

A Point is Normal for Almost All Maps $\beta x + \alpha \pmod 1$ or Generalized β -Transformations.

B. Faller and C-E. Pfister

EPFL-L, SB IACS, Station 8, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

(e-mail: bastien.faller@a3.epfl.ch)

(e-mail: charles.pfister@epfl.ch)

(Received 18 June 2008)

Abstract. We consider the map $T_{\alpha,\beta}(x) := \beta x + \alpha \pmod 1$, which admits a unique probability measure of maximal entropy $\mu_{\alpha,\beta}$. For $x \in [0, 1]$, we show that the orbit of x is $\mu_{\alpha,\beta}$ -normal for almost all $(\alpha, \beta) \in [0, 1) \times (1, \infty)$ (Lebesgue measure). Nevertheless we construct analytic curves in $[0, 1) \times (1, \infty)$ along them the orbit of $x = 0$ is at most at one point $\mu_{\alpha,\beta}$ -normal. These curves are disjoint and they fill the set $[0, 1) \times (1, \infty)$. We also study the generalized β -transformations (in particular the tent map). We show that the critical orbit $x = 1$ is normal with respect to the measure of maximal entropy for almost all β .

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider a dynamical system (X, d, T) where (X, d) is a compact metric space endowed with its Borel σ -algebra \mathcal{B} and $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a measurable map. Let $C(X)$ denote the set of all continuous functions from X into \mathbf{R} . The set $M(X)$ of all Borel probability measures is equipped with the weak*-topology. $M(X, T) \subset M(X)$ is the subset of all T -invariant probability measures. For $\mu \in M(X, T)$, let $h(\mu)$ denote the measure-theoretic entropy of μ . For all $x \in X$ and $n \geq 1$, the empirical measure of order n at x is

$$\mathcal{E}_n(x) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \delta_x \circ T^{-i} \in M(X), \quad (1)$$

where δ_x is the Dirac mass at x . Let $V_T(x) \subset M(X, T)$ denote the set of all cluster points of $\{\mathcal{E}_n(x)\}_{n \geq 1}$ in the weak*-topology.

DEFINITION 1. Let $\mu \in M(X, T)$ be an ergodic measure and $x \in X$. The orbit of x under T is μ -**normal**, if $V_T(x) = \{\mu\}$, ie for all continuous $f \in C(X)$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^i x) = \int f d\mu.$$

By the Birkhoff Ergodic Theorem, μ -almost all points are μ -normal, however it is difficult to identify a μ -normal point. This paper is devoted to the study of the normality of orbits for piecewise monotone continuous maps of the interval. We consider a family $\{T_\kappa\}_{\kappa \in K}$ of piecewise monotone continuous maps parameterized by a parameter $\kappa \in K$, such that for all $\kappa \in K$ there is a unique measure of maximal entropy μ_κ . In our case K is a subset of \mathbf{R} or \mathbf{R}^2 . For a given $x \in X$, we estimate the Lebesgue measure of the subset of K such that the orbit of x under T_κ is μ_κ -normal.

For example, let $T_{\alpha, \beta} : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be the piecewise monotone continuous map defined by $T_{\alpha, \beta}(x) = \beta x + \alpha \pmod{1}$; here $\kappa = (\alpha, \beta) \in [0, 1] \times (1, \infty)$. In [13], Parry constructed a $T_{\alpha, \beta}$ -invariant probability measure $\mu_{\alpha, \beta}$ absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure, which is the unique measure of maximal entropy. The main result of section 3 is Theorem 3, which shows that for all $x \in [0, 1]$ the set

$$\mathcal{N}(x) := \{(\alpha, \beta) \in [0, 1] \times (1, \infty) : \text{the orbit of } x \text{ under } T_{\alpha, \beta} \text{ is } \mu_{\alpha, \beta}\text{-normal}\}$$

has full 2-dimensional Lebesgue measure. This is a generalization of a theorem of Schmeling in [17], where the case $\alpha = 0$ and $x = 1$ is studied. For the β -transformations, the orbit of 1 plays a particular role, so the restriction to $x = 1$ considered by Schmeling is natural. Similarly for $T_{\alpha, \beta}$, the orbits of 0 and 1 are very important. In Theorem 4, we show that there exist curves in the plane (α, β) defined by $\alpha = \alpha(\beta)$ along which the orbits of 0 or 1 are never $\mu_{\alpha, \beta}$ -normal. The curve $\alpha = 0$ is a trivial example of such a curve for the fixed point $x = 0$. In section 4, we study the generalized β -transformations introduced by Góra [7]. A generalized β -transformation is similar to a β -transformation, but each lap is replaced by an increasing or decreasing lap of constant slope β according to a sequence of signs. For a given class of generalized β -transformations, there exists β_0 such that for all $\beta > \beta_0$, there is a unique measure of maximal entropy μ_β and the set

$$\{\beta > \beta_0 : \text{the orbit of } 1 \text{ under } T_\beta \text{ is } \mu_\beta\text{-normal}\}$$

has full Lebesgue measure, denoted below by λ . Since the tent maps are generalized β -transformations, we obtain an alternative proof of results of Bruin in [3].

2. Preliminaries

Let us define properly the coding for a piecewise monotone continuous map of the interval. The classical papers are [15], [13] and [10]. We consider the piecewise monotone continuous maps of the following type. Let $k \geq 2$ and $0 = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_k = 1$. We set $\mathbf{A} := \{0, \dots, k-1\}$, $I_0 = [a_0, a_1]$, $I_j = (a_j, a_{j+1})$

for all $j \in 1, \dots, k-2$, $I_{k-1} = (a_{k-1}, a_k]$ and $S_0 = \{a_j : j \in 1, \dots, k-1\}$. For all $j \in \mathbf{A}$, let $f_j : I_j \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a strictly monotone continuous map. A piecewise monotone continuous map $T : [0, 1] \setminus S_0 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is defined by

$$T(x) = f_j(x) \quad \text{if } x \in I_j.$$

We will state later in each specific case how to define T on S_0 . We set $X_0 = [0, 1]$ and for all $n \geq 1$

$$X_n = X_{n-1} \setminus S_{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad S_n = \{x \in X_n : T^n(x) \in S_0\}, \quad (2)$$

so that T^n is well defined on X_n . Finally we define $S = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} S_n$ such that $T^n(x)$ is well defined for all $x \in [0, 1] \setminus S$ and all $n \geq 0$.

