Origin of the $V_{oc}$ enhancement with a p-doped nc-SiO$_x$:H window layer in n-i-p solar cells
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ABSTRACT

The introduction of a nc-SiO$_x$:H material as window layer in single junction a-Si:H n-i-p solar cell leads to a $V_{oc}$ enhancement of 80 mV compared to a nc-Si:H p-layer. According to numerical modeling of the $V_{oc}$, both the higher work function p-layer and the conduction band offset (CBO) at the i/p interface match well with the experimental $V_{oc}$ increase with the oxygen content. Using the differential temperature method, the built-in voltage ($V_{bi}$) of the device, the electrical transport at the i/p interface and eventually to the p-layer microstructure.

1. Introduction

In amorphous silicon solar cells, the open-circuit voltage ($V_{oc}$) is one of the most ill understood parameters. The $V_{oc}$ is intimately linked to the built-in voltage ($V_{bi}$) which is generally viewed as the upper limit of the $V_{oc}$. Many groups reported that the $V_{oc}$ is improved by reducing the recombination at the i/p interface with the introduction of carbide [1,2] and graded boron [3] buffer layers. The numerical model proposed by Jiang et al. [4] predicts that the conduction band discontinuity at the i/p interface mitigates the thermionic emission process of electrons through these interfaces. Such results suggest that $V_{oc}$ is limited by recombination at the i/p interface rather than bulk recombination, contrary to the model of Hack and Shur [5]. We can also expect a higher $V_{oc}$ by increasing the work function of the p-layer $\phi (p)$ which should increase the $V_{bi}$ of the device, but thus necessitates also a front electrode with a higher work function. Few contributions discuss the $V_{oc}$ enhancement at i/p interface brought by a higher $\phi (p)$ [6].

$V_{oc}$ has been successfully improved with the use of wide band gap off-stoichiometric silicon oxide as window layer in a-Si:H [7,8] and µc-Si:H single junction solar cells [9,10]. In the latter case, Cuony et al. [9] attribute this improvement to the shunt quenching effect but it appears that is not the dominant effect in the case of a-Si:H n-i-p solar cells as reported by Biron et al. [11]. Other groups reported that SiOxH layers fabricated by glow discharge deposition from a SiH$_4$-CO$_2$-H$_2$ precursor gas mixture lead to the formation of a mixed phase (MP) material in which silicon nanocrystals are embedded into an a-SiO$_x$H matrix [12,13], instead of an amorphous Si–O compound corresponding to the random network model (RNM) [14,15].

In this paper, we present two models explaining the $V_{oc}$ enhancement brought by the nc-SiO$_x$H materials in n-i-p solar cells. We correlate the $V_{oc}$ improvement to the $V_{bi}$ of the device, the electrical transport at the i/p interface and eventually to the p-layer microstructure.

2. Theory and calculation

The numerical models were computed using the one-dimensional (1-D) simulation package Advanced Semiconductor Analysis (ASA) developed at Delft University of Technology [16]. The dangling bond defect states around the midgap are modeled by the defect pool (l-D) simulation package Advanced Semiconductor Analysis (ASA). The numerical analysis describes the modeling of the $V_{oc}$ variation with the oxygen content in the p-layer by varying its mobility band gap $E_g$, electron affinity $\chi$ and activation energy $E_a$. Thus $\phi (p)$, $V_{bi}$ and the CBO at the i/p interface ($\Delta E_C$) can be tuned according to the following formula:

$$\phi (p) = \chi (p) + E_g (p) - E_a (p)$$

(1)

$$V_{bi} = \phi (p) - \phi (n)$$

(2)

$$\Delta E_C = \chi (p) - \chi (i)$$

(3)

In the MP SiO$_x$H material, Watanabe et al. observe that the microstructure of the O-rich region can be described by the RNM [12]. Thus we assume that the a-SiO$_x$H matrix surrounding the silicon nanoclusters can be described by this model. We also assume that this
phase is mainly present at the i/p interface, governing the electrical transport in this region.

We deposit the p-layer in two steps p1 and p2. In the first step, oxygen is added via the CO2 flux into the deposition gas mixture. The amount of oxygen into the p1 layer is tuned by varying the CO2 flux. In the second step, no oxygen is incorporated. The stack for the models is thus n-layer/i-layer/p1-layer/p2-layer. Only the band structure of the aSi:H matrix is modeled by the linear increase of p1-layer is changed because of the tunable oxygen content. p2 is as thus n-layer/i-layer/p1-layer/p2-layer. Only the band structure of the vapor deposition (VHF-PECVD) at 70 mHz and 0.4 mbar, with a gas deposited on glass by very high frequency plasma enhanced chemical deposition. The dilution ratio and the doping ratio [B(CH3)3]/[SiH4] were kept constant at 130 and 0.01, respectively.

