Liver receptor homolog 1 (LRH-1), an established regulator of cholesterol and bile acid homeostasis, has recently emerged as a potential drug target for liver disease. Although LRH-1 activation may protect the liver against diet-induced steatosis and insulin resistance, little is known about how LRH-1 controls hepatic glucose and fatty acid metabolism under physiological conditions. We therefore assessed the role of LRH-1 in hepatic intermediary metabolism. In mice with conditional deletion of Lrh1 in liver, analysis of hepatic glucose fluxes revealed reduced glucokinase (GCK) and glycogen synthase fluxes as compared with those of wild-type littermates. These changes were attributed to direct transcriptional regulation of Gck by LRH-1. Impaired glucokinase-mediated glucose phosphorylation in LRH-1–deficient livers was also associated with reduced glycogen synthesis, glycolysis, and de novo lipogenesis in response to acute and prolonged glucose exposure. Accordingly, hepatic carbohydrate response element-binding protein activity was reduced in these animals. Cumulatively, these data identify LRH-1 as a key regulatory component of the hepatic glucose-sensing system required for proper integration of postprandial glucose and lipid metabolism.

Introduction

The liver plays a central role in metabolic homeostasis by coordinating the synthesis, storage, breakdown, and redistribution of nutrients. Adequate control of these metabolic processes is of importance to accommodate systemic fuel requirements and availability. This is achieved through regulatory complexes that modulate both the catalytic activity and the expression level of metabolic enzymes. While the first usually enables rapid changes in enzymatic activity triggered by allosteric regulation or covalent modification, the second regulatory process is slower and involves transcription factors that adjust gene expression levels. In this context, nuclear receptors and their coregulators have been shown to play a key role in the transcriptional regulation of metabolic enzyme expression in response to changes in cellular nutrient and energy status (1, 2).

Liver receptor homolog 1 (LRH-1, also known as NR5A2), a member of the NR5A superfamily of nuclear receptors, is highly expressed in the liver. Hepatic LRH-1 promotes the expression of the bile acid–synthesizing enzymes Cyp7a1 and Cyp8b1 (3–5), while it suppresses acute phase response genes (6, 7). As a consequence, bile acid metabolism is altered in liver-specific LRH-1 knockout mice (3, 4), and LRH-1 heterozygous animals show an exacerbated inflammatory response (6). Other established LRH-1 target genes in the liver are known mediators of hepatic cholesterol uptake and efflux (8, 9), HDL formation (10, 11), cholesterol exchange between lipoproteins (12), and fatty acid synthesis (13). Although these findings point to a broader role for LRH-1 in hepatic lipid metabolism and reverse cholesterol transport, their physiological impact is as yet unknown.

Independent studies have demonstrated that human LRH-1 can bind several phospholipid species, including phosphoinositides (14–17). Interestingly, dilauroyl phosphatidylcholine (DLPC), which has been identified as a ligand for both mouse and human LRH-1 in vitro, was recently shown to confer LRH-1–dependent protection against hepatic steatosis and insulin resistance in mice exposed to chronic high-fat feeding (18). While these observations suggest that hepatic LRH-1 may contribute to metabolic control, the role of LRH-1 in hepatic glucose metabolism remains largely unexplored. However, insights into the mechanisms by which LRH-1 impacts on glucose and fatty acid metabolism in the liver are required for the development of therapeutic strategies to prevent or treat hepatic steatosis.

In this study, we assessed the physiological role of LRH-1 in hepatic intermediary metabolism. We show that LRH-1 controls the first step of hepatic glucose uptake through direct transcriptional regulation of the glucokinase (Gck) gene. As a result, mice lacking LRH-1 in hepatocytes fail to adequately induce glucose-driven glycolysis, glycogen synthesis, and fatty acid synthesis in the liver. Altogether, our work identifies LRH-1 as a critical regulatory component of the glucose-sensing system that integrates hepatic postprandial glucose and lipid metabolism.

Results

Reduced glucokinase and glycogen synthase fluxes in Alb-Cre;Lrh1fl/fl mice.