Let \mathbf{A} be endowed with the discrete topology and $\Sigma_k = \mathbf{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^+}$ be the product space. The elements of Σ_k are denoted by $\underline{x} = x_0 x_1 \dots$. A finite string $\underline{w} = w_0 \dots w_{n-1}$ with $w_j \in \mathbf{A}$ is a **word**. The **length** of \underline{w} is $|\underline{w}| = n$. There is a single word of length 0, the **empty word** ε . The set of all words is \mathbf{A}^* . For two words $\underline{w}, \underline{z}$, we write $\underline{w} \underline{z}$ for the concatenation of the two words. For $\underline{x} \in \Sigma_k$, let $\underline{x}_{[i,j]} = x_i \dots x_{j-1}$ denote the word formed by the coordinates i to $j-1$ of \underline{x} . For a word $\underline{w} \in \mathbf{A}^*$ of length n , the **cylinder** $[\underline{w}]$ is the set

$$[\underline{w}] := \{\underline{x} \in \Sigma_k : \underline{x}_{[0,n]} = \underline{w}\}.$$

The family $\{[\underline{w}] : \underline{w} \in \mathbf{A}^*\}$ is a base for the topology and a semi-algebra generating the Borel σ -algebra. For all $\beta > 1$, there exists a metric d_β compatible with the topology defined by

$$d_\beta(\underline{x}, \underline{x}') := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \underline{x} = \underline{x}' \\ \beta^{-\min\{n \geq 0 : \underline{x}_n \neq \underline{x}'_n\}} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The left shift map $\sigma : \Sigma_k \rightarrow \Sigma_k$ is defined by

$$\sigma(\underline{x}) = x_1 x_2 \dots$$

It is a continuous map. We define a total order on Σ_k denoted by \prec . We set

$$\delta(j) = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } f_j \text{ is increasing} \\ -1 & \text{if } f_j \text{ is decreasing} \end{cases}$$

and for word \underline{w}

$$\delta(\underline{w}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \underline{w} = \varepsilon \\ \delta(w_0) \cdots \delta(w_{n-1}) & \text{if } \underline{w} \text{ has length } n. \end{cases}$$

Let $\underline{x} \neq \underline{x}' \in \Sigma_k$ and define $n = \min\{j \geq 0 : x_j \neq x'_j\}$, then

$$\underline{x} \prec \underline{x}' \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} x_n < x'_n & \text{if } \delta(\underline{x}_{[0,n]}) = +1 \\ x_n > x'_n & \text{if } \delta(\underline{x}_{[0,n]}) = -1. \end{cases}$$

When all maps f_j are increasing, this is the lexicographic order.

We define the coding map $\mathbf{i} : [0, 1] \setminus S \rightarrow \Sigma_k$ by

$$\mathbf{i}(x) := \mathbf{i}_0(x)\mathbf{i}_1(x)\dots \quad \text{with } \mathbf{i}_n(x) = j \Leftrightarrow T^n(x) \in I_j.$$

The coding map \mathbf{i} is left undefined on S . Henceforth we suppose that T is such that \mathbf{i} is injective. A sufficient condition for the injectivity of the coding is the existence of $c > 1$ such that $|f'_j(x)| \geq c$ for all $x \in I_j$ and all $j \in \mathbf{A}$, see [13]. This condition is satisfied in all cases considered in the paper. The coding map is order preserving, ie for all $x, x' \in [0, 1] \setminus S$

$$x < x' \Rightarrow \mathbf{i}(x) < \mathbf{i}(x').$$

Define $\Sigma_T := \overline{\mathbf{i}([0, 1] \setminus S)}$. We introduce now the φ -expansion as defined by Parry. For all $j \in \mathbf{A}$, let $\varphi^j : [j, j+1] \rightarrow [a_j, a_{j+1}]$ be the unique monotone extension of $f_j^{-1} : (c, d) \rightarrow (a_j, a_{j+1})$ where $(c, d) := f_j((a_j, a_{j+1}))$. The map $\varphi : \Sigma_k \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is defined by

$$\varphi(\underline{x}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^{x_0} \left(x_0 + \varphi^{x_1} (x_1 + \dots + \varphi^{x_n} (x_n)) \right).$$

Parry proved that this limit exists if \mathbf{i} is injective. The map φ is order preserving. Moreover $\varphi|_{\mathbf{i}([0, 1] \setminus S)} = \mathbf{i}^{-1}$ and for all $n \geq 0$ and all $x \in [0, 1] \setminus S$

$$T^n(x) = \varphi \circ \sigma^n \circ \mathbf{i}(x). \quad (3)$$

If the coding map is injective, one can show that the map φ is continuous (see Theorem 2.3 in [6]). Using the continuity and the monotonicity of φ , we have $\varphi(\Sigma_T) = [0, 1]$. Remark that there is in general no extension of \mathbf{i} on $[0, 1]$ such that equation (3) is valid on $[0, 1]$. For all $j \in \mathbf{A}$, define

$$\underline{u}^j := \lim_{x \downarrow a_j} \mathbf{i}(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{v}^j := \lim_{x \uparrow a_{j+1}} \mathbf{i}(x) \quad \text{with } x \in [0, 1] \setminus S.$$

The strings \underline{u}^j and \underline{v}^j are called critical orbits and (see for instance [10])

$$\Sigma_T = \{ \underline{x} \in \Sigma_k : \underline{u}^{x_n} \preceq \sigma^n \underline{x} \preceq \underline{v}^{x_n} \forall n \geq 0 \}. \quad (4)$$

Moreover the critical orbits $\underline{u}^j, \underline{v}^j$ satisfy for all $j \in \mathbf{A}$

$$\begin{cases} \underline{u}^{u_n^j} \preceq \sigma^n \underline{u}^j \preceq \underline{v}^{u_n^j} \\ \underline{u}^{v_n^j} \preceq \sigma^n \underline{v}^j \preceq \underline{v}^{v_n^j} \end{cases} \quad \forall n \geq 0. \quad (5)$$

Let us recall the construction of the Hausdorff dimension. Let (X, d) be a metric space and $E \subset X$. Let $\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon(E)$ be the set of all finite or countable cover of E with sets of diameter smaller than ε . For all $s \geq 0$, define

$$H_\varepsilon(E, s) := \inf \left\{ \sum_{B \in \mathcal{C}} (\text{diam } B)^s : \mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon(E) \right\}$$

and the s -Hausdorff measure of E , $H(E, s) := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} H_\varepsilon(E, s)$. The Hausdorff dimension of E is

$$\dim_H E := \inf \{ s \geq 0 : H(E, s) = 0 \}.$$

In [1], Bowen introduced a definition of the topological entropy of non compact set for a continuous dynamical system on a metric space. We recall this definition. Let (X, d) be a metric space, $T : X \rightarrow X$ a continuous map. For $n \geq 1$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x \in X$, let

$$B_n(x, \varepsilon) = \{y \in X : d(T^j(x), T^j(y)) < \varepsilon \forall j = 0, \dots, n-1\}.$$

