Uranium speciation and stability after reductive immobilization in aquifer sediments
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Abstract

It has generally been assumed that the bioreduction of hexavalent uranium in groundwater systems will result in the precipitation of immobile uraninite (UO₂). In order to explore the form and stability of uranium immobilized under these conditions, we introduced lactate (15 mM for 3 months) into flow-through columns containing sediments derived from a former uranium-processing site at Old Rifle, CO. This resulted in metal-reducing conditions as evidenced by concurrent uranium uptake and iron release. Despite initial augmentation with Shewanella oneidensis, bacteria belonging to the phylum Firmicutes dominated the biostimulated columns. The immobilization of uranium (~1 mmol U per kg sediment) enabled analysis by X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS). Tetravalent uranium associated with these sediments did not have spectroscopic signatures representative of U–U shells or crystalline UO₂. Analysis by microfocused XAS revealed concentrated micrometer regions of solid U(IV) that had spectroscopic signatures consistent with bulk analyses and a poor proximal correlation (µm scale resolution) between U and Fe. A plausible explanation, supported by biogeochemical conditions and spectral interpretations, is uranium association with phosphoryl moieties found in biomass; hence implicating direct enzymatic uranium reduction. After the immobilization phase, two months of in situ exposure to oxic influent did not result in substantial uranium remobilization. Ex situ flow-through experiments demonstrated more rapid uranium mobilization than observed in column oxidation studies and indicated that sediment-associated U(IV) is more mobile than biogenic UO₂. This work suggests that in situ uranium bioimmobilization studies and subsurface modeling parameters should be expanded to account for non-uraninite U(IV) species associated with biomass.

© 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. INTRODUCTION

The aqueous mobility of uranium is strongly influenced by its redox state with the oxidized form prevailing as a mobile, soluble species under many environmental settings. For this reason, microbial reduction has been proposed as an in situ strategy for immobilizing uranium in groundwater (Lovley et al., 1991). Laboratory studies have focused on...
understanding uranium precipitation when it is mediated by
dissimilatory metal- or sulfate-reducing bacteria (DMRB
and DSRB) (Lovley and Phillips, 1992). Structural analyses
have revealed that uranium precipitates produced by phylo-
genetically and metabolically diverse bacteria are conserved
as clusters of nano-uraninite (UO₂) (Burgos et al., 2008;
Schofield et al., 2008; Sharp et al., 2009). Despite this appar-
ten independence from biological variability, extrapolation
to a more biogeochemically complex subsurface is not well
understood.

Flow-through sediment columns amended with DMRB
(Moon et al., 2007) and a mixed culture containing DSRB
(Gu et al., 2005) have confirmed that uranium can be
immobilized in these environmentally relevant systems,
and real-time X-ray absorption spectroscopy has shown
in situ evidence for reduced uranium associated with col-
umn sediments (Komlos et al., 2008a). Month-long batch
incubations of sediments in the presence of uranium show
reduction and precipitation of the radionuclide (Suzuki
et al., 2002). Similarly, microbial activity has been shown
to drive uranium immobilization in pilot field studies
(Anderson et al., 2003; Wu et al., 2007) and has been inte-
grated into subsurface fate and transport models (Yabusaki
et al., 2007).

However, the intricacy of chemical and physical pro-
cesses complicates mechanistic interpretations. Subsurface
uranium immobilization can result from interplay between
enzymatic reduction, sorption, and reactions with abiotic
reductants. Reduction or sorption of uranyl by Fe(II)-bear-
ing minerals, which can be present or formed as a result of
biological reductive processes, has been reported for ferrous
sulfides (Wersin et al., 1994), green rust (O’Loughlin et al.,
2003), and Fe(II) sorbed onto goethite (Fredrickson et al.,
2000). Similarly, incorporation of oxidized uranium into
phosphate minerals (Jerden and Sinha, 2003; Singh et al.,
2010) and sorption of U(VI) onto biomass has also been
demonstrated to play a role in uranium immobilization
(N’Guessan et al., 2008). Analyses of uranium-contami-
nated sediments in Oak Ridge, TN support the notion of
competing mechanisms and concurrent immobilization of
both oxidized and reduced species of uranium (Zhou and
Gu, 2005). This last study identified U(VI)-phosphates,
U(VI)-organic complexes, and U(VI) sorbed onto minerals,
in addition to reduced U(IV), but did not resolve more de-
tailed properties of the U(IV) phases. There is also increas-
ing evidence that U(IV) species other than uraninite can
form as a result of enzymatic (Bernier-Latmani et al.,
2010; Ray et al., 2011; Sivasswamy et al., 2011) and abiotic
U(VI) reduction (Veeramani et al., 2011), even in the ab-
sence of sediments.

Not surprisingly, the multiplicity of biogeochemical
interactions complicate determinations of the structure
and fine-scale distribution of uranium present in sediments
as well as the corresponding stability of subsurface-immobi-
лизированного урана. Спектроскопическое определение ура-
ния в пробах подтверждено за счет влияния на образование
бюфферных комплексов с редкоземельными элементами и предполагается, что относи-
тельно высокая концентрация необходима для определения урана в пробах У-
ЭХА (Anderson et al., 2003; Kelly et al., 2008; Suzuki et al.,
2002). Предыдущие колонковые исследования, которые представляют собой более
контролируемую систему для определения концентрации урана, не предоставляют детального
EX-AFS анализа анатомизированного урана (Gu et al., 2005; Moon et al., 2007; Komlos et al.,
2008a). В этом исследование, мы изучаем концентрации урана, используя колонковые
эксперименты, в которых медленное вытеснение и микропроба рентгеновского спектроско-
пирования анализы были использованы для изучения распределения и спецификации
urania in these sediments. These analyses were complemented by investigations of dominant bacterial lin-
egages as well as by chemical digestions to determine ura-
nium distribution and speciation. The stability of the
immobilized uranium was assessed in the columns as well
as in flow-through reactors. The objective of this study is
to better understand the structure and stability of uranium
associated with sediments during dissimilatory metal
reduction.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Sediment column design

Column studies were adapted from published methods
(Moon et al., 2007). Each of three 15 cm long by 4.8 cm
diameter glass chromatography columns filled with diffuse-
caps (Kimble-Kontes, Vineland, NJ) were completely
filled with ~2 mm sieved sediments (Rifle Area Background
Sediments (RABS) ~40% porosity) derived from a former
uranium processing site at Old Rifle, CO (Anderson
et al., 2003). RABS was wet packed with harvested Luria-
Bertani (LB)-grown Shewanella oneidensis MR-1 (ca.
100 mg L⁻¹ protein) suspended in 30 mM bicarbonate solu-
tion. In parallel to the two active columns, a control col-
umn was amended with the same quantity of deactivated
and washed biomass that had been incubated in formaldehyde.

