Three-Sublattice Ordering of the SU(3) Heisenberg Model of Three-Flavor Fermions on the Square and Cubic Lattices
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Combining a semiclassical analysis with exact diagonalizations, we show that the ground state of the SU(3) Heisenberg model on the square lattice develops three-sublattice long-range order. This surprising pattern for a bipartite lattice with only nearest-neighbor interactions is shown to be the consequence of a subtle quantum order-by-disorder mechanism. By contrast, thermal fluctuations favor two-sublattice configurations via entropic selection. These results are shown to extend to the cubic lattice, and experimental implications for the Mott-insulating states of three-flavor fermionic atoms in optical lattices are discussed.
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Mott transitions and the nature of the associated Mott-insulating phases represent one of the central themes of contemporary condensed matter physics [1], and more recently also of the field of ultracold atomic gases [2]. Theoretically, the canonical case of two-flavor fermions on hypercubic lattices is thoroughly understood. For strong interactions, an antiferromagnetically ordered two-sublattice Néel state is realized. Ongoing experimental efforts using ultracold fermionic gases are focused on reaching this state coming from higher temperatures [3].

In an exciting parallel development, recent experimental advances using multiflavor atomic gases [4,5] have paved the way to the investigation of Mott-insulating states with more than two flavors in optical lattices [6,7]. While it is intuitively clear that Mott-insulating states will exist at particular commensurate fillings [8,9], the nature and the spatial structure of multiflavor Mott-insulating states are in general not well understood. For instance, on the square lattice geometry, many different proposals for insulating states have been put forward, ranging from SU(N) symmetry breaking “magnetic” states to dimerized or plaquette states, chiral spin liquids and staggered flux phases [6,10–13].

In this Letter, we present a strong case in favor of a three-sublattice, long-range ordered ground state for the SU(3) symmetric Hubbard model defined by the Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = -t \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle, \alpha} (c_{i,\alpha}^\dagger c_{j,\alpha} + \text{H.c.}) + U \sum_{i, \alpha < \beta} n_{i, \alpha} n_{i, \beta}. \quad (1)$$

Here $c_{i,\alpha}^\dagger$ and $c_{i,\alpha}$ create and annihilate a fermion at site $i$ with flavor $\alpha$, respectively, and $n_{i,\alpha} = c_{i,\alpha}^\dagger c_{i,\alpha}$. To second order in $t/U$, the low-energy physics is captured by the SU(3) antiferromagnetic Heisenberg model with coupling $J = 2t^2/U$

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \mathcal{P}_{ij}, \quad (2)$$

where $\mathcal{P}_{ij}$ is a transposition operator that exchanges SU(3) spins on site $i$ and $j$: $\mathcal{P}_{ij}|\alpha_i \beta_j \rangle = |\beta_i \alpha_j \rangle$. The spins on a site form the three-dimensional fundamental irreducible representation of the SU(3) algebra. In the following, the basis states will be denoted by $|A\rangle$, $|B\rangle$, and $|C\rangle$. Note that this model can also be seen as a special high-symmetry point of the SU(2) spin-one, bilinear-biquadratic exchange Hamiltonian when bilinear and biquadratic couplings are equal.

In one dimension, the model has a Bethe ansatz solution [14]. It has gapless excitations at $q = 0$ and $q = \pm 2\pi/3$ [15], and the correlations decay algebraically with period 3. In higher dimensions, much less is known, and most of it relies on the pioneering work of Papanicolaou [16] who has investigated this question variationally in the context of spin-one models using a site-factorized wave function of the form

$$|\Psi\rangle = N_A \prod_{i=1}^{N_A} (d_{A,i}|A\rangle_i + d_{B,i}|B\rangle_i + d_{C,i}|C\rangle_i). \quad (3)$$

where $N_A$ is the number of sites. Grouping the variational parameters into (complex) vectors $\mathbf{d}_i = (d_{A,i}, d_{B,i}, d_{C,i})$ and imposing the normalization $\mathbf{d}_i \cdot \mathbf{d}_j = 1$, the problem reduces to the minimization of

$$E_{\text{var}} = \frac{\langle \Psi | \hat{H} | \Psi \rangle}{\langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle} = \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} |\mathbf{d}_i \cdot \mathbf{d}_j|^2. \quad (4)$$

Since $J > 0$, the energy of nearest-neighbor bonds is minimal when the $\mathbf{d}$ vectors, hence the wave functions, are
orthogonal. For a triangle, this condition enforces three mutually orthogonal \( \mathbf{d} \) vectors. As a consequence, for the triangular lattice, the energy is minimized up to global SU(3) rotations by a single wave function constructed by choosing on each of the three sublattices the wave functions \( |A \rangle \), \( |B \rangle \), and \( |C \rangle \), respectively. The three-sublattice long-range order embodied by this wave function has recently been shown to be stable against quantum fluctuations [17].