For the solar cell fabrication and layer characterization were the same as in a previous publication [11]. The n-i-p layers were deposited on glass by very high frequency plasma enhanced chemical vapor deposition (VHF-PECVD) at 70 mHz and 0.4 mbar, with a gas mix of SiH4, H2, CO2 and trimethyl borane (TMB, B(CH3)3) for the doping gas. The power density used was around 100 mW per cm2, and the deposition temperature was 190 °C. Films were deposited to 4.9 and 0.72 for the 20 nm thick p1-layers, we used the same conditions as the reference layers with [CO2]/[SiH4] = 0 and 2. Another LP-CVD ZnO layer was deposited as front electrode.

In order to characterize the dependency of the p-layer microstructure with the oxygen content, both planar conductivity (σRT) and activation energy (Eact) were determined by dark conductivity measurements.

The crystallinity of the films was measured by Raman spectroscopy. The crystallite size was assessed by X-ray diffractometry.

The optical band gap E0 and the Tauc band gap ET were extracted from ellipsometry measurements. The oxygen content was investigated by Fourier transform infrared absorption measurements, using the quantitative data analysis of He et al.[21].

The n-i-p solar cells were deposited on glass covered with a back contact of 2 μm thick ZnO films deposited by low-pressure chemical vapor deposition (LP-CVD) [22]. The silicon layers were deposited by PE-CVD at the same temperature and pressure as mentioned above.

The intrinsic layer was about 250 nm thick with a [H2]/[SiH4] ratio of two. For the 20 nm thick p1-layers, we used the same conditions as the reference layers with [CO2]/[SiH4] = 0 and 2. Another LP-CVD ZnO layer was deposited as front electrode.

For the cell characterization, we performed current–voltage (I–V) measurements under a simulated AM1.5 spectrum and external quantum efficiency (EQE) measurements in their initial state.

The Vbi voltage of the solar cells was determined by DTM. To determine the ideality factor n, we performed the variable illumination measurement (VIM) at 7 different illuminations ranging from 0.4% to 100% of the AM 1.5 G illumination by using color neutral filters. We extracted the n value after fitting the Jsc–Voc curves with the one-diode model under illumination. Then the VIM was performed at 3 different temperatures (303, 333, and 363 K) in order to calculate the Vbi.

### 4. Results

Fig. 2 shows the microstructure and the electrical properties of the p-layers with the oxygen content. The graph on the left illustrates that the oxygen content increases roughly linearly with the [CO2]/[SiH4] ratio. The main uncertainty in the oxygen content is the quantitative analysis of the FTIR spectra. The incorporation of oxygen in the H-diluted p-layer leads to the linear widening of the Tauc band gap from 1.81 to 2.29 eV while E0 increases slowly from 0.053 to 0.197 eV for oxygen content lower than 26% before deteriorating strongly up to 0.740 eV for the highest oxygen content. The errors in E0 calculation correspond to the choice of the temperature range on the Arrhenius plot. For the E0 calculations, the Chi square values resulting from the fits of the ellipsometry data are 2.82, 1.27, 4.5, 4.9 and 0.72 for E0 equal to 1.81, 1.95, 2.04, 2.11 and 2.29, respectively. The error bars are the distribution corresponding to three different sets of measurement. The crystallite sizes deduced from XRD measurements decrease progressively from 19 nm for the O-free p-layer to 9 nm for the 6% O-rich layer. Then the crystallite size tends to the zero towards more O-rich films, pointing out that films become more amorphous. This trend is consistent with the Si-Raman crystallinity fraction which drops from 53 to 11.5% with the addition of oxygen. The main errors in the XRD size and the Si-Raman crystallinity measurements come from the resolution of the technique (2–3 nm) and the scattering of the measurements, respectively.
In Fig. 3, the experimental $V_{oc}$ trend of our previous contribution is included [11]. The error in the $V_{oc}$ measurements shown in this contribution is ±5 mV due to the uncertainty of the substrate temperature. The evolution of the computed $V_{oc}$ when $E_i$ of the p-layer increases is also plotted. The “$V_{bi}$ enhancement model” yields a progressive increase of $V_{oc}$ up to 0.925 V for $E_i = 1.95$ eV, following roughly $V_{bi}$ plotted in Fig. 1. The increasing can be explained by the higher electric field in the i-layer close to the interface shown in Fig. 3 (band diagram on the left). Beyond $E_i = 1.95$ eV, it rapidly decreases to the value of 0.87 V, although the $V_{bi}$ still increases as shown in Fig. 1. Similar behavior is obtained for the “CBO model,” where $V_{bi}$ increases up to $E_i = 2.0$ eV with a less pronounced drop after the maximum point. In this case the gain in $V_{oc}$ agrees well with the increasing $\Delta E_g$ (seen in Fig. 1, band diagram on the right) created by a reduced $\chi_p$ seen in Fig. 1. Indeed $\Delta E_g$ at the i/p interface limits the injection of photogenerated electrons into the p-layer, decreasing recombination. We note that both computed $V_{oc}$ variations agreed with the increase of the experimental $V_{oc}$ trend for $E_i$ up to 1.95 eV. Nevertheless, for higher $E_i$ values, the $V_{oc}$ trend obtained with the “CBO model” fits better with the experimental values because the $V_{bi}$ decrease in less pronounced. In the case of the “$V_{bi}$ enhancement model,” the stronger $V_{oc}$ drop can be explained by the bigger increase of the barrier height in the valence band at the i-Si:H/p-nc-SiOx:H interface seen in Fig. 3. For the highest oxygen content, this barrier becomes critically higher so that the hole transfer into the p-layer is disturbed. Then their possible recombination with photogenerated electrons should reduce the $V_{oc}$.