Low-oxygen influent (30 mM bicarbonate, 2 mM
NH₄Cl, 0.2 mM trisodium trimetaphosphate, and 55 μM
uranyl acetate) was prepared by boiling Milli-Q water fol-
lowed by the addition of salts and cooling under a
CO₂:N₂ (15:85) gas stream to reach an equilibrium pH of
7.5 ± 0.2. Rifle groundwater pH ranges from 7.2 to 7.4
and U₄O₉ ranges from 0.4 to 1.8 μM (Anderson et al.,
2003); higher influent uranyl was introduced in our experi-
ments to enable spectroscopic analyses. Trimetaphosphate
(TMP) rather than orthophosphate was used to minimize
the potential for U(VI)-phosphate precipitation (Abdelouas
et al., 1998). Residence time and breakthrough characteris-
tics were determined by measuring the effluent bromide
concentration (introduction of 30 mg L⁻¹ NaBr). The med-
ia was transferred to purged 3 L Nalgene Cantene™ flasks
followed by the removal of all headspace to conserve dis-
solved inorganic carbon (DIC) and limit oxygen introd-
uction. Viton and Masterflex tubing and polypropylene
barbed connectors were used to connect the system. Influent
was delivered up-flow through the column at a rate of
6.1 ± 0.2 mL h⁻¹ through the duration of the experiment
(Ismatec IP, Glattbrugg, Switzerland) resulting in a calcu-
lated hydraulic residence time of 17.4 ± 0.6 h. A stock of
that used triplicates of ~0.1 g of sediments in 10 mL of degassed 0.5 M NaHCO₃ solution (pH 8.2 ± 0.2). Mobile U(VI) associated with the reduced sediments was quantified by KPA after anoxic extraction. To measure UT, an aggressive oxidative extraction was employed that utilized 5 mL of 0.5 M potassium persulfate and air to oxidize all U in the system followed by KPA analysis. After 10–12 h of mixing, each extracted suspension was filtered through a 0.02 μm Anotop syringe filter (Whatman); U adsorbed to the filter was recovered and quantified by washing the filter with 0.5 M bicarbonate. U(IV) was calculated as the difference between UT and extracted U(VI).

2.4. Bacterial community analysis in column sediments

A subsequent column immobilization study involving three active columns was conducted in order to identify key microorganisms inhabiting the columns. Columns were similar in all respects to those described previously. Approximately 1 g of sediments was collected from the middle part of each sacrificial column (4.5–9 cm) at 11, 35, and 75 days. Sediments were immediately frozen in sterile epipods at −20 °C; DNA was subsequently extracted from two fractions (500 mg of sediment each) using the Power Soil DNA kit (MoBio) and pooled for analysis. The bacterial 16S rRNA gene was amplified by PCR in three separate reactions using universal bacterial primers 27F (5'-GAG TTT GAT CCT GGC TCA G-3') and 1542R (5'-AGA AAG GAG GTG ATC CAG CC-3') (Joulian et al., 1998; Lane, 1991). Individual PCR reactions were pooled, purified (Qiagen PCR Purification), cloned (Invitrogen TOPO TA) and sequenced (Fasteris SA, Geneva, Switzerland). The sequences were analyzed using BLASTN (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi). Unique clones were deposited in GenBank under accession numbers HQ660783–HQ660807. Phylogenetic analyses were carried out using ARB software (http://www.arb-home.de) as described elsewhere (Purkhold et al., 2003). Briefly, 16S rRNA gene sequences and their closest matches in BLAST were integrated and aligned into the ARB database; alignments were corrected manually. A phylogenetic tree was constructed using the PHYLIP subroutine in ARB with the neighbor-joining algorithm using a Kimura-calculated distance matrix.

2.5. Bulk XAS characterization of sediments

Structural synchrotron and spectroscopic characterizations of sediment-associated uranium employed extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS). All sample manipulation at SSRl was carried out under an anaerobic atmosphere (5% hydrogen, balance nitrogen) as described previously (Schofield et al., 2008; Sharp et al., 2009). Sediments derived from the inflow section of active columns were loaded in Al sample holders with Kapton windows. U L₃-edge fluorescent spectra were selected for EXAFS to mitigate interference of the U L₃ emission line from the rubidium Kα line. Direct comparison of L₃- and L₃-edge EXAFS for UO₂ revealed subtle changes that do not affect qualitative assessments relating to the presence of
the U–U shell as shown in the electronic annex (Fig. EA-1); hence L_{H} and L_{M}-edge EXAFS from the same coordination environment are assumed to be directly comparable. U L_{M}-edge spectra were employed for XANES. Spectra were collected at SSRL beamlines 11–2 and 10–2 at 77 K, using Si (220) double-crystal monochromators. EXAFS spectra were pre-processed with SIXPACK (Webb, 2005); they were then background subtracted, splined and analyzed using IFEFFIT coupled to ATHENA and ARTEMIS (Newville, 2001; Ravel and Newville, 2005). Backscattering phase and amplitude functions required for fitting of spectra were obtained from FEFF 8 (Rehr and Albers, 2000).

2.6. X-ray microprobe imaging (micro-XAS) of sediment matrix

Microprobe XAS analysis began by impregnating anaerobically dried column material (inflow fraction of AC-1 and AC-2) with Epotek 301 (Epoxy Technologies, Billerica, MA). A polished 2.5 cm \times 0.5 cm \times 2 mm polished column material (inflow fraction of AC-1 and AC-2) or deactivated (DC) aqueous samples were measured at SSRL beamline 10-2 and 11-2 line 2-3. Beam size on the sample was approximately 2 \mu m \times 2 \mu m at full width half-maximum using Pt-coated Kirkpatrick-Baez (K-B) focusing optics (X-Radia). X-ray fluorescence data were recorded using a single element Si Vortex detector (SII). Monochromatic X-rays were selected using a water cooled Si(111) phi = 90 double crystal monochromator. The X-ray energy was calibrated to the first inflection point of the Y K-edge (E = 17,038 eV).

