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#### Abstract

The classification of simple biset functors is known, but the evaluation of a simple biset functor at a finite group $G$ may be zero. We investigate various situations where this happens, as well as cases where this does not occur. We also prove a closed formula for such an evaluation under some restrictive conditions on $G$.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $k$ be a field. The biset category $k \mathcal{C}$ is the $k$-linear category whose objects are finite groups, with morphisms $\operatorname{Hom}_{k \mathcal{C}}(H, G)=k B(G, H)$, where $B(G, H)$ is the Burnside group of $(G, H)$-bisets and $k B(G, H)=k \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} B(G, H)$. A biset functor is a $k$-linear functor from $k \mathcal{C}$ to the category $k$-Mod of $k$-vector spaces. The category of biset functors is an abelian category and is used in various ways in representation theory, see [Bo2].

The classification of simple biset functors was obtained in [Bo1]. They are parametrized by equivalence classes of pairs $(H, V)$, where $H$ is a finite group and $V$ is a simple $k \operatorname{Out}(H)$-module. We write $S_{H, V}$ for the simple functor associated to the pair $(H, V)$. However, the problem of describing the evaluation of simple functors at specific finite groups is much harder. In the present paper, we consider the question of the possible vanishing of such evaluations. We are interested in both questions of vanishing and non-vanishing.

This question is related to the problem of describing all simple modules for the double Burnside ring $k B(G, G)$, because any simple $k B(G, G)$-module has the form $S_{H, V}(G)$ for some $(H, V)$, and conversely any evaluation $S_{H, V}(G)$ is either zero or a simple $k B(G, G)$-module. We refer to $[\mathrm{BST}]$ and $[\mathrm{BD}]$ for this related question.

For other types of functors, there are explicit formulas for the evaluations of simple functors. This holds in particular for Mackey functors for a fixed finite group $G$ (see Proposition 8.8 in [TW]) and for global Mackey functors and inflation functors (see Theorem 2.6 in [We]). Thus the vanishing of evaluations of such simple functors can be checked, at least in principle, by watching directly the formula. The situation is much more complicated for general biset functors, whenever both inflation and deflation are present (as well as restriction and induction). No closed formula for the evaluation of a simple functor is known. The most general known results are, on the one hand, a description of $S_{H, V}(G)$ as the image of a suitable linear map (see Theorem 4.3.20 in [Bo2]), and on the other hand, a formula for its dimension in terms of the rank of a suitable bilinear form (see Theorem 7.1 in [BST]). But neither of those results allows for an easy way to determine whether or not the evaluation is zero. The essential purpose of the present paper is to give some answers to this question.

In Section 3, we give some easy conditions which guarantee the non-vanishing $S_{H, V}(G) \neq 0$. Then we prove in Section 4 a general criterion, which has the disadvantage of being difficult to apply. In Section 5, we describe a suitable
subquotient of $S_{H, V}(G)$, which implies a non-vanishing condition. Finally in Section 6, we prove that a closed formula for the evaluation at $G$ of a simple functor exists under some restrictive conditions on $G$ and we immediately deduce a criterion for the vanishing of this evaluation. Various special cases can then be handled, as shown in Section 7.

## 2. Preliminaries

We review some known facts about biset functors. For more details, we refer to [Bo1] and [Bo2]. Given two finite groups $G$ and $H$, the Burnside group $B(G, H)$ is the Grothendieck group of the category of finite ( $G, H$ )-bisets and $k B(G, H)=k \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} B(G, H)$. In particular, $k B(G, G)$ is a finite dimensional $k$-algebra, called the double Burnside ring of $G$.

A section of a finite group $G$ is a pair $(T, S)$ of subgroups of $G$ such that $S$ is a normal subgroup of $T$. In that case, the group $T / S$ is called a subquotient of $G$. We write $H \sqsubseteq G$ when the group $H$ is isomorphic to a subquotient of $G$ and we write $H \sqsubset G$ if $H \sqsubseteq G$ and $H \nsubseteq G$ (hence $|H|<|G|$ ). We also write $N_{G}(T, S)$ for the normalizer of the section, that is, the set of all $g \in G$ such that $g T g^{-1}=T$ and $g S g^{-1}=S$. If $(T, S)$ is a section of $G$, then there are elementary bisets $\operatorname{Res}_{T}^{G}, \operatorname{Def}_{T / S}^{T}, \operatorname{Ind}_{T}^{G}, \operatorname{Inf}_{T / S}^{T}$, and their composites $\operatorname{Defres}_{T / S}^{G}$ and $\operatorname{Indinf}_{T / S}^{G}($ see Section 2.3 in [Bo2]). Also any group isomorphism $\sigma: G \rightarrow G^{\prime}$ defines a ( $G^{\prime}, G$ )biset $\mathrm{Iso}_{\sigma}$. Given finite groups $G$ and $H$, any transitive $(G, H)$-biset has the form $\operatorname{Indinf}_{B / A}^{G} \operatorname{Iso}_{\sigma} \operatorname{Defres}_{T / S}^{H}$, where $(B, A)$ is a section of $G,(T, S)$ is a section of $H$, and $\sigma: T / S \rightarrow B / A$ is a group isomorphism (see Lemma 3 in [Bo1] or Lemma 2.3.26 in [Bo2]).

If $k I(G, G)$ is the ideal of $k B(G, G)$ generated by all $(G, G)$-bisets which factorize through a proper subquotient of $G$, then $k B(G, G) / k I(G, G) \cong k \operatorname{Out}(G)$, where $\operatorname{Out}(G)=\operatorname{Aut}(G) / \operatorname{Inn}(G)$ is the group of outer automorphisms of $G$. In particular, any $k \operatorname{Out}(G)$-module can be viewed as a $k B(G, G)$-module, with $k I(G, G)$ acting by zero.

The biset category $k \mathcal{C}$ is the $k$-linear category whose objects are finite groups, with morphisms $\operatorname{Hom}_{k \mathcal{C}}(H, G)=k B(G, H)$ (note that a $(G, H)$-biset is a morphism from $H$ to $G$ ). The composition of morphisms, which we often write $\circ$, is the $k$-linear extension of the usual products of bisets $U \times_{H} V$. Recall that, if $U$ is a $(G, H)$-biset and $V$ is an $(H, L)$-biset, then $U \times_{H} V$ is a $(G, L)$-biset in the obvious way.

A biset functor is a $k$-linear functor from $k \mathcal{C}$ to the category $k$ - $\operatorname{Mod}$ of $k$ vector spaces. The category of all such biset functors is abelian. A biset functor is called simple if it is non-zero and has no proper non-zero subfunctor. Recall the classification of simple functors (see Section 4 in [Bo1] or Section 4.3 in [Bo2]).
2.1. Proposition. Let $S$ be a simple biset functor, let $H$ be a group of minimal order such that $S(H) \neq 0$, and let $V=S(H)$.

