Properties of interfaces in amorphous/crystalline silicon heterojunctions
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To study recombination at the amorphous/crystalline Si (a-Si:H/c-Si) heterointerface, the amphoteric nature of silicon (Si) dangling bonds is taken into account. Modeling interface recombination measured on various test structures provides insight into the microscopic passivation mechanisms, yielding an excellent interface defect density reduction by intrinsic a-Si:H and tunable field-effect passivation by doped layers. The potential of this model’s applicability to recombination at other Si heterointerfaces is demonstrated. Solar cell properties of a-Si:H/c-Si heterojunctions are in good accordance with the microscopic interface properties revealed by modeling, that are, e.g., slight asymmetries in the neutral capture cross-sections and band offsets. The importance of atomically abrupt interfaces and the difficulties to obtain them on pyramidally textured c-Si is studied in combination with transmission electron microscopy.

1 Introduction

The efficiency of standard monocrystalline Si (c-Si) solar cells featuring diffused emitters and aluminum back surface fields (BSF) is limited to moderate values by interface recombination. This contrasts with deposition of intrinsic/doped amorphous Si (a-Si:H) layer stacks on c-Si, which effectively passivate the c-Si surfaces and simultaneously form the emitter and BSF, while avoiding the highly recombinative direct contact of metal to c-Si. Such Si heterojunction (HJ) solar cells are fabricated by the company Sanyo [1], resulting with 23% sunlight conversion efficiency in the highest-efficient large area c-Si solar cells [2]. Despite these excellent achievements, the physical understanding of interfaces in a-Si:H/c-Si HJs is limited. In this study, the amphoteric nature of Si dangling bonds (DBs) is considered for modeling a-Si:H/c-Si interface recombination [3], revealing the microscopic nature of this interface passivation scheme. The intuitive interpretation of measured injection-level dependent lifetimes at various a-Si:H/c-Si interfaces is facilitated by means of trajectories on three-dimensional surface recombination rate plots [4]. The use of this amphoteric interface recombination formalism to model recombination at other Si heterointerfaces featuring DBs is demonstrated. For Si HJ solar cell formation, layer stacks with the required properties were identified by modeling. The measured solar cell parameters confirm our modeling results and add up with other researchers findings to a more complete picture of Si HJs. The importance of atomically abrupt interfaces for highest passivation quality was confirmed in this study by combining lifetime measurements with transmission electron microscopy (TEM), quantifying the detrimental effect of epitaxial interfaces, that could finally also be suppressed in textured Si HJs.

2 Experimental

Hydrogenated amorphous and microcrystalline silicon (a-Si:H and μc-Si:H) layers were grown by very high frequency plasma enhanced chemical vapor deposition (VHF-PECVD) in a single chamber deposition system. SiH4, H2, PH3, and trimethylboron (TMH: B(CH3)3) were used as precursor gases to grow intrinsic (i) a-Si:H and doped μc-Si:H layers on c-Si wafers.
The properties of a-Si:H/c-Si heterointerfaces were studied by means of the photoconductance technique measuring the effective charge carrier lifetime $\tau_{\text{eff}} (s)$ as a function of the excess carrier density $\Delta n \; (\text{cm}^{-3})$ [5], see Fig. 1. Using the highest quality of c-Si wafers, heterostructures are dominated by their interface properties and based on this wafer would have, called the implied $V_{\text{oc}}$ (impl$V_{\text{oc}}$), accessible from $\tau_{\text{eff}}$ by

$$ S_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\tau_{\text{eff}}^{-1} - \tau_{\text{b_intr}}^{-1}}{2}, \tag{1} $$

where symmetrical surface passivation is assumed, $W$ is the wafer thickness and intrinsic bulk c-Si recombination ($\tau_{\text{b_intr}}$) only dominates at high $\Delta n$ [6], see again Fig. 1. The absolute lifetime values as well as their injection-level dependencies are given by the specific interface passivation mechanisms. From such $\tau_{\text{eff}}(\Delta n)$ plots one can additionally extract the very valuable information of the 1-sun illumination-level open-circuit voltage ($V_{\text{oc}}$) that a solar cell with these interface recombination properties, and based on this wafer would have, called the implied $V_{\text{oc}}$ (impl$V_{\text{oc}}$), see again Fig. 1 [5].

