agglomerates and low viscosity (<0.25 Pa.s) at moderate shear rates $\left(100 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$.

### 3.2. Rheology and modeling approach

Initial tests on the dispersion of the pure alumina showed slurries (at $35.7 \mathrm{wt} \%$ ) with Newtonian rheology when dispersed in nitric acid ( 0.0001 M ) at pH values between 4 and 5 . Previous work, however, has shown that the use of dopants is necessary for PECS sintering of transparent PCA ${ }^{19}$. The effect of dopant addition in the form of nitrate salts on the rheological behavior of alumina slurries is illustrated in Fig. 4. These results show that dopant addition increases the viscosity (higher shear stresses for the same shear rate), and also causes a yield stress to appear. This appearance of a yield stress can be interpreted as an increase in the inter-particle interaction force due to the compression of the electrical double-layer with the increasing ionic concentration. As expected from the Schulze-Hardy rule, the higher the cation charge at constant cationic concentration, the higher
the effect on the double layer thickness and hence on the measured shear stress. The difference observed between the $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ and the $\mathrm{Y}^{3+}$ or $\mathrm{La}^{3+}$ shear stress curves is significant, which is not the case for between the $\mathrm{Y}^{3+}$ and $\mathrm{La}^{3+}$ themselves (Fig. 4). The reasonable linearity of the shear stress observed beyond shear rates of $30 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ allowed us to use the Bingham model to determine the yield stress by linear extrapolation to zero shear rate.

With the yield stress and increased viscosity, freeze granulation was not possible with these doped suspensions. $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Y}^{3+}$ were selected for further analysis and to better understand and model the observed yield stresses from inter-particle potential calculations ${ }^{12}$ and the yield stress model (YODEL) ${ }^{10,16}$. For $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Y}^{3+}$, rheology experiments were performed at two different dopant cation concentrations for varying solid load contents, as summarized in Table 1.

In order to compare the measured yield stresses with the predictions using YODEL with the volume particle size distribution, several parameters have to be determined: (1) the Hamaker constant, (2) the minimum separation distance, (3) the contact curvature, (4) the percolation threshold, (5) the maximum packing density, and (6) the volume particle size distribution. These parameters can depend on the material, the powder, the suspension, or any combination of these, and so must be specified accordingly, as enumerated below.
(1) The Hamaker constant depends only on the material and suspension system, and for $\alpha-\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ in an aqueous system has a value of $3.67 * 10^{-20} \mathrm{~J}{ }^{29}$.
(2) The minimum inter-particle separation distance varies for each of the powder suspensions summarized in Table 1, and was assumed to be equal to the distance of the secondary minimum of the interaction energy curves. Calculating these energy curves using the Hamaker 2 software ${ }^{12}$ requires the following data input: (a) the zeta-potential, (b) the particle size, and (c) the zeta plane.
(a) The zeta-potential has been experimentally measured (Fig. 5) over a range of pH levels, having a value of 80 mV at the relevant $\mathrm{pH}=4$. (b) For the calculations, the $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{v} 50}=510 \mathrm{~nm}$ of
the volume particle size distribution has been used as a representative value for the whole system. (c) The zeta-potential plane for each suspension type was assumed to be equal to the Debye length (electrical double-layer thickness) ${ }^{30}$, calculated (Table 2) using the ionic concentrations given in Table 1.

Using these inputs, the interaction energy results calculated by Hamaker $2^{12}$ are plotted in Fig. 6, and the minimum separation distances obtained from the secondary minima are summarized in Table 2.
(3) The distinct faceting of the powder used in this study as revealed by SEM and TEM investigations (Fig. 7) complicates the assignment of a characteristic contact curvature (CC). Since the YODEL model demands a unique characteristic value of the contact curvature, this is instead determined as a fitting parameter for a given powder slurry, with bounding values being the range observed in the SEM and TEM images. In the present case, the fitting of the YODEL predictions with the experimental yield stress values gives a contact curvature of 37 nm , which seems reasonable from the particle shape. Once the value is defined for a given powder, it is kept as a constant for modeling of other suspension conditions of the same powder.
(4) The percolation threshold (PT) is another parameter which is not straightforward to determine. Variations of the percolation threshold can be, as in the present case, qualitatively verified by sedimentation tests. Higher percolation values result in larger sedimentation volumes, and vice versa. However, the percolation threshold depends not purely on the powder but also on the suspension characteristics, and can therefore vary with factors such as the ionic concentration or the ionic species. In general, the PT represents the variation observed between the theoretical and practical maximum packing. Even though for one characteristic diameter of the particle size distribution (e.g. $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{v} 50}$ ) the suspension is said to be stable, smaller particle fractions or particles presenting smaller contact curvature can agglomerate and thereby reduce the maximum packing. Because of the difficulties related to a correct determination of the percolation threshold, it has
been used in the present study as a fitting parameter with the obtained values qualitatively verified by sedimentation tests. At 0.0044 M , the sedimentation volume was $2.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ and $3.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ for $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ and $Y^{3+}$, respectively, indicating a lower percolation threshold for the $Y^{3+}$.
(5) The maximum (volume) packing (MP) density represents the theoretical maximum packing density that can be achieved with the studied powder suspension. It therefore depends only on the unagglomerated particle size distribution of the powder, as measured by laser diffraction. One way to determine the maximum packing density is to prepare green bodies by filter pressing from a perfect powder suspension. In the present study, filter pressing experiments showed that the maximum particle packing is 0.64 for dispersions in nitric acid at $\mathrm{pH} 4-5$ and has been taken as a constant for all the powder suspensions.

