## **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

Image and Vision Computing xxx (2009) xxx-xxx

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Image and Vision Computing

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/imavis

# A novel statistical generative model dedicated to face recognition

# <sup>3</sup> Guillaume Heusch<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Sébastien Marcel<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> <mark>LDIAP</mark> Research Institute, Computer Vision, Centre du Parc, Rue Marconi 19, P.O. Box 592, 1920 Martigny, Switzerland <sup>16</sup> Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

## ARTICLE INFO

2 8 9 Article history:

2

6

13

Received 17 September 2007
 Received in revised form 23 December 2008
 Accepted 2 May 2009

- 14 Keywords:
- 15 Face recognition
- 16 Local features
- 17 Statistical models
- Bayesian Networks
   Bayesian Networks

### ABSTRACT

In this paper, a novel statistical generative model to describe a face is presented, and is applied to the face authentication task. Classical generative models used so far in face recognition, such as Gaussian Mixture Models (GMMs) and Hidden Markov Models (HMMs) for instance, are making strong assumptions on the observations derived from a face image. Indeed, such models usually assume that local observations are independent, which is obviously not the case in a face. The presented model hence proposes to encode relationships between salient facial features by using a static Bayesian Network. Since robustness against imprecisely located faces is of great concern in a real-world scenario, authentication results are presented using automatically localised faces. Experiments conducted on the XM2VTS and the BANCA databases showed that the proposed approach is suitable for this task, since it reaches state-of-the-art results. We compare our model to baseline appearance-based systems (Eigenfaces and Fisherfaces) but also to classical generative models, namely GMM, HMM and pseudo-2DHMM.

© 2009 Published by Elsevier B.V.

### 35 1. Introduction

36 Face recognition has been and is still an active research area, probably because of its wide-range of applications, including vi-37 deo-surveillance, user authentication and human-computer inter-38 39 action to name a few. Hence, many different algorithms have been 40 proposed to solve this task over the last 30 years. Nowadays, various systems are able to properly recognise people based on their 41 face image. However, such results are often attained only if a suf-42 ficient amount of training data covering a reasonable range of vari-43 ations (such as pose or illumination conditions for instance) is 44 available to train the recognition system, and provided that the 45 face is perfectly located in the image. 46

A face recognition system can be used in two modes: authenti-47 cation (or verification) and identification. An authentication sys-48 49 tem involves confirming or denying the identity claimed by an individual. On the other hand, an identification system attempts 50 to establish the identity of a given person out of a pool of different 51 people. Identification generally operates on a closed-set scenario 52 (the individual to identify is present in the database), while 53 54 authentication operates on an open-set scenario, where people 55 not present in the database could try to fool the system. Although 56 these tasks are slightly different, both modes usually share the

0262-8856/\$ - see front matter  $\ensuremath{\mathbb{S}}$  2009 Published by Elsevier B.V. doi:10.1016/j.imavis.2009.05.001

same classification algorithms. In this work, the focus is made on the face authentication task.

Existing face recognition algorithms are often divided into two categories: appearance-based (also referred to as holistic) and feature-based, depending on the way the face image is processed. In appearance-based method, the whole face image is represented 62 as a high-dimensional vector. Due to the curse of dimensionality, 63 such vectors cannot be compared directly. Hence, holistic methods 64 65 use dimensionality reduction techniques to resolve this problem and thus derive lower-dimensional vectors for subsequent classifi-66 cation. The most popular examples among such approaches are 67 based on Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and on Linear Dis-68 criminant Analysis (LDA). In PCA-based systems, also known as 69 Eigenfaces [1], high-dimensional vectors are projected onto the 70 71 subspace defined by the leading eigenvectors of the data covariance matrix. LDA-based face recognition, also referred to as Fisher-72 faces [2], is a supervised method: the linear projection is based on 73 Fisher's linear discriminant formula to find a subspace where vec-74 tors of the same class are close to each other, and at the same time 75 far from the ones belonging to other classes. The PCA or LDA sub-76 space representation is then used for classification using a simple 77 metric, or more sophisticated machine learning techniques, such 78 as Support Vector Machines for instance [3]. Other dimensionality 79 reduction techniques were applied to the face recognition problem, 80 including Independent Component Analysis (ICA) [4], as well as 81 non-linear methods such as Locality Preserving Projections (also 82 known as Laplacianfaces) [5], Kernel PCA [6,7] and Generalised Dis-83 criminant Analysis (GDA), which is actually a kernelized version of 84 LDA [8,9]. Amongst all these systems, empirical evaluation showed 85

21

22

23

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Address: IDIAP Research Institute, Computer Vision, Centre du Parc, Rue Marconi 19, P.O. Box 592, 1920 Martigny, Switzerland. Tel.: +41 27 721 77 46; fax: +41 27 721 77 12.

E-mail address: heusch@idiap.ch (G. Heusch).

22 May 2009 Disk Used

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177 178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

198

199

200

201

204

205

206

207

208

86 that Kernel methods, and Kernel Fisherfaces in particular, are the 87 best for the face recognition task [9]. However, all these sub-88 space-based approaches usually require a large amount of training 89 data to properly capture the different variations (such as pose and 90 illumination), but also a proper alignment or warping of the faces 91 to be classified. Indeed, experiments conducted using these classi-92 cal holistic methods with automatically localised faces (i.e. when 93 face localisation is error-prone) showed a significant drop in per-94 formance [10,11]. There also exists more powerful holistic approaches, with better generalisation abilities, mainly in terms of 95 pose and illumination. For instance, Blanz and Vetter [12] propose 96 97 a three-dimensional morphable model, where recognition is per-98 formed using an analysis-by-synthesis framework. In this case however, the face model is built using 3D-scans and furthermore, 99 100 manual annotation of fiducial key points is required as a first step 101 to recognition. Another example is given by the Active Appearance 102 Model [13], in which the shape and the appearance of the face are 103 jointly modelled in a single feature vector. Here, the system is able 104 to automatically localise the face, but a large number of heavily 105 annotated training data are required to build the model. Moreover, 106 identities are classified using LDA, which usually requires a large 107 training set.