To explore the putative involvement of LRH-1 in intermediary metabolism in the liver, we quantified hepatic glucose fluxes upon stable isotope infusion in mice with a somatic deletion of LRH-1 in hepatocytes (Alb-Cre;Lrh1fl/fl mice; ref. 3) and their wild-type littermates (Lrh1fl/fl mice) (Figure 1A; ref. 19). Blood glucose concentrations were similar in Lrh1fl/fl and Alb-Cre;Lrh1fl/fl mice under both normoglycemic and clamped hyperglycemic conditions (Table 1).
Reduced hepatic glucokinase and glycogen synthase fluxes in Alb-Cre;Lrh1fl/fl mice. (A) Schematic representation of the model used for mass isotopomer distribution analysis. GP, glycogen phosphorylase; GS, glycogen synthase; G6Pase, glucose-6-phosphatase. (B–D) Glucose fluxes in Lrh1fl/fl mice (white bars) and Alb-Cre;Lrh1fl/fl mice (black bars) under normoglycemic (NG) and hyperglycemic (HG) conditions. (B) Glucokinase and (C) glucose-6-phosphatase flux and (D) glucose balance. (E–G) Glycogen fluxes in Alb-Cre;Lrh1fl/fl and Lrh1fl/fl mice under normoglycemic and hyperglycemic conditions. (E) Glycogen synthase and (F) glycogen phosphorylase flux and (G) glycogen balance. Data represent mean ± SEM for n = 5–9 per genotype. *P < 0.05 Alb-Cre;Lrh1fl/fl versus Lrh1fl/fl. **P < 0.05 hyperglycemic versus normoglycemic.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Normoglycemic</th>
<th>Hyperglycemic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lrh1fl/fl</td>
<td>Alb-Cre;Lrh1fl/fl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood glucose (mmol/l)</td>
<td>7.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>7.4 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose clearance rate (ml/kg/min)</td>
<td>10.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>11.5 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma insulin (ng/ml)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose infusion rate (μmol/kg/min)</td>
<td>4 ± 0</td>
<td>4 ± 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND, not determined. Data represent mean ± SEM for n = 5–9. *P < 0.05 versus normoglycemic; **P < 0.05 versus Lrh1fl/fl.
availability, since activation of a heterologous and an endogenous LRH-1 reporter construct by LRH-1 was similar under low (5 mM) and high (25 mM) levels of glucose (Figure 2G).

We then evaluated whether glucokinase is subject to direct transcriptional control by LRH-1. Since Lrh1 and Shp expression was abolished in the livers of Alb-Cre;Lrh1fl/fl mice (Figure 2, C and E), we first assessed whether changes in Gck mRNA levels arise from altered LRH-1 or SHP activity by means of adeno-associated viral vector-serotype 8–mediated (AAV8-mediated) SHP reconstitution in Alb-Cre;Lrh1fl/fl mice. Consistent with the lower expression of LRH-1 targets Cyp8b1 and Srb1, SHP reconstitution also did not normalize the expression of Gck (Figure 3A). Reduced Gck mRNA levels in Alb-Cre;Lrh1fl/fl mice translated into lower GCK protein expression (Figure 3B). The LRH-1–mediated control of Gck expression was also confirmed in vitro. In line with reduced Gck mRNA levels in the absence of LRH-1, adenoviral overexpression of LRH-1 in mouse primary hepatocytes markedly induced Lrh1, Shp, Cyp8b1, and Gck expression (Figure 3C). Moreover, Shp and Gck mRNA levels were robustly elevated in mouse hepatoma cells that overexpressed LRH-1 (Figure 3D), resulting in enhanced glucose uptake and phosphorylation (Figure 3E). Computational analysis revealed 6 putative LRH-1 binding sites in the mouse Gck promoter (Figure 3F), and ChIP analysis of hepatic DNA from Lrh1fl/fl and Alb-Cre;Lrh1fl/fl mice identified specific recruitment of LRH-1 to sites 5 and 6, which are most proximal to the transcription initiation site in the hepatic Gck promoter (ref. 21; Figure 3, F and G). Site-directed mutagenesis of these sites identified site 6 downstream from the transcription initiation site as the major functional site that confers LRH-1 responsiveness (Figure 3H).