For $E \subset X$, such that $T(E) \subset E$, let $\mathcal{G}_n(E, \varepsilon)$ be the set of all finite or countable covers of E with Bowen's balls $B_m(x, \varepsilon)$ for $m \geq n$. For all $s \geq 0$, define

$$C_n(E, \varepsilon, s) := \inf \left\{ \sum_{B_m(x, \varepsilon) \in \mathcal{C}} e^{-ms} : \mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{G}_n(E, \varepsilon) \right\}$$

and $C(E, \varepsilon, s) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_n(E, \varepsilon, s)$. Now, let

$$h_{\text{top}}(E, \varepsilon) := \inf \{s \geq 0 : C(E, \varepsilon, s) = 0\}$$

and finally $h_{\text{top}}(E) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} h_{\text{top}}(E, \varepsilon)$ (this last limit increase to $h_{\text{top}}(E)$). There is an evident similarity of this definition with the Hausdorff dimension. This similarity is the key of the next lemma.

LEMMA 1. For $\beta > 1$, consider the dynamical system $(\Sigma_k, d_\beta, \sigma)$. Let $E \subset \Sigma_k$ be such that $\sigma(E) \subset E$, then

$$\dim_H E \leq \frac{h_{\text{top}}(E)}{\log \beta}.$$

Proof: Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, $s \geq 0$, $n \geq 0$ and $\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{G}_n(E, \varepsilon)$. Since $\text{diam } B_m(x, \varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon \beta^{-m+1} \leq \varepsilon \beta^{-n+1}$ for all $B_m(x, \varepsilon) \in \mathcal{C}$, \mathcal{C} is a cover of E with sets of diameter smaller than $\varepsilon \beta^{-n+1}$. Moreover

$$\sum_{B_m(x, \varepsilon) \in \mathcal{C}} \text{diam}(B_m(x, \varepsilon))^{\frac{s}{\log \beta}} \leq (\varepsilon \beta)^{\frac{s}{\log \beta}} \sum_{B_m(x, \varepsilon) \in \mathcal{C}} e^{-ms}.$$

Thus $H_\delta(E, \frac{s}{\log \beta}) \leq (\varepsilon \beta)^{\frac{s}{\log \beta}} C_n(E, \varepsilon, s)$ with $\delta = \varepsilon \beta^{-n+1}$. Taking the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$H(E, \frac{s}{\log \beta}) \leq (\varepsilon \beta)^{\frac{s}{\log \beta}} C(E, \varepsilon, s).$$

If $s > h_{\text{top}}(E, \varepsilon)$, then $H(E, \frac{s}{\log \beta}) = 0$ and $\frac{s}{\log \beta} \geq \dim_H E$. This is true for all $s > h_{\text{top}}(E, \varepsilon)$, thus

$$\dim_H E \leq \frac{h_{\text{top}}(E, \varepsilon)}{\log \beta} \leq \frac{h_{\text{top}}(E)}{\log \beta}. \quad \square$$

The next lemma is a classical result about the Hausdorff dimension, it is Proposition 2.3 in [4].

LEMMA 2. Let $(X, d), (X', d')$ be two metric spaces and $\rho : X \rightarrow X'$ be an α -Hölder continuous map with $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. Let $E \in X$, then

$$\dim_H \rho(E) \leq \frac{\dim_H E}{\alpha}.$$

Finally we report Theorem 4.1 from [14]. This theorem is used to estimate the topological entropy of sets we are interested in.

THEOREM 1. *Let (X, d, T) be a continuous dynamical system and $F \subset M(X, T)$ be a closed subset. Define*

$$G := \{x \in X : V_T(x) \cap F \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Then

$$h_{\text{top}}(G) \leq \sup_{\nu \in F} h(\nu).$$

3. Normality for the maps $\beta x + \alpha \pmod 1$

In this section, we study the piecewise monotone continuous maps $T_{\alpha, \beta}$ defined by $T_{\alpha, \beta}(x) = \beta x + \alpha \pmod 1$ with $\beta > 1$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1)$. These maps were studied by Parry in [13] as a generalization of the β -transformations. In his paper Parry constructed a $T_{\alpha, \beta}$ -invariant probability measure $\mu_{\alpha, \beta}$, which is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure. Its density is

$$h_{\alpha, \beta}(x) := \frac{d\mu_{\alpha, \beta}}{d\lambda}(x) = \frac{1}{N_{\alpha, \beta}} \frac{\sum_{n \geq 0} 1_{x < T_{\alpha, \beta}^n(1)} - \sum_{n \geq 0} 1_{x < T_{\alpha, \beta}^n(0)}}{\beta^{n+1}}, \quad (6)$$

with $N_{\alpha, \beta}$ the normalization factor. In [8], Halpin proved that $h_{\alpha, \beta}(x)$ is nonnegative for all $x \in [0, 1]$. Let $\mathbf{i}^{\alpha, \beta}$ denote the coding map under $T_{\alpha, \beta}$, $\varphi^{\alpha, \beta}$ the corresponding φ -expansion, $\Sigma_{\alpha, \beta} := \Sigma_{T_{\alpha, \beta}} \subset \Sigma_k$ with $k := \lceil \alpha + \beta \rceil$, $\underline{u}^{\alpha, \beta} := \lim_{x \downarrow 0} \mathbf{i}^{\alpha, \beta}(x)$ and $\underline{v}^{\alpha, \beta} := \lim_{x \uparrow 1} \mathbf{i}^{\alpha, \beta}(x)$. We specify how $T_{\alpha, \beta}$ is defined at the discontinuity points. We choose to define $T_{\alpha, \beta}$ by right-continuity at $a_j \in S_0$. Doing this we can also extend the definition of the coding map $\mathbf{i}^{\alpha, \beta}$ using the disjoint intervals $[a_j, a_{j+1})$ for $j \in \mathbf{A}$, so that $\mathbf{i}^{\alpha, \beta}$ is now defined for all $x \in [0, 1)$ †. We can show that $\underline{u}^{\alpha, \beta} = \mathbf{i}^{\alpha, \beta}(0)$ and

$$\mathbf{i}([0, 1)) = \{\underline{x} \in \Sigma_k : \underline{u}^{\alpha, \beta} \preceq \sigma^n \underline{x} \prec \underline{v}^{\alpha, \beta} \quad \forall n \geq 0\}$$

and equation (3) is true for all $x \in [0, 1)$. It is easy to check that formula (4) becomes

$$\Sigma_{\alpha, \beta} = \{\underline{x} \in \Sigma_k : \underline{u}^{\alpha, \beta} \preceq \sigma^n \underline{x} \preceq \underline{v}^{\alpha, \beta} \quad \forall n \geq 0\} \quad (7)$$

and inequalities (5) become

$$\begin{cases} \underline{u}^{\alpha, \beta} \preceq \sigma^n \underline{u}^{\alpha, \beta} \preceq \underline{v}^{\alpha, \beta} \\ \underline{u}^{\alpha, \beta} \preceq \sigma^n \underline{v}^{\alpha, \beta} \preceq \underline{v}^{\alpha, \beta} \end{cases} \quad \forall n \geq 0. \quad (8)$$