Feature-based approaches are typically using a set of local 108 observations obtained from the face image to derive a model of 109 an individual, which is subsequently used for recognition. One of 110 111 the most representative systems in this family is probably the Elas-112 tic Bunch Graph Matching (EBGM) [14]. In this case, a face image is 113 represented by a set of wavelets coefficients arranged in a graph, 114 whose nodes corresponds to fiducial points (eyes, tip of the nose, 115 corner of the mouth, etc.). During the recognition process, the lat-116 tice is allowed to be deformable so as to maximise the correlation between corresponding wavelet coefficients of the gallery and of 117 118 the probe image. Others recent approaches are based on Local Bin-119 ary Patterns (LBPs) [15,16], where the face is represented by a set 120 of concatenated LBP histograms, each one being computed in a dif-121 ferent block of pixels along the image. Recognition is then per-122 formed by measuring the similarity between histograms. Other 123 successful feature-based approaches are based on statistical gener-124 ative models, such as Gaussian Mixture Models (GMMs) [17], Hid-125 den Markov Models (HMMs) [18-20], or its variant [10,21,22]. Such systems usually decompose the face image into blocks and 126 127 then learn the distribution of the blocks using one of the previously 128 mentioned models. As compared to holistic approaches, feature-129 based systems have several advantages: they are more robust to little variations in pose, illumination, occlusion, expression and 130 131 localisation errors [10,23,24]. Moreover, and in contrast to appear-132 ance-based systems, feature-based approaches are able to incorpo-133 rate more a priori knowledge on the object to recognise, by 134 selecting which features to use and how to relate them to each 135 other.

136 In this paper, we propose a new statistical generative model 137 based on *static* Bayesian Networks and especially tailored to deal with the object to be considered, that is the human face. Actually, 138 classical generative models make strong independence assump-139 140 tions on the way that face image data are generated. Indeed, in the GMM framework as applied in [17], overlapping blocks are 141 142 considered to be independent, which is obviously not the case in a face image. Consider the two eyes for instance: the block contain-143 ing the right eye is certainly related to the block containing the left 144 145 one. The one-dimensional HMM decomposes the face image verti-146 cally as a sequence of horizontal strips, and model the features ex-147 tracted from each strips by a Gaussian Mixtures. It is hence more 148 powerful than a GMM (different parts of the face are modelled 149 by different Gaussian Mixtures) but the independence assumption 150 between different parts of the face remains. The pseudo-2D HMM 151 add another level of precision, since in this case, strips are not considered directly, but decomposed into blocks, and then modelled 152 by an embedded HMM, which will give the emission probabilities 153 of the main, vertical HMM. Nevertheless, all these HMM-based ap-154 proaches, as well as models based on *dynamic* Bayesian Networks 155 [25] introduce *structure* into the observations, but are not able to 156 capture correlations between observations. Actually, all these sta-157 tistical models only constrain the ordering of the observations 158 (i.e. the nose has to be above the mouth for instance). 159

The main assumption that drove us towards the proposed model is that salient facial features are related to each others, and hence should not be treated as if they were independent. Actually, this paradigm along with the use of Bayesian Networks has already been successfully applied in two face processing task: face detection [26] and facial expression recognition [27]. For the task of face authentication, preliminary experiments using the proposed approach and yielding encouraging results were presented in [28]. In this contribution, we present experiments on the XM2VTS [29] and BANCA [30] databases using automatically located faces. Indeed, since face localisation is the necessary first step to any other face analysis task, we believe that robustness to imperfectly located faces is worth investigating. A comparison of the proposed approach to other face authentication systems is made using exactly the same settings. Namely, our system is compared to two popular appearance-based method, Eigenfaces and Fisherfaces, and also to classical generative models such as GMM, HMM and pseudo-2DHMM as applied in [10].

The remaining of this paper is organised as follows. Section 2 briefly introduces Bayesian Networks, as well as the inference and learning framework. The proposed model and the learning procedure using model adaptation are presented in Section 3. In Section 4, an overview of the face and the facial features localisation systems are outlined. The experimental framework and the databases are described in Section 5 whereas results are presented and discussed in Section 6. Finally, Section 7 concludes the paper and proposes possible future research directions.

### 2. Bayesian Networks

In this section, we will briefly describe the framework used to 188 build the statistical generative model to represent a face. Bayesian 189 Networks (also known as belief networks or probabilistic expert sys-190 tems) provide an intuitive way to represent the joint probability dis-191 tribution over a set of variables: random variables are represented as 192 nodes in a directed acyclic graph, and links express causality relation-193 ships between these variables. More precisely, relationships be-194 tween nodes are specified through local conditional probabilities. 195 Note that the lack of arcs between two nodes then encodes a condi-196 tional independence of the associated variables. 197

More generally, let us define  $Pa(X_i)$  as the set of parents of the variable  $X_i$  in the directed acyclic graph, the joint probability encoded by a Bayesian Network over the set of variables  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is given by the following chain rule:

$$P(\mathbf{X}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(X_i | Pa(X_i)) \tag{1}$$

Hence, a Bayesian Network is fully defined by the *structure* of the graph and by its *parameters*, which consists in the conditional probability distributions of each variable given its parents. Note, however, that a variable  $X_i$  may have no parents, in which case its probability distribution is simply given by  $P(X_i)$ .

#### 2.1. Inference

209

An important task in Bayesian Networks is inference. It consists 210 in computing probabilities of interest, once evidence has been 211

Please cite this article in press as: G. Heusch, S. Marcel, A novel statistical generative model dedicated to face recognition, Image Vis. Comput. (2009), doi:10.1016/j.imavis.2009.05.001

2

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

300

301 302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

G. Heusch, S. Marcel/Image and Vision Computing xxx (2009) xxx-xxx

entered into the network (i.e. when one or more variables have
been observed). In other words, entering evidence consists in
either fixing the state of a discrete variable to one of its possible value or to assign a value in the case of a continuous variable. We are
then interested in finding the effect this evidence has on the distribution of the other unobserved (or hidden) variables.

218 There are many different algorithm allowing to perform inference, the most simple and intuitive one is certainly the so-called 219 bucket elimination [31]. However, it is inefficient to handle multi-220 ple queries, since it has to be run for every variable of interest. The 221 most renowned one for singly-connected graphs is certainly belief 222 223 propagation [32]. Here, messages are passed between all the nodes until convergence and thus multiple queries are answered in a 224 more efficient way. 225

Another more generic method to perform exact inference, and 226 227 which is both able to deal with multiple queries and multiplyconnected networks is the Junction Tree algorithm [33], and will 228 be used in our case. This algorithm basically consists in two steps 229 [34]. First the directed acyclic graph is transformed into a second-230 ary structure and becomes an undirected graphical model. Sec-231 232 ond, messages are exchanged between nodes in this undirected 233 representation. Nodes of the Junction Tree are cluster of variables 234 called *cliques*, and each link is labelled with a *separator* containing 235 the variables present in the two linked cliques. Each clique 236 (respectively separator) has an associated potential, which is a 237 real-valued function on the configurations of the set of variables in the clique (resp. separator). When observations are entered, cli-238 que and separator potentials are initialised such that the distribu-239 tion defined by the Junction Tree matches the original 240 distribution encoded by the Bayesian Network. Then, messages 241 242 between cliques are exchanged through separators in the form of potentials operations. 243

