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ABSTRACT
Summary: RAxML-VI-HPC (randomized accelerated maximum likelihood for high performance computing) is a sequential and parallel program for inference of large phylogenies with maximum likelihood (ML). Low-level technical optimizations, a modification of the search algorithm, and the use of the GTR+CAT approximation as replacement for GTR+Γ yield a program that is between 2.7 and 52 times faster than the previous version of RAxML. A large-scale performance comparison with GARLI, PHYML, IQPNNI and MrBayes on real data containing 1000 up to 6722 taxa shows that RAxML requires at least 5.6 times less main memory and yields better trees in similar times than the best competing program (GARLI). RAxML has been parallelized with MPI to conduct parallel multiple bootstraps and inference on distinct starting trees. The program has been used to compute ML trees on two of the largest alignments to date containing 25 057 (1463 bp) and 2182 (51 089 bp) taxa, respectively.

Availability: icwww.epfl.ch/~stamatak
Contact: Alexandros.Stamatakis@epfl.ch
Supplementary information: Supplementary data are available at Bioinformatics online.

1 INTRODUCTION
Phylogenetic inference with the maximum likelihood (ML) method is NP-hard (Chor and Tuller, 2005). Despite the algorithmic complexity and the high-computational cost of ML, significant progress has been achieved with the release of fast and accurate programs such as PHYML (Guindon and Gascuel, 2003), IQPNNI (Minh et al., 2005), MrBayes (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck, 2003), GARLI (Zwickl, 2006) and RAxML (Stamatakis et al., 2005). Most of these programs allow for inference of 1000 taxon trees on a single CPU in <24 h.

This paper describes the new version of RAxML [Randomized accelerated maximum likelihood for high performance computing (RAxML-VI-HPC, v.2.0.1)], which is significantly faster than the previous versions of RAxML due to simple, yet very efficient technical optimizations and a slight alteration of the search algorithm. In addition, RAxML has been parallelized with MPI to enable parallel bootstrapping and multiple inferences on distinct starting trees on PC clusters. Moreover, it implements bifurcating and multifurcating constraint trees and the capability to assign and estimate separate model parameters1 for individual genes of multi-gene alignments (mixed/partitioned models).

The main focus is on the computation of huge trees (>1000 taxa) for real-world data and the comparative performance study with GARLI, IQPNNI, MrBayes and PHYML. Since the efficiency of the novel optimizations in RAxML-VI-HPC increases with the number of taxa, less significant performance improvements will be observed on smaller datasets. Performance comparisons of RAxML with other popular ML programs on smaller datasets, including simulated alignments, can be found in Hordijk and Gascuel (2005), Stamatakis et al. (2005) and Zwickl (2006). Finally, the experimental study also shows that the GTR+CAT approximation [see Stamatakis (2006) for a detailed description] can be efficiently deployed as a replacement for the significantly more compute- and memory intensive GTR+Γ model.

Some of the largest published ML-based analyses to date have been conducted using RAxML (Robertson et al., 2005; Ley et al., 2005, 2006). On-going work includes the computation of a backbone tree for Bacteria with ~9 000 taxa, a phylogeny for Acer with 582 taxa, and the analysis of a mammalian multi-gene alignment comprising 2182 sequences.

2 OPTIMIZATIONS OF RAxML
A detailed description of the optimizations listed below is provided in the on-line supplement. The main improvements cover:

- An efficient mechanism to store and re-store topologies and branch lengths via rearrangement descriptors.
- A consequent re-use of partial likelihood vectors.
- A dynamic adaptation of the rearrangement distance.
- Low-level optimization of the GTR+CAT and GTR+Γ likelihood functions.
- An efficient re-implementation of Maximum Parsimony starting tree computations.

An important and generally applicable insight from those optimizations is that storing and re-storing an unrooted tree topology with 2n−3 branch lengths and 2n−2 nodes can become a major

1CAT and Γ cannot be used simultaneously in the same analysis.
performance bottleneck for trees with >1000 taxa. It is thus important to store alternative topologies as a sequence of topological changes applied to the current topology rather than as complete data object. Only the consequent avoidance of storage operations reveals the actual power of the Lazy Subtree Rearrangement (LSR) mechanism introduced in Stamatakis et al. (2005).