1. $H$ is unique up to isomorphism.
2. The ideal $k I(H, H)$ acts by zero on $V$ and $V$ is a $k \mathrm{Out}(H)$-module.
3. $V$ is a simple $k \operatorname{Out}(H)$-module.
4. If $S(G) \neq 0$ for some finite group $G$, then $H \sqsubseteq G$.

This provides a parametrization of simple functors by (equivalence classes of) pairs $(H, V)$ where $H$ is a finite group and $V$ is a simple $k \operatorname{Out}(H)$-module. We write $S_{H, V}$ for the simple functor as in the statement, so that $H$ is its minimal group and $S_{H, V}(H)=V$.

We shall need a direct description of simple functors as quotients of suitable standard functors and we now recall this construction, which appears in [BST]. Let us fix a finite group $H$ and consider the representable functor $k B(-, H)$. For every finite group $G$, define

$$
k I(G, H):=\sum_{J \sqsubset H} k B(G, J) B(J, H) .
$$

Then $k I(-, H)$ is a subfunctor of $k B(-, H)$ and we define

$$
k \bar{B}(-, H)=k B(-, H) / k I(-, H)
$$

For any finite group $G$, the evaluation $k \bar{B}(G, H)$ has a natural structure of right $k \operatorname{Out}(H)$-module, because the right action of $k I(H, H)$ is zero. This structure depends on $G$ and we need to describe it more precisely.

We let $\Sigma_{H}(G)$ be the set of all sections $(T, S)$ of $G$ such that $T / S \cong H$, and we let $\left[\Sigma_{H}(G) / G\right]$ be a set of representatives of $G$-orbits in $\Sigma_{H}(G)$. For every $(T, S) \in \Sigma_{H}(G)$, we choose an isomorphism $\sigma_{T, S}: H \rightarrow T / S$. The group $N_{G}(S, T)$ acts by conjugation on $T / S$ and therefore $\bar{N}_{G}(S, T)=N_{G}(T, S) / T$ maps into the group $\operatorname{Out}(T / S)$. We use the isomorphism $\sigma_{T, S}$ to transport the image of this map to a subgroup of Out $(H)$, that is, we define $\Gamma_{G}(T, S)$ to be the subgroup of $\operatorname{Out}(H)$ consisting of all elements induced by automorphisms $\sigma_{T, S}^{-1} \operatorname{Conj}_{g} \sigma_{T, S}$, where $g \in N_{G}(T, S)$. If $\sigma_{T, S}$ is replaced by $\sigma_{T, S} \alpha$ where $\alpha \in$ $\operatorname{Aut}(H)$, then $\Gamma_{G}(T, S)$ is replaced by $\bar{\alpha}^{-1} \Gamma_{G}(T, S) \bar{\alpha}$, where $\bar{\alpha} \in \operatorname{Out}(H)$ is the class of $\alpha$. Thus the conjugacy class of $\Gamma_{G}(T, S)$ only depends on $(T, S)$.
2.2. Lemma. The $k$-space $k \bar{B}(G, H)$ is a permutation right $k \operatorname{Out}(H)$-module decomposing as follows :

$$
\begin{aligned}
k \bar{B}(G, H) & =\bigoplus_{(T, S) \in\left[\Sigma_{H}(G) / G\right]} \overline{\operatorname{Indinf}_{T / S}^{G}} \circ k \bar{B}(T / S, H) \\
& \cong \bigoplus_{(T, S) \in\left[\Sigma_{H}(G) / G\right]} k\left[\Gamma_{G}(T, S) \backslash \operatorname{Out}(H)\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\overline{\operatorname{Indinf}_{T / S}^{G}}$ denotes the image of $\operatorname{Indinf}_{T / S}^{G}$ in $k \bar{B}(G, H)$.

Proof : By Lemma 7.2 in $[\mathrm{BST}], k \bar{B}(G, H)$ has a basis consisting of the set of elements of the form $\operatorname{Indinf}_{T / S}^{G} \circ \operatorname{Iso}_{\sigma}$, where $(T, S) \in\left[\Sigma_{H}(G) / G\right]$, and where $\sigma: H \rightarrow T / S$ runs over all isomorphisms, up to left composition by conjugation by elements of $N_{G}(T, S)$ (because $\operatorname{Indinf}_{T / S}^{G}=\operatorname{Indinf}_{T / S}^{G} \circ \operatorname{Conj}_{g}$ whenever $\left.g \in N_{G}(T, S)\right)$. This provides the first decomposition of the statement. Now for any fixed section $(T, S)$, we have a fixed isomorphism $\sigma_{T, S}: H \rightarrow T / S$ and we obtain a permutation right $k \operatorname{Out}(H)$-module

$$
\overline{\operatorname{Indinf}_{T / S}^{G}} \circ k \bar{B}(T / S, H)=\overline{\operatorname{Indinf}_{T / S}^{G}} \circ \overline{\overline{\operatorname{Iso}}_{\sigma_{T, S}}} \circ k \operatorname{Out}(H)
$$

The generator $\overline{\operatorname{Indinf}_{T / S}^{G}} \circ \overline{\operatorname{Iso}_{\sigma_{T, S}}}$ has $\Gamma_{G}(T, S)$ as a stabilizer in $\operatorname{Out}(H)$. The result follows.

For any left $k \operatorname{Out}(H)$-module $V$, we define the functor

$$
\bar{L}_{H, V}=k \bar{B}(-, H) \otimes_{k \mathrm{Out}(H)} V
$$

This has a subfunctor $\bar{J}_{H, V}$ defined as follows (see Remark 4.5 in [BST]) :

$$
\bar{J}_{H, V}(X)=\left\{\sum_{i} \bar{\phi}_{i} \otimes v_{i} \in \bar{L}_{H, V}(X) \mid \forall \psi \in k B(H, X), \sum_{i}\left(\overline{\psi \circ \phi}_{i}\right) \cdot v_{i}=0\right\}
$$

where $\phi_{i} \in k B(X, H)$ and $\bar{\phi}_{i}$ denotes its image in $k \bar{B}(X, H)$. When $V$ is a simple $k \operatorname{Out}(H)$-module, we obtain the following result.
2.3. Proposition. Suppose that $V$ is a simple $k \operatorname{Out}(H)$-module.

1. $\bar{J}_{H, V}$ is the unique maximal subfunctor of $\bar{L}_{H, V}$.
2. $\bar{L}_{H, V} / \bar{J}_{H, V} \cong S_{H, V}$.
3. For every finite group $G$ and for any fixed non-zero element $v \in V$,

$$
\bar{J}_{H, V}(G)=\left\{\bar{\phi} \otimes v \in \bar{L}_{H, V}(G) \mid \forall \psi \in k B(H, G),(\overline{\psi \circ \phi}) \cdot v=0\right\}
$$

4. For every finite group $G, \bar{L}_{H, V}(G)$ is generated by all elements of the form
 such that $T / S \cong H$ and $\sigma_{T / S}: H \rightarrow S / T$ is a fixed isomorphism.