### 3 Modeling

The surface recombination rate $U \; (\text{cm}^2/s)$ is related to the experimentally accessible $S_{\text{eff}}$ by

$$ U = S_{\text{eff}} \Delta n, \tag{2} $$

where $\Delta n = \Delta p$ is the bulk excess carrier density generated, e.g., by illumination. Recombination through defect levels in semiconductors is usually described by the Shockley–Read–Hall (SRH) theory, where the single trap level surface recombination rate $U_{\text{SRH}}$ is given by

$$ U_{\text{SRH}} = \frac{n_p p_s}{n_s/\sigma_p + p_s/\sigma_n} v_{\text{th}} N_s. \tag{3} $$

Without additional surface charges, the surface carrier densities $n_s$, $p_s$ equal the bulk carrier densities $n_0 = n_0 + \Delta n$ and $p_0 = p_0 + \Delta n$, where $n_0$, $p_0$ are the thermal equilibrium charge carrier densities. $\sigma_n$ and $\sigma_p \; (\text{cm}^2)$ are the capture cross-sections of electrons and holes, $v_{\text{th}} \; (\text{cm/s})$ is the thermal velocity of the charge carriers, and $N_s \; (\text{cm}^{-2})$ is the surface defect density. Figure 2a visualizes $U_{\text{SRH}}(n_s, p_s)$ (Eq. 3) for the capture cross-section ratio generally assumed to model SiO$_2$/c-Si interface recombination, i.e., $\sigma_n/\sigma_p = 100$ [7]. In our experiments, the carrier density $\Delta n$, thus $n_s$ and $p_s$ vary and $U$ varies accordingly, as shown in Fig. 2a by means of the trajectories for 1 $\Omega$ cm n- and p-type c-Si. Figure 2b shows the corresponding $S_{\text{eff}}(\Delta n)$ plots (Eq. 2). With the capture cross-section asymmetry of $\sigma_n > \sigma_p$, surface recombination at the SiO$_2$/p c-Si interface is thus higher than at the SiO$_2$/n c-Si interface.

A surface charge density $Q_s \; (\text{cm}^{-2})$ results in a surface band bending $\psi_s \; (\text{V})$ and thus the surface carrier densities differ from the ones in the bulk: $n_s = n_0 \exp (+q\psi_s/kT)$ and $p_s = p_0 \exp (-q\psi_s/kT)$. Figure 3 shows the effect of a positive surface charge, as e.g., reported at the SiN$_x$/c-Si interface, on the trajectories on the $U_{\text{SRH}}(n_s, p_s)$ plot for equal capture cross-sections and 1 $\Omega$ cm n- and p-type c-Si. While repelling the minority holes from the n-type c-Si surface reduces interface recombination, attracting the
minority electrons to the p-type c-Si surface increases the surface recombination rate, as compared to the flatband case.

The standard SRH surface recombination model is based on interface traps having two possible charge states. Because the bare c-Si surface as well as a-Si:H feature DBs, we propose to model heterostructure interface recombination by amphoteric defects, i.e., DBs having three possible charge states. For this, we extended a model previously established for bulk a-Si:H recombination assuming one single recombination level with three charge conditions [8] to the c-Si surface, resulting in the surface DB recombination rate

$$U_{DB} = n_0 \sigma_n^0 + p_0 \sigma_p^0 \left( \frac{n_s}{n_n} \right) \left( \frac{\sigma_p^0}{\sigma_n^0} \right) \times \frac{W}{U_{DB}(\Delta n; n_0, n_s; Q_s; N_s; \sigma_n^0, \sigma_p^0, \sigma_{n+}, \sigma_{p-})},$$