Maps were collected by continuous raster scanning at multiple incident energies (17,100, 17,170, 17,175, 17,178 and 17,190 eV) to provide fluorescence intensities at several distinguishing points within the U L_{M}-edge. Fluorescence counts were normalized to the measured intensity of the incident energy of the X-ray beam (I_0). The background counts measured at 17,100 eV below the U L_{M}-edge (edge = 17,166 eV) were subtracted from the above the edge energies to correct for rubidium interference (Rb edge = 15,200 eV, Rb K \alpha fluorescence = 15,396 eV, U L_{α} = 13,614 eV). To determine the U oxidation states in the sample, a linear least squares fitting was performed at each pixel in the map of each of the edge energies mapped for metal reduction to enable subsequent analyses (Fig. 1). The CFRs were loaded in the anaerobic condition maintained the same bicarbonate concentration in the headspace to simulate sub-oxic groundwater. The third condition maintained the same bicarbonate concentration but increased dissolved oxygen to air-saturated conditions (~21% vol \text{O}_2). Each dissolution experiment was carried out in two replicate reactors at room temperature (20 ± 1°C) and in the dark. Reactors were operated at flow rates that achieved residence times of 7.5–15 min; the residence time was stable over a given condition. Flow rates were selected to allow the determination of different pools of uranium based on their relative release rates and not in an effort to simulate aquifer conditions.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Uranium immobilization in sediment columns

3.1.1. Evolution of soluble components in influent and effluent

Columns loaded with sediment (RABS) were optimized for metal reduction to enable subsequent analyses (Fig. 1). Three parallel flow-through columns were bioaugmented with washed inoculations of either active (columns labeled AC-1 and AC-2) or deactivated (DC) suspensions of S. oneidensis MR-1. Lactate, a commonly employed electron donor for Shewanella spp. stimulation, was selected to provide optimal conditions for bioaugmentation with this facultative anaerobe. The addition of formaldehyde-exposed DC cells at this stage provided a similar quantity of metabolically inactive biomass while not otherwise altering sediment properties or indigenous microorganisms. The influent averaged 55 ± 2 \mu M uranyl with a theoretical residence of 18 h as confirmed by bromide tracer tests (Fig. 1, inset). Effluent recordings provided strong evidence for uranium bioreduction in all columns with an increase in rates of removal consistent with community adaptation (Fig. 1A). Despite differences in time points for the achievement of 98% removal of U(VI) from the dissolved phase (AC-1 = 37 days, AC-2 = 24 days, DC = 58 days), removal curves were characterized by similar maximum slopes of 2.5 ± 0.2 \mu M U d^{-1}.

Rates of U release from bioreduced column sediments derived from the inflow section of column AC-1 were determined under oxidizing and reducing conditions using continuous-flow stirred tank reactors (CFRs). Details of design and operation are described elsewhere (Ulrich et al., 2008, 2009). The CFRs were loaded in the anaerobic chamber (5.95 \text{H}_2\text{N}_2) with weighed sediment (8.1–8.6 g L^{-1}) and sealed with 0.2 \mu m pore-size polycarbonate filter membranes (Millipore GTTP, USA). The first continuous-flow experiment was run for about 60 residence times (\tau = 19–22 min) and was performed under oxidizing conditions by feeding air-equilibrated Milli-Q water spiked with 30 mM NaHCO3 solution (pH 7.5). A flow-through oxygen mini-probe (Microelectrodes Inc., Bedford, NH) monitored the concentration of dissolved oxygen (DO) in the effluent tubing between the CFR and effluent sampler (fraction collector). After about 2\tau, the DO concentrations of the effluent matched the air-saturated DO concentration of the influent.

The second experiment applied a series of influent solutions in succession of increasing ability to mobilize uranium as a function of carbonate and oxygen concentration. The feeding sequence started with anoxic and carbonate-free, 5 mM HEPES containing Milli-Q water buffered at pH 7.5. This was followed by the same solution spiked with 1 mM NaHCO3 (pH 7.5) in the presence of a 1% vol \text{P}_2O5 headspace to simulate sub-oxic groundwater. The third condition maintained the same bicarbonate concentration but increased dissolved oxygen to air-saturated conditions (~21% vol \text{P}_2O5). Each dissolution experiment was carried out in two replicate reactors at room temperature (20 ± 1°C) and in the dark. Reactors were operated at flow rates that achieved residence times of 7.5–15 min; the residence time was stable over a given condition. Flow rates were selected to allow the determination of different pools of uranium based on their relative release rates and not in an effort to simulate aquifer conditions.
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in the sediments after removal of amended U(VI) from

determined the bulk distribution and speciation of uranium
sediments

3.1.2. Uranium distribution and speciation in column

Chemical digestion by two complementary methods
determined the bulk distribution and speciation of uranium
in the sediments after removal of amended U(VI) from
solution. Total uranium concentration using Aqua Regia
digestion and quantification by ICP-MS was not differenti-
able from aggressive oxidation of sediments by persul-
ate/bicarbonate followed by KPA analysis (Table 1). As
described in the methods, uranium speciation was deter-
med by contrasting these oxic digestions with anoxic
extractions assumed to release only soluble U(VI).

Despite considerable quantities of Fe(II) in the effluent,
uranium species were not uniformly distributed throughout
the columns. In fact, in both active columns (AC-2 was
flushed with oxic influent as described above) reduced ura-
nium dominated the inflow section of sediments while oxi-
dized uranium was predominant in the outflow section.
Bulk uranium content in the inflow fraction was more than
twice that found in the other two fractions of the same col-
umn. In contrast to the two active columns, the deactivated

column was dominated by U(VI) throughout with the high-
est proportion of U(IV) in the middle section of the column.

3.1.3. Bacterial community analysis of bioreduced sediments

Sediment columns sacrificed at different times (11, 35,
and 75 days) were used to identify dominant bacterial lin-
egages. BLAST results for the 16S rRNA gene clone libraries
derived from nucleic acids in sediments indicated that the
majority of organisms belonged to the phylum Firmicutes
irrespective of the sampling time (Fig. 2). Relatives of Geob-
acter were not detected in any of the major groups identi-
ified. Within Firmicutes, a relative of an uncultured
bacterium clone BVC03 dominated the columns after 11
and 35 days; BVC03 has been implicated in anaerobic
reductive dechlorination of vinyl chloride in an aquifer
(GenBank accession DQ833299). A high BLAST score
was also obtained with an uncultured bacterium clone
A_Lac-3_40 from a Fe(III)-reducing enrichment (Hansel
et al., 2008). The clonal sequences from the final 75 day
tracts were related to Sporomusa aerivorans and Desulfo-

Fig. 1. Biosaugmented columns (A) remove U(VI) and (B) mobilize Fe(II). Where O = influent concentration; O, □ = replicate col-

ums augmented with active cells; △ = cells deactivated in form-
aldehyde prior to introduction in the column. The inset depicts Br tracer breakthrough in a microbially active column.