Proof : (1) and (2) follow from Proposition 4.4 in [BST]. Since $V$ is generated by any of its non-zero elements $v,(3)$ is a consequence of the description of $\bar{J}_{H, V}(X)$ given above. Finally (4) is a consequence of Lemma 2.2 above.

## 3. Some easy cases

We have seen that $S_{H, V}(G)$ vanishes if $H$ is not isomorphic to a subquotient of $G$. Also, $S_{H, V}(H)=V \neq 0$. This is of course the starting point in our investigation of vanishing or non-vanishing of evaluations. The following is another elementary result.
3.1. Lemma. Let $S_{H, V}$ be a simple biset functor. If $H$ is isomorphic to a quotient group $G / N$ of $G$, then $S_{H, V}(G) \neq 0$.

Proof : We have bisets $\operatorname{Inf}_{G / N}^{G}$ and $\operatorname{Def}_{G / N}^{G}$ which satisfy $\operatorname{Def}_{G / N}^{G} \circ \operatorname{Inf}_{G / N}^{G}=$ $\mathrm{id}_{G / N}$. Thus we have maps

$$
S_{H, V}(G / N) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Inf}_{G / N}^{G}} S_{H, V}(G) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Def}_{G / N}^{G}} S_{H, V}(G / N)
$$

whose composite is the identity. Since $S_{H, V}(G / N) \neq 0$ (because $G / N \cong H$ by assumption), we must have $S_{H, V}(G) \neq 0$.

The lemma suffices to obtain the following result for the evaluation at an abelian group.
3.2. Proposition. Let $S_{H, V}$ be a simple biset functor. If $H \sqsubseteq G /[G, G]$, then $S_{H, V}(G) \neq 0$. In particular, if $H \sqsubseteq G$ and if $G$ is abelian (hence $H$ too), then $S_{H, V}(G) \neq 0$.

Proof: In view of the structure theorem for finite abelian groups, any subquotient of the finite abelian group $G /[G, G]$ is isomorphic to a quotient of $G /[G, G]$, hence to a quotient of $G$. Then the result follows from Lemma 3.1.

Our purpose is to generalize Lemma 3.1 and we need the following notions. The set of all sections of $G$ is partially ordered by the relation $\preceq$ defined as follows : $(V, U) \preceq(T, S)$ if and only if $V \leq T$ and the inclusion $\alpha: V \rightarrow T$ induces an isomorphism $\bar{\alpha}: V / U \rightarrow T / S$ (or in other words $V S=T$ and $V \cap S=U)$.

Two sections $(B, A)$ and $(T, S)$ are said to be linked if $(B \cap T, A \cap S) \preceq(B, A)$ and $(B \cap T, A \cap S) \preceq(T, S)$ (see 4.3.11 in [Bo2] or Section 2 in [BT2]). In that case, the composition of the canonical isomorphisms

$$
\phi_{B / A, T / S}: T / S \xrightarrow{\sim}(B \cap T) /(A \cap S) \xrightarrow{\sim} B / A
$$

maps $x S$ to $x A$ for every $x \in B \cap T$ and is called the isomorphism induced by the linking. We write $(B, A)-(T, S)$ whenever $(B, A)$ and $(T, S)$ are linked.
3.3. Lemma. Let $(B, A)$ and $(T, S)$ be two sections of $G$. The following are equivalent :
a) $(B, A)-(T, S)$,
b) $|B / A|=|T / S|, S(B \cap T)=T$, and $S(A \cap T)=S$.

Of course, the last equality is equivalent to $A \cap T \leq S$, but we shall need below the equality as stated.

Proof : We know that $(B, A)$ and $(T, S)$ generate a butterfly diagram, as in Lemma 2.3 of [BT2], and the two sections

$$
(A(B \cap S), A(B \cap T)) \quad \text { and } \quad(S(B \cap T), S(A \cap T))
$$

are linked. If now $S(B \cap T)=T$ and $S(A \cap T)=S$, then the second section is $(T, S)$. Thus $(T, S)$ is linked to $(A(B \cap S), A(B \cap T))$, which is a section of $B / A$. If moreover, $|B / A|=|T / S|$, then this section of $B / A$ cannot be proper and must be $(B, A)$. It follows that $(T, S)$ is linked to $(B, A)$ (i.e. the butterfly diagram collapses to a linking).

If conversely $(B, A)-(T, S)$, then $B / A \cong T / S$, hence $|B / A|=|T / S|$. Moreover, the linking implies that $S(B \cap T)=T$, and also that $A \cap T=A \cap S$, so that $S(A \cap T)=S$.
3.4. Proposition. Let $S_{H, V}$ be a simple biset functor. Suppose that $H$ is isomorphic to $T / S$, where $(T, S)$ is a section of $G$ such that, for every $g \in G$ with $g \notin T$, the conjugate section $\left({ }^{g} T,{ }^{g} S\right)$ is not linked to $(T, S)$. Then $S_{H, V}(G) \neq 0$.

It is clear that if $S$ is a normal subgroup $S$ of $G$, then the section $(G, S)$ satisfies the assumption (because in that case there is no $g \notin G$ ), so Proposition 3.4 actually generalizes Lemma 3.1.

Proof : As in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we consider the maps

$$
S_{H, V}(T / S) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Indinf}_{T / S}^{G}} S_{H, V}(G) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Defres}_{T / S}^{G}} S_{H, V}(T / S)
$$

and we want to prove that the composite is the identity. This will then force $S_{H, V}(G)$ to be non-zero since $S_{H, V}(T / S) \cong S_{H, V}(H) \neq 0$.

By the generalized Mackey formula (see Proposition A. 1 in [BT1]), the composite above decomposes as a sum indexed by double cosets representatives $g \in[T \backslash G / T]$. There is one double coset, indexed by an element of $T$ which can be chosen to be $1_{G}$, and the corresponding term is the identity. We show that all the other terms vanish. Any such term has the form

$$
\operatorname{Indinf}_{X / Y}^{T / S} \operatorname{Iso}_{\phi} \operatorname{Conj}_{g} \operatorname{Defres}_{S\left({ }^{9} T \cap T\right) / S\left(g_{S \cap T)}^{T / S}\right.}^{T / S}
$$

for some subquotient $X / Y$ of $T / S$ and some group isomorphism $\phi$. Since ( ${ }^{g} T,{ }^{g} S$ ) is not linked to ( $T, S$ ) by assumption, and since $\left|{ }^{9} T /{ }^{g} S\right|=|T / S|$, Lemma 3.3 tells us that the section $\left(S\left({ }^{g} T \cap T\right), S\left({ }^{g} S \cap T\right)\right)$ must be a proper section of $T / S$. Therefore the group $S\left({ }^{g} T \cap T\right) / S\left({ }^{g} S \cap T\right)$ has order strictly smaller than the order of $T / S \cong H$. The functor $S_{H, V}$ vanishes on such a group and so the term above factors through zero.