## 244 2.2. Learning

260

Learning in Bayesian Networks refers either to structure learning, parameters learning or both [35]. In our case, we are considering networks of fixed structure, and hence are interested in learning parameters from data by maximising the log-likelihood, which is given by:

$$\mathscr{L}(\theta, \mathbf{v}) = \log \sum_{\mathbf{h}} p(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h} | \theta)$$
(2)

where  $\theta$  denotes the parameters of the model, **v** represents the set of variables corresponding to visible observations and **h** is the set of hidden variables. Since maximising directly Eq. (2) may be difficult, we simplify the problem using the variational approximation to the Expectation-Maximisation (EM) algorithm [36]:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{L}(\theta, \mathbf{v}) &= \log \sum_{\mathbf{h}} p(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h} | \theta) = \log \sum_{\mathbf{h}} q(\mathbf{h}) \frac{p(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h} | \theta)}{q(\mathbf{h})} \\ &\geq \sum_{\mathbf{h}} q(\mathbf{h}) \log \frac{p(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h} | \theta)}{q(\mathbf{h})} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{h}} q(\mathbf{h}) \log p(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h} | \theta) - \sum_{\mathbf{h}} q(\mathbf{h}) \log q(\mathbf{h}) \end{aligned}$$
(3)

261 where  $q(\mathbf{h})$  is the variational parameter and is a distribution over 262 the hidden variables. Furthermore, it can be shown [36] that the 263 optimal setting (i.e. when the bound corresponds to equality) for the variational distribution  $q(\mathbf{h})$  is nothing else but  $p(\mathbf{h}|\mathbf{v}, \theta)$ . More-264 over, and since the second term in Eq. (3) can be neglected (since it 265 does not depend on  $\theta$ ), this formulation is then equivalent to the 266 267 classical EM algorithm [37]. Note that now, the first term in Eq. 268 (3) can be decomposed according to the network topology. The 269 maximisation can thus be done independently for each local condi-270 tional distribution.

### 3. Proposed model

The proposed model relies on two main assumptions. First, we believe that salient facial features such as the evebrows, the eves. the nose and the mouth provide enough discriminative information between individuals. Second, it is assumed that facial features are correlated and thus should not be considered independently. The proposed model is hence trying to capture relationships between facial features and is depicted in Fig. 1. Shaded nodes are representing visible observations derived from the face image, whereas white nodes are representing the hidden *causes* that generated these observations. The model can be explained as follow: the nodes on the top represent unknown relationships between eyebrows and eyes (node BE), eyes and nose (node EN) and nose and mouth (node NM). Hence, these variables are used to model the relationship between the different face parts. These combinations then generate a certain type of facial features (such as a small nose, or broad lips for instance), represented by the nodes at the second level. And finally, these types of facial features generate the corresponding observations. Note that our model does introduce relationships between observations: if the node O<sub>le</sub> is observed, information about the node O<sub>re</sub> can be inferred through the node *E* for instance.

In this network, hidden nodes are discrete-valued and observed nodes are multivariate gaussians. Hence, the probability distributions of the nodes on the first and second level are given by (conditional) probability tables, whereas the distributions of the nodes corresponding to observations are given by conditional gaussians, defined as:

$$P(\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0} | Pa(\mathbf{0}) = i) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}} |\Sigma_i|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{0} - \mu_i)^T \Sigma_i^{-1} (\mathbf{0} - \mu_i)\right)$$
(4)

where  $O = \mathbf{o}$  stands for a realisation of one of the observations and  $Pa(\mathbf{o}) = i$  for a possible configuration of its parent. *n* is the dimension of the feature vector representing a particular observation. The mean  $\mu_i$  and the covariance matrix  $\Sigma_i$  are the parameters of the conditional gaussian distribution and depend on the value of the parent node. Note also that in our model, diagonal covariances matrices are used. The parameters of the Bayesian Network to be learned are denoted by  $\theta$  and consists in the entries of the (conditional) probability tables as well as the means and the covariance matrices of the conditional gaussians.

Ultimately, we are interested in finding how well a model fit an observed face representation. This is achieved by computing the probability of the observations given the model, i.e. the likelihood. Defining the set of visible observations  $\mathbf{v} = (O_{lb}, O_{rb}, O_{le}, O_{re}, O_n, O_m)$ , the log-likelihood  $\mathscr{L}(\theta, \mathbf{v})$  of a face representation is computed by



**Fig. 1.** The proposed model: observed salient facial features are generated by a treestructured Bayesian Network. Shaded nodes represent visible observations whereas white nodes denote hidden causes.

390

397

G. Heusch, S. Marcel/Image and Vision Computing xxx (2009) xxx-xxx

first inferring the distribution of the hidden variables using the
Junction Tree algorithm, and then by summing out over the states
of the hidden variables.

#### 319 3.1. Learning: model adaptation

22 May 2009 Disk Used

320 In the context of face recognition, it is often the case that few training examples per class are available and hence the Maximum 321 322 Likelihood (ML) estimates of the parameters may be inaccurate [38]. One way to circumvent the lack of client-specific training data 323 are to estimate the ML parameters of a nearby distribution using a 324 325 larger amount of training data coming from different identities and then to *adapt* this distribution using training data of each individ-326 ual. This idea was first used in speaker verification [38,39] and was 327 328 also successfully applied in face authentication [10]. Although this 329 technique is often referred to as Maximum A Posteriori (MAP) 330 learning, one should be aware that, in this context, it is not MAP 331 learning in the strict Bayesian sense, since no priors  $p(\theta)$  are explic-332 itly set on the parameters to be learned. Rather, the nearby distribution, referred to as the world model, is learned using the EM 333 334 algorithm with the ML criterion. Then, the parameters of each cli-335 ent model are adapted from the parameters of the world model 336 using client-specific training data in the following way:

338 
$$\theta_{client} = \alpha \cdot \theta_{ML} + (1 - \alpha) \cdot \theta_{world}$$
 (5)

where  $\theta_{ML}$  denotes the client parameters obtained from a Maximum Likelihood estimation using client-specific data. The adaptation parameter  $\alpha \in [0; 1]$  is used to weight the relative importance of the obtained ML statistics with respect to the prior knowledge we have on the distribution, represented by the parameters of the world model.