Consistent with metal reduction, uranium removal was
accompanied by the release of Fe(II) from the sediments
(Fig. 1B). In the three columns, the onset of 98% U removal
correlated to an effluent concentration of 48 ± 11 µM
Fe(II). The high Fe(II) values displayed in the first week
and corresponding concave dip were related to a pulse of
excess lactate during the conditioning phase (first 19 days
not shown) of the experiment. Throughout the experiment,
the concentration of carbonate (30 mM) and pH of the
influent (7.5 ± 0.2) and effluent (7.6 ± 0.2) were main-
tained. Consistent with microbial respiration, the intro-
duced lactate was converted to acetate and another
carboxylate presumed to be formate (Fig. EA-2).

Subsequent to bioreduction, column AC-2 was flushed
with oxygenated, electron (e−) donor- and uranium-free
influent. Over the course of 64 days, dissolved iron was
flushed from the column and oxygen breakthrough was
not observed (not shown). A modest pH increase was re-
corded (influent pH = 7.4 ± 0.2; effluent pH = 7.8 ± 0.1).
Although the uranium concentration in effluent samples re-
vealed occasional pulses, mass balance analysis of sediment
digests indicated negligible change in total uranium concen-
tration as described in the next section.

3.1.2. Uranium distribution and speciation in column

sediments

Chemical digestion by two complementary methods
determined the bulk distribution and speciation of uranium
in the sediments after removal of amended U(VI) from

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inflow</th>
<th>Middle</th>
<th>Outflow</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active column (AC-1) (mmol U/kg sediment)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U(IV)</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U(VI)</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U(IV)*</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U(VI)*</td>
<td>1.06 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active column (AC-2) (mmol U/kg sediment)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U(IV)</td>
<td>1.21 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U(VI)</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U(IV)*</td>
<td>1.21 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U(VI)*</td>
<td>1.34 ± 0.09</td>
<td>NM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deactivated column (DC) (mmol U/kg sediment)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U(IV)</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U(VI)</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U(IV)*</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U(VI)*</td>
<td>0.41 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NM = not measured.

U(IV) analyzed after oxic digestion with persulfate.
U(VI) independently determined after Aqua Regia digestion.
AC-2 was exposed to oxic influent for 64 days prior to analysis.
U(IV) concentrations are greater than ~0.02 mmol U(IV)/kg sediment
measured in unamended RABS (K. Campbell, unpublished data).
oromusa polytropha. The latter bacterium has been shown to grow autotrophically with Fe(OH)₃ (Sass et al., 2004).

A temporal shift in community composition for non-Firmicutes bacteria was also observed. The majority of microorganisms outside of Firmicutes belonged to Gammaproteobacteria for the first time point (day 11). Though this class is consistent with our seeded inoculum, less than 3% of the clones belonged to the genus Shewanella. At the second time point (day 35), the community shifted from Gammaproteobacteria towards Betaproteobacteria as indicated by the presence of clones related to Acidovorax sp., Delftia acidovorans and Pseudomonas saccharophila. DNA extracted from the final column (day 75) revealed less diversity within Betaproteobacteria and a complete absence of Gamma-proteobacteria.

3.2. Macro- and micro-scale XAS analyses of sediments

3.2.1. Bulk determination of uranium speciation and structure

As sequentially described in this section, XAS analyses suggested that U(IV) associated with phosphoryl moieties dominated the immobilized sediments. To begin, XANES analysis indicated that the majority of the uranium in the influent portion of sediments was present as reduced U(IV) species (Fig. 3). Sample AC-2, which was subsequently exposed to 64 days of oxic water flushing had contributions from 26% U(VI) while AC-1 was fully reduced within the detection limit (10%). The XANES measurements for AC-1 are in agreement with the results of extractions and digestions to measure the contribution of U(VI), U(IV) and UT (Table 1). However, extractions and digestions revealed no evidence for U(VI) in sample AC-2. Collectively, this suggests heterogeneity in the distribution of U(VI) in AC-2 sediments, and this is supported by variabil-

Fig. 2. Phylogenetic analysis of 16S rRNA gene sequences cloned from sacrificial column sediments reveals dominance of Firmicutes. (A) Frequencies of bacterial phylogenetic lineages detected in the 16S rRNA gene clone libraries at 11, 35 and 75 days. (B) Phylogenetic tree with cloned PCR products indicated in bold and number of identical clones in brackets. The scale bar indicates 0.1 substitutions/site.

Fig. 3. XANES spectra and fits indicate a predominance of U(IV) in the inflow section of the columns at both bulk and micro-scales. Representative XANES spectra (solid line) with fit to data (dashed line). Percent composition is based upon best fit to spectra. U(IV) and U(VI) standards are reported in Schofield et al. (2008).
quency at 3.85 Å that is characteristic of biogenic uraninite (Sharp et al., 2009). Hence, while these sediments are dominated by U(IV), it is clear that uraninite is at most a minor species. Spectra show a prominent FT (Fourier Transform) magnitude at 1.5–1.8 Å (r–u), which is due to backscattering off of oxygen neighbors. Initial fits to the O shell using a single shell did not adequately reproduce experimental data. Fits to the AC-1 spectrum, which is dominated by U(IV), required two O shells, one at 2.41 Å and another smaller shell at 2.23 Å (Table 2). Addition of the second shell caused the statistical R factor to decrease by an order of magnitude (from 0.2 to 0.02) and is justified by the U(IV)–O range of distances, 2.2–2.5 Å, encountered in U(IV) compounds such as U(OH)(PO₄) and U(OH)₈P₄ (ICSD reference numbers 417158 and 66381). The presence of two shells explains the slightly square profile to the O-shell FT peak in this sample and is probably due to anharmonicity of the U–O shell deriving from overlapping shells or non-Gaussian pair distribution functions. Sample AC-2 contained uranyl, corresponding to the peak at 1.5 Å (r–u), necessitating the inclusion of an axial oxygen shell at 1.78 Å in the fits.