The special case where $S=1$ is worth mentioning.
3.5. Corollary. Suppose that $H$ is isomorphic to a subgroup $T$ of $G$ such that $T=N_{G}(T)$. Then $S_{H, V}(G) \neq 0$.

We now show that the assumption of Proposition 3.4 holds in particular for a section $\left(N_{G}(S), S\right)$ where $S$ is an expansive subgroup of $G$. Recall that a subgroup $S$ of $G$ is called expansive in $G$ if, for every $g \notin N_{G}(S)$, the subgroup $S\left({ }^{g} S \cap N_{G}(S)\right) / S$ has a non-trivial core in the group $N_{G}(S) / S$, in other words, there exists a normal subgroup $M$ of $N_{G}(S)$ contained in $S\left({ }^{g} S \cap N_{G}(S)\right)$ and containing $S$ properly. This notion is defined and used in [Bo2] and in [BT2]. In particular, the subgroup $S\left({ }^{g} S \cap N_{G}(S)\right)$ contains $S$ properly, and this implies, by Lemma 3.3, that the section $\left({ }^{g} N_{G}(S),{ }^{g} S\right)$ is not linked to $\left(N_{G}(S), S\right)$, so that Proposition 3.4 applies. This proves the following corollary.
3.6. Corollary. Let $S_{H, V}$ be a simple biset functor. If $H$ is isomorphic to $N_{G}(S) / S$, where $S$ is an expansive subgroup of $G$, then $S_{H, V}(G) \neq 0$.

It is clear that any normal subgroup $S$ of $G$ is expansive in $G$ (because in that case there is no $g \notin N_{G}(S)$ ), so again Lemma 3.1 is a special case of Corollary 3.6.

For example, the Mathieu group $G=M_{11}$ has a subgroup $S$, isomorphic to $A_{6}$, which is expansive in $G$ and such that $N_{G}(S) / S$ has order 2 (in fact $\left.N_{G}(S)=M_{10}\right)$. It follows that $S_{C_{2}, k}\left(M_{11}\right) \neq 0$, independently of the characteristic of $k$.

## 4. A general criterion

Let $S_{H, V}$ be a simple biset functor and let $G$ be a finite group. The analysis of the evaluation $S_{H, V}(G)$ involves the set $\Sigma_{H}(G)$ of all sections $(T, S)$ of $G$ such that $T / S \cong H$, because $S_{H, V}$ is a quotient of $\bar{L}_{H, V}$ and the evaluation $\bar{L}_{H, V}(G)$ involves those sections (see Proposition 2.3). We may assume that $H \sqsubseteq G$, that is, $\Sigma_{H}(G) \neq \emptyset$.

Now we come to a criterion for the vanishing of the evaluation of a simple functor. It gives a general answer to our main question, although it is rather hard to use it in practice. A similar result appears in Theorem 7.1 of [BD].
4.1. Theorem. Let $S_{H, V}$ be a simple biset functor and let $G$ be a finite group. For every $(T, S) \in \Sigma_{H}(G)$, fix an isomorphism $\sigma_{T / S}: H \rightarrow T / S$. The following are equivalent :

1. $S_{H, V}(G)=0$.
2. For any $(B, A),(T, S) \in \Sigma_{H}(G)$, the action on $V$ of the automorphism

$$
\sum_{\substack{g \in[B \backslash G / T] \\(B, A)-{ }^{g}(T, S)}} \sigma_{B / A}^{-1} \phi_{B / A, g_{T /} g_{S}} \operatorname{Conj}_{g} \sigma_{T / S}
$$

is zero, where $\phi_{B / A,{ }^{9} / /{ }^{g} S}:{ }^{9} T /{ }^{g} S \rightarrow B / A$ denotes the isomorphism induced by the linking $(B, A)-{ }^{g}(T, S)$.

Proof : By Proposition 2.3, we have $S_{H, V}(G)=0$ if and only if $\bar{L}_{H, V}(G)=$ $\bar{J}_{H, V}(G)$. By Proposition 2.3 again, the latter equality holds if and only if $(\overline{\psi \circ \phi})$ acts by zero on $V$ for all $\phi \in k B(G, H)$ and all $\psi \in k B(H, G)$. Since we have passed to the quotient by all morphisms factorizing below $H$, we can assume that

$$
\phi=\operatorname{Indinf}_{T / S}^{G} \circ \operatorname{Iso}_{\sigma_{T / S}} \quad \text { and } \quad \psi=\operatorname{Iso}_{\sigma_{B / A}}^{-1} \circ \operatorname{Defres}_{B / A}^{G}
$$

where $(B, A),(T, S) \in \Sigma_{H}(G)$. Then the generalized Mackey formula applies to $\operatorname{Defres}_{B / A}^{G} \circ \operatorname{Indinf}_{T / S}^{G}$ (see Proposition A. 1 in [BT1]), indexed by double cosets representatives $g \in[B \backslash G / T]$. But we are interested in the image $\overline{\psi \circ \phi}$ in

$$
k B(H, H) / k I(H, H) \cong k \operatorname{Out}(H)
$$

and all terms in the formula factorize through a group isomorphic to a proper subquotient of $H$, except those indexed by an element $g \in[B \backslash G / T]$ such that $(B, A)-{ }^{g}(T, S)$, where ${ }^{g}(T, S)=\left({ }^{g} T,{ }^{g} S\right)$ denotes the conjugate section. For such an element $g$, we are left with the $(B / A, T / S)$-biset $\mathrm{Iso}_{\phi_{B / A}, g_{T /} g_{S}} \circ \mathrm{Iso}_{\operatorname{Conj}_{g}}$. Composing with $\sigma_{T / S}$ and $\sigma_{B / A}^{-1}$, we see that the action on $V$ of $(\overline{\psi \circ \phi})$ is equal to the action of the automorphism

$$
\sum_{\substack{g \in[B \backslash G / T] \\(B, A)-g^{g}(T, S)}} \sigma_{B / A}^{-1} \phi_{B / A, g_{T / g S}} \operatorname{Conj}_{g} \sigma_{T / S}
$$

Thus the condition is that this sum must act by zero on $V$.

Note that if $(B, A)-{ }^{g}(T, S)$, then the isomorphism $\phi_{B / A,{ }^{9} T /{ }^{g} S} \operatorname{Conj}_{g}$ induced by the linking is given by the $(B / A, T / S)$-biset $A \backslash B g T / S$. This appears explicitly in the proof of the generalized Mackey formula in [BT1], but we do not need this here.