Fig. 8 (a) and (b) illustrate the effect of the CC, PT, and MP on the yield stress versus volume fraction curve for our alumina suspension. The effect of changing one parameter at a time is compared to a reference plot of $\mathrm{CC}=50 \mathrm{~nm}$, PT of 0.1 , and MP of 0.6 .

With increasing contact curvature, the yield stresses increase at a given volume fraction, but the ratio between the yields at different volume fractions remains relatively unchanged. Increasing the percolation threshold affects the ratio between the yields at different volume fractions. It can be observed higher percolation thresholds flatten the curve at lower solid loads but increase the slope at higher volume fractions - i.e., more particles are required to affect the yield, but then slight changes in the solid load have increased effects. Finally, varying the maximum packing shifts the critical volume fraction (equal to the maximum packing) at which the yield rapidly increases.

### 3.3. Modeling results

The experimental results and the model curves are represented in Fig. 9 and the parameters used for the YODEL calculations summarized in Table 3. The contact curvature and the maximum packing have been kept constant for all the powder slurries, and the percolation threshold was kept constant
within the $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ or $\mathrm{Y}^{3+}$ doped slurries, respectively. With these parameters, a very good fit of the experimental data points could be obtained at 0.0044 M (Fig. 9 (b)) for both the $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Y}^{3+}$ powder slurries. At 0.0019 M (Fig. 9 (a)) the fitting is still reasonable but seems to overestimate the yield stresses at the lower volume fractions. The overall good fitting of the experimental trends with the corresponding parameters supports the applicability of the YODEL model for the predictions of the yield stresses for these alumina powder suspensions.

The decrease in the percolation threshold for the Y suspension compared to the Mg suspensions is in good agreement with the sedimentation volume tests. The results show that it is not possible to produce high volume fraction slurries with the low viscosity needed to for the preparation of dense homogenous granules in freeze drying. The normal procedure followed when electrostatic stabilization is not possible is to instead use steric stabilization. This route was not initially chosen in order to minimize the possible problems of carbon contamination after binder burnout, which produces poor optical properties for the PCA when using the rapid PECS sintering technique ${ }^{31}$. From the inter-particle energy curves, steric barriers will have to be $5-10 \mathrm{~nm}$ to eliminate these secondary minima and not increase the well depth. This would require relatively large molecular weight polymers adsorbed in a brush-like configuration (e.g. poly-acrylic acid 10-15,000 Mw). The second alternative is to increase the ionic strength and use smaller adsorbed layers. This strategy was attempted and gave relatively satisfactory results by using PAA (Mw 2000 and 5000) (ammonium salt) in basic media (pH 9-10) reducing the yield stress from above 8 Pa to below 5 Pa even with a binder and plasticizer for the dry pressing of the granules. Solid fractions were, however, limited to $30 \%$, possibly because of $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ complexation with the carboxylate groups of the PAA, reducing the effective adsorbed layer thickness. Currently, alternative dispersants like cross-linked and comb-like co-polymers (e.g. PAA-PEG ${ }^{32}$ ) should be less sensitive to ionic strength and limit the effect of the secondary minimum.

Although the inter-particle energy and yield stress modeling have helped us identify the secondary minimum as the cause of the change in the rheological behavior, there are further steps needed to make the modeling more quantitative. The current limitations are that some of the required input parameters for the model predictions need preliminary experimental fitting of the missing parameters from several powder suspensions with varying solid loads at the required conditions. In particular, future work on the yield stress model will focus on the effects of the suspension conditions on the maximum particle packing and the percolation threshold, in order to more accurately discern these key parameters. This will allow a step further towards accurately predicting the yield stresses with a minimum of experimental requirements.