Reduced glycogen synthesis, glycolysis, de novo lipogenesis, and ChREBP activity in Alb-Cre;Lrh1fl/fl mice. Perturbed glucokinase activity impacts on hepatic glucose and lipid metabolism (22, 23). We
hypothesized that hepatic ablation of LRH-1 would limit the availability of G6P for glycolgen synthesis, glycolysis, and de novo lipogenesis. We therefore analyzed these biochemical pathways downstream of glucokinase in Alb-Cre;Lrh1fl/fl mice. In accordance with the reduction in the flux to glycolgen (Figure 1, E and G), we observed that the accumulation of hepatic glycolgen, which usually occurs within 30 minutes after an oral glucose bolus in Lrh1fl/fl mice, was markedly delayed in Alb-Cre;Lrh1fl/fl mice, in which glycolgen levels were maximally increased after only 1 hour (Figure 4, A and B). In addition to this acute effect, glycolgen content was also significantly lower in the livers of fed and refeed Alb-Cre;Lrh1fl/fl mice (Figure 4C). In parallel to the reduced glucokinase flux in Alb-Cre;Lrh1fl/fl mice, we observed that primary hepatocytes from Alb-Cre;Lrh1fl/fl mice exhibited lower extracellular acidification rates when exposed to glucose, which is indicative of reduced glycolysis (Figure 4D). Besides its role in ATP generation, glycolysis also provides substrates for de novo fatty acid synthesis. Correspondingly, we found that gene expression of lipogenic enzymes was reduced in Alb-Cre;Lrh1fl/fl mice (Figure 5A). The induction of Acaca expression upon refeeding was attenuated in Alb-Cre;Lrh1fl/fl mice, while Fasn and Scd1 mRNA levels were lower in all conditions studied (Figure 5A). Acacb expression was also reduced in refeed Alb-Cre;Lrh1fl/fl mice (Figure 5A). To unequivocally establish the physiological relevance of these findings, we used 13C-acetate to enrich the acetyl-CoA pool and quantified fractional de novo palmitate, stearate, and oleate synthesis rates (Figure 5B). Consistent with the reduction in glycolysis, de novo lipogenesis was reduced in fed and refeed Alb-Cre;Lrh1fl/fl mice as compared with that in wild-type littermates (Figure 5B). Accordingly, plasma triglyceride concentrations were decreased in fed and refeed Alb-Cre;Lrh1fl/fl mice compared with those in Lrh1fl/fl mice (Table 2).

Previous work has shown that hepatic GCK ablation reduces carbohydrate response element-binding protein (Chrebp) and pyruvate kinase (Pklr) expression (23). In accordance with this, and consistent with the observed reduction in glycolysis (Figure 4D) and de novo lipogenesis (Figure 5), we observed that the expression of Chrebp and Pklr was lower in fed and refeed Alb-Cre;Lrh1fl/fl mice (Figure 6A). On the other hand, the mRNA levels of sterol regulatory element binding protein 1c (Srebp-1c) and its target gene glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6pd) were not changed in Alb-Cre;Lrh1fl/fl mice (Figure 6A). Nuclear ChREBP protein was also reduced in refeed Alb-Cre;Lrh1fl/fl mice (Figure 6B), consistent with lower hepatic G6P content under these conditions (Figure 6C; ref. 24). This was not attributed to the concomitant loss of hepatic SHP in the Alb-Cre;Lrh1fl/fl mice, since Shp reconstitution did not restore Chrebp and Pklr mRNA levels (Figure 6D). To establish whether the reduction in glycolytic and lipogenic gene expression in the livers of Alb-Cre;Lrh1fl/fl mice results from direct regulation of Chrebp transcription by LHR-1, we evaluated the effect of LRH-1 on Chrebp promoter activation. Unlike the Gck promoter (Figure 3H), LRH-1 did not directly activate the mouse Chrebp promoter, while a robust transactivation of the Shp promoter was observed in response to LRH-1 (Figure 6E), indicating that LRH-1 impacts ChREBP in an indirect manner.