## 5. Minimal sections

Given finite groups $H$ and $G$, we let again $\Sigma_{H}(G)$ be the set of all sections $(T, S)$ of $G$ such that $T / S \cong H$. A section $(T, S) \in \Sigma_{H}(G)$ will be called minimal if it is minimal with respect to the partial order $\preceq$ defined in Section 3. In that case, if $(T, S)$ is linked to $(B, A)$, then $(B \cap T, A \cap S)=(T, S)$, that is, $T \leq B$ and $S \leq A$ (and also $T A=B$ and $T \cap A=S$ because of the linking). We write $\Sigma_{H}(G)^{\text {min }}$ for the subset of $\Sigma_{H}(G)$ consisting of minimal sections. Clearly $G$ acts by conjugation on $\Sigma_{H}(G)$ and $\Sigma_{H}(G)^{\text {min }}$ and we let $\left[\Sigma_{H}(G)^{\mathrm{min}} / G\right]$ denote a set of representatives of $G$-orbits in $\Sigma_{H}(G)^{\mathrm{min}}$.
5.1. Lemma. Let $(T, S) \in \Sigma_{H}(G)$, let $f: T \rightarrow H$ be a surjective group homomorphism with kernel $S$, and let $\Phi(T)$ be the Frattini subgroup of $T$ (that is, the intersection of all maximal subgroups of $T$ ). The following are equivalent.

1. $(T, S)$ is minimal.
2. $S \leq \Phi(T)$.
3. $f$ induces an isomorphism $T / \Phi(T) \xrightarrow{\sim} H / \Phi(H)$.

Proof: If $H=\mathbf{1}$, then the only minimal section in $\Sigma_{\mathbf{1}}(G)$ is $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})$ and the result follows easily. Assume that $H \neq \mathbf{1}$, that is $S<T$. Suppose $(T, S)$ is not minimal and let $(B, A) \in \Sigma_{H}(G)$ such that $(B, A) \prec(T, S)$. Then $B<T$ and there is some maximal subgroup $M$ of $T$ containing $B$. It follows that $T=B S=M S$, so $S \not \leq \Phi(T)$. Conversely, if $S \not \leq \Phi(T)$, there is some maximal subgroup $M$ of $T$ which does not contain $S$. Then $M S=T$, so $(M, M \cap S) \prec(T, S)$ and $(T, S)$ is not minimal. The proof of the equivalence of (2) and (3) is easy and is left to the reader.

Recall that, for every section $(T, S) \in \Sigma_{H}(G)$, we have set $\bar{N}_{G}(S, T)=$ $N_{G}(S, T) / T$ and we have fixed an isomorphism $\sigma_{T / S}: H \rightarrow T / S$. This allows us to view any $k \operatorname{Out}(H)$-module $V$ as a $k\left[\bar{N}_{G}(T, S)\right]$-module, as follows :

$$
\bar{g} \cdot v=\overline{\sigma_{T / S}^{-1} \operatorname{Conj}_{g} \sigma_{T / S}} \cdot v, \quad g \in N_{G}(T, S), v \in V,
$$

where the bar denotes the class in $\operatorname{Out}(H)$ of the automorphism in $\operatorname{Aut}(H)$. By Proposition 2.3, we know that $\bar{L}_{H, V}(G)$ is generated as a $k$-vector space by all the elements of the form

$$
\overline{\operatorname{Indinf}_{T / S}^{G} \circ \operatorname{Iso}_{\sigma_{T / S}}} \otimes v, \quad(T, S) \in \Sigma_{H}(G), v \in V
$$

Let $\bar{L}_{H, V}(G)^{\min }$ be the subspace of $\bar{L}_{H, V}(G)$ generated by all the elements of the form

$$
\overline{\operatorname{Indinf}_{T / S}^{G} \circ \operatorname{Iso}_{\sigma_{T / S}}} \otimes v, \quad(T, S) \in \Sigma_{H}(G)^{\min }, v \in V
$$

Let also $S_{H, V}(G)^{\text {min }}$ be the image of $\bar{L}_{H, V}(G)^{\text {min }}$ under the canonical surjection

$$
\pi: \bar{L}_{H, V}(G) \longrightarrow \bar{L}_{H, V}(G) / \bar{J}_{H, V}(G) \cong S_{H, V}(G)
$$

For any finite group $X$ and any $k X$-module $W$, we let $\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{X}: W \rightarrow W$ be the $k$ linear map defined by $\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{X}(w)=\sum_{x \in X} x \cdot w$ (relative trace), and we let $\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{X}(W)$ denote its image.
5.2. Theorem. Let $S_{H, V}$ be a simple biset functor and let $G$ be a finite group. With the notation above, there is a surjective $k$-linear map

$$
\bar{\tau}: S_{H, V}(G)^{\min } \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{(T, S) \in\left[\Sigma_{H}(G)^{\min } / G\right]} \operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{\bar{N}_{G}(T, S)}(V)
$$

Hence the right hand side is isomorphic to a subquotient of $S_{H, V}(G)$.
Proof : We have $\bar{L}_{H, V}(G)=k \bar{B}(G, H) \otimes_{k \text { Out }(H)} V$ and we know how $k \bar{B}(G, H)$ decomposes, by Lemma 2.2. By tensoring with $V$ this decomposition, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{L}_{H, V}(G) & =\bigoplus_{(T, S) \in\left[\Sigma_{H}(G) / G\right]} \overline{\operatorname{Indinf}_{T / S}^{G}} \circ k \bar{B}(T / S, H) \otimes_{k \operatorname{Out}(H)} V \\
& \cong \bigoplus_{(T, S) \in\left[\Sigma_{H}(G) / G\right]} k\left[\Gamma_{G}(T, S) \backslash \operatorname{Out}(H)\right] \otimes_{k \operatorname{Out}(H)} V \\
& \cong \bigoplus_{(T, S) \in\left[\Sigma_{H}(G) / G\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $V_{\Gamma_{G}(T, S)}$ denotes the $k$-space of coinvariants for the $\operatorname{group} \Gamma_{G}(T, S)$ (i.e. the quotient of $V$ by all elements of the form $\left.(\gamma-1) v, \gamma \in \Gamma_{G}(T, S), v \in V\right)$. By the proof of Lemma 2.2, we see that a generator $\overline{\operatorname{Indinf}_{T / S}^{G} \circ \mathrm{Iso}_{\sigma_{T / S}}} \otimes v$ of $\bar{L}_{H, V}(G)$ is mapped to $1 \otimes v \in k\left[\Gamma_{G}(T, S) \backslash \operatorname{Out}(H)\right] \otimes_{k \operatorname{Out}(H)} V$, hence to the class of $v$ in $V_{\Gamma_{G}(T, S)}$.