### 345 **4. Facial features localisation**

346 Face recognition results in the literature are usually presented 347 assuming *perfect* localisation of the faces, often relying on manu-348 ally annotated eyes position for instance. However, in a real-world 349 scenario, faces must be automatically detected to be further pro-350 cessed. Furthermore, it has been shown that performances of most 351 of existing algorithms decreases when errors are introduced in the 352 localisation process [10,11]. For these reasons, we believe that the 353 behaviour of the proposed system is worth investigating using 354 automatically detected faces. Hence, we briefly present the face 355 detection algorithm used to locate the face in the input image. 356 We also outline the Active Shape Model [40], as this algorithm was employed to localise the salient facial features used as obser-357 358 vations in the proposed model (see Fig. 1).

### 359 4.1. Face detection

In order to detect the face in the input image, a variant of the 360 face detector proposed by Fröba and Ernst [41] is used. The detec-361 tor employs local features based on the Modified Census Transform 362 (MCT), which represent each location of the image by a binary pat-363 tern computed from a  $3 \times 3$  pixel neighbourhood. Each input im-364 365 age is scanned and all possible windows in a given scale range are analysed. Each window is then classified as containing a face 366 367 or not. The classification is carried out using a cascade classifier 368 in a similar way than in [42]. Overlapping windows labelled as 369 faces are then merged together so as to provide a unique bounding 370 box containing the detected face. Eyes position is then inferred 371 from the position and the scale of the bounding box. Note that if 372 a face is missed by the detector, eyes position is estimated from 373 other images of the same individual within the same recording ses-374 sion, but where the face was effectively detected.

### 4.2. Active shape model

#### Active Shape Models (ASMs) were first introduced by Cootes 376 et al. in [40] and consists in fitting the shape of an object (in our 377 case, a face), using a previously learned global shape model, usually 378 represented as a set of landmark points (see Fig. 2). In order to find 379 the shape of the object in the input image, an iterative search is ap-380 plied, starting from a rough approximation of the localisation of 381 the object (i.e. eyes location inferred from face detection). During 382 the matching process, each point of the shape moves in the image 383 plane to achieve the best match between the image and the model 384 of local observations trained with the global shape model. In our 385 work, Local Binary Patterns (LBPs) are used to model the local 386 observations, as described in [43]. Note also that, as in the original 387 ASM, constraints are added to the displacement of each point, such 388 that the shape of the object does not diverge. 389

#### 5. Experiments

In this section, we first describe the general framework to perform face authentication using statistical generative models. Then, we present measures used to assess the performance of the systems, as well as the databases and their respective experimental protocols. Finally, the feature extraction scheme for the proposed model is described. 391

### 5.1. General framework

In the framework of face authentication, a client claims its iden-398 tity and supports the claim by providing an image of its face to the 399 system. There are then two different possibilities: either the client 400 is claiming its real identity, in which case it is referred to as a *true* 401 *client*, either the client is trying to fool the system, and is referred 402 to as an impostor. In this open-set scenario, subjects to be authen-403 ticated may or may not be present in the database. Therefore, the 404 authentication system is required to give an opinion on whether 405 the claimant is the true client or an impostor. Since modelling all 406 possible impostors is obviously not feasible, the world-model is 407 used to simulate impostors, since it is trained using data coming 408 from different identities and thus represents the model for an 409 "average", or general individual [39]. 410

More formally, let us denote  $\theta_{world}$  as the parameter set defining 411 the world-model whereas  $\theta_{client}$  represents the client-specific 412 parameters. Given a client claim and its face representation **v**, an opinion on the claim is given by the following log-likelihood ratio: 414

$$\Lambda(\mathbf{v}) = \log p(\mathbf{v}|\theta_{client}) - \log p(\mathbf{v}|\theta_{world})$$
(6) 416

where  $p(\mathbf{v}|\theta_{client})$  is the likelihood of the claim coming from the true client and  $p(\mathbf{v}|\theta_{world})$  is an approximation of the likelihood of the claim coming from an impostor. Based on a threshold  $\tau$ , the claim is accepted if  $\Lambda(\mathbf{v}) \ge \tau$  and rejected otherwise. 410 411 412 413 413 414 415 416 417 418

Fig. 2. Landmark points of the Active Shape Model.



#### 421 5.2. Performance measures

422 Face authentication is thus subject to two type of errors, either 423 the true client is rejected (false rejection) or an impostor is accepted (false acceptance). In order to measure the performance 424 of authentication systems, we use the Half Total Error Rate (HTER), 425 which combines the False Rejection Rate (FRR) and the False 426 427 Acceptance Rate (FAR) and is defined as: 428

$$HTER(\tau, \mathscr{D}) = \frac{FAR(\tau, \mathscr{D}) + FRR(\tau, \mathscr{D})}{2} [\%]$$
(7)

where *I* denotes the used dataset. Since both the FAR and the FRR de-431 pend on the threshold  $\tau$ , they are strongly related to each other: 432 increasing the FAR will reduce the FRR and vice versa. For this reason, 433 authentication results are often presented using either Receiver 434 435 Operating Characteristic (ROC) or Detection-Error Tradeoff (DET) 436 curves, which basically plots the FAR versus the FRR for different values of the threshold. Another widely used measure to summarise the 437 438 performance of a system is the Equal Error Rate (EER), defined as the point along the ROC or DET curve where the FAR equals the FRR. 439

It was noted in [44] that ROC and DET curves may be misleading 440 when comparing models. Hence, the so-called Expected Perfor-441 442 mance Curve (EPC) was proposed, and consists in an unbiased esti-443 mate of the reachable performance of a model at various operating points [44]. Indeed, in a real-world scenario, the threshold  $\tau$  has to 444 be set a priori: this is typically done using a validation (or develop-445 ment) set. Nevertheless, the optimal threshold can be different 446 447 depending on the relative importance given to the FAR and the 448 FRR. Hence, in the EPC framework,  $\beta \in [0; 1]$  is defined as the trade-449 off between FAR and FRR. The optimal threshold  $\tau^*$  is then com-450 puted using different values of  $\beta$ , corresponding to different 451 operating points:

453 
$$\tau^* = \arg\min_{\tau} \beta \cdot FAR(\tau, \mathcal{D}_{\nu}) + (1 - \beta) \cdot FRR(\tau, \mathcal{D}_{\nu})$$
(8)

where  $\mathcal{D}_{v}$  denotes the validation set. Performance for different val-454 ues of  $\beta$  is then computed on the test set  $\mathcal{D}_t$  using the previously 455 456 found threshold. Note that setting  $\beta$  to 0.5 yields the Half Total Error 457 Rate (HTER) as defined in Eq. (7). Moreover, a modified version of the standard proportion test, as described in [45] is used in order 458 to compute 95% confidence intervals around Expected Performance 459 Curves (Fig. 7). 460

#### 461 5.3. Databases

The XM2VTS database [29] is a multi-modal database contain-462 ing 295 identities, among which 200 are used as true clients (the 463 remainder are considered as impostors). Recordings were acquired 464 465 during four sessions over a period of five months under controlled 466 conditions (blue background, uniform illumination). Each session 467 contains two pictures of each individual. Along with the database, 468 two experimental protocols, stating which images are used for 469 training, validation and testing have been defined. Experiments presented in this paper use the version 1 of the Lausanne Protocol (denoted as LP1).