It is known that the dynamical system $(\Sigma_{\alpha, \beta}, \sigma)$ has topological entropy $\log \beta$. Moreover, Hofbauer showed in [11] that it has a unique measure of maximal entropy $\hat{\mu}_{\alpha, \beta}$, $\mu_{\alpha, \beta} = \hat{\mu}_{\alpha, \beta} \circ (\varphi^{\alpha, \beta})^{-1}$ and $\mu_{\alpha, \beta}$ is the unique measure of maximal entropy for $T_{\alpha, \beta}$. In view of (7) and (8), for a couple $(\underline{u}, \underline{v}) \in \Sigma_k^2$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \underline{u} \preceq \sigma^n \underline{u} \preceq \underline{v} \\ \underline{u} \preceq \sigma^n \underline{v} \preceq \underline{v} \end{cases} \quad \forall n \geq 0, \quad (9)$$

† This convention differs from that made in the previous section; however it is the most convenient choice when all f_j are increasing.

we define the shift space

$$\Sigma_{\underline{u}, \underline{v}} := \{\underline{x} \in \Sigma_k : \underline{u} \preceq \sigma^n \underline{x} \preceq \underline{v} \quad \forall n \geq 0\}. \quad (10)$$

We give now a lemma and a proposition which are the keys of the main theorem of this section. In the lemma, we show that for given x and α , there is exponential separation between the orbits of x under the two different dynamical systems T_{α, β_1} and T_{α, β_2} . The proposition asserts that the topological entropy of $\Sigma_{\underline{u}, \underline{v}}$ is upper semi-continuous with respect to the critical orbits \underline{u} and \underline{v} .

LEMMA 3. *Let $x \in [0, 1)$, $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ and $1 < \beta_1 \leq \beta_2$. Define $l = \min\{n \geq 0 : \mathbf{i}_n^1(x) \neq \mathbf{i}_n^2(x)\}$ with $\mathbf{i}^j(x) = \mathbf{i}^{\alpha, \beta_j}$ for $j = 1, 2$. If $x \neq 0$, then*

$$\beta_2 - \beta_1 \leq \frac{\beta_2}{x} \beta_2^{-l}.$$

If $x = 0$ and $\alpha \neq 0$, then

$$\beta_2 - \beta_1 \leq \frac{\beta_2^2}{\alpha} \beta_2^{-l}.$$

Proof: Let $\delta := \beta_2 - \beta_1 \geq 0$. We prove by induction that for all $m \geq 1$, $\mathbf{i}_{[0, m]}^1(x) = \mathbf{i}_{[0, m]}^2(x)$ implies

$$T_2^m(x) - T_1^m(x) \geq \beta_2^{m-1} \delta x,$$

where $T_i = T_{\alpha, \beta_i}$. For $m = 1$,

$$T_2(x) - T_1(x) = \beta_2 x + \alpha - \mathbf{i}_0^2(x) - (\beta_1 x + \alpha - \mathbf{i}_0^1(x)) = \delta x.$$

Suppose that this is true for m , then $\mathbf{i}_{[0, m+1]}^1 = \mathbf{i}_{[0, m+1]}^2$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} T_2^{m+1}(x) - T_1^{m+1}(x) &= \beta_2 T_2^m(x) + \alpha - \mathbf{i}_m^2(x) - (\beta_1 T_1^m(x) + \alpha - \mathbf{i}_m^1(x)) \\ &= \beta_2 (T_2^m(x) - T_1^m(x)) + \delta T_1^m(x) \geq \beta_2^m \delta x. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, $1 \geq T_2^m(x) - T_1^m(x) \geq \beta_2^{m-1} \delta x$. Thus $\delta \leq \frac{\beta_2^{-m+1}}{x}$ for all m such that $\mathbf{i}_{[0, m]}^1 = \mathbf{i}_{[0, m]}^2$. If $x = 0$, then $T_1(x) = T_2(x) = \alpha$ and we can apply the first statement to $y = \alpha > 0$. \square

PROPOSITION 1. *Let the pair $(\underline{u}, \underline{v}) \in \Sigma_k^2$ satisfy (9). For all $\delta > 0$, there exists $L(\delta, \underline{u}, \underline{v})$ such that for all $L \geq L(\delta, \underline{u}, \underline{v})$, the following claim is true: let the pair $(\underline{u}', \underline{v}') \in \Sigma_k^2$ satisfy (9); suppose further that $\underline{u}, \underline{u}'$ have a common prefix of length L and $\underline{v}, \underline{v}'$ have a common prefix of length L , then*

$$h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma_{\underline{u}', \underline{v}'}) \leq h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma_{\underline{u}, \underline{v}}) + \delta.$$

To prove Proposition 1 one associates to the subshift $\Sigma_{\underline{u}, \underline{v}}$ a graph $\mathcal{G}(\underline{u}, \underline{v})$, called the Markov diagram [11]. One then proves an equivalent proposition to Proposition 1 for these graphs, see section 5.

We now state our first theorem and his corollary about the normality of orbits under $T_{\alpha, \beta}$. The proof of the theorem is inspired by the proof of Theorem C in [17], where the case $x = 1$ and $\alpha = 0$ is considered.

THEOREM 2. Let $x \in [0, 1)$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ except for $(x, \alpha) = (0, 0)$. Then the set

$$\{\beta > 1 : \text{the orbit of } \mathbf{i}^{\alpha, \beta}(x) \text{ under } \sigma \text{ is } \hat{\mu}_{\alpha, \beta}\text{-normal}\}$$

has full λ -measure.

COROLLARY 1. Let $x \in [0, 1)$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ except for $(x, \alpha) = (0, 0)$. Then the set

$$\{\beta > 1 : \text{the orbit of } x \text{ under } T_{\alpha, \beta} \text{ is } \mu_{\alpha, \beta}\text{-normal}\}$$

has full λ -measure.

Remark that the theorem and its corollary may also be formulated for $x \in (0, 1]$ using a left-continuous extension of $T_{\alpha, \beta}$ on $(0, 1]$ and a coding $\mathbf{i}^{\alpha, \beta}$ defined using intervals $(a_j, a_{j+1}]$ for all $j \in \mathbb{A}$.