where $\sigma_n^0, \sigma_p^0$ are the capture cross-sections of the neutral states and $\sigma_n^+, \sigma_p^-$ are the capture cross-sections of the charged states. Note that to find Eq. (4) the illumination level must be high enough to neglect emission from DB states [8]. Best fits of our experimental data are obtained with $\sigma_n^0 = \sigma_p^0 = 1/20$ and $\sigma_n^+/\sigma_p^- = \sigma_p^+/\sigma_p^- = 500$. The same capture cross-section hierarchy of $\sigma_n^+ < \sigma_n^- < \sigma_p^- < \sigma_p^+$ is found in amorphous semiconductors by Street [9], although much less pronounced. The surface plot of $U_{DB}(n_s, p_s)$, shown in Fig. 4, has a local minimum whose position is given mainly by the neutral capture cross-section ratio. Within its width determined by the charged to neutral capture cross-section ratios, recombination is dominated by majority carriers and thus opposite to the common SRH recombination.

Comparing the $\Delta n$-dependent trajectories on the $U_{DB}(n_s, p_s)$ plot in Fig. 4 to the ones similar to SiO$_2$- and SiN$_x$-passivated c-Si in Figs. 2 and 3, shows that a-Si:H passivation is more symmetrical as far as surface passivation of both wafer doping types is concerned. Fits to measured $\tau_{eff}(\Delta n)$ plots are obtained by combining Eq. (1), (2), and (4)

$$\tau_{eff}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\tau_b} + \left( \frac{1}{W} \right) \times U_{DB}(\Delta n; n_0, p_0; Q_s; N_s; \sigma_n^0, \sigma_p^0, \sigma_{n+}, \sigma_{p-})$$

$$+ \left( \frac{1}{W} \right) \times U_{DB}(\Delta n; n_0, p_0; Q_s; N_s; \sigma_n^0, \sigma_p^0, \sigma_{n+}, \sigma_{p-})$$

4 Results

4.1 a-Si:H passivation of various c-Si Figure 5 shows by symbols the measured injection-level dependent lifetimes of a a-Si:H passivating variously doped flat c-Si, implying excellent open-circuit voltages $i-V_{oc}$ over 700 mV throughout, see legend. Thus, the simple low temperature a-Si:H passivation scheme compares favorably to best performing SiO$_2$ and SiN$_x$ layers [6, 10]. Best a-Si:H/ c-Si interface passivation with surface recombination
velocities $S_{\text{eff}}$ down to 1 cm/s were measured on (111) crystal oriented c-Si, likely related to the possibility of perfect monohydride hydrogen termination of such (111) surfaces [11] despite their higher DB density. This is opposite to SiO$_2$ and SiN$_x$ where the passivation of (111) oriented c-Si is inferior to the one of (100) oriented c-Si [12]. Concerning the application of passivation in the industrially relevant textured monocrystalline Si solar cells featuring (111) oriented pyramidal facets, this is an important finding. Figure 5 includes by lines fits to the experimental curves obtained with our amphoteric interface recombination model. The extracted values of the interface DB density $N_s$ and the charge density $Q_s$ for the different wafers are listed in Table 1. $Q_s$ is the DB charge within the passivating a-Si:H layer inducing the image charge $Q_{\text{Si}}$ in the c-Si surface [4]. In the present case of intrinsic a-Si:H passivating variously doped c-Si, the interface DB charge is determined by the wafer doping type and level, by band offset asymmetries and the lightly n-type doped character of nominally intrinsic a-Si:H. For example, best fits obtained with negative charge in i a-Si:H at the interface to clearly n-type doped c-Si and positive charge in i a-Si:H to p-type doped c-Si confirm the amphoteric nature of a-Si:H/c-Si interface defects.