Another issue which becomes important for huge trees is to determine a ‘good’ rearrangement distance, i.e. re-insertion radius for the LSR moves. In RAxML-VI the algorithm initially determines the best rearrangement distance by applying distances of 5, 10, . . . , 25 for one iteration of LSRs, to the starting tree. The minimum rearrangement distance which yields the best likelihood improvement on the starting tree is then selected for the inference. Despite the extra computations which are performed, a ‘good’ rearrangement distance pays off in terms of likelihood units for huge alignments with large evolutionary diameters (e.g. the 6722 and 7769 taxa alignments, see Supplementary Table 2).

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The exact experimental set-up as well as the results are described in detail in the on-line supplement. Table and Figure numbers also refer to the on-line supplement.

Results in Supplementary Table 2 show that RAxML-VI-HPC clearly outperforms RAxML-V in terms of inference times. In addition, due to the usage of a ‘good’ rearrangement setting it also yields significantly better log-likelihood values on the larger and more diverse datasets ≥4000 taxa. Supplementary Figure 3 shows the significant computational advantages of the GTR+CAT over the GTR+Γ implementation in RAxML-VI.

Supplementary Tables 3–6 indicate that RAxML-VI-HPC outperforms other current sequential phylogeny programs, on huge datasets with respect to inference times, memory consumption as well as final log-likelihood values. In addition, the performance advantage with respect to run-times increases with growing alignment size (Supplementary Table 5). Another important result is that the GTR+CAT approximation (Supplementary Table 3) can be used to significantly reduce memory consumption and still yield significantly better GTR+Γ likelihood values (Supplementary Table 4) than competing programs.

GARLI terminated within approximately the same time as RAxML-VI-HPC on the six smaller datasets and yielded the second-best likelihood score in all cases. This is an astonishing achievement for several reasons: GARLI implements a genetic search algorithm and was executed under GTR+Γ. Moreover, it maintains a whole population of trees in memory, including some intelligently selected (Zwickl, 2006) partial likelihood vectors as well as all tree topologies. Thus, it is expected to be slower than the RAxML hill-climbing algorithm. This extraordinary performance is due to the sophisticated implementation of the likelihood function and promising algorithmic ideas (Zwickl, 2006) such that the forthcoming publication about GARLI is surely something to look forward to. Note that, the parallel genetic search algorithm of GARLI performs a distinct and more thorough search, that yields, e.g. better final trees on the 1000 taxon alignment (Zwickl, 2006). However, the focus of the current study is on the strictly sequential versions of all programs.

The performance of the new version of MrBayes is also remarkable. Given that it has to maintain four distinct Markov chains, the relatively low memory consumption in combination with acceptable likelihood values after 60 h under GTR+Γ, the performance is quite impressive. As Bayesian inference conceptually differs from pure ML-based inference, a comparison based on likelihood scores is certainly not fair since it uses MrBayes as an ML heuristic. MrBayes has mainly been included owing to its popularity.

IQPNNI and PHYML both suffer from a relatively inefficient technical implementation. The high memory consumption of IQPNNI and PHYML is due to a different memory organization which uses two likelihood vectors per branch (3n − 6 vectors) instead of one per inner node (n − 2 vectors). Moreover, PHYML uses NNI moves which only exploit a very small fraction of the search space. A solution to this problem has been proposed by Hordijk and Gascuel (2005). However, the respective program is currently only available as proof-of-concept implementation (W. Hordijk and O. Gascuel, personal communication) and cannot be used for large trees owing to numerical problems.

In the final analysis, it can be stated that technical implementation aspects are becoming increasingly important and can yield significant performance improvements. In addition, in all programs there exist excellent algorithmic ideas which in the optimal case could significantly advance the field, when merged into one program.

4 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

The new version VI of RAxML has been presented, which incorporates efficient technical optimizations, parallel OpenMP- and MPI-based implementations, and a mixed model implementation. A thorough experimental study on large real-world datasets shows that RAxML can find better trees with a significantly lower memory consumption within similar or less time than the best competing program.

Future work will mainly cover the development of new methods for rapid bootstrapping. Despite the fact, that RAxML and GARLI allow for inference of huge trees with ML in reasonable times, conducting a full biological analysis still requires at least 100 or 1000 bootstraps which places the computational burden much higher than for the inference of a single ML tree.
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