The BANCA database [30] was especially meant for multi-modal biometric authentication and contains 52 clients (English corpus), equally divided into two groups g1 and g2 used for validation and test, respectively. The corpus is extended with an additional set of 30 other subjects used to train the world model. Image acquisition was performed with two different cameras: a cheap analogue webcam, and a high-quality digital camera, under several realistic scenarios: controlled (high-quality camera, uniform background, controlled lighting), degraded (webcam, non-uniform background) and adverse (high-quality camera, arbitrary conditions). Fig. 3 shows examples of the different acquisition scenarios.

In the BANCA protocol, seven distinct configurations for the training and testing policy have been defined. In our experiments, the configurations referred to as Match Controlled (Mc). Unmatched Adverse (Ua). Unmatched Degraded (Ud) and Pooled Test (P) are used. All of the listed configurations use the same training conditions: each client is trained using images from the first recording session, which corresponds to the controlled scenario. Testing is then performed on images taken from the controlled scenario (Mc), adverse scenario (Ua), degraded scenario (Ud), while (P) does the test for each of the previously described configurations.

## 5.4. Feature extraction

First, faces are automatically located using the face detector described in Section 4. The face detector has been trained using face images coming from the following databases: CMU, Biold, AR and Purdue. Hence, no prior knowledge on the face images used in the authentication experiments were introduced in the detection process. However, the ASM was trained on the training set of the XM2VTS database (protocol LP1), since in this case, the 68 annotations representing the groundtruth for the landmarks were available.

Feature extraction for the proposed model is performed by first running the ASM on the input image, using the automatically detected eves location as the starting point. Based on the resulting facial features locations, blocks of pixels are extracted around selected salient features (see Fig. 1). In order to account for imprecisely located features, and also to increase the amount of training data, shifted blocks of a variable amount of pixels in each direction are also extracted. Note that a similar approach was already used in [24]. In order to mitigate the influence induced by variations in illumination conditions, each block is pre-processed by the LBP-based pre-processing proposed in [46]. Finally, each block is decomposed in terms of 2D Discrete Cosine Transform (2D-DCT) in order to build the final observation vectors.

Hyperparameters for the proposed model, such as the size of extracted blocks, the number of pixels for the shifted blocks, the dimension of the DCT feature vectors, the cardinality of the hidden nodes, as well as the adaptation parameter  $\alpha$  were selected in order to minimise the Equal Error Rate (EER) on the validation set  $\mathscr{D}_{\nu}$ .



(a) controlled

(b) degraded

Fig. 3. Example of the different scenarios in the BANCA database.

Please cite this article in press as: G. Heusch, S. Marcel, A novel statistical generative model dedicated to face recognition, Image Vis. Comput. (2009), doi:10.1016/j.imavis.2009.05.001

5

486

487

488

489

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

490 491 492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

Q1

6

G. Heusch, S. Marcel/Image and Vision Computing xxx (2009) xxx-xxx

521 Regarding the other approaches used for comparison, faces 522 were first cropped from the original images, resized to  $64 \times 80$  pix-523 els, converted to grayscale and pre-processed with the same tech-524 nique used for the blocks [46]. Note that the cropping step is 525 performed using automatically detected eyes location (resulting from face detection), and hence may result in different scales and 526 527 translations of face images, as illustrated on Fig. 4. Features for 528 the GMM, HMM and pseudo-2DHMM were extracted using the feature extraction scheme described in [10]. 529

### 530 6. Results and discussion

In this section, face authentication results using automatically 531 532 located faces are presented. Hereafter, the proposed model is re-533 ferred to as BNFace, and for comparison purpose, we also report 534 experimental results obtained with classical generative models: 535 GMM, HMM and pseudo-2DHMM as applied in [10], as well as 536 two popular baseline appearance-based systems, Eigenfaces and 537 Fisherfaces. Note that the same experimental settings (i.e. training 538 set, automatically detected faces) were used for each system.

### 539 6.1. Experimental setup and results

540 Presented results for the proposed model were obtained using extracted blocks of  $24 \times 24$  pixels. So, for each facial feature, blocks 541 centered on the corresponding landmark point given by the ASM 542 are extracted. Besides, for each facial feature, additional blocks 543 with shifts of 2, 4 and 6 pixels in each direction are also extracted. 544 Hence, for a single observation, we obtained 25 blocks. The first 64 545 coefficients were retained from the 2D-DCT on the blocks, thus 546 547 resulting in final feature vectors of dimension n = 64. The cardinal-548 ity of the hidden nodes were set to 3 at the top level, and to 8 at the 549 second level. Finally, the adaptation parameter  $\alpha$  was set to 0.4.

Note also that presented results were obtained following the 550 strict usage of the protocols defined with each database. Hence, 551 for the XM2VTS database, we use 600 images corresponding to 552 553 all client training data to train the world models, but also to build 554 PCA and LDA matrices. For the BANCA database, the additional set 555 containing 10 images of 30 individuals were used for the same pur-556 poses. In particular, we do not use any other corpus or database, 557 nor mirroring the available images to build either world models 558 or subspace representations, as it was sometimes done in other 559 studies [10,47]. Doing this way enables a fair comparison among 560 the different systems, since exactly the same data and protocols 561 were used for each tested system. For the sake of completeness, we also add previous results from the literature with automatic 562 563 registration and using the same baseline systems (when available),



**Fig. 4.** Illustration of cropped faces using manually located eyes (first row) and automatically located eyes (second row). Note the variations in scale between column 2 and 4 for instance.

since they differ from our own implementations. However, it is hard to draw a fair comparison with these results, since the experimental framework is usually different from ours. For instance, the used training set, the size of the face images and the pre-processing step may differ. Table 1 reports HTER performance obtained on the XM2VTS database for protocol LP1 and Table 2 reports HTER performance on the BANCA database for protocols Mc, Ua, Ud and P.