A second FT peak is present in the spectra at ca. 2.7 Å (r–u). This peak exhibits significant amplitude; in sample AC-1, it approaches half the height of the O-shell FT peak. Taking the longer radial distance into account (i.e., accounting for the greater 1/r² loss of signal), the height of the 2.7 Å (r–u) peak implies that it has a similar coordination number as the O shell if it is composed of elements with atomic number similar to oxygen such as N or C. When this frequency was fit with N or C, a distance of ca. 3.1 Å was obtained, which would correspond to bidentate coordination of U to carboxyl groups (present in abundance on biomass and representing strong metal binding groups) or to nitrate (which is less abundant and a weaker metal-binding ligand), with coordination numbers of 6–8 atoms. However, since U(IV) is 8-coordinated by oxygen, and each COO⁻ or NO₃⁻ group occupies two of these oxygen positions, it is possible to fit only four carboxylate or nitrate groups around each U atom. Thus, C or N neighbors are not consistent with the observed local molecular structure.

Phosphorus has approximately twice as many electrons as C or N, resulting in stronger backscattering of photoelectrons. Consequently 3–4 P atoms can explain the results with good spectral fits using P neighbors at 3.22 Å (Table 2 and Fig. 4). The derived U–P distance is consistent with bidentate coordination of U to phosphoryl groups; analogous binding conformations have been recently reported for U(IV) (Bernier-Latmani et al., 2010; Fletcher et al., 2010). This peak is at too long a distance to be attributable to an O shell, and too short a distance to be attributed to Fe or other transition metals, which are expected to occur at U–Me distances >3.35 Å.

It is also possible, in principle, that the observed shell at 2.7 Å (r–u) is composed of Si, which is abundant in the RABS mineralogy. However, the large amplitude of the peak (for which EXAFS fits produce 3–4 Si neighbors)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AC-1 bulk</th>
<th>AC-2 bulk*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U-O1</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R (Å)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.78(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>σ² (Å²)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.003 (fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U-O2</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>4.2 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R (Å)</td>
<td>2.19(6)</td>
<td>2.19(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>σ² (Å²)</td>
<td>0.003 (fixed)</td>
<td>0.003 (fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U-O3</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>5.2 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R (Å)</td>
<td>2.38(5)</td>
<td>2.38(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>σ² (Å²)</td>
<td>0.003 (fixed)</td>
<td>0.003 (fixed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U-P</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R (Å)</td>
<td>3.22(3)</td>
<td>3.22(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>σ² (Å²)</td>
<td>0.010 (fixed)</td>
<td>0.010 (fixed)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Including multiple scattering contributions.

Fig. 4. EXAFS characterization of uranium associated with sediments is not representative of biogenic uraninite (A) U-EXAFS spectra (solid line) collected at 77 K with fit to data (dashed line) and (B) corresponding Fourier transform which depicts a presumed U–P shell at ~2.8 Å. As shown in the reference biogenic uraninite spectrum, a prominent FT U–U shell at 3.86 Å (Sharp et al., 2009) is not found in these sediments.
is inconsistent with bidentate coordination of U(IV) to silicate surfaces where a coordination number of 1 would be expected (Sylvestre et al., 2000). Coffinite (USiO₄) can be ruled out as a significant phase; it should exhibit 4 U atomic neighbors at 3.83 Å (not observed). EXAFS comparing both biogenic and chemogenic UO₂ to coffinite are provided in Fig. EA-3 for further visual interpretation of these differences and to demonstrate that the collected data range is sufficient for analyses. Interpretation of the 2.7 Å (r–φ) peak as sulfhydril groups cannot be justified in our system, as insufficient sulfur was introduced through the influent, seeded biomass, or sediments (as determined by digestions and mass balance calculations). Therefore, the most plausible explanation for the results is binding of U(IV) to phosphate groups on the surfaces of minerals or biomass. This conclusion is consistent with experimental conditions present in the columns. Trimeta-phosphate was added to the influent as a bioavailable source that would avoid the precipitation of calcium and uranium phosphates (Abedlouis et al., 1998). While we can rule out the precipitation of uranium with TMP, sediment digests revealed ~20 moles of phosphorus for every mole of uranium.

3.2.2. Distribution of uranium within sediments: micro-scale analysis

Combined micro-XRF and micro-XAS enables the spatial visualization of metals, their redox state, and mineral forms in solids by collecting spectra at each pixel to raster distribution and speciation (Pickering et al., 2000, 2006; Templeton et al., 2009). Micro-scale analyses of 2D thin-sections of sediments derived from the inflow section of AC-1 and AC-2 were conducted to characterize regions of uranium accumulation. As shown in a sample derived from AC-2, contrast of the overall X-ray density with elemental signatures revealed uranium heterogeneities associated with sediments. Sifted RABS are dominated by quartz and feldspar with lesser amounts of amphiboles and clays (Campbell et al., 2011). Fig. 5A depicts a number of sediment grains to provide a visual interpretation of uranium versus iron locality. The inset focuses on one of these grains, possibly quartz, with minimal iron density. Further elemental analysis demonstrated that the grain was also devoid of other elemental signatures such as K or Ca that would be found in clays. In addition, U(IV) dominated the uranium hot spots with an insignificant contribution of diffuse U(VI) throughout the sediments (Figs. 5A inset; B). While certain localities that were high in iron were also high in uranium, correlation plots on this sample and others and visual interpretation did not reveal a clear association between uranium and iron localization (Figs. 5A–C and EA-4). Collectively, these results indicate that iron proximity, as resolved at the micrometer scale, is not a driving factor for U(IV) immobilization.

Micro-XAS analysis of these uranium hot spots was used to further characterize the reduced uranium zones, and XANES analysis confirmed that U(IV) was the dominant species (Fig. 3). While the data range of the μ-EXAFS was not sufficient for detailed fits, a spectral overlay of bulk and μ-EXAFS shows that they are qualitatively similar up to ca. 6.5 Å⁻¹ (Fig. 5D). This range is sufficient to conclude that the O shells are similar in the micro and bulk data points.