Based on previous studies showing that GCK is required for optimal ChREBP expression and activity (23–25), we postulated that LRH-1 indirectly modulates ChREBP via GCK. To support this hypothesis, we rescued hepatic Gck expression in Alb-Cre;Lrh1fl/fl mice by AAV8-mediated gene transfer (Figure 6F). Consistent with reduced nuclear ChREBP expression and hepatic G6P content (Figure 6, B and C), Pklr and Acaca mRNA levels were normalized in Alb-Cre;Lrh1fl/fl mice upon Gck reconstitution, indicating that the lower expression levels of these ChREBP target genes occurs secondary to a reduced flux through GCK in Alb-Cre;Lrh1fl/fl mice. Hepatic Fasn expression, on the other hand, remained low upon GCK reconstitution in Alb-Cre;Lrh1fl/fl mice, consistent with a previous study that identified this gene as a direct target of LRH-1 (13). Scd1 mRNA levels showed a dose-dependent response to Gck rescue in Alb-Cre;Lrh1fl/fl mice (Figure 6F). Combined, these data indicate that LRH-1 acts as an upstream regulator of GCK and as such indirectly impacts glycolytic and, at least in part, lipogenic gene expression.

### Discussion

Hepatic LRH-1 is an established transcriptional regulator of cholesterol and bile acid homeostasis (3–5, 14, 26). Here, we report that LRH-1 directly regulates intrahepatic glucose and fatty acid metabolism during the postprandial phase. Our data show that both loss and gain of LRH-1 function control glucokinase expression and impact hepatic glucose uptake in liver and hepatocytes. The LRH-1-driven flux through glucokinase in turn critically determines the partitioning of G6P toward glycogen storage, glycolysis, and de novo lipogenesis. Hence, we believe that this study confirms the unique and essential ability of hepatic glucokinase to properly accommodate glucose availability to its oxidation and storage (22, 23, 27) and reveals a novel upstream regulator of its activity that determines the capacity for hepatic glucose uptake upon glucose exposure.

Glucokinase mediates hepatic glucose uptake and, as such, represents the first step of glucose metabolism in the liver. Its product, G6P, is a central metabolite for intrahepatic glucose and lipid homeostasis. This metabolite serves as the substrate for the oxidation of glucose as well as its storage as glycogen and fat. Hepatic glucokinase expression depends on the nutritional state and is subject to reciprocal regulation by insulin and glucagon (28). Different transcription factors, including liver X receptor (LXR), PPARY, and SREBP-1c, are known to interact to control glucokinase expression.

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metabolite concentrations in fed, 24-hour-fasted, and 6-hour-refed Lrh1fl/fl and Alb-Cre;Lrh1fl/fl mice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood glucose (mmol/l)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma insulin (ng/ml)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma triglyceride (mmol/l)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data represent mean ± SEM for n = 5–9. *P < 0.05 versus fed; #P < 0.05 versus fasted; $P < 0.05 versus Lrh1fl/fl.
expression (29). The induction of \textit{Gck} in response to refeeding is, however, not significantly impaired in \textit{LXR} \textit{-} or \textit{Srebp-1c} –deficient mice (30–32). In this study, we observed a profound and consistent reduction in \textit{Gck} mRNA levels in fed, fasted, and refed \textit{Alb-Cre;Lrh1f/f} mice, confirming previous observations in insulin-resistant mice (18). Together, these data suggest that LRH-1 is a major regulator of hepatic glucokinase expression. Metabolic alterations in \textit{Alb-Cre;Lrh1f/f} mice may, however, also be related to lower \textit{Sbp} expression. This is of importance given that SHP acts as a transrepressor on \textit{LXR} \textit{\alpha}- or \textit{PPAR} \textit{\gamma}-mediated \textit{Gck} induction (29). However, a recent report showed that SHP deficiency does not impact hepatic \textit{Gck} expression in chow-fed mice (33). This observation is consistent with the results obtained in this study. By means of ChIP analysis, site-directed mutagenesis, and SHP reconstitution experiments, we have firmly established that LRH-1 directly controls \textit{Gck} transcription.