Proof of the theorem: We briefly sketch the proof. It is sufficient to consider a finite interval $[\beta, \bar{\beta}]$. We use the uniqueness of the measure of maximal entropy $\hat{\mu}_{\alpha, \beta}$: for $\underline{x} \in \Sigma_{\alpha, \beta}$ not $\hat{\mu}_{\alpha, \beta}$ -normal, there exists $\nu \in V_\sigma(\underline{x})$ such that $h(\nu) < h(\hat{\mu}_{\alpha, \beta}) = \log \beta$. Therefore we cover the set of abnormal β in $[\beta, \bar{\beta}]$ by sets Ω_N , $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\Omega_N := \{\beta \in [\beta, \bar{\beta}] : \{\mathcal{E}_n(\mathbf{i}^{\alpha, \beta}(x))\}_n \text{ clusters on } \nu \text{ with } h(\nu) < (1 - 1/N) \log \beta\}.$$

We consider each Ω_N separately and cover them by appropriate intervals, which we generically denote by $[\beta_1, \beta_2]$. The main idea is to imbed $\{\mathbf{i}^{\alpha, \beta}(x) : \beta \in [\beta_1, \beta_2]\}$ in a shift space $\Sigma^* := \Sigma_{\underline{u}^*, \underline{v}^*}$ with \underline{u}^* and \underline{v}^* well chosen. Writing $D^* \subset \Sigma^*$ for the range of the imbedding, we estimate the Hausdorff dimension of the subset of D^* corresponding to points $\mathbf{i}^{\alpha, \beta}(x)$ which are not $\hat{\mu}_{\alpha, \beta}$ -normal. Then we estimate the coefficient of Hölder continuity of the map ρ_* defined as the inverse of the imbedding. This gives us an estimate of the Hausdorff dimension of the non $\hat{\mu}_{\alpha, \beta}$ -normal points in the interval $[\beta_1, \beta_2]$.

To obtain uniform estimates, we restrict our proof to the interval $[\beta, \bar{\beta}]$ with $1 < \beta < \bar{\beta} < \infty$. All shift spaces below are subshifts of Σ_k with $k = \lceil \alpha + \bar{\beta} \rceil$. Let $\Omega := \{\beta \in [\beta, \bar{\beta}] : \mathbf{i}^{\alpha, \beta}(x) \text{ is not } \hat{\mu}_{\alpha, \beta}\text{-normal}\}$. For $\beta \in \Omega$, we have $V_\sigma(\mathbf{i}^{\alpha, \beta}(x)) \neq \{\hat{\mu}_{\alpha, \beta}\}$. Since $\hat{\mu}_{\alpha, \beta}$ is the unique $T_{\alpha, \beta}$ -invariant measure of maximal entropy $\log \beta$, there exist $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\nu \in V_\sigma(\mathbf{i}^{\alpha, \beta}(x))$ such that $h(\nu) < (1 - 1/N) \log \beta$. Setting

$$\Omega_N := \{\beta \in [\beta, \bar{\beta}] : \exists \nu \in V_\sigma(\mathbf{i}^{\alpha, \beta}(x)) \text{ s.t. } h(\nu) < (1 - 1/N) \log \beta\},$$

we have $\Omega = \bigcup_{N \geq 1} \Omega_N$. We will prove that $\dim_H \Omega_N < 1$, so that $\lambda(\Omega_N) = 0$ for all $N \geq 1$.

For $N \in \mathbb{N}$ fixed, define $\varepsilon := \frac{\beta \log \beta}{2N-1} > 0$ and $\delta := \log(1 + \varepsilon/\bar{\beta})$. Let $\beta \in [\beta, \bar{\beta}]$ and define $L_\beta = L(\delta/2, \underline{u}^{\alpha, \beta}, \underline{v}^{\alpha, \beta})$ as in Proposition 1. Choose q_β in \mathbb{Q} such that $\log \beta - \delta/2 \leq \log q_\beta \leq \log \beta$. Let

$$J(\beta, L_\beta, q_\beta) := \{\beta' \in [q_\beta, \bar{\beta}] : \underline{u}_{[0, L_\beta]}^{\alpha, \beta'} = \underline{u}_{[0, L_\beta]}^{\alpha, \beta}, \underline{v}_{[0, L_\beta]}^{\alpha, \beta'} = \underline{v}_{[0, L_\beta]}^{\alpha, \beta}\}.$$

This set is an interval; if $\beta' \in J(\beta, L_\beta, q_\beta)$, $\beta' < \beta'' \in J(\beta, L_\beta, q_\beta)$ then $[\beta', \beta''] \subset J(\beta, L_\beta, q_\beta)$ since the maps $\beta' \mapsto \underline{u}^{\alpha, \beta'}$ and $\beta' \mapsto \underline{v}^{\alpha, \beta'}$ are both monotone increasing. Moreover $\beta \in J(\beta, L_\beta, q_\beta)$. Notice also that the family

$\{J(\beta, L_\beta, q_\beta) : \beta \in [\underline{\beta}, \overline{\beta}]\}$ is countable. Indeed the interval $J(\beta, L_\beta, q_\beta)$ is entirely characterized by $\underline{u}_{[0, L_\beta]}^{\alpha, \beta}$, $\underline{v}_{[0, L_\beta]}^{\alpha, \beta}$ and q_β . But there are only countably many triples in $\mathbf{A}^* \times \mathbf{A}^* \times \mathbf{Q}$. Thus $\{J(\beta, L_\beta, q_\beta) : \beta \in [\underline{\beta}, \overline{\beta}]\}$ is a countable cover of $[\underline{\beta}, \overline{\beta}]$. To prove that $\lambda(\Omega_N) = 0$, it is sufficient to prove that $\lambda(\Omega_N \cap J(\beta, L_\beta, q_\beta)) = 0$ for all $\beta \in [\underline{\beta}, \overline{\beta}]$. The interval $J(\beta, L_\beta, q_\beta)$ may be open, closed or neither open nor closed. We need to work on a closed interval, thus we prove an equivalent result: for any closed interval $[\beta_1, \beta_2] \subset J(\beta, L_\beta, q_\beta)$, we have $\lambda(\Omega_N \cap [\beta_1, \beta_2]) = 0$.