By contrast, the square lattice does not provide enough constraints to uniquely select a set of mutually orthogonal \( \mathbf{d} \) vectors. Consider, for instance, a Néel state with \( |A \rangle \) and \( |B \rangle \) on the two sublattices. Any state obtained by replacing \( |B \rangle \) by \( |C \rangle \) on an arbitrary number of sites is also a ground state, which leads to a highly degenerate ground-state manifold. This situation is reminiscent of frustrated SU(2) antiferromagnetism, in which case quantum or thermal fluctuations often restore long-range order by a selection mechanism that favors collinear or planar configurations and is known as “order-by-disorder” [18]. For the SU(3) model, zero-point quantum fluctuations can be calculated with the help of the wave-function theory, an extension of the SU(2) spin-wave theory to the SU(3) case [16,19]. This approach starts from the representation of the model in terms of three-flavor Schwinger bosons

\[
P_{ij} = \sum_{\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \{A, B, C\}} a_{\mu_1, i, j}^\dagger a_{\mu_2, i, j},
\]

with the constraint \( \sum_{\mu} a_{\mu, i, j}^\dagger a_{\mu, i, j} = 1 \). In order to treat quantum fluctuations around a variational solution defined by \( \mathbf{d}_j \), one first performs a local SU(3) rotation of the Schwinger bosons by choosing two vectors \( \mathbf{e}_j \) and \( \mathbf{f}_j \) which, together with \( \mathbf{d}_j \), define a local orthogonal basis, in terms of which the rotated bosons are given as \( \tilde{a}_{\mu, ij}^\dagger = \sum_{\mu_1} d_{\mu, \mu_1}^j e_i^j a_{\mu_1, j}^\dagger \) and \( \tilde{a}_{\nu, ij} = \sum_{\mu_1} f_{\mu_1, \nu}^j a_{\mu_1, j}^\dagger \). A “semiclassical” \( 1/M \) expansion is then generated by replacing the constraint by \( \sum_{\mu} a_{\mu, i, j}^\dagger a_{\mu, i, j} = M \) and the Schwinger bosons along the local direction of the variational solution by

\[
\tilde{a}_{\mu, ij}^\dagger \tilde{a}_{\mu, ij} = \sqrt{M - \tilde{a}_{\mu, i}^\dagger \tilde{a}_{\mu, i} - \tilde{a}_{\mu, j}^\dagger \tilde{a}_{\mu, j}}.
\]

The bosons \( \tilde{a}_{\mu, i} \) and \( \tilde{a}_{\mu, j} \) play the role of Holstein-Primakoff bosons. Expanding in powers of \( 1/M \) leads to

\[
P_{ij} = M(d_{i,j}^\dagger A_{i,j}^\dagger + \tilde{d}_{i,j}^\dagger A_{i,j}^\dagger + f_{i,j} A_{i,j}^\dagger) - M
\]

with \( A_{i,j} = e_i^j A_{i,j}^\dagger + f_i^j A_{j,i}^\dagger \). The resulting Hamiltonian is quadratic and can be diagonalized by a Bogoliubov transformation. The zero-point energy is half the sum of the eigenfrequencies.

Now, simple considerations lead to two natural candidates for possible orderings: (i) the two-sublattice state with ordering wave vector \( Q_2 = (\pi, \pi) \), as suggested by the bipartite nature of the lattice, and (ii) a three-sublattice state with ordering wave vector \( Q_3^\pm = (2\pi/3, \pm 2\pi/3) \), as suggested by the softening of the excitation spectrum in one-dimensional chains. Both states feature diagonal stripes of equal spins, with an alternation of \( A \) and \( B \) stripes in case (i) and a succession of \( A, B, \) and \( C \) stripes in case (ii) [see Fig. 1]. For such states, the excitation spectrum has the periodicity of the square lattice, leading to

\[
\mathcal{H} = -2MJN_A + M \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \sum_{\kappa} \omega_{\kappa}^\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{k}) \left[ \alpha_{\kappa, \mathbf{q}}^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) \alpha_{\kappa, \mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{k}) + \frac{1}{2} \right]
\]

(8)

The spectrum consists of two branches because there are two states orthogonal to the local variational state. For the three-sublattice state, the branches are degenerate

\[
\omega_{1,2}^\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{k}) = 2J \sqrt{1 - |\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}|^2},
\]

while for the two-sublattice case they are given by

\[
\omega_1^\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{k}) = 2J \sqrt{4 - (\gamma_{\mathbf{k}} + \tilde{\gamma}_{\mathbf{k}})^2}, \quad \omega_2^\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{k}) = 0,
\]

with \( \gamma_{\mathbf{k}} = (e^{ik_x} + e^{ik_y})/2 \). The corresponding spectra are shown in Figs. 2(a) and 2(c), respectively.