## 3. Conclusions

Inhomogeneities in PECS/SPS sintered ceramics have been identified as being one of the limitations in the currently achieved best real in-line transmittances (RITs). This was traced to the presence of hard agglomerates produced on freeze drying of the powder. Attempts to freeze granulate welldispersed slurries were prohibited by poor rheological properties when the dopants necessary for the production of transparent polycrystalline alumina were added to the slurries. Inter-particle energy modeling and an existing yield stress model for suspensions (YODEL) gave an accurate fit of the experimental yield stresses. This allowed us to identify the secondary minimum of the interaction potential, brought about by the increased ionic concentration, as being the main contributor to the change in rheological properties. A strategy for the use of polymeric stabilization was determined and at least in part successful. Further work on the several parameters used in the yield stress modeling, namely the maximum packing fraction and percolation limit, are needed to be able to provide accurate predictions of yield stresses with a minimum of fitting or experimental input. Such a tool will be valuable in problem-solving and improving the formulation of slurries for general ceramic processing.
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Table 1: Summary of the various ionic concentrations present in the studied powder suspensions and their origins.

| Dopant | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cation }_{\text {dopant }} \\ {[\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$dopant [mol/L] | [mol/L] | $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$acid [mol/L] | $\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}$total [mol/L] | Total ionic [mol/L] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mg | 0.00440 | 0.0088 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0089 | 0.0223 |
| Y |  | 0.0132 |  |  | 0.0133 | 0.0311 |
| Mg | 0.00196 | 0.0039 |  |  | 0.0040 | 0.0101 |
| Y |  | 0.0059 |  |  | 0.0060 | 0.0140 |

Table 2: Summary of the results for the zeta plane used to calculate the interaction energy curves and the resulting minimum separation distances used for the YODEL calculations.

|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Cation } \\ \text { dopant } \\ \text { [mol/L] }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Debye length } \equiv \\ \text { zeta-plane } \\ \text { [nm] }\end{array}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}Secondary minimum distance \equiv <br>

minimum separation distance <br>
[nm]\end{array}\right]\)

Table 3: Summary of the input parameters for the YODEL model predictions.

| Dopant | Cation $_{\text {dopant }}$ conc. [mol/L] | Min. separation [nm] | Contact curvature [nm] | Percolation threshold [-] | Max. packing [-] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mg | 0.00440 | 24.9 | 37 | 0.14 | 0.64 |
| Y |  | 17.1 |  | 0.1 |  |
| Mg | 0.00196 | 40.2 |  | 0.14 |  |
| Y |  | 27.3 |  | 0.1 |  |

Fig. 1: Microstructure of a 450 ppm total cationic ratio $\left(\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}, \mathrm{La}^{3+}\right)$-doped alumina sample sintered by PECS at $1400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under 100 MPa uniaxial pressure. It can be observed that grain growth starts localized in spots (highlighted) surrounded by unaffected areas.

Fig. 2: Cumulative grain size distribution curves for the $450 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}, \mathrm{Y}^{3+}, \mathrm{La}^{3+}\right)$ and $225 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{3+}\right.$, $\mathrm{La}^{3+}$ )-doped samples sintered at $1350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $1400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Increasing sintering temperature increases the distribution range and favors bi- or multi-modal grain size distributions, especially in absence of $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ cations as indicated by the plateaus in the distribution curves.

Fig. 3: Cumulative powder size distribution curves, before and after freeze drying (FD). Powder agglomeration occurs during freeze drying which cannot be fully be reversed by a 15-minute ultrasonic-horn treatment (UH15).

Fig. 4: Effect of dopant addition $\left(\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}, \mathrm{Y}^{3+}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{La}^{3+}\right)$ on the shear stress and apparent yield stress of an alumina powder dispersion in $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ at pH 4 and 42 vol.\% powder load. The cationic dopant concentration for all of the dopants is 0.0065 M .

Fig. 5: Zeta-potential measurements by KOH 0.5 M titration in $0.01 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}$. At pH 4 the zeta potential is relatively stable around 80 mV . Since total ionic concentration is very close to the actual concentration in the investigated powder suspensions, the zeta-potential value has been fixed at 80 mV for the calculations.

Fig. 6: (a) Calculated interaction energies for the different powder suspension conditions. All the suspensions are stable against agglomeration (cf. high energy barrier). (b) Close-up of the secondary minima of the interaction energies for the different powder suspensions studied. Increasing ionic concentrations and increasing cation charge reduce the secondary minimum distance and compresses the electrical double layer.

Fig. 7: (a) SEM image and (b) TEM image of the used powder. It is fairly equiaxed and faceted in shape. The contact curvature is difficult to estimate but is much smaller than for spherical shapes of the same size. A range from 30 to 60 nm represents a fair estimate.

Fig. 8: Suspension yield stress as a function of the powder volume fraction and its variation compared to a reference curve with a contact curvature (CC) of 50 nm , a percolation threshold (PT) of 0.1, and a maximum packing fraction (MP) of 0.6 . (a) Effect of CC reduction from 50 to $25 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{PT}$ and MP increase from 0.1 to 0.19 and 0.6 to 0.65 , respectively. (b) Effect of CC increase from 50 to $75 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{PT}$ and MP decrease from 0.1 to 0.01 and 0.6 to 0.55 , respectively.

Fig. 9: Experimental and modeled yield stress curves as function of the powder volume fraction. (a) Results for $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Y}^{3+}$ at $0.0019 \mathrm{M}(b)$ Results for $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Y}^{3+}$ at 0.0044 M .