Let $\underline{u}^j = \underline{u}^{\alpha, \beta_j}$ and $\underline{v}^j = \underline{v}^{\alpha, \beta_j}$. Using (8) and the monotonicity of $\beta \mapsto \underline{u}^{\alpha, \beta}$ and $\beta \mapsto \underline{v}^{\alpha, \beta}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{u}^1 &\preceq \sigma^n \underline{u}^1 \preceq \underline{v}^1 \preceq \underline{v}^2 \\ \underline{u}^1 &\preceq \underline{u}^2 \preceq \sigma^n \underline{v}^2 \preceq \underline{v}^2 \quad \forall n \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the couple $(\underline{u}^1, \underline{v}^2)$ satisfies (9) and we set $\Sigma^* := \Sigma_{\underline{u}^1, \underline{v}^2}$ and

$$D^* := \{\underline{z} \in \Sigma^* : \exists \beta \in [\beta_1, \beta_2] \text{ s.t. } \underline{z} = \mathbf{i}^{\alpha, \beta}(x)\}.$$

We define an map $\rho_* : D^* \rightarrow [\beta_1, \beta_2]$ by $\rho_*(\underline{z}) = \beta \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{i}^{\alpha, \beta}(x) = \underline{z}$. This map is well defined: by definition of D^* , for all $\underline{z} \in D^*$ there exists a β such that $\underline{z} = \mathbf{i}^{\alpha, \beta}(x)$; moreover this β is unique, since by Lemma 3, $\beta \mapsto \mathbf{i}^{\alpha, \beta}(x)$ is strictly increasing. On the other hand, for all $\beta \in [\beta_1, \beta_2]$, we have from (7)

$$\underline{u}^1 \preceq \underline{u}^{\alpha, \beta} \preceq \sigma^n \mathbf{i}^{\alpha, \beta}(x) \preceq \underline{v}^{\alpha, \beta} \preceq \underline{v}^2 \quad \forall n \geq 0,$$

whence $\mathbf{i}^{\alpha, \beta}(x) \in \Sigma^*$ and $\rho_* : D^* \rightarrow [\beta_1, \beta_2]$ is surjective. Let $\log \beta_* := h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma^*)$; then by Proposition 1

$$\log \beta_* = h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma^*) \leq h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma_{\alpha, \beta}) + \delta/2 = \log \beta + \delta/2.$$

By definition of q_β , we have $\log \beta - \delta/2 \leq \log q_\beta \leq \log \beta_1$, thus $\log \beta_* \leq \log \beta_1 + \delta$ and

$$\beta_* - \beta_1 \leq \beta_1(e^\delta - 1) \leq \varepsilon. \quad (11)$$

Let us compute the coefficient of Hölder continuity of $\rho_* : (D^*, d_{\beta_*}) \rightarrow [\beta_1, \beta_2]$. Let $\underline{z} \neq \underline{z}' \in D^*$ and $n = \min\{l \geq 0 : z_l \neq z'_l\}$, then $d_{\beta_*}(\underline{z}, \underline{z}') = \beta_*^{-n}$. By Lemma 3, there exists C such that

$$|\rho_*(\underline{z}) - \rho_*(\underline{z}')| \leq C \rho_*(\underline{z})^{-n} \leq C \beta_1^{-n} = C(d_{\beta_*}(\underline{z}, \underline{z}'))^{\frac{\log \beta_1}{\log \beta_*}},$$

where

$$C = \max \left\{ \frac{\overline{\beta}}{x}, \frac{\overline{\beta}^2}{\alpha} \right\}.$$

By equation (11) and the choice of ε , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_* - \beta_1 \leq \frac{\beta \log \beta}{2N - 1} &\Rightarrow \beta_* - \beta_1 \leq \frac{\beta_1 \log \beta_1}{2N - 1} \\ &\Leftrightarrow 1 + \frac{\beta_* - \beta_1}{\beta_1 \log \beta_1} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{2N - 1} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \frac{\log \beta_1 + \frac{\beta_* - \beta_1}{\beta_1}}{\log \beta_1} \leq \frac{2N}{2N - 1} \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{\log \beta_1}{\log \beta_*} \geq \frac{\log \beta_1}{\log \beta_1 + \frac{\beta_* - \beta_1}{\beta_1}} \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2N}. \end{aligned}$$

In the last line, we use the concavity of the logarithm, so the first order Taylor development is an upper estimate. Thus ρ_* has Hölder-exponent $1 - \frac{1}{2N}$.

Define

$$G_N^* := \{z \in \Sigma^* : \exists \nu \in V_\sigma(z) \text{ s.t. } h(\nu) < (1 - 1/N) \log \beta_*\}.$$

Let $\beta \in \Omega_N \cap [\beta_1, \beta_2]$. Then there exists $\nu \in V_\sigma(i^{\alpha, \beta}(x))$ such that

$$h(\nu) < (1 - 1/N) \log \beta \leq (1 - 1/N) \log \beta_*.$$

Since $i^{\alpha, \beta}(x) \in D^* \subset \Sigma^*$, we have $i^{\alpha, \beta}(x) \in G_N^*$. Using the surjectivity of ρ_* , we obtain $\Omega_N \cap [\beta_1, \beta_2] \subset \rho_*(G_N^* \cap D^*)$. We claim that $h_{\text{top}}(G_N^*) \leq (1 - 1/N) \log \beta_*$. This implies, using Lemmas 2 and 1,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_H(\Omega_N \cap [\beta_1, \beta_2]) &\leq \dim_H \rho_*(G_N^* \cap D^*) \\ &\leq \frac{\dim_H G_N^*}{1 - \frac{1}{2N}} \leq \frac{h_{\text{top}}(G_N^*)}{(1 - \frac{1}{2N}) \log \beta_*} \leq \frac{1 - \frac{1}{N}}{1 - \frac{1}{2N}} < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\lambda(\Omega_N \cap [\beta_1, \beta_2]) = 0$.

It remains to prove $h_{\text{top}}(G_N^*) \leq (1 - 1/N) \log \beta_*$. Recall that $h(\nu) = \lim_n \frac{1}{n} H_n(\nu)$, where $H_n(\nu)$ is the entropy of ν with respect to the algebra \mathcal{A}_n of cylinder sets of length n ,

$$H_n(\nu) = - \sum_{[w] \in \mathcal{A}_n} \nu([w]) \log \nu([w]).$$

Since the cylinders are both open and closed, $\nu \mapsto H_n(\nu)$ is continuous in the weak*-topology. Moreover $\frac{1}{n} H_n(\nu)$ is decreasing in n . For all $m \geq 1$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} F_N^*(m) &:= \{\nu \in M(\Sigma^*, \sigma) : \frac{1}{m} H_m(\nu) \leq (1 - 1/N) \log \beta_*\} \\ G_N^*(m) &:= \{z \in \Sigma^* : V_\sigma(z) \cap F_N^*(m) \neq \emptyset\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $z \in G_N^*$, then there exists $\nu \in V_\sigma(z)$ such that $h(\nu) < (1 - \frac{1}{N}) \log \beta_*$. Since $\frac{1}{m} H_m(\nu) \downarrow h(\nu)$, there exists $m \geq 1$ such that $\frac{1}{m} H_m(\nu) \leq (1 - 1/N) \log \beta_*$, whence $\nu \in F_N^*(m)$ and $z \in G_N^*(m)$. This implies $G_N^* \subset \bigcup_{m \geq 1} G_N^*(m)$. Since $H_m(\cdot)$ is continuous, $F_N^*(m)$ is closed for all $m \geq 1$. Finally we obtain using Theorem 1