By definition, $\Gamma_{G}(T, S)$ is the image of $\bar{N}_{G}(T, S)$ in $\operatorname{Out}(H)$ (using the isomorphism $\left.\sigma_{T / S}: H \rightarrow T / S\right)$. Therefore $V_{\Gamma_{G}(T, S)}=V_{\bar{N}_{G}(T, S)}$ and we obtain

$$
\bar{L}_{H, V}(G)=\bigoplus_{(T, S) \in\left[\Sigma_{H}(G) / G\right]} V_{\bar{N}_{G}(T, S)} .
$$

Restricting to minimal sections, we obtain

$$
\bar{L}_{H, V}(G)^{\min } \cong \bigoplus_{(T, S) \in\left[\Sigma_{H}(G)^{\min } / G\right]} V_{\bar{N}_{G}(T, S)}
$$

Now the relative trace map $\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{\bar{N}_{G}(T, S)}$ induces a surjective $k$-linear map

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{\bar{N}_{G}(T, S)}: V_{\bar{N}_{G}(T, S)} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{\bar{N}_{G}(T, S)}(V),
$$

and the direct sum of these maps defines a surjective $k$-linear map

$$
\tau: \bar{L}_{H, V}(G)^{\min } \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{(T, S) \in\left[\Sigma_{H}(G)^{\min } / G\right]} \operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{\bar{N}_{G}(T, S)}(V)
$$

mapping a generator $\overline{\operatorname{Indinf}_{T / S}^{G} \circ \mathrm{Iso}_{\sigma_{T / S}}} \otimes v$ to $\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{\bar{N}_{G}(T, S)}(v)$.
Recall the canonical surjection

$$
\pi: \bar{L}_{H, V}(G) \longrightarrow \bar{L}_{H, V}(G) / \bar{J}_{H, V}(G) \cong S_{H, V}(G)
$$

We claim that $\bar{J}_{H, V}(G) \cap \bar{L}_{H, V}(G)^{\text {min }} \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(\tau)$. It will follow that $\tau$ induces a surjective $k$-linear map

$$
\bar{\tau}: S_{H, V}(G)^{\min } \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{(T, S) \in\left[\Sigma_{H}(G)^{\min } / G\right]} \operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{\bar{N}_{G}(T, S)}(V)
$$

proving the theorem.
In order to prove the claim, we let $x \in \bar{J}_{H, V}(G) \cap \bar{L}_{H, V}(G)^{\text {min }}$ and we write

$$
x=\sum_{(T, S) \in\left[\Sigma_{H}(G)^{\min } / G\right]}\left(\overline{\operatorname{Indinf}_{T / S}^{G} \circ \operatorname{Iso}_{\sigma_{T / S}}}\right) \otimes v_{T, S},
$$

where $v_{T, S} \in V$ for every $(T, S)$. By the description of $J_{H, V}(G)$ in Proposition 2.3, we have

$$
\sum_{(T, S) \in\left[\Sigma_{H}(G)^{\min } / G\right]}\left(\bar{\psi} \circ \overline{\operatorname{Indinf}_{T / S}^{G} \circ \mathrm{Iso}_{\sigma_{T / S}}}\right) \cdot v_{T, S}=0
$$

for all $\psi \in k B(H, G)$. Fix $(B, A) \in\left[\Sigma_{H}(G)^{\min } / G\right]$ and choose

$$
\psi=\operatorname{Iso}_{\sigma_{B / A}}^{-1} \circ \operatorname{Defres}_{B / A}^{G}
$$

As in the proof of Theorem 4.1, $\operatorname{Defres}_{B / A}^{G} \circ \operatorname{Indinf}_{T / S}^{G}$ decomposes according to the generalized Mackey formula (see Proposition A. 1 in [BT1]), indexed by double cosets representatives $g \in[B \backslash G / T]$, and all terms in the formula factorize through a group isomorphic to a proper subquotient of $H$, except those indexed by an element $g \in[B \backslash G / T]$ such that $(B, A)-{ }^{g}(T, S)$. Since both $(B, A)$ and ${ }^{g}(T, S)$ are minimal, the only possible linking is the identity, hence $(B, A)=$ ${ }^{g}(T, S)$. But we have chosen orbit representatives in $\Sigma_{H}(G)^{\min }$, so $(B, A)=$ $(T, S)$. Thus the sum over $(T, S)$ reduces to a single term, indexed by $(B, A)$. Moreover, in the Mackey formula, we are left with the sum over all $g \in[B \backslash G / B]$ such that ${ }^{g}(B, A)=(B, A)$, that is, $g \in\left[N_{G}(B, A) / B\right]$. Therefore we obtain

$$
\sum_{g \in\left[N_{G}(B, A) / B\right]}\left(\operatorname{Iso}_{\sigma_{B / A}}^{-1} \circ \operatorname{Iso}_{\operatorname{Conj}_{g}} \circ \operatorname{Iso}_{\sigma_{B / A}}\right) \cdot v_{B, A}=0
$$

This is the action of the automorphism $\sigma_{B / A}^{-1} \operatorname{Conj}_{g} \sigma_{B / A}$, so by definition of the action of $\bar{N}_{G}(B, A)$, we obtain

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{\bar{N}_{G}(B, A)}\left(v_{B, A}\right)=0
$$

This holds for all $(B, A) \in \Sigma_{H}(G)^{\text {min }}$ and therefore

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tau(x)=\tau\left(\sum_{(T, S) \in\left[\Sigma_{H}(G)^{\min } / G\right]} \overline{\operatorname{Indinf}_{T / S}^{G} \circ \operatorname{Iso}_{\sigma_{T / S}}} \otimes v_{T, S}\right) \\
=\sum_{(T, S) \in\left[\Sigma_{H}(G)^{\text {min }} / G\right]} \operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{\bar{N}_{G}(T, S)}\left(v_{T, S}\right)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus $x \in \operatorname{Ker}(\tau)$, proving the claim.

Theorem 5.2 immediately implies the following result about vanishing evaluations.
5.3. Corollary. Let $S_{H, V}$ be a simple biset functor and let $G$ be a finite group. If $\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{\bar{N}_{G}(T, S)}(V) \neq 0$ for some $(T, S) \in \Sigma_{H}(G)^{\text {min }}$, then $S_{H, V}(G) \neq 0$.

This can be applied for instance in the following situation.
5.4. Corollary. Suppose that there exists a minimal section $(T, S) \in \Sigma_{G}(H)^{\min }$ such that $N_{G}(T, S)$ acts by inner automorphisms on $T / S$. Suppose also that $\left|\bar{N}_{G}(T, S)\right| \neq 0$ in $k$. Then $S_{H, V}(G) \neq 0$.