Fig. 4 shows the $V_{oc}$-$ln_{fl}$ measurements of cells A and B with the μc-Si:H and nc-SiOx:H p-layers, respectively, at three different temperatures. The slope observed for the cell with the nc-SiOx:H p-layer is compared to the O-free p-layer over the temperature range, indicating that the ideality factor is bigger according to equation (4). It is calculated to be 1.46, 1.47 and 1.42 at 303, 333, and 363 K, respectively while the cell with the O-free p-layer exhibit values of 1.23, 1.22, and 1.19, revealing that $n$ is slightly temperature dependant. It is also noted that $V_{oc}$ of the cell with the nc-SiOx:H p-layer remains at higher values. The $V_{bi}$ calculated by DTM is shown in Fig. 4 (graph on the left). One pair of temperature is needed to calculate the $V_{bi}$ as shown by the equation (5). Two sets of $V_{bi}$ values are eventually calculated, i.e. one set corresponding to the pair 303–333 K (upper grey bar) and the other one to the pair 303–363 K (lower grey bar) that we call “cold” $V_{bi}$ and “hot” $V_{bi}$, respectively. Thus the comparison of the $V_{bi}$ of both cells A and B has to be made for each set of $V_{bi}$. The $V_{bi}$ calculation was also performed at three different illuminations, i.e. 1, 25 and 100% of the AM 1.5 g illumination. The graph shows that over the illumination range, the “cold” $V_{bi}$ values range from 1.20 to 1.24 V and from 1.21 to 1.22 V for cell A and cell B, respectively. The “hot” $V_{bi}$ values are lower, ranging from 1.14 to 1.16 V and from 1.15 to 1.18 V for cell A and cell B, respectively. According to these results, it appears that the $V_{bi}$ is slightly influenced by the illumination but affected strongly by the temperature. The error in the $V_{bi}$ is a composite of the errors in the n value calculation, the substrate temperature and the Voc measurements. We evaluate the scattering of $V_{bi}$ values which is 77 and 67 mV for the “cold” and “hot” $V_{bi}$ sets, respectively.