4.2 a-Si:H/c-Si field-effect passivation Unlike SiN$_x$ (and less pronounced also SiO$_2$) featuring a positive interface charge when grown on c-Si, in the case of a-Si:H, field-effect passivation of both charge types can be controlled by varying the average state of charge on the interface DBs, e.g., by an overlaying doped $\mu$-Si:H layer. The i a-Si:H buffer layer in such intrinsic/doped layer stacks ensures a low interface defect density $N_s$. Figure 6 shows experimental $\tau_{\text{eff}}(\Delta n)$ plots of intrinsic/doped layer stacks such as used for silicon HJ solar cell formation on 2.5 V cm n-type (a) and p-type (b) c-Si together with their fits. The subsequent representation in terms of trajectories over surface recombination rate plots in Fig. 6c allows for an easier, more intuitive interpretation of these $\tau_{\text{eff}}(\Delta n)$ plots. The $U_{\text{DB}}(n_s/p_s)$ plot in Fig. 6c thus illustrates that the measured lower lifetimes at low injection levels on p-type (open symbols) than on n-type (full symbols) c-Si result from the slight neutral capture cross-section asymmetry, leading in general to higher recombination when $p_s > n_s$.

4.3 Modeling of SiO$_2$/c-Si interface recombination by amphoteric states The identification of SiO$_2$/c-Si interface defects as DBs [13] allows for a broader application of our amphoteric interface recombination formalism. Yablonovitch et al. [14] measured SiO$_2$/c-Si interface recombination as a function of $n_s/p_s$, see symbols in Fig. 7, and
fitted their data by adding up the SRH recombination due to two individual interface states of different capture cross-section ratios. The dashed lines in Fig. 7 show such a fit with a dominant defect of $\sigma_d/\sigma_p = 60$ and a lesser one of $\sigma_n/\sigma_p = 1/25$. Our modeling of the same data with amphoteric interface DBs represented by the bold line in Fig. 7 yields exactly the same fit, but with a physical meaning attributed to the fit parameters, that is larger charged than neutral capture cross-sections.

4.4 a-Si:H/c-Si heterojunctions a-Si:H/c-Si HJ solar cells must feature simultaneously lowest interface defect densities for highest open-circuit voltages ($V_{oc}$) and highest doped layers for highest fill factors (FF), with the thinnest layers possible, to allow for current extraction. Thus, the modeling assisted interpretation of measured lifetime curves permits the development of suitable layer stacks for Si HJ solar cell formation, which were found to consist of very thin i a-Si:H layers providing excellent interface passivation to c-Si, followed by under high H$_2$-dilution grown highest doped $\mu$-c-Si:H layers ensuring best carrier extraction from the a-Si:H/c-Si HJ. A 19.1% efficient (4.5 mm$^2$) surfaSi HJ solar cell was reached with flat n-type c-Si with $V_{oc}$ = 680 mV, $J_{sc}$ = 34 mA/cm$^2$, $FF$ = 82%, $\eta$ = 19.1%, where $J_{sc}$ is the short-circuit current density and $\eta$ the efficiency. With a slightly thicker i-layer, high $V_{oc}$ Si HJs were achieved with n-wafer = $\{705, 78, 32, 17.6\}$ and p-wafer = $\{690, 74, 32, 16.3\}$. The slight neutral capture cross-section asymmetry and the band offset asymmetry, see Fig. 6 and Table 1 including related comments, give an explanation for the poorer performance of Si HJs based on p-wafers. The best $V_{oc}$S of 730 mV were obtained on (111) oriented c-Si, as predicted by i a-Si:H passivation, see Fig. 5. We relate the poor FFs of typically 50% of such cells partially to the lower doping level of this wafer (30 $\Omega$ cm) making the required tunneling transport across the ITO(n)/p $\mu$-c-Si:H/i a-Si:H/n c-Si interface even more challenging [15].