LRH-1 specifically acts on glucokinase transcription, since no significant differences in hexokinase expression were observed between \textit{Lrh1f/f} and \textit{Alb-Cre;Lrh1f/f} mice. This is of particular interest, because it has been shown that only G6P generated through glucokinase determines the metabolic fate of intrahepatic glucose (34, 35). Liver-specific glucokinase knockout mice show a reduction in glycogen synthesis under hyperglycemic conditions (22). In this study, we also observed reduced glycogen storage and a lower glycogen synthase flux in the livers of \textit{Alb-Cre;Lrh1f/f} mice. Glucokinase is furthermore required to increase \textit{Chrebp} mRNA levels in response to refeeding and is critical for the \textit{ChREBP}-mediated induction of glycolytic and lipogenic genes in response to glucose (23). Importantly, recent work has identified G6P as the actual metabolic signal that drives \textit{ChREBP}-mediated control of glycolysis and lipogenesis (24, 25). In line with this, we observed that refed \textit{Alb-Cre;Lrh1f/f} mice showed reduced hepatic G6P content along with...
with a suboptimal induction of glycolytic and lipogenic genes. The reconstitution of hepatic SHP furthermore revealed that, similar to those of Gck, the lower expression levels of Chrebp and its target Pklr in Alb-Cre;Lrh1fl/fl mice are also caused by the absence of LRH-1, and not SHP, in the liver. Reduced mRNA levels of Chrebp and its targets in Alb-Cre;Lrh1fl/fl mice were associated with lowered glycolytic and lipogenic fluxes as well as reduced plasma triglyceride levels. The reduction in glycolysis in Alb-Cre;Lrh1fl/fl mice results from impaired GCK activity, as Pklr expression was completely normalized upon hepatic Gck rescue. Gck reconstitution also restored the mRNA levels of the ChREBP target Acaca, but not the expression of Fasn, which is a direct target of both ChREBP and LRH-1 (13, 23). The reduced de novo lipogenesis in Alb-Cre;Lrh1fl/fl mice therefore most likely results from a concerted impairment of both ChREBP-dependent and LRH-1–dependent lipogenesis. Thus, although the flux through glucokinase is only partially inhibited in Alb-Cre;Lrh1fl/fl mice, these animals present a striking phenotypic resemblance to liver-specific glucokinase knockout mice with regard to glycogen storage and ChREBP-mediated glycolysis and lipogenesis (22, 23).

Genetic models of obesity and type 2 diabetes, including ob/ob and db/db mice, have been reported to display increased hepatic glucokinase expression and flux (36–38), along with ChREBP hyperactivity and hepatic steatosis, which is partially reversible by ChREBP inhibition (39). Importantly, glucokinase expression is also associated with lipogenic activity and fatty liver in humans (40). This implies that a reduction in LRH-1 activity would have a potential protective effect on steatosis development via reduced glucokinase-mediated ChREBP activation when hepatic glucose availability is high, e.g., in obese diabetic states. Although Alb-Cre;Lrh1fl/fl mice are not protected against high-fat diet–induced glucose intolerance and insulin resistance (Supplemental Figure 3 and ref. 18), it was recently shown that DLPC administration improves insulin sensitivity and liver steatosis in an LRH-1–dependent manner (18). It was proposed that DLPC-mediated LRH-1 activation interferes with the insulin/SREBP-1c signaling cascade that drives lipogenesis in the liver. In this study, we observed that the hepatic mRNA levels of Srebp-1c and its target G6pd1 were unaltered in insulin-sensitive Alb-Cre;Lrh1fl/fl mice. This is in agreement with a report showing that Srebp-1c and G6pd1 expression levels are not altered upon glucokinase overexpression, while those of the ChREBP targets Pklr and Acaca/Acacb are induced (41). Combined, current and previous findings (18) indicate that LRH-1 impacts on hepatic lipogenesis via alternate routes under different nutritional and pathophysiological conditions.

