EMRS 2008: Symposium H: Materials and emerging technologies for non-volatile-memory devices

Charging dynamics of localized 2D layers of Si nanocrystals embedded into SiO<sub>2</sub> by stencil masked ultra low energy ion implantation process

## C. Dumas, J. Grisolia and J. Carrey

- 1 Université de Toulouse; INSA, UPS; LPCNO, 135 avenue de Rangueil, F-31077 Toulouse, France
- 2 CNRS; LPCNO, F-31077 Toulouse, France

## A. Arbouet, V. Paillard, G. BenAssayag, S. Schamm and C. Bonafos,

CEMES-CNRS & Univ. Toulouse, groupe nMat, 29 rue Jeanne Marvig 31055 Toulouse

## M.A.F. van den Boogaart, V. Savu and J. Brugger

Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Laboratoire de Microsystèmes, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

## P. Normand

Institute of Microelectronics, NCSR 'Demokritos', 15310 Aghia Praskevi, Greece

Flash memories based on nanoparticles (NPs) offer an attractive alternative for performance enhancement and size downscaling of non-volatile memory cells. In these devices, Coulomb blockade and quantized charging effects can be exploited at room temperature for a limited number of addressed NPs [1]. A successful exploitation of these nanoscale effects requires not only knowledge of the NPs properties (size, nature, structure and location) but also a sound understanding of the charge trapping phenomena that govern the memory behaviour of the devices. In this study, fabrication of 2D arrays pockets of Si nanocrystals (NCs) is demonstrated by implanting 1keV Si ions into thin SiO<sub>2</sub> layer (7nm) through a stencil mask with 50nm to 2μm apertures. Upon thermal annealing, Photoluminescence spectroscopy (PL) and Energy-Filtered Transmission Electron Microscopy (EFTEM) reveal characteristics of NCs in the implanted SiO<sub>2</sub> pockets. Then, charging dynamics examined by I(V) and I(t) measurements on nano-MOS capacitors in the 80K-300K temperature range clearly show an increasing Coulomb gap with decreasing temperature. Moreover, below a critical temperature (T=150K), N-shapes which are voltage sweep ramp dependent, appear on the I(V) curves. Comparison of the  $C_{DG}$  capacitance extracted from  $Q_{DG}$  (charges of Dots/Gate) calculations on different I(V) ramp measurements and the CDG capacitance evaluated from structural parameters (sizes, tunnel distances...) extracted from EFTEM and PL characterizations provide relevant information regarding the kind and localization of the charge trapping centers. [1] M. Schalchian, J. Grisolia et al. Appl. Phys. Lett. 86, 163111, (2005).