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\text{top}}(G_N^*) = \sup_m h_{\text{top}}(G_N^*(m)) &\leq \sup_m \sup_{\nu \in F_N^*(m)} h(\nu) \\ &\leq \sup_m \sup_{\nu \in F_N^*(m)} \frac{1}{m} H_m(\nu) \leq (1 - 1/N) \log \beta_*. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proof of the Corollary: Let $\beta > 1$ be such that the orbit of $i^{\alpha, \beta}(x)$ under σ is $\hat{\mu}_{\alpha, \beta}$ -normal. Let $f \in C([0, 1])$, then $\hat{f} : \Sigma_{\alpha, \beta} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ defined by $\hat{f} := f \circ \varphi^{\alpha, \beta}$ is continuous, since $\varphi^{\alpha, \beta}$ is continuous. Using $\mu_{\alpha, \beta} := \hat{\mu}_{\alpha, \beta} \circ (\varphi^{\alpha, \beta})^{-1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[0, 1]} f d\mu_{\alpha, \beta} &= \int_{\Sigma_{\alpha, \beta}} \hat{f} d\hat{\mu}_{\alpha, \beta} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \hat{f}(\sigma^i i^{\alpha, \beta}(x)) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(\varphi^{\alpha, \beta}(\sigma^i i^{\alpha, \beta}(x))) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T_{\alpha, \beta}^i(x)). \end{aligned}$$

The second equality comes from the $\hat{\mu}_{\alpha,\beta}$ -normality of the orbit of $\mathbf{i}^{\alpha,\beta}(x)$ under σ , the last one is (3) which is true for all $x \in [0, 1)$ with our convention for the extension of $T_{\alpha,\beta}$ and $\mathbf{i}^{\alpha,\beta}$ on $[0, 1)$. \square

The next step is to consider the question of $\mu_{\alpha,\beta}$ -normality in the whole plane (α, β) instead of working with α fixed. Define $\mathcal{R} := [0, 1) \times (1, \infty)$.

THEOREM 3. *For all $x \in [0, 1)$, the set*

$$\mathcal{N}(x) := \{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{R} : \text{the orbit of } x \text{ under } T_{\alpha,\beta} \text{ is } \mu_{\alpha,\beta}\text{-normal}\}$$

has full 2-dimensional Lebesgue measure.

Proof: We have only to prove that $\mathcal{N}(x)$ is measurable and to apply Fubini's Theorem and Corollary 1. The first step is to prove that for all $x \in [0, 1)$ and all $n \geq 0$, the maps $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \mathbf{i}^{\alpha,\beta}(x)$ and $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto T_{\alpha,\beta}^n(x)$ are measurable. First remark that for all $n \geq 1$

$$T_{\alpha,\beta}^n(x) = \beta^n x + \alpha \frac{\beta^n - 1}{\beta - 1} - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathbf{i}_j^{\alpha,\beta}(x) \beta^{n-j-1}. \quad (12)$$

The proof by induction is immediate. To prove that $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \mathbf{i}^{\alpha,\beta}(x)$ is measurable, it is enough to prove that for all $n \geq 0$ and for all words $\underline{w} \in \mathbf{A}^*$ of length n

$$\{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{R} : \mathbf{i}_{[0,n]}^{\alpha,\beta}(x) = \underline{w}\}$$

is measurable, since the σ -algebra on Σ_k is generated by the cylinders. This set is the subset of \mathbf{R}^2 such that

$$\begin{cases} \beta > 1 \\ 0 \leq \alpha < 1 \\ w_j < \beta T_{\alpha,\beta}^j(x) + \alpha \leq w_j + 1 \quad \forall 0 \leq j < n \end{cases}$$

Using (12), this system of inequalities can be rewritten

$$\begin{cases} \beta > 1 \\ 0 \leq \alpha < 1 \\ \alpha > \frac{\beta-1}{\beta^{j+1}-1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^j w_i \beta^{j-i} - \beta^{j+1} x \right) \quad \forall 0 \leq j < n \\ \alpha \leq \frac{\beta-1}{\beta^{j+1}-1} \left(1 + \sum_{i=0}^j w_i \beta^{j-i} - \beta^{j+1} x \right) \quad \forall 0 \leq j < n \end{cases}$$

From this, the measurability of $\mathbf{i}^{\alpha,\beta}$ follows. If $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \mathbf{i}^{\alpha,\beta}(x)$ is measurable, then by formula (12), $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto T_{\alpha,\beta}^n(x)$ is clearly measurable for all $n \geq 0$. Then for all $f \in C([0, 1])$ and all $n \geq 1$, the map $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto S_n(f) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T_{\alpha,\beta}^i(x))$ is measurable and consequently

$$\{(\alpha, \beta) : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(f) \text{ exists}\}$$

is a measurable set.

On the other hand, if $f \in C([0, 1])$, then $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \int f d\mu_{\alpha, \beta}$ is measurable. Indeed

$$\int f d\mu_{\alpha, \beta} = \int f h_{\alpha, \beta} d\lambda$$

and in view of equation (6) and the measurability of $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto T_{\alpha, \beta}(x)$, the map $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto h_{\alpha, \beta}$ is clearly measurable. Therefore

$$\{(\alpha, \beta) : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(f) = \int f d\mu_{\alpha, \beta}\}$$

is measurable for all $f \in C([0, 1])$. Let $\{f_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C([0, 1])$ be countable subset which is dense with respect to the uniform convergence. Then setting

$$D_m := \{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{R} : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(f_m) = \int f_m d\mu_{\alpha, \beta}\},$$

we have $\mathcal{N}(x) = \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{N}} D_m$, whence it is a measurable set. \square

We have shown that for a given $x \in [0, 1)$, the orbit of x under $T_{\alpha, \beta}$ is $\mu_{\alpha, \beta}$ -normal for almost all (α, β) . The orbits of 0 and 1 are of particular interest (see equation (6)). Now we show that through any point (α_0, β_0) , there passes a curve defined by $\alpha = \alpha(\beta)$ such that the orbit of 0 under $T_{\alpha(\beta), \beta}$ is $\mu_{\alpha(\beta), \beta}$ -normal for at most one β . A trivial example of such a curve is $\alpha = 0$, since $x = 0$ is a fixed point. The idea is to consider curves along which the coding of 0 is constant, ie to define $\alpha(\beta)$ such that $\underline{u}^{\alpha(\beta), \beta}$ is constant. The results below depend on reference [6], where we solve the following inverse problem: given \underline{u} and \underline{v} verifying (9), can we find α, β such that $\underline{u} = \underline{u}^{\alpha, \beta}$ and $\underline{v} = \underline{v}^{\alpha, \beta}$?