In conclusion, these data indicate that LRH-1 is a major and essential transcriptional regulator of glucokinase, the gatekeeper of hepatic glucose metabolism. As a consequence, LRH-1 not only controls the initial step of glucose uptake but also determines the intrahepatic fate of its product G6P. Our data identifies LRH-1 as a critical component of the hepatic glucose-sensing system that integrates glucose and lipid homeostasis in the postprandial phase.
Prior to the infusion experiment, mice were kept under a 12-hour-dark/12-hour-light cycle (lights on 7 AM to 7 PM). At the end of the experiments, mice were sacrificed by cardiac puncture under isoflurane anesthesia, and livers were quickly snap frozen.

For more details, see the Supplemental Methods. Unless otherwise indicated, all chemical reagents were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich.

**Methods**

For fasting-refeeding experiments, fed and 24-hour–fasted mice were sacrificed at 7 AM. Refed mice were fasted for 24 hours, starting at 7 AM, and were subsequently refed with normal chow diet for 6 hours. To quantify fatty acid synthesis rates, all mice received sodium[1-13C] acetate via their drinking water (2%) 24 hours prior to sacrifice.

For SHP reconstitution experiments, Alb-Cre;Lrh1/fl/fl mice received an injection of SHP-AAV8 vector (1011 particles per mouse) into the jugular vein under isoflurane anesthesia. Five weeks after vector administration, the mice were sacrificed after a 6-hour fast. For GCK reconstitution experiments, Alb-Cre;Lrh1/fl/fl mice received an injection of GCK-AAV8 vector (1011 or 1012 particles per mouse) into the jugular vein under isoflurane anesthesia. Five weeks after vector administration, the mice were sacrificed after 6 hours of refeeding. Hepatic gene expression levels were compared with those of Lrh1/fl/fl and Alb-Cre;Lrh1/fl/fl mouse AAV8 vector particles carrying a noncoding genome (AAV8-null).

**Biochemical analysis.** The extraction of glucose from blood and urine spots, the derivatization of the extracted compounds, the GC-MS analysis of these derivatives, and the calculation of hepatic carbohydrate fluxes were performed as described previously (46, 47). Blood glucose concentrations were measured using a Maxi Kit glucometer (Bayer Diagnostics). Plasma insulin and triglyceride concentrations were determined using commercially available ELISA (Crystal Chem Inc.) and enzymatic kits (Roche), respectively. Hepatic triglyceride content was quantified after lipid extraction (48) using an enzymatic assay (Roche). Fatty acids derived from these hepatic lipid extracts were liberated, derivatized, and subjected to GC-MS analysis in order to calculate fractional synthesis rates from the incorporation of 1-13C-acetate as described previously (49). Hepatic glycogen and G6P content were determined using enzymatic assays (50, 51).

**Histological analysis.** In order to visualize hepatic glycogen disposition, hematoxylin and eosin and periodic acid-Schiff stainings were performed on 4-μm-thick liver sections.

**Cell culture.** Primary hepatocytes were isolated using collagenase perfusion as described previously with minor modifications (23). Hepatocytes from Lrh1/fl/fl mice were plated in DMEM 4.5 g/l glucose with 10% FBS. Four hours after plating, cells were transduced with AdLRH-1 or AdGFP. Hepatocytes were then incubated in DMEM 4.5 g/l glucose without serum for 36 hours and lysed for RNA isolation.

Primary hepatocytes from Alb-Cre;Lrh1/fl/fl and Lrh1/fl/fl mice were seeded in Seahorse XF24 plates (Seahorse Bioscience) containing glucose-free medium without serum for 24 hours. They were subsequently exposed to 25 mM glucose, and the extracellular acidification rate (a measure of glycolysis) was measured at 5-minute intervals by the Seahorse XF24 system (Seahorse Bioscience).

Hepa 1.6 mouse hepatoma cells were cultured in DMEM 4.5 g/l glucose with 10% FBS, 1% NEAA, and 0.1% gentamicin. These cells were transduced with AdLRH-1 or AdGFP. After 12 hours, media were changed and incubation was continued for another 30 hours. Then, cells were rinsed with PBS 3 times and either lysed in Tris Reagent (Invitrogen) for RNA isolation or exposed to 2-[1-14C]deoxyglucose (DOG; 0.1 μCi) for 10 minutes to quantify DOG incorporation as described previously (52). In short, cells were rinsed with 0.1 mM phloretin to further inhibit sugar efflux.