3.3. Stability of uranium in sediments after immobilization

While the addition of oxic, bicarbonate-containing water to the column resulted in localized oxidation, it did not succeed in mobilizing a significant fraction of U in the sediments nor was oxygen breakthrough observed in the effluent (see Section 3.1.1). We turned to a continuous-flow stirred tank reactor (CFR) to further investigate the stability and mobility of uranium in sediments derived from the inflow section of AC-1. The flow-through release of dissolved uranium under oxidizing conditions in the presence of 30 mM dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) was measured as a function of time. As shown in Fig. 6a, after the initiation of flow to the reactors (t = 0), the effluent U concentrations quickly peaked at a maximum of ~2 µM in both reactors, and then rapidly decreased with time.

Mathematical fits to interpret these trends were based on the presence of uranium in single or multiple pools which each had different rates of uranium release (Ulrich et al., 2009). The best match to the experimental data was obtained for calculations assuming two pools of solid U phases releasing U simultaneously at different rates. According to the results of a least-squares minimization routine applied separately to the data sets of both replicate CFRs before averaging, a first order rate constant of 47.3 ± 4.8 h⁻¹ referred to release from a pool of initially 29% of total U, whereas the other 71% of the total U exhibited a first order rate constant of 0.70 ± 0.07 h⁻¹. This corresponded to uranium-normalized release rates for the two U-pools of (5.5 ± 0.6)·10⁻⁵ mol (g U)⁻¹ s⁻¹ and (8.1 ± 0.9)·10⁻⁷ mol (g U)⁻¹ s⁻¹. Two pools of U with different release rates were required to fit the data; calculations based on a single pool of solid-phase uranium provided poor fits to the time-dependent U release data.

A second dissolution experiment utilized a sequence to explore the dependence of U release rates on DIC and oxygen concentration. This started with DIC-free anoxic feed solution where after approximately 10r, the effluent uranium concentration ([U]ₘ) reached a steady-state concentration that corresponded to a release rate from the sediment of 1.4 · 10⁻⁵ mol (g U)⁻¹ s⁻¹ (Fig. 6b). After spiking 1 mM DIC to the feed solution in the presence of ~1% PO₃ headspace, a pulse release of uranium with a peak concentration of >1 µM was observed. Beyond this peak, [U]ₘ diminished with time without reaching a steady-state within 35r, suggesting a continued decrease of the release rate. Therefore, only an order of magnitude (1 · 10⁻⁷ mol (g U)⁻¹ s⁻¹) can be estimated for the U release rate. After switching the feed solution to 21% headspace PO₂, the reactor’s effluent responded with a modest pulse release of uranium before the dissolution rate continued to decrease, suggesting that oxidizable U(IV) was nearly exhausted.
4. DISCUSSION

Column experiments were designed to study both the structure and stability of bioreduced uranium in sediments. While uranyl concentrations and flow rates were higher than those encountered at contaminated sites, laboratory conditions enabled sufficient U loading to more accurately explore the sediment system. Micro- and macro-scale XAS

Fig. 5. Micro-XAS/XRF analysis of uranium micro heterogeneities in column sediment 2D thin-sections. (A) Overall tri-color map of region showing FeT = red, UT = green, sample absorption = blue. Inset shows high-resolution area of interest with uranium heterogeneities as a heat plot of concentration. (B) Localization of U(IV) = green, U(VI) = blue, and FeT = red. Intensities of U oxidation state were determined by fitting multiple energy maps to appropriate U standards at each pixel. Fe intensity from the fluorescent counts was determined at $E = 17,100$ eV. Fluorescence of U and Fe were scaled separately and U(IV) and U(VI) equally from 0 to the maximum fluorescence of U from the sample. (C) Correlation plot of U and Fe intensities at each pixel in the image map. The plot shows that in the locations of high U, there is very little Fe present, and in locations of high Fe, only low to background concentrations of U. (D) Overlay of µ-EXAFS spectrum for a U(IV) hot spot with the bulk EXAFS spectrum. All scale bars are in micrometers.

Fig. 6. Flow-through release of uranium from bioreduced AC-1 sediment in the presence of (A) solution equilibrated under oxic conditions (atmospheric $pO_2$) and 30 mM DIC, (B) a sequence of solutions transitioning from anoxic conditions without DIC to 1 mM DIC and atmospheres of 1% $pO_2$ and 21% $pO_2$. Symbols show effluent concentrations of dissolved U of two replicate reactors as a function of normalized time, which is the cumulative reaction time ($t$) divided by the reactor hydraulic residence time ($t_r$). Error bars reflecting one standard deviation of analysis are mostly smaller than the symbol size. The lines in (A) show the modeled effluent concentration assuming U release from two U pools at different rates. The inset enlarges the first five residence times. The vertical dashed lines in (B) indicate the time of condition switch. Note the differently scaled y-axes.
analyses were complemented by explorations into bacterial phylogeny, uranium species distribution, and stability of uranium retained in the investigated sediments.

4.1. Structure and distribution in sediments

The bioaugmented columns were reproducible with regards to uranium sequestration with both microbiologically active and formaldehyde-treated columns achieving sustained removal of 55 ± 2 µM influent uranyl (Fig. 1). In both active columns, U_T uptake and U(IV):U_T ratios were highest in the influent section (Table 1) which is consistent with a flow reactor and prior reports in an analogous Geobacter-amended column (Moon et al., 2007). No sulfate was added to the influent in order to limit competition with iron reduction. Additional evidence for dissimilatory metal reduction included oxygen removal, conversion of lactate to fatty acid metabolites, and the reduction of solid-associated Fe(III).

The formation of dissolved Fe(II) in the effluent of all three columns provided a parallel indicator of optimal uranium immobilization.

The dominant microbes observed during subsurface reductive immobilization vary; however, Shewanella’s tolerance for oxygen (facultative anaerobe) and preference for lactate, coupled to the recent observation of conserved uraninite products formed by genera such as Shewanella and Geobacter (Sharp et al., 2009), focused column design parameters. While not the focus of past field uranium immobilization studies, lactate has a possible advantage over acetate in that it may support sulfate reducing communities that are also capable of reducing U(VI) (Anderson et al., 2003).