5. Discussion

First we found that our films present silicon nanocrystals embedded in the a-SiOx:H matrix according to XRD and Si-Raman crystallinity measurements seen in Fig. 2. The introduction of oxygen in the hydrogen-diluted p-layer tends to delay the growth of silicon nanocrystals, suggesting that an a-SiOx:H phase primarily grows at the i/p interface. Then we discuss the validity of the numerical models in respect with the experimental $V_{oc}$ trend with the oxygen content and the DTM $V_{bi}$. In Section 2, it was assumed that the p-doped a-SiOx:H phase governs the electrical transport. This assumption is supported by the agreement between the numerical and the experimental enhancement of the $V_{bi}$. In our work, both models are discriminated by two set of results, i.e. the computed $V_{oc}$ trends obtained from both models and the experimental $V_{bi}$ values determined by DTM. First, simulation results show that the $V_{oc}$ trend predicted by the “CBO” model follows better the experiment. Secondly, we observed that the $V_{bi}$ increase of 170 mV predicted by the “$V_{bi}$ enhancement” is not observed experimentally since the scattering of both sets of $V_{bi}$ shown in Fig. 4 is even less than the half of the expected $V_{bi}$ increase. These two arguments thus indicate that the $V_{oc}$ improvement with the nc-SiOx:H p-layer could come from the change in the band structure at the i/p interface, resulting in a CBO, which in turn creates a field that impedes electrons to recombine with holes. The decrease of the $V_{bi}$ for both types of cell shown in Fig. 4 is relatively strong compared to the results reported by Kaplan and Kaplan [28] who also determined the $V_{bi}$ by DTM. In the literature we did not find contribution dealing with the explanation of the $V_{bi}$ drop with the temperature. The argument of a CBO at the i/p interface is well consistent with the explanation of [27] for silicon carbide buffer layers. The presence
of the a-SiOx:H phase at the i/p interface, the simulation results and the constancy of the DTM $V_{oc}$ are in good agreement with the “CBO model.”

In addition to both models, we also evaluated another model where the mobility band gap of the p1-layer is increased by pushing towards lower energies the maximum of the valence band. That model finally yields $V_{oc}$ values lower that 0.8 eV. Other models are thinkable since both models assessed in this contribution do not take into account the presence of both μc-Si:H and a-SiOx:H phases forming the nc-SiO:H p-layer.

6. Conclusion

The numerical modeling of the i/p interface in n-i-p solar cells shows that two different scenarios lead to the enhancement of the $V_{oc}$. The first one consists in increasing the work function of the p-layer, enhancing the $V_{oc}$ of the cell. The second model assumes a reduced p-layer electron affinity, yielding a conduction band discontinuity at the i/p interface that impedes electrons from recombining with holes in the p-layer. We favor the second model because it appears to fit better with the experiment. The a-SiOx:H phase in direct contact with the i-layer should be desirable to limit recombination, resulting in a higher $V_{oc}$.
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Appendix A

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>n-layer</th>
<th>p-layer</th>
<th>i-layer</th>
<th>p2-layer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dielectric constant</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness (10^-3 m)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility gap $E_g$ (eV)</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8−2.1(1)</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electron affinity $\chi$ (eV)</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.9−3.73(1)</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activation energy $E_a$ (eV)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.9(2)</td>
<td>0.37−0.50(1)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hole mobility in VB (10^-4 m^2/Vs)</td>
<td>0.3(3)</td>
<td>2.0(3)</td>
<td>0.3(3)</td>
<td>0.75(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electron mobility in CB (10^-4 m^2/Vs)</td>
<td>15(3)</td>
<td>15(3)</td>
<td>5(3)</td>
<td>5(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective DOS in CB (m-2)</td>
<td>4e26</td>
<td>4e26</td>
<td>4e26</td>
<td>6e25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective DOS in VB (m-2)</td>
<td>4e26</td>
<td>4e26</td>
<td>4e26</td>
<td>3e25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (K)</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tails states parameters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOS at CB mobility edge (m-3 eV)</td>
<td>7e27</td>
<td>4e27</td>
<td>1e28</td>
<td>1e28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOS at VB mobility edge (m-3 eV)</td>
<td>7e27</td>
<td>2e27</td>
<td>1e28</td>
<td>1e28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VB tail characteristic energy (eV)</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB tail characteristic energy (eV)</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR in neutral and charged states in CB (m^2/s)</td>
<td>1e−14</td>
<td>1e−14</td>
<td>2e−14</td>
<td>2e−14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR in neutral and charged states in VB (m^2/s)</td>
<td>2e−14</td>
<td>2e−14</td>
<td>4e−14</td>
<td>4e−14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of the CB and VB tails (eV)</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defect states parameters (defect pool model(11))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard deviation</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>0.188</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electron and hole CR of neutral (Dbs (m^3/s))</td>
<td>1e−15</td>
<td>1e−15</td>
<td>1e−15</td>
<td>1e−15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electron and hole CR of charged (Dbs (m^3/s))</td>
<td>1e−14</td>
<td>1e−14</td>
<td>1e−14</td>
<td>1e−14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pos. of the Gaussian defect pool (rel. to VB) (eV)</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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