We also present performance curves (DET and EPC) for the dif-571 ferent systems (Figs. 5 and 6). For the sake of clarity, curves com-572 paring the proposed system against holistic approaches are plotted 573 on the left-hand side of the figures and curves comparing the pro-574 posed system to other generative models are plotted on the right-575 hand side. Note that only the protocol P was used for the curves on 576 the BANCA database, since it can be viewed as a summary of the 577 different investigated protocols (Mc, Ua, Ud). 578

6.2. Discussion

Compared to the popular holistic systems (Eigenfaces and Fish-580 erfaces), the proposed system performs consistently better on both 581 databases. Moreover, figures representing DET and EPC curves for 582 BNFace and both holistic systems show that the authentication er-583 ror is drastically reduced at all operating points when the proposed 584 system is used. These results are not surprising, since it has been 585 previously shown that the performance of appearance-based sys-586 tems is severely affected when faces are not perfectly aligned. 587 Hence, conducted experiments confirm that local feature-based 588 systems are more robust to imperfectly located faces. 589

Since classical generative models also uses local features to perform classification, such systems are usually less affected by the face localisation step. Indeed, they perform generally better than the holistic ones (Tables 1 and 2). Hence, a comparison of the proposed models with GMM, HMM and pseudo-2DHMM may reveal the advantages and the drawbacks at the models level, when applied to face authentication.

It must be noted that BNFace performs way better than the simple GMM-based system on both databases. This result is particularly interesting since it tends to support two stated hypothesis. First, only a subset of the face image, corresponding to salient facial features, is sufficient to perform authentication. Indeed, in the GMM framework, blocks of pixels are extracted from the whole

 Table 1

 HTER performance on the test set of XM2VTS LP1 with automatic registration.

System	LP1 (%)
Eigenfaces	27.29
Fisherfaces	28.19
GMM	12.61
HMM	13.64
P2D-HMM	2.56
BNFace	5.53
GMM [48]	2.45
Fisherfaces [48]	1.93

#### Table 2

HTER performance on the test set (g2) of BANCA with automatic registration.

System	Mc (%)	Ua (%)	Ud (%)	P (%)
Eigenfaces	18.85	32.18	30.03	26.49
Fisherfaces	21.38	31.67	32.08	29.27
GMM	7.33	34.76	33.95	28.83
HMM	8.01	21.67	21.54	16.84
P2D-HMM	2.40	13.49	15.29	12.61
BNFace	3.85	19.94	13.56	12.70
P2D-HMM	2.08 [49]	N/A	N/A	18.54 [47]
Fisherfaces	9.46 [49]	N/A	N/A	19.55 [47]

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

G. Heusch, S. Marcel/Image and Vision Computing xxx (2009) xxx-xxx



Fig. 5. Performances curves on the test set of the XM2VTS database.

603 face image. Second, it also suggests that blocks extracted from the face image are correlated and hence should not be treated as if they 604 were independent. However, results obtained with GMM are sur-605 prising since they are much worse than previously published re-606 607 sults on the same databases, also using automatic registration [17,10]. Nevertheless, this fact can be explained thanks to three 608 609 observations. First, the preprocessing step used in our work is different. Second, previous work used mirroring and additional data 610 to train the models and third, we did not fine-tune the various 611 hyperparameters, rather, we used the ones reported in [17,10]. 612

613 In our experiments, the HMM-based system outperforms the 614 GMM-based system on the BANCA database (note that this is the converse on the XM2VTS database). This result is in contrast to 615 the one obtained in [10], where GMM were shown to perform bet-616 ter than HMM in the case of automatic face localisation. Hence, it is 617 difficult to say whether the model itself is not appropriate to model 618 the face or if its performance is affected by localisation errors. Nev-619 620 ertheless and according to Cardinaux et al. [10], HMM seems to better model the face image, since it performs better than GMM 621 622 when the face is manually located (similar results were also ob-623 served when reproducing this experiment). On one hand, this suggests that introducing structure to the observations, in the form of 624 vertical spatial relationships may be useful. But on the other hand, 625 HMM also add rigid horizontal constraints, and this may explain 626 627 the contradictory results obtained with this approach. However, 628 note that the proposed model still outperforms the HMM-based 629 system on both databases. Hence, it suggests once again that relationships between facial features themselves, and not only on their ordering, is useful to describe a face.

The pseudo-2DHMM is the only system performing better than the proposed system. It can be mainly explained thanks to two observations: first, rigid constraints are less important than in the HMM for instance, hence pseudo-2DHMM is less affected by automatic face detection. Second, the model is able to add twodimensional spatial constraints to the observations. Results obtained with this approach hence suggest that the two-dimensional spatial ordering along the entire face image are important. Note that results on the Unmatched degraded (Ud) protocol of the BANCA database, the proposed model performs better than P2D-HMM. This suggests that using only a subset of the face image less affects the authentication system in the case of a strong mismatch between training and testing acquisition conditions. However, results obtained with BNFace and with P2D-HMM are close to each other, especially on the protocol P of the BANCA database. Hence, in order to better compare these two classifiers, we present the Expected Performance Curves of the two systems together with the 95% confidence interval (Fig. 7). One can see that in some parts, an overlap is occurring, hence showing that the two classifier are not statistically different. The bottom part of the figure depicts the statistical difference between the two classifiers. If the curve is above 0.95, this means that the classifiers are different with 95% confidence. As can be seen on Fig. 7, the two classifiers are only statistically different with high confidence in a small range of operating points.

## ARTICLE IN PRESS

G. Heusch, S. Marcel/Image and Vision Computing xxx (2009) xxx-xxx



Fig. 6. Performance curves on the test set of the BANCA database, protocol P.

Although the pseudo-2DHMM approach obtained the best re-657 sults, it is also the most complex. Indeed, it uses much more cli-658 659 ent-specific parameters to describe a face and is also much more computationally demanding than the proposed system. In Table 660 661 3, we report the computational time to perform the three tasks in-662 volved in face authentication: world-model training, client-model 663 adaptation (computed on average over the clients) for one individual, and authentication time for one individual (also computed on 664 665 average). These quantities were obtained using the BANCA database on a computer with an AMD Athlon 2.6 GHz. We also report 666 the number of client-specific parameters for the proposed system 667 and P2D-HMM. As can be seen on this table, our system's authen-668 tication time is for instance five times smaller. Besides, the number 669 670 of client-specific parameters is also greatly reduced.