4.5 Atomic a-Si:H/c-Si interfaces From the crystallographic point of view, abrupt interfaces are the key to low interface recombination. As first observed by Wang et al. [16] interface recombination increases when epitaxized interfaces occur. For example, under the same process conditions grown highly H$_2$ diluted i a-Si:H layer leads to best interface passivation on flat (111) oriented c-Si, but to epitaxized (100) oriented c-Si interfaces as observed by high resolution transmission electron microscopy (HR-TEM). Presumably the (111) c-Si surface benefits from an increased monohydride hydrogen passivation [11] while the (100) c-Si surface suffers from an epitaxial interface of low quality and an increased a-Si:H/c-Si interface area [4]. The resulting increase in interface recombination by a factor of 4 when featuring large pyramids, reducing $implV_{oc}$, e.g., from 720 to 675 mV. However, if epitaxial growth is suppressed, $implV_{oc}$ even higher than on flat (100) c-Si were reached despite the increased textured interface area, because of the superior passivation of the (111) oriented pyramid facets over the flat (100) oriented c-Si.

On the Si HJ solar cell level, HR-TEM micrographs confirm the abrupt nature of the interfaces in our best performing flat Si HJ solar cells consisting of c-Si/i a-Si:H/ doped $\mu$-c-Si:H layers, see Fig. 8a. On pyramidally textured c-Si, abrupt facet interfaces but epitaxied texture grooves, see Fig. 8b, related to the growth of only thin i a-Si:H layers followed by the deposition of an overlaying doped $\mu$-c-Si:H layer grown under very high H$_2$ dilution, lead to lowered $V_{oc}$S of 660 mV on n-type doped c-Si. Reducing not only the groove density per projected surface area by using large, regular pyramidally textured c-Si, but blunting additionally the sharp pyramidal grooves, could reduce the amount of epitaxied a-Si:H/c-Si interfaces. Thus, textured Si HJ solar

![Figure 8 HR-TEM micrographs showing (a) abrupt flat crystallographic c-Si/a-Si:H/$\mu$-c-Si:H interfaces of Si HJ solar cells, (b) an epitaxially connected i a-Si:H interface passivation layer in the pyramidal groove of a textured Si HJ solar cell, and (c) a rough a-Si:H/n c-Si interface, also of a textured Si HJ solar cell.](https://www.pss-a.com)
5 Conclusions The growth of intrinsic a-Si:H on c-Si minimizes interface recombination as effectively as SiO$_2$ and SiN$_x$, but with more symmetry as far as the passivation of different doping types and levels is concerned. In this study, the specific properties of Si DBs to possess three charge states are successfully taken into account for modeling recombination measured at various a-Si:H/c-Si interfaces.

The extraction of interface charge density values from modeling charge carrier lifetime measurements of $i$ a-Si:H grown on differently doped c-Si confirms the amphoteric interface nature. Additionally, in contrast to SiO$_2$ and SiN$_x$, a-Si:H passivation of the solar cell industry more relevant (111) oriented c-Si surfaces (pyramidal facets of textured (100) c-Si) is superior over (100) c-Si. The contribution of field-effect passivation can be tuned by an overlaying doped μc-Si:H layer such as used in Si HJ solar cell formation. The representation of lifetime curves in terms of trajectories over three-dimensional surface recombination rate plots facilitates the intuitive interpretation. The identified slight asymmetry in the electron and hole capture cross-sections on neutral defects results together with the band offset asymmetry in the measured lower performance of p- as compared to n-type c-Si based HJ solar cells. The presented amphoteric interface recombination model is not limited to the a-Si:H/c-Si interface but is successfully applied to the SiO$_2$/c-Si interface and could potentially be used to model all Si heterointerface recombination featuring DBs. The importance of the atomically abrupt nature of a-Si:H/c-Si interfaces is quantified by comparison of interface recombination measurements and HR-TEM micrographs. On textured c-Si, although the (111) crystal oriented c-Si pyramid facets feature lowest interface recombination, local epitaxy in sharp pyramidal grooves lead to increased interface recombination that limits the efficiency of textured solar cells. By suppressing epitaxial growth in pyramid grooves, textured solar cell $V_{oc}$ could be improved by the same amount as the one implied by lifetime measurements on $i$ a-Si:H/c-Si test structures featuring epitaxized pyramid grooves, proving once more the usefulness of lifetime measurements on simple test structures for solar cell development.
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