Elimination of micropollutants using engineered constructed wetlands – a laboratory scale study
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1. Introduction and motivation

In Switzerland, a new standard for wastewater treatment plants (WWTP) – 80% reduction of micropollutants from raw sewage – is under consideration. Five substances have been proposed as target compounds: Diclofenac, Carbamazepine, Mecoprop, Sulfamethoxazol and Benzotriazole. Although very efficient and reliable, advanced treatment technologies for traditional WWTPs – such as ozonation – are expensive and difficult to implement on relatively small WWTPs, of which there are many in Switzerland. To tackle this problem, we propose to develop a promising technology for supplemental treatment of wastewater: Engineered subsurface flow constructed wetlands (ECW).

Classical constructed wetlands (CW) are passive systems, using natural processes to transform and remove contaminants from wastewater. In this work, we have developed and proposed a different design paradigm, i.e., to combine in a single ECW both natural processes (as in CWs) and ad hoc engineered treatments.

2. Engineered Constructed Wetlands as advanced treatment

Classical wastewater treatment plant Engineered constructed wetlands

- Pharmaceuticals are often poorly degraded in wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs). They are persistent substances in the aquatic environment, are easily bio-accumulated, and may represent an environmental risk. Advanced water treatment is used to eliminate pharmaceuticals from water. One cost-efficient treatment method consists of treating wastewater by engineered constructed wetlands.

- Goals of the project:
  - To implement an advanced treatment to traditional WWTPs, in order to eliminate micropollutants, in accordance with Swiss legal requirements and to allow water reuse
  - To test different individual processes occurring in a laboratory-scale CW to evaluate systematically their potential for micropollutant elimination
  - To couple the processes in the laboratory scale to evaluate the potential of ECW for micropollutant degradation

3. Experimental setup

Five micropollutants were considered for the experiments, following Swiss legislation: Diclofenac (DCF), Carbamazepine (CBZ), Mecoprop (MCP), Sulfamethoxazole (SMX) and Benzotriazole (BZT). Analyses were conducted on a Waters UPLC MS/MS system.

The system was initially inoculated and biomass was allowed to grow for some time.

Adsortion batch tests were conducted following OECD guidelines with three different materials typically used in CWs: pure sand, light expanded clay aggregate (LECA) and Filtralite®.

UV photodegradation tests were conducted in a laboratory reactor (15W low pressure UV lamp), the same lamp was used for the laboratory-scale ECW.

A laboratory-scale ECW (average residence time: 6 hours) was used to investigate the removal efficiency of each process alone. A laboratory-scale ECW combining the two removal treatments with biodegradation was subsequently performed to evaluate whether the combined processes could enhance the degradation rate.

4. Results

- The target elimination of micropollutants in WWTPs (80%) requested by the Swiss legislation can be achieved for DCF, SMX and MCP. CBZ removal was less (5-30%), and no elimination was observed for BZT.

- Only limited experimental conditions and removal processes were studied.

- Adsorption on a suitable substrate is an appealing process for micropollutant elimination. LECA showed good removal efficiency.

- The addition of the engineered UV degradation step is beneficial. An advantage of CW is that filtration through the porous substrate reduces turbidity and increases photolysis efficiency. The potential risk of problematic metabolites can be attenuated by further filtration through downstream compartments.

- Energy needs for an ECW system (UV lamp and air bubbling system) were evaluated. It was found that solar panels can sustain system operation in most conditions.

5. Discussion and Conclusions

- Detailed studies on adsorption materials, especially on the saturation of the adsorption material and the risks of desorption.

- Two processes, i) biodegradation and ii) effect of vegetation, have not been investigated in detail so far. Biodegradation is investigated in a recently started PhD project.

- Extrapolation to other micropollutants.

- Validation of a transport and reactive model for micropolllutants degradation in ECW for the optimization of the system design.

- Installation of a pilot ECW system as advanced treatment at the outlet of a real WWTP to test the concept under real conditions.