Overall, obtained results suggest that the proposed model based 671 672 on Bayesian Networks is suitable for the task of face authentication using automatically localised faces. Indeed, we conducted compar-673 674 ative experiments and the proposed model yields better perfor-675 mance than 4 out of 5 baseline systems. Moreover, obtained 676 results are competitive with state-of-the-art reported in the literature on the same databases and with automatic registration 677 [47,49]. Note, however, that the proposed system relies on the Ac-678 679 tive Shape Model to locate the salient facial features. Indeed, upon 680 visual inspection of the landmarks, we remarked that, in some 681 cases, facial features are not accurately located. Hence, our model

may also suffer from such imprecision. When performing experiments using perfect facial features localisation on the XM2VTS database (unfortunately, there is no such ground truth for the BAN-CA database), an improvement is indeed observed: the HTER is reduced from 5.53% to 3.95%.

### 7. Conclusion and future directions

In this paper, we introduced a novel statistical generative model 688 based on Bayesian Networks and especially tailored to deal with 689 the object to be processed that is two-dimensional face images. 690 The proposed model relies on two main assumptions: first, salient 691 facial features such as eyebrows, eyes, nose and mouth contains 692 sufficient information to discriminate two individuals. Second, 693 such local observations should not be treated independently. 694 Rather, it was assumed that salient facial features are related to 695 each others. The proposed approach was applied to the task of face 696 authentication using automatically detected faces. Hence, the 697 whole authentication process is made automatic, which is a desir-698 able behaviour in a real-world scenario. Two benchmark databases 699 were used to assess the performance of the system and show con-700 vincing results. Indeed, the proposed model outperforms classical 701 appearance-based methods, but also classical generative models, 702 where independence is assumed between local observations. Be-703 sides, presented results are competitive with state-of-the-art re-704

G. Heusch, S. Marcel/Image and Vision Computing xxx (2009) xxx-xxx





Fig. 7. EPC with confidence intervals and statistical difference for BNFace and P2D-HMM on the protocol P of the BANCA database.

# Table 3 Computational time on BANCA.

System	World model training time (s)	Client model adaptation time (s)	Individual authentication time (s)	Number of parameters
P2D-HMM	3520	~220.2	~9.8	73,726 [10]
BNFace	1499	~50.2	~2	6345

ported in the literature on the same databases. However, more
 complex models such as pseudo-2DHMM still perform better,
 although demanding much more computational resources.

This work is, to the best of our knowledge, the first attempt to 708 use static Bayesian Networks to tackle the face authentication 709 710 problem and future research directions are manifold. Actually, we do not know which kind of information is useful to uniquely 711 describe a face. In this work, we chose to use salient facial features 712 as a set of observations, but other clues such as texture, colour or 713 even shape certainly carry discriminative information. Another 714 715 open issue is how to relate local observations to each others. In-716 deed, the structure of the network was designed according to our 717 prior knowledge on how facial features may be related. However, 718 we still do not know if there are actually causal relationships between features, and how these can be expressed. Nevertheless, 719 720 we think that using static Bayesian Networks provide an elegant 721 framework to describe faces, and is worth investigating.

### 722 Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the GMFace project of the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF) and by the Swiss National Centre of Competence in Research (NCCR) on "Interactive Multimodal Information Management (IM2)".<sup>1</sup> Softwares were implemented using the TorchVision library<sup>2</sup> and experiments were carried out using the PyVerif biometric verification toolkit.<sup>3</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> http://www.im2.ch
- <sup>2</sup> http://torch3vision.idiap.ch
- <sup>3</sup> http://pyverif.idiap.ch

#### References

- M. Turk, A. Pentland, Face recognition using Eigenfaces, in: IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 1991, pp. 586–591.
- [2] P. Belhumeur, J. Hespanha, D. Kriegman, Eigenfaces vs. Fisherfaces: recognition using class specific linear projection, IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence 19 (7) (1997) 711–720.
- 3] P.J. Phillips, Support vector machines applied to face recognition, in: Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS), 1999, pp. 803–809.
- [4] M. Bartlett, J. Movellan, T. Sejnowski, Face recognition by independent component analysis, IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks 13 (6) (2002) 1450–1464.
- [5] X. He, S. Yan, Y. Hu, N. Partha, H.-J. Zhang, Face recognition using Laplacianfaces, IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence 27 (3) (2005) 328–340.
- [6] M.-H. Yang, N. Ahuja, D. Kriegman. Face recognition using kernel eigenfaces, in: IEEE International Conference on Image Processing (ICIP), vol. 1, 2000, pp. 37–40.
- [7] K.-I. Kim, K. Jung, H.-J. Kim, Face recognition using kernel principal component analysis, IEEE Signal Processing Letters 9 (2) (2002) 40–42.
- [8] L. Shen, L. Bai, M. Fairhust, Gabor wavelets and general discriminant analysis for face identification and verification, Image and Vision Computing 25 (5) (2007) 553–563.
- [9] M.-H. Yang, Kernel eigenfaces vs. kernel fisherfaces: face recognition using kernel methods, in: IEEE International Conference on Automatic Face and Gesture Recognition (AFGR), 2002, pp. 205–211.
- [10] F. Cardinaux, C. Sanderson, S. Bengio, User authentication via adapted statistical models of face images, IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing 54 (1) (2005) 361–373.
- [11] Y. Rodriguez, F. Cardinaux, S. Bengio, J. Mariéthoz, Measuring the performance of face localization systems, Image and Vision Computing 24 (8) (2006) 882– 893.
- [12] V. Blanz, T. Vetter, Face recognition based on fitting a 3D morphable model, IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence 25 (9) (2003) 1063–1074.
- [13] G.J. Edwards, T.F. Cootes, C.J. Taylor, Face recognition using active appearance models, in: European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV), 1998, pp. 581– 595.