In both cases, there is an infinite number of zero modes. For the two-sublattice case, the presence of an entirely soft branch is natural: if the two-sublattice variational state corresponds, say, to \( A \) and \( B \) spins, each spin can tilt toward \( C \) at no energy cost. What is more surprising is the line of zero modes in the three-sublattice case, since one would naively expect zero modes only at \( \mathbf{k} = 0, \pm \mathbf{Q}_3^\pm \). This suggests that there must be a family of classical ground states with wave vectors \( q \) and \( q \pm \mathbf{Q}_3^\pm \). Indeed, after choosing the vectors \( \mathbf{d}_i \) and \( \mathbf{d}_{i+1} \) of two consecutive stripes, we may write the next one as \( \mathbf{d}_{i+2} = \cos \theta \mathbf{d}_i + \sin \theta \mathbf{d}_i \times \mathbf{d}_{i+1} \).

If we continue this construction using always the same \( \theta \), we end up with a helical state of the form \( |\psi_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle \propto \sqrt{-\cos q |u| + \sin q (x_i + y_i) |v|} + \cos(q (x_i + y_i) |v|) \) with \( \cos \theta = -1 - 2 \cos \mathbf{k} \) and \( |u|, |v|, |w| \) depend on the initial choice of \( \mathbf{d}_i \) and \( \mathbf{d}_{i+1} \). These helical states extrapolate between the two-sublattice case (\( q = \pi/2 \) and \( q = \pi \)) and the three-sublattice case (\( q = 2\pi/3 \)). Their excitations have the periodicity of the lattice, and the dispersion is given by the equation (extended to dimension \( D \) for later reference)

\[
\omega^2 - D^2 F^2 \frac{2(1 - \gamma_{\mathbf{k}} \tilde{\gamma}_{\mathbf{k}}) + (2 - \gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^2 - (\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^2)^2 \cos^2 \theta)}{2(1 - \gamma_{\mathbf{k}} \tilde{\gamma}_{\mathbf{k}}) + (2 - \gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^2 - (\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^2)^2 \cos^2 \theta)} \omega^2 + D^2 F^2 \sin^4 \theta (1 - \gamma_{\mathbf{k}} \tilde{\gamma}_{\mathbf{k}})^2 = 0.
\]

(11)

FIG. 1 (color online). Sketch of the (a) three-sublattice and (b) two-sublattice phases of the SU(3) antiferromagnetic Heisenberg model.
where $\gamma_k = (e^{ik} + e^{ik} + \ldots)/D$. All helical states give rise to a line of zero modes.

We see, therefore, that there is in fact an infinite number of helical candidates for being the quantum ground state. We have calculated and compared the zero-point energy of these states [Fig. 2], and found that it is minimal for $q = 2\pi/3$. We have also compared the zero-point energy of the three-sublattice state with that of random ground states on finite clusters, as well as with that of stripe states with lower periodicity, with the conclusion that it is always lower. This leads to the first important conclusion of this paper: on the square lattice, quantum fluctuations stabilize three-sublattice long-range order.

This conclusion is quite surprising from the point of view of order-by-disorder. Indeed, the two-sublattice state has by far the largest number of zero modes, and according to common wisdom it should be selected. However, this need not be the case for quantum fluctuations: if the non-zero modes have sufficiently large energy, they may compensate for the vanishing contribution of the zero modes. This is what happens here for the two-sublattice structure, whose upper branch is larger than twice the degenerate three-sublattice branch for all wave vectors. By contrast, for thermal fluctuations, the stabilization of the configuration with the largest number of zero modes is systematic, since the low-temperature free energy reads [20]

$$F = E_0 - \frac{N_{ZM}}{4} T \ln T - \frac{N_M - N_{ZM}}{2} T \ln T,$$

where $N_M$ is the total number of modes and $N_{ZM}$ is the number of zero modes. The classical spectrum has four branches given by

$$\lambda^2 - DJ[2 \pm \cos(\theta_k + \gamma_k)]\lambda + D^2J^2\sin^2(1 - \gamma_k \gamma_k) = 0.$$ 

Similarly to the SU(2) case, the classical and quantum spectra are different but related, and in dimension $D \geq 2$, the proportion of zero modes is the same. This analysis predicts therefore that thermal fluctuations stabilize the two-sublattice state, in agreement with classical Monte Carlo simulations [21].