Surprisingly, 16S rRNA gene sequences obtained from the sediments in our current study demonstrated that even before complete uranium immobilization was achieved, the seed community of S. oneidensis accounted for only 3 out of 120 clones, and was only detected in the first sampling event (Fig. 2). Prior column work has emphasized the need for bioaugmentation in laboratory experiments (Gu et al., 2005; Moon et al., 2007); however, this rapid Shewanella die-off coupled to the observation of complete immobilization, albeit after more time when deactivated cells were added (DC column in Fig. 1), suggests that the primary role of the seed community was in facilitating reducing conditions and/or providing nutrients to the indigenous metal-reducing Firmicutes. Spore-forming, Gram-positive bacteria such as Firmicutes can, and clearly did, survive in dry sifted RABS, and species from this phylum have been shown to reduce uranium (Junier et al., 2009; Bernier-Latmani et al., 2010). Furthermore, the presence of Firmicutes has been documented in other studies investigating microbial assemblages in uranium contaminated sites (Akob et al., 2007) and sediment enrichments from the Oak Ridge (ORFRC) Field Research Center (Madden et al., 2007). In a separate laboratory study, Firmicutes appeared as a dominant lineage subsequent to acetate biostimulation in RABS (N’Guessan et al., 2008). The post-acetate amendment phase was accompanied by increased U(VI) sorption in those columns. Strikingly, U(VI) sorption in lieu of reduction also took place in the effluent section of our columns in which minimal microbial activity would be expected if the e− donor is consumed earlier in the columns. The Firmicutes detected in our biostimulated RABS were only distantly related to clones from the ORFRC, suggesting a wide distribution of Firmicutes clades at prominent uranium-contaminated sites.

Our experimental objectives necessitated higher U_{aq} concentrations than typical of contaminated aquifers, which could impact uranium sorption and complexation. However, our preliminary observations during acetate biostimulation at the Old Rifle Site suggest that higher U concentrations such as those used here bias towards increased precipitation of uraninite. In contrast, bulk XAS analyses conducted in the current study revealed that while the columns were dominated by U(IV), the reduced uranium was not in the form of uraninite, but rather was associated with low-Z ligands, most likely phosphoryl groups (Figs. 3 and 4, and Table 2). Interestingly, the association of uranium(IV) with phosphoryl groups has also been postulated for liquid batch incubations of Desulfotomaculum spores, biogenic Fe(II)-bearing iron phosphates, Desulfito bacterium spp., Cellulomonas sp., and even S. oneidensis sp. incubated in the presence of higher ionic strength media (Bernier-Latmani et al., 2010; Fletcher et al., 2010; Ray et al., 2011; Sivaswamy et al., 2011; Veeramani et al., 2011). Neningote, a Ca–U(IV)-phosphate precipitate, has also been observed during U reduction in pure cultures (Bernier-Latmani et al., 2010; Lee et al., 2010). In contrast, an 11-month static microcosm study of sediment from the Oak Ridge IFRC that included DMRB and DSRB revealed that U(IV) was found as both uraninite and in association with Fe groups at the surface of Fe oxide minerals (Kelly et al., 2010). The association of U(IV) with phosphoryl groups as a result of pure culture batch biological U(IV) reduction seems to carry over into the present sediment setting. This observation points to the fact that the speciation of U(IV) resulting from combined biologic and abiotic processes in sediments is more complex and varied than previously appreciated and appears to depend primarily on the geochemical conditions under which reduction occurs.

The XAS signature for locally concentrated regions of U(IV) observed at the micro-scale is similar to that characterized for bulk sediments; this enables further inference (Fig. 5). In principle, U(IV) hot spots could be explained by contributions from both abiotic and biological reductive processes. Iron makes up almost 3% (533 ± 12 mmol kg⁻¹) of RABS by weight, and a detailed analysis of iron distribution and speciation in analogous DMRB columns has revealed that 20–40% of produced Fe(II) is released into the effluent while much of the remainder is associated with iron oxides and clays (Komlos et al., 2008b). Iron associated with clays, oxides, and sulfides could be involved in uranium redox transformations and immobilization (Abdelouas et al., 1999; Komlos et al., 2008b; Tokunaga et al., 2008). Similarly, sorption of U(VI) onto Fe(II) minerals followed by reduction could account for the formation of non-uraninite U(IV) (Jang et al., 2008; O’Loughlin et al., 2010; Veeramani et al., 2011).

However, as seen in Fig. 5 and the related results, uranium precipitation on sediment grains did not correlate
with iron localities. The EXAFS spectra of the present samples, particularly AC-1, exhibit a structural P shell at 3.2 Å, believed to be characteristic of enzymatically reduced, biomass-associated monomeric U(IV) (Bernier-Latmani et al., 2010). Moreover, these spectra also lack the U–Fe pair correlation indicative of association of U(IV) with Fe minerals that may result from Fe(II)-mediated abiotic U(VI) reduction. Hence, our results imply that direct enzymatic reduction rather than Fe(II)-mediated processes are responsible for U immobilization in this sediment column experiment.

The presence of retained U(VI), particularly in the case of the deactivated biomass (DC) column and the outflow sections of the two active columns (Table 1), can be rationalized as sorption of U(VI) onto sediment minerals or biomass; alternatively it could be the result of oxidation of reduced U(VI) (Tokunaga et al., 2008) or suggest a lower abundance of U(VI) reducing microbes due to upstream consumption of lactate. Regardless, sediment association was sufficiently strong to overcome complexes with 30 mM HCO₃⁻. While this carbonate concentration exceeds that expected in the subsurface, it was selected to maximize the potential for immobilization of U(IV) versus U(VI) in our columns as U(VI)-carbonato complexes are known to limit sorption (Wazne et al., 2003). Given this experimental bias, the degree of immobilized U(VI) was unexpected and can be anticipated to be even greater in the presence of lower bicarbonate concentrations. In a recent report investigating DMRB sediment columns, U(VI) sorption was found to be the primary immobilization mechanism following the termination of acetate additions; Firmicutes dominated the system at that time (N’Guessan et al., 2003). This given experimental bias, the degree of immobilized U(VI) was unexpected and can be anticipated to be even greater in the presence of lower bicarbonate concentrations. In a recent report investigating DMRB sediment columns, U(VI) sorption was found to be the primary immobilization mechanism following the termination of acetate additions; Firmicutes dominated the system at that time (N’Guessan et al., 2003). U(VI) has also been reported to strongly sorb to clays and iron oxyhydroxides in both laboratory and environmental systems (Payne et al., 1994; Bargar et al., 1999; Catalano and Brown, 2005; Catalano et al., 2006).