Proof : By assumption, the image of $\bar{N}_{G}(T, S)$ in $\operatorname{Out}(T / S)$ is trivial. Therefore $\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{\bar{N}_{G}(T, S)}(V)=\left|\bar{N}_{G}(T, S)\right| \cdot V \neq 0$ and the result follows from Corollary 5.3.

Note that the first assumption holds in particular if $N_{G}(T, S)$ is equal to the centralizer $C_{G}(T / S)$ of $T / S$, because $N_{G}(T, S)$ acts trivially on $T / S$ in this case. This applies in particular if $N_{G}(T, S)$ is abelian, improving the first statement of Proposition 3.2 in the case where $k$ has characteristic not dividing $|G|$.

## 6. A closed formula for some evaluations

As already mentioned in the introduction, there is no known closed formula for the evaluation $S_{H, V}(G)$ of a simple biset functor $S_{H, V}$. However, with suitable assumptions, such a formula exists.

For instance, if the $k \operatorname{Out}(H)$-module $V$ is primitive, in the sense defined on page 721 of [Bo1], and if $k$ has characteristic zero, then

$$
S_{H, V}(G) \cong \bigoplus_{(T, S)} V^{\bar{N}_{G}(T, S)}
$$

where $(T, S)$ runs over a suitable subset of $\Sigma_{H}(G)$. We refer to Proposition 20 in [Bo1] for more details. Of course, a criterion for the vanishing of $S_{H, V}(G)$ is immediately deduced in this case.

The purpose of this section is to prove a closed formula for the evaluation $S_{H, V}(G)$ under a suitable assumption on the structure of $G$, more precisely when $\Sigma_{H}(G)^{\min }=\Sigma_{H}(G)$. Then this can be used to give a criterion for the vanishing of $S_{H, V}(G)$.
6.1. Theorem. Let $S_{H, V}$ be a simple biset functor and let $G$ be a finite group. If every section $(T, S) \in \Sigma_{H}(G)$ is minimal, the map $\bar{\tau}$ of Theorem 5.2 is an isomorphism and

$$
S_{H, V}(G) \cong \bigoplus_{(T, S) \in\left[\Sigma_{H}(G) / G\right]} \operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{\bar{N}_{G}(T, S)}(V)
$$

Proof : By Theorem 5.2, we already know that the map $\bar{\tau}$ is surjective, so we need to prove that it is injective. In other words, we have to show that

$$
\operatorname{Ker}(\tau) \subseteq \bar{J}_{H, V}(G) \cap \bar{L}_{H, V}(G)^{\min }
$$

where $\tau$ is the map defined in the proof of Theorem 5.2, namely

$$
\tau: \bar{L}_{H, V}(G)^{\min } \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{(T, S) \in\left[\Sigma_{H}(G)^{\min } / G\right]} \operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{\bar{N}_{G}(T, S)}(V)
$$

Let $x \in \operatorname{Ker}(\tau)$ and write

$$
x=\sum_{(T, S) \in\left[\Sigma_{H}(G)^{\min } / G\right]} \overline{\operatorname{Indinf}_{T / S}^{G} \circ \mathrm{Iso}_{\sigma_{T / S}}} \otimes v_{T, S}
$$

where $v_{T, S} \in V$ for every $(T, S)$. Since $\tau(x)=0$, we have $\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{\bar{N}_{G}(T, S)}\left(v_{T, S}\right)=0$ for every $(T, S) \in \Sigma_{H}(G)^{\min }$. Fix $(B, A) \in\left[\Sigma_{H}(G)^{\min } / G\right]$ and let

$$
\psi_{B, A}=\operatorname{Iso}_{\sigma_{B / A}}^{-1} \circ \operatorname{Defres}_{B / A}^{G}
$$

Exactly the same computation as in the proof of Theorem 5.2 above shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{\psi_{B, A}} \cdot x & =\sum_{(T, S) \in\left[\Sigma_{H}(G)^{\min } / G\right]}\left(\overline{\psi_{B, A}} \circ \overline{\operatorname{Indinf}_{T / S}^{G} \mathrm{Iso}_{\sigma_{T / S}}}\right) \cdot v_{T, S} \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{\bar{N}_{G}(B, A)}\left(v_{B, A}\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

But now, since $\Sigma_{H}(G)^{\min }=\Sigma_{H}(G)$ by assumption, $k \bar{B}(H, G)$ is generated by elements of the form $\overline{\mathrm{Iso}_{\alpha} \circ \psi_{B, A}}$ where $\psi_{B, A}$ is as above and $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(H)$. Therefore $\bar{\psi} \cdot x=0$ for all $\psi \in k B(H, G)$. But this means that $x \in \bar{J}_{H, V}(G)$, as was to be shown.
6.2. Corollary. Let $S_{H, V}$ be a simple biset functor and let $G$ be a finite group. Assume that $\Sigma_{H}(G)^{\min }=\Sigma_{H}(G)$. Then $S_{H, V}(G)=0$ if and only if $\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{\bar{N}_{G}(T, S)}(V)=0$ for every $(T, S) \in \Sigma_{H}(G)$.

Our next result gives a first application of Theorem 6.1.
6.3. Proposition. Suppose that $G$ and $H$ are p-groups with the same sectional rank. Then

$$
S_{H, V}(G) \cong \bigoplus_{(T, S) \in\left[\Sigma_{H}(G) / G\right]} \operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{\bar{N}_{G}(T, S)}(V)
$$

In particular $S_{H, V}(G)=0$ if and only if the action of $\sum_{g \in\left[N_{G}(T, S) / T\right]} \operatorname{Conj}_{g}$ on $V$ is zero, for every $(T, S) \in \Sigma_{H}(G)$.

Proof : Let $(T, S) \in \Sigma_{H}(G)$ and let $f: T \rightarrow H$ be a surjective group homomorphism with kernel $S$. Let $r$ be the sectional rank of $H$ and let $1 \leq H_{2} \triangleleft H_{1} \leq H$ such that $H_{1} / H_{2}$ is elementary abelian of rank $r$. Let $T_{i}=f^{-1}\left(H_{i}\right)$. Then $T_{1} / T_{2}$ is elementary abelian of rank $r$, and this must be the largest possible rank of an elementary abelian quotient of $T_{1}$, because the sectional rank of $G$ is also $r$. It follows that $\Phi\left(T_{1}\right)=T_{2}$. Since $\Phi\left(T_{1}\right) \leq \Phi(T)$ (because $T_{1} \leq T$ and $T$ is a $p$-group), we deduce that $S \leq T_{2}=\Phi\left(T_{1}\right) \leq \Phi(T)$. By Lemma 5.1, this proves that the section $(T, S)$ is minimal. Thus Theorem 6.1 applies and yields the result.