Figure 5

**Reduced de novo lipogenesis in Alb-Cre;Lrh1/fl/fl mice.** (A) Hepatic lipogenic gene expression and (B) de novo synthesis of hepatic palmitate, stearate, and oleate in fed, fasted, and refeed Lrh1/fl/fl mice (white bars) and Alb-Cre;Lrh1/fl/fl mice (black bars) (n = 7–9 per genotype). Data represent mean ± SEM. *P < 0.05 versus Lrh1/fl/fl.
and lysed in 1 M NaOH at 60°C for 10 minutes. The lysates were neutralized in 1 M HCl and centrifuged. 0.3 M ZnSO\textsubscript{4} and 0.3 M Ba(OH)\textsubscript{2} were added to the supernatant, and the mixture was centrifuged. Then, 6% perchloric acid was added to the supernatant. After the centrifugation, the radioactivity of the supernatant was measured by a liquid scintillation counter. Radioactive counts were normalized to DNA content to determine DOG uptake and G6P production.

Cloning and site-directed mutagenesis. A 0.6-kb fragment (−601 to +10) of the murine Shp promoter, as well as a long (−1.2 kb; −1,063 to +141) and short (−0.6 kb; −514 to +141) fragment of the mouse hepatic Gck promoter (21) (GCK long and short), were amplified from mouse liver genomic DNA. These fragments were inserted into the multiple cloning site of the pGL3-basic reporter (Promega Life Science). The heterologous LRH-1 reporter vector was generated by subcloning 4 repeats of an established LRH-1 response element in the human Shp promoter (−79 to −71) into the multiple cloning site of the TK-pGL3 reporter (Promega Life Science).

Mutations in putative LRH-1 responsive elements 5 and 6 (Figure 3F) in the GCK short reporter were introduced using the QuikChange Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Stratagene). Additional mutagenesis was performed to obtain GCK short mutant elements 5 and 6 (mt5-6), from the GCK short mt5. The sequences of all reporter constructs were analyzed and confirmed.

Transient transfection and cell reporter assays. All transfections were performed using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). HeLa cells were transfected under low (5 mM) glucose conditions with reporter constructs driven by a heterologous promoter, consisting of 4 consensus LRH-1 response elements, or driven by the endogenous Shp promoter in the presence of either pCMX-mLRH-1 or pCMX-empty (8). After 6 hours, media were changed to either high (25 mM) or low (5 mM) glucose. Luciferase activities were determined 24 hours later and normalized for β-galactosidase activities. Luciferase activities in HeLa cells transfected with Chrebp promoter reporter (53), the Shp promoter reporter, or the different Gck promoter reporters...
in normal culture conditions (25 mM glucose) in the presence of either pCMX-mLRH-1 or pCMX-empty were determined 30 hours after transfection and normalized for β-galactosidase activities. All experiments were performed 3 times, and data represent average values of a representative experiment, which was performed in quadruplicate and normalized for empty luciferase reporter (pGL3) activity.

Quantitative RT-PCR and ChIP. RNA was isolated from liver samples and cells using Tri Reagent, and quantitative RT-PCR was performed as described previously (3). Primer sequences are listed in Supplemental Table 1. Gene expression levels were normalized for β-actin.

ChiP analysis was performed as described previously with minor adaptations (54). DNA was purified using the PCR clean-up Gel extraction Kit (Macherey-Nagel), after which qPCR was performed as described previously (3). Data were normalized for GAPDH promoter binding and expressed relative to IgG. ChiP primer sequences are listed in Supplemental Table 1.

Western blot analysis. Total liver and nuclear protein extracts were prepared, and lysates were subjected to Western blotting as described previously (3). Blots were probed with GCK (55), ChREBP (Novus), LRH-1 (56), Parp-1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.), and HIS90 (BD Transduction Laboratories).

Statistics. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. Statistical significance (P < 0.05) was assessed using the Kruskal-Wallis test followed by the Conover test for post-hoc analysis. Study approval. All animal studies were performed with Swiss animal protection law and were approved by the Cantonal Veterinary Office (Vaud, Switzerland) and the local committee for animal experimentation (license no. 2331; Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne).
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