Next, we compare these predictions with exact diagonalizations of the Hamiltonian of Eq. (2) on finite clusters. The energy per site for square samples of up to 20 sites is shown in Fig. 3(a). It is significantly smaller for the samples whose number of sites is a multiple of 3 (9 and 18), providing evidence in favor of a three-sublattice symmetry breaking. To check if the continuous SU(3) symmetry is also broken, we have plotted in Fig. 3(b) the energy levels as a function of the quadratic Casimir operator $C_2$ of SU(3), keeping track of the irreducible representations (IRs) of the space group symmetry. In the case of the continuous symmetry breaking, one expects the low-energy part of the spectrum to align linearly as a function of $C_2$, giving rise to a tower of states [22,23]. This is clearly the case in Fig. 3(b), as highlighted by the dashed line. This tower of states can be thought of as a combination of two towers corresponding to the two possible propagation vectors ($Q^+_\perp$), which results in the finite-size splitting of some levels (e.g., $\Gamma A1$ and $\Gamma B2$), as well as the increased degeneracy of some IRs (e.g., $W$). Note also that the tower is not as well separated from other states as in other systems [22], a consequence of the order-by-disorder selection mechanism that leads to low-lying excitations associated to other mean-field solutions. The structure of the energy spectrum further indicates that the state with an equal population of the SU(3) basis states is stable with respect to the occurrence of spontaneous population imbalance or phase separation. Finally, an inspection of the real-space correlation functions of the 18-site sample

![FIG. 2](color online). Flavor-wave dispersions of (a) the three-sublattice state, (b) the helical state with $\cos \theta = 0.4$, and (c) the two-sublattice state. (d) Zero-point energy per site, $E_{ZP} = (1/N_A)\sum_k \omega_{\lambda}(k)/2$, as a function of the wave vector $(q, \pm q)$ of the helix. The minimum is located at $q = 2\pi/3$ in both two and three dimensions.
allows for a rough estimate of the ordered moment of about 60%–70% of the saturation value. Long-wavelength fluctuations on larger systems might further reduce this value, but with such a large value on 18 sites, we expect the order to survive in the thermodynamic limit. Note that, due to zero modes, estimating the ordered moment within a flavor-wave theory would require pushing the expansion beyond linear order. So altogether, exact diagonalizations provide very clear evidence in favor of the three-sublattice flavor-wave state.

Let us now briefly discuss the experimental implications of these results. Reaching sufficiently low temperatures is currently a major challenge in ultracold atomic systems: interestingly, the exchange integral of the SU(N) case is equal to $2r^2/\xi$, independently of N. It is thus realistic to expect that the exchange scale can be reached for SU(N) fermions as soon as it is reached for SU(2) ones. In that respect, it will be important in experiments to carefully choose the optimal coupling strength $U/\xi$, which should be large enough to put the system into the Mott-insulating phase, but not too large to lead to accessible values of the energy scale set by the exchange integral.

Next, we note that the conclusions regarding the selection by quantum or thermal fluctuations for SU(3) fermions are actually valid in any dimension $D \geq 2$, as can be checked easily from Eqs. (11) and (13). So the present results allow us to make predictions both for the square and cubic lattices, and the competition between quantum and thermal order-by-disorder should lead to a rather rich physics. In both 2D and 3D, the system should first develop two-sublattice ordering tendencies as it is cooled below the exchange scale. In two dimensions, we expect the system to undergo a finite temperature transition at lower temperatures into a directionally ordered state (selection between the two independent $Q_3^\pm$ spiral propagation vectors), and to reach a three-sublattice ordered state at zero temperature. In three dimensions, however, a finite temperature transition into a two-sublattice ordered state is in principle possible, leading to two possible scenarios: upon lowering the temperature, the system might first undergo a transition into a two-sublattice ordered state, which is followed by a second transition into the three-sublattice ordered state selected by quantum fluctuations. Alternatively, it could undergo a direct first-order transition from the paramagnetic into the three-sublattice ordered state. High-temperature series expansion of the SU(N) case on the 3D cubic lattice seems to favor the second possibility [24].

Finally, the detection of the three-sublattice order might be attempted using noise correlations [25], since the structure factor is expected to have a peak at $Q_3^\pm$. Alternatively, a recent report of single atom resolution experiments [26] suggests that direct imaging might be possible provided that some contrast can be achieved between different atomic species.
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