## 7. The case of a single section

Theorem 6.1 can be applied to various cases to obtain a closed formula for the evaluation $S_{H, V}(G)$, hence a criterion for its vanishing. We concentrate here on cases where the set $\Sigma_{H}(G)$ reduces to a single conjugacy class, so that clearly every section $(T, S) \in \Sigma_{H}(G)$ is minimal. As before, $S_{H, V}$ denotes a simple biset functor and $G$ a finite group. We can assume that $H \sqsubseteq G$, since otherwise $S_{H, V}(G)=0$.
7.1. Proposition. Suppose that $\Sigma_{H}(G)$ contains a unique section $(T, S)$ up to conjugation. Then

$$
S_{H, V}(G) \cong \operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{\bar{N}_{G}(T, S)}(V)
$$

In particular $S_{H, V}(G)=0$ if and only if the action of $\sum_{g \in\left[N_{G}(T, S) / T\right]} \operatorname{Conj}_{g}$ on $V$ is zero.

Proof : This is a special case of Theorem 6.1.
There are many instances where $G$ has a subgroup $H$ such that $(H, \mathbf{1})$ is the only section in $\Sigma_{H}(G)$ up to conjugation. Here are a few such cases.
7.2. Corollary. Suppose that $H$ is a normal Hall subgroup of $G$. By the Schur-Zassenhaus theorem, we know that $G=H \rtimes Y$ for some subgroup $Y$. Assume that $Y$ acts faithfully on $H$. Then

$$
S_{H, V}(G) \cong \operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{Y}(V)
$$

In particular $S_{H, V}(G)=0$ if and only if the action of $\sum_{y \in Y} \operatorname{Conj}_{y}$ on $V$ is zero.

Proof : Since $(|H|,|Y|)=1$ and $Y$ acts faithfully on $H$, it is easy to see that $H$ cannot normalize a non-trivial subgroup of $Y$. Therefore there is no section in $\Sigma_{H}(G)$ apart from $(H, \mathbf{1})$ and Proposition 7.1 applies. Moreover, $N_{G}(H) / H=G / H \cong Y$.
7.3. Corollary. Suppose that $H$ is a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $G$ and that $H$ does not normalize any non-trivial $q$-subgroup, for every prime $q \neq p$. Then

$$
S_{H, V}(G) \cong \operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{N_{G}(H) / H}(V)
$$

In particular $S_{H, V}(G)=0$ if and only if the action of $\sum_{g \in\left[N_{G}(H) / H\right]} \operatorname{Conj}_{g}$ on $V$ is zero.

Proof : Let $(T, S)$ be a section of $G$ such that $T / S \cong H$. Then a Sylow $p$ subgroup of $T$ is isomorphic to $H$, hence conjugate to $H$, and we may assume that it is equal to $H$. Then $H$ normalizes $S$, hence also a Sylow $q$-subgroup $Q$ of $S$ because $(|H|,|S|)=1$. Therefore $Q=1$ by assumption. Since this holds for every prime divisor $q$ of $|S|$, it follows that $S=1$ and that $T=H$. Thus $\Sigma_{H}(G)$ reduces to the conjugacy class of $(H, \mathbf{1})$ and Proposition 7.1 applies.
7.4. Corollary. Let $H$ be a non-abelian simple group and let $G=\operatorname{Aut}(H)$. Suppose that $V$ is a non-trivial $k \operatorname{Out}(H)$-module (so in particular $G / H \cong$ Out $(H)$ is a non-trivial group). Then $S_{H, V}(G)=0$.

Proof : Let $(T, S)$ be a section of $G$ such that $T / S \cong H$. Then $H T / H S$ is isomorphic to a quotient of $T / S \cong H$ and to a subquotient of $G / H \cong \operatorname{Out}(H)$. Since $\operatorname{Out}(H)$ is solvable (the Schreier conjecture) and $H$ is non-abelian simple, we must have $H T / H S=\mathbf{1}$, hence $H T=H S$. It follows that $T=(H \cap T) S$, hence $T / S \cong(H \cap T) /(H \cap S)$. But $T / S \cong H$, while $(H \cap T) /(H \cap S)$ is a subquotient of $H$. Therefore $H \cap T=H$ and $H \cap S=\mathbf{1}$. But then $H$ and $S$ are normal subgroups of $T$ with $H \cap S=\mathbf{1}$, so $[S, H]=\mathbf{1}$, that is, $S$ acts trivially on $H$. Since $S$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\operatorname{Out}(H)$, we must have $S=\mathbf{1}$. This proves that $(H, \mathbf{1})$ is the only section in $\Sigma_{H}(G)$ and therefore

$$
S_{H, V}(G) \cong \operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{G / H}(V)=\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{\operatorname{Out}(H)}(V) \subseteq V^{\operatorname{Out}(H)}=0
$$

because the non-trivial simple module $V$ has no non-zero fixed point.
If $k$ has characteristic zero, then under the assumptions of Corollary 7.4, the module $V$ is in fact primitive, in the sense mentioned at the beginning of Section 6, and this provides another approach of the result.
7.5. Corollary. Let $H$ be a non-abelian simple group and let $G$ be its universal central extension. Then $S_{H, V}(G)=V$ (hence non-zero).

Proof : Let $Z$ be the centre of $G$, so that $G / Z=H$. Let $(T, S)$ be a section of $G$ such that $T / S \cong H$. Then $Z T / Z S$ is isomorphic to a quotient of $T / S \cong H$ and is a subquotient of $G / Z=H$, so it is either trivial of the whole of $G / Z$. If $Z T / Z S=\mathbf{1}$, then $Z T=Z S$ and $T=(Z \cap T) S$, so that the group

$$
H \cong T / S \cong(Z \cap T) /(Z \cap S)
$$

is abelian, contrary to our assumption. Thus $Z T / Z S=G / Z$, hence $Z T=G$ and $S \leq Z$. It follows that $G / S \cong Z / S \times T / S$. Therefore $G$ has an abelian quotient $Z / S$, so $Z / S=\mathbf{1}$ since $G$ is perfect. So we have $S=Z$ and $T=G$. This proves that $(G, Z)$ is the only section in $\Sigma_{H}(G)$ and Proposition 7.1 applies. Therefore

$$
S_{H, V}(G) \cong \operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{G / G}(V)=V
$$

as was to be shown.
7.6. Examples. Let $H=A_{5}$ and let $\varepsilon$ be the sign representation of the group $\operatorname{Out}\left(A_{5}\right)$ of order 2 (over a field $k$ of characteristic different from 2). By Corollaries 7.4 and 7.5 , we have $S_{A_{5}, \varepsilon}\left(S_{5}\right)=0$ and $S_{A_{5}, \varepsilon}\left(\tilde{A}_{5}\right) \neq 0$. We also have $S_{A_{5}, \varepsilon}\left(S_{7}\right)=0$, because it is easy to check that $\left(A_{5}, \mathbf{1}\right)$ is the only section in $\Sigma_{A_{5}}\left(A_{7}\right)$ up to conjugation.
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