4.2. Stability of immobilized uranium

Chemical Diagrams and in situ stability tests suggest that uranium content and distribution in a column subsequently exposed to toxic influent for 64 days was similar to a column immediately harvested after the active U-uptake phase (Table 1). While bulk XAS analysis indicated modest reoxidation, micro-XAS confirmed that localized regions of U(IV) were still present. Oxygen in these columns can be consumed by many processes in addition to U(IV) oxidation. The observation of oxygen breakthrough in analogous Geobacter-stimulated columns after one month (Moon et al., 2007) suggests that stronger oxygen buffering is influenced by higher e⁻ donor availability (15 mM lactate in our system versus 3 mM acetate used by Moon and collaborators) as well as more initial biomass added as the seeded S. oneidensis community. Bulk protein or organic quantification was not conducted in either study.

Uranium stability was investigated ex situ using flow-through experiments. These experiments use a higher ratio of water to sediment than in the columns or subsurface, and this higher ratio can result in more rapid mobilization and/or oxidation. Thus, the flow-through experiments enable release rate measurements, the identification of different pools of U(IV), and comparison to prior laboratory results. The experimental results demonstrate that a reaction of sediments derived from the inflow section of AC-1 with air-equilibrated, 1 mM DIC solution led to extensive remobilization of uranium. Remobilization was best described by two different dissolution rates corresponding to two separate mobilization processes. For example, desorption of U(VI) and oxidation of U(IV) would point to two different U-pools. Another possibility for two release rates could be mobilization of two different U(IV) species, such as desorption of molecular U(IV) (Bernier-Latmani et al., 2010) and oxidation of a more stable UO₂ solid phase. A third possibility would be a combination of the first two, where the release rates from two U-pools or by two mobilization mechanisms were too similar to be discriminated by our experiment. Although the exact nature of the U pools cannot be discerned from these experiments, complementary digestions and structural analyses showed that the fraction of U(VI) in the inflow section of the column was very small, suggesting that two different forms of U(IV) with different physical release mechanisms or oxidation rates existed. This is most likely explained by two or more non-UO₂ U(IV) species because XAS data suggest the absence of significant UO₂ in the sediment. Empirical observations during sample handling indicate that a fraction of the U(IV) associated with the sediments is reactive with O₂ which is consistent with the CFR results.

The sediments demonstrated a dependency of the oxidation rate on both the pO₂ (1 versus 21% vol) and DIC concentration (1 versus 30 mM) (Fig. 6). Specifically, release rates of the less labile phase from the sediments in the presence of DIC and O₂ were more than an order of magnitude higher than in the absence of DIC and O₂. Furthermore, release rates of 8.1 · 10⁻⁷ mol g⁻¹ s⁻¹ for the less labile, predominant U-phase in 21% O₂ and 30 mM DIC were 4-times higher than for biogenic UO₂(s) under similar experimental conditions (Ulrich et al., 2009). U release from the bioreduced sediment under reducing and DIC-free conditions resulted in a [U]ₗₑ₉ level (Fig. 6b) that was 10 to 100-times higher than for isolated, cleaned biogenic UO₂ nanosolids under similar flow-through conditions (Ulrich et al., 2008). The corresponding calculated U release rates from the sediment of 1.4 · 10⁻⁴ mol (g U)⁻¹ s⁻¹ were 5 orders of magnitude higher than for biogenic UO₂(s) dissolution (e.g., 5.3 · 10⁻¹² mol g⁻¹ s⁻¹) (Ulrich et al., 2008). However, these calculated release rates include the effects of chemical reactions and physical transport processes such as diffusion of oxygen and DIC into micropores of the bulk sediment and diffusion of desorbed U(IV) or oxidized U(VI) out of such pores, and hence represent an upper bound for uranium mobility at a solids concentration of roughly 8 g L⁻¹. Irrespective of the particular processes driving U-release, we can conclude that the U(IV) species obtained from bioreduction in sediments (250 mg U (kg sediment)⁻¹) are less stable under both anoxic andoxic conditions than are cleaned biogenic UO₂ solids (Ulrich et al., 2008, 2009).
5. CONCLUSION

Coupled reductive and sorptive processes are considered favorable for overall uranium sequestration (Frick et al., 2000). At the same time, retained uranium species vary in their stability and distribution, which could alter their potential mobility. Hence, both the mechanisms and forms of uranium immobilized by a bioremediation strategy have implications for determining which processes are most relevant for improving subsurface uranium stability. While lactate biostimulation led to a rapid shift in the augmented microbial community from seeded Shewanella sp. to indigenous Firmicutes, this and other recent reports (Bernier-Latmani et al., 2010; Fletcher et al., 2010; Lee et al., 2010; Sivaswamy et al., 2011; Veeramani et al., 2011) suggest that the geochemical environment may play a greater role in influencing U(IV) products than does biological variability. It remains unclear whether this is due to purely chemical effects such as complexation and solute competition or rather to geochemical influences on microbial processes such as the production of exudates.

However, the role of microorganisms during uranium reduction in sediments should not be dismissed. The local structure around U(IV) in our uranium-immobilized sediments (Fig. 4) resembles that associated with a suite of axenic bacterial assays where enzymatically reduced monomeric U(IV) coordination complexes were bound to P/C-containing ligands in biomass (Bernier-Latmani et al., 2010). This supports the conclusion that U(IV) in our immobilized sediments was also associated with biomass. Evidence for indirect reduction by biogenic iron oxides was not found; specifically, a U–Fe pair correlation indicative of association of U(IV) with Fe minerals was not observed at the micrometer scale (Fig. 5). Hence it appears that direct enzymatic pathways, rather than abiotic reduction by Fe(II) at mineral surfaces, were the primary mechanism for uranium reduction in these sediments.

Prior work has focused on UO₂ as the presumed product of uranium bioreduction and has demonstrated that different bacteria can produce similar and stable nanocrystals (Burgos et al., 2008; Schofield et al., 2008; Sharp et al., 2009). Conservation of UO₂ precipitates and the ability of genera such as Shewanella to form both UO₂ and other U(IV) species suggest that a specific bacterial identity is unlikely to be the driving force (Sharp et al., 2009; Bernier-Latmani et al., 2010). Here we report that during and after biologically-mediated uranium reduction in sediments, U(IV) species in association with low-Z ligands, probably phosphoryl groups on biomass, can be dominant products. While this immobilized U(IV) can be released more rapidly than UO₂, the high solid-water ratios in the columns and subsurface may enhance the long-term sequestration of uranium. The formation mechanisms and stability of these species need to be further explored before we can predict the efficacy of subsurface remediation scenarios as well as better understand the uranium biogeochemical cycle.
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