Martin, Girard, and Dereux Reply: The sentence of our Letter [1] that García and Nieto-Vesperinas take out of its context [2] appeared in the discussion of Fig. 1, where we presented the amplitude of the total scattered field. Therefore, by *field*, we were referring to *total field amplitude*, as is clear from the context of our Letter and the caption of Fig. 1.

The remark (ii) made by García and Nieto-Vesperinas shows that, for subwavelength scatterers of low symmetry, a self-consistent vectorial three-dimensional calculation such as that in Ref. [1] provides more insight into the scattered near-field than a two-dimensional scalar perturbative calculation (Refs. [7,8] in [2]). Indeed, the total field amplitude does not simply “resemble” [2] the object, but can reproduce its shape or parts of its outline, depending on the incident polarization [3,4].

Olivier J. F. Martin*
IBM Research Division
Zurich Research Laboratory
8803 Rüschlikon, Switzerland

Christian Girard
Laboratoire de Physique Moléculaire UA CNRS 772
Université de Franche Comté
2530 Besançon, France

Alain Dereux
Equipe Submicronique URA CNRS 1796
Laboratoire de Physique
Université de Bourgogne
BP 138, 21004 Dijon Cedex, France

Received 24 October 1995

PACS numbers: 42.25.-p, 02.30.Tb, 02.60.Nm, 61.16.Ch

*Present address: Institute for Field Theory and Microwave Electronics, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, ETH-Zentrum, 8092 Zurich, Switzerland.

- [1] O. J. F. Martin, C. Girard, and A. Dereux, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 526 (1995).
- [2] N. García and M. Nieto-Vesperinas, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 2404 (1996).
- [3] C. Girard, A. Dereux, O. J. F. Martin, and M. Devel, Phys. Rev. B **50**, 14 467 (1994).
- [4] C. Girard, A. Dereux, O. J. F. Martin, and M. Devel, Phys. Rev. B **52**, 2889 (1995).