765

766

22 May 2009 Disk Used

815

816

817

818

819

820

821

822

823

824

825

826

827

828

829

830

831

832

833

834

835

836

837

838

839

840

841

842

843

844

845

846

847

848

849

850

851

852

853

854

855

856

857

858

859

860

861

862 863

10

767

768

769

770

771

772

773 774

775

776

777

778

779

780

781

782

783

784

785

786

787

788

789

790

791

792

793

794

795

796

797

798

799

800

801

802

803

804

805

806

807

808

809

810

811

812

813

814

- G. Heusch, S. Marcel/Image and Vision Computing xxx (2009) xxx-xxx
- [14] L. Wiskott, J.-M. Fellous, N. Krüger, C. Von Der Malsburg, Face recognition by elastic bunch graph matching, in: L.C. Jain, U. Halici, I. Hayashi, S.B. Lee (Eds.), Intelligent Biometric Techniques in Fingerprint and Face Recognition, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1999, pp. 355–396 (Chapter 11).
- [15] T. Ahonen, A. Hadid, M. Pietikäinen, Face description with local binary patterns: application to face recognition, IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence 28 (12) (2006) 2037–2041.
- [16] Y. Rodriguez, S. Marcel, Face authentication using adapted local binary pattern histograms, in: European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV), 2006, pp. 321–332.
- [17] F. Cardinaux, C. Sanderson, S. Marcel, Comparison of MLP and GMM classifiers for face verification on XM2VTS, in: International Conference on Audio- and Video-based Biometric Person Authentication (AVBPA), Springer, Berlin, 2003.
- [18] F. Samaria, S. Young, HMM-based architecture for face identification, Image and Vision Computing 12 (8) (1994) 537–543.
- [19] A. Nefian, M. Hayes, Hidden Markov models for face recognition, in: IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing (ICASSP), vol. 5, 1998, pp. 2721–2724.
- [20] A. Martinez, Face image retrieval using HMMs, in: IEEE Workshop on Content-Based Access of Image and Video Libraries, 1999, pp. 35–39.
- [21] S. Eickeler, S. Müller, G. Rigoll, Recognition of JPEG compressed face images based on statistical methods, Image and Vision Computing 18 (4) (2000) 279–287.
- [22] A. Nefian, M. Hayes, Maximum likelihood training of the embedded HMM for face detection and recognition, in: IEEE International Conference on Image Processing (ICIP), vol. 1, 2000, pp. 33–36.
- [23] B. Heisele, P. Ho, J. Wu, T. Poggio, Face recognition: component-based versus global approaches, Computer Vision and Image Understanding 91 (1) (2003) 6–21.
- [24] A. Martinez, Recognizing imprecisely localized, partially occluded and expression variant faces from a single sample per class, IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence 24 (6) (2002) 748–763.
- [25] A. Nefian, Embedded Bayesian Networks for face recognition, In: IEEE International Conference on Multimedia and Expo (ICME), vol. 2, 2002, pp. 133–136.
- [26] K. Yow, R. Cipolla, Feature-based human face detection, Image and Vision Computing 15 (9) (1997) 713–735.
- [27] I. Cohen, N. Sebe, A. Garg, M.S. Lew, T.S. Huang, Facial expression recognition from video sequences, in: IEEE International Conference on Multimedia and Expo (ICME), vol. 2, 2002, pp. 121–124.
- [28] G. Heusch, S. Marcel, Face authentication with salient facial features and static Bayesian network, in: International Conference on Biometrics (ICB), Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 4642, Springer, Berlin, pp. 878–887, 2007.
- [29] K. Messer, J. Matas, J. Kittler, J. Lüttin, G. Maitre, XM2VTSDB: the extended M2VTS database, in: International Conference on Audio- and Video-based Biometric Person Authentication (AVBPA), 1999, pp. 72–77.
- [30] E. Bailly-Baillière et al., The BANCA database and evaluation protocol, in: International Conference on Audio- and Video-based Biometric Person Authentication (AVBPA), 2003, pp. 625–638.

[31] R. Dechter, Bucket elimination: a unifying framework for probabilistic inference, in: Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence (UAI), 1996, pp. 211–219.

[32] J. Pearl, Probabilistic Reasoning in Intelligent Systems: Networks of Plausible Inference, Morgan Kaufmann, Los Altos, CA, 1988.

- [33] G. Cowell, P. Dawid, L. Lauritzen, J. Spiegelhalter, Probabilistic Networks and Expert Systems, Springer, Berlin, 1999.
- [34] C. Huang, A. Darwiche, Inference in belief networks: a procedural guide, International Journal of Approximate Reasoning 15 (3) (1996) 225-263.
- [35] D. Heckerman, Learning in Graphical Models, Chapter A Tutorial on Learning With Bayesian Networks, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1999, pp. 301–354.
- [36] M.I. Jordan, Z. Ghahramani, T.S. Jaakkolla, L.K. Saul, An introduction to variational methods for graphical models, Machine Learning 37 (1999) 183– 233.
- [37] A. Dempster, N. Laird, D. Rubin, Maximum likelihood from incomplete data via the EM algorithm, The Journal of Royal Statistical Society 39 (1977) 1–37.
- [38] J.-L. Gauvain, C.-H. Lee, Maximum a posteriori estimation for multivariate Gaussian mixture observations of Markov chains, IEEE Transactions on Speech and Audio Processing 2 (2) (1994) 291–298.
- [39] D.A. Reynolds, T.F. Quateri, R.B. Dunn, Speaker verification using adapted Gaussian mixture models, Digital Signal Processing 10 (2000) 19–41.
- [40] T.F. Cootes, C.J. Taylor, D. Cooper, J. Graham, Active shape models: their training and applications, Computer Vision and Image Understanding 61 (1) (1995) 38–59.
- [41] B. Fröba, A. Ernst, Face detection with the modified census transform, in: IEEE International Conference on Automatic Face and Gesture Recognition (AFGR), 2004, pp. 91–96.
- [42] P. Viola, M. Jones, Rapid object detection using a boosted cascade of simple features, in: IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), vol. 1, 2001, p. 511.
- [43] J. Keomany, S. Marcel, Active Shape Models Using Local Binary Patterns, RR 06-07, IDIAP Research Institute, 2006.
- [44] S. Bengio, J. Mariéthoz, M. Keller, The expected performance curve, in: International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML), 2005.
- [45] S. Bengio, J. Mariéthoz, A statistical significance test for person authentication, in: Proceedings of Odyssey: The Speaker and Language Recognition Workshop, 2004.
- [46] G. Heusch, Y. Rodriguez, S. Marcel, Local binary patterns as an image preprocessing for face authentication, in: IEEE International Conference on Automatic Face and Gesture Recognition (AFGR), 2006, pp. 9–14.
- [47] K. Messer et al., Face authentication test on the BANCA database, in: International Conference on Pattern Recognition (ICPR), vol. 4, 2004, pp. 523–532.
- [48] K. Messer et al., Face verification competition on the XM2VTS database, in: International Conference on Audio- and Video-based Biometric Person Authentication (AVBPA), 2003, pp. 1056–1066.
- [49] K. Messer et al., Face authentication competition on the BANCA database, in: International Conference on Biometric Authentication (ICBA), Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 3072, Springer, Berlin, 2004, pp. 8–15.