Let

$$\mathcal{U} := \{\underline{u} : \exists (\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{R} \text{ s.t. } \underline{u} = \underline{u}^{\alpha, \beta}\}.$$

We define an equivalence relation in \mathcal{R} by

$$(\alpha, \beta) \sim (\alpha', \beta') \iff \underline{u}^{\alpha, \beta} = \underline{u}^{\alpha', \beta'}.$$

An equivalence class is denoted by $[\underline{u}]$. The next lemma describes $[\underline{u}]$.

LEMMA 4. *Let $\underline{u} \in \mathcal{U}$ and set*

$$\alpha(\beta) = (\beta - 1) \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{u_j}{\beta^{j+1}}.$$

Then there exists $\beta_{\underline{u}} \geq 1$ such that

$$[\underline{u}] = \{(\alpha(\beta), \beta) : \beta \in I_{\underline{u}}\}$$

with $I_{\underline{u}} = (\beta_{\underline{u}}, \infty)$ or $I_{\underline{u}} = [\beta_{\underline{u}}, \infty)$.

Proof: If $\underline{u} = 000\dots$, then the statement is trivially true with $\alpha(\beta) \equiv 0$ and $\beta_{\underline{u}} = 1$. Suppose $\underline{u} \neq 000\dots$. First we prove that

$$(\alpha, \beta) \sim (\alpha', \beta) \implies \alpha = \alpha'$$

then

$$(\alpha, \beta) \in [\underline{u}] \implies (\alpha(\beta'), \beta') \in [\underline{u}] \quad \forall \beta' \geq \beta.$$

Let $(\alpha, \beta) \in [\underline{u}]$. Using (3), we have $\varphi^{\alpha, \beta}(\sigma \underline{u}) = T_{\alpha, \beta}(0) = \alpha$. Since the map $\alpha \mapsto \varphi^{\alpha, \beta}(\sigma \underline{u}) - \alpha$ is continuous and strictly decreasing (Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6 in [6]), the first statement is true. Let $\beta' > \beta$. By Corollary 3.1 in [6], we have that $\varphi^{\alpha, \beta}(\sigma \underline{u}) > \varphi^{\alpha, \beta'}(\sigma \underline{u})$. Therefore there exists a unique $\alpha' < \alpha$ such that $\varphi^{\alpha', \beta'}(\sigma \underline{u}) = \alpha'$. We prove that $\underline{u}^{\alpha', \beta'} = \underline{u}$. By point 1 of Proposition 2.5 in [6], we have $\underline{u} \preceq \underline{u}^{\alpha', \beta'}$. By Proposition 3.3 in [6], we have

$$h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma_{\underline{u}, \underline{v}^{\alpha', \beta'}}) = h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma_{\alpha', \beta'}) = \log \beta'.$$

Since $\Sigma_{\alpha, \beta} = \Sigma_{\underline{u}, \underline{v}^{\alpha, \beta}}$ and $\beta' > \beta$, we must have $\underline{v}^{\alpha, \beta} \prec \underline{v}^{\alpha', \beta'}$. Therefore

$$\begin{cases} \underline{u} \preceq \sigma^n \underline{u} \prec \underline{v}^{\alpha, \beta} \prec \underline{v}^{\alpha', \beta'} \\ \underline{u} \preceq \underline{u}^{\alpha', \beta'} \prec \sigma^n \underline{u}^{\alpha', \beta'} \preceq \underline{v}^{\alpha', \beta'} \end{cases} \quad \forall n \geq 0,$$

are the inequalities (4.1) in [6] for the pair $(\underline{u}, \underline{v}^{\alpha', \beta'})$. We can apply Proposition 3.2 and Theorem 4.1 in [6] to this pair and get $\underline{u} = \underline{u}^{\alpha', \beta'}$. It remains to show that $\alpha' = \alpha(\beta')$. Following the definition of the φ -expansion of Rényi, we have for all $x \in [0, 1)$ and all $n \geq 0$

$$x = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{i_j^{\alpha, \beta}(x) - \alpha}{\beta^{j+1}} + \frac{T_{\alpha, \beta}^n(x)}{\beta^n}.$$

Since $T_{\alpha, \beta}^n(x) \in [0, 1)$, for all $\beta > 1$ we find an explicit expression for $\varphi^{\alpha, \beta}$ on $\Sigma_{\alpha, \beta}$

$$x = \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{i_j^{\alpha, \beta}(x) - \alpha}{\beta^{j+1}}.$$

In particular, applying this equation to $x = 0$, we have for all $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{R}$

$$\alpha = (\beta - 1) \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{u_j^{\alpha, \beta}}{\beta^{j+1}}.$$

Since for all $\beta > \beta_{\underline{u}}$, we have $\underline{u} \in \Sigma_{\alpha, \beta}$, this completes the proof. \square

For each $\underline{u} \in \mathcal{U}$, the equivalence class $[\underline{u}]$ defines an analytic curve in \mathcal{R} , which is strictly monotone decreasing (except for $\underline{u} = 000\dots$),

$$[\underline{u}] = \{(\alpha, \beta) : \alpha = (\beta - 1) \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{u_j}{\beta^{j+1}}, \beta \in I_{\underline{u}}\}.$$

These curves are disjoint two by two and their union is \mathcal{R} .

THEOREM 4. *Let $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{R}$, $\underline{u} = \underline{u}^{\alpha, \beta}$ and define $\alpha(\beta)$ and $\beta_{\underline{u}}$ as in Lemma 4. Then for all $\beta > \beta_{\underline{u}}$, the orbit of $x = 0$ under $T_{\alpha(\beta), \beta}$ is not $\mu_{\alpha(\beta), \beta}$ -normal.*

Proof: Let $\hat{\nu} \in M(\Sigma_k, \sigma)$ (with k large enough) be a cluster point of $\{\mathcal{E}_n(\underline{u})\}_{n \geq 1}$ (see (1)). By Lemma 4, $\underline{u}^{\alpha(\beta), \beta} = \underline{u}$ for any $\beta > \beta_{\underline{u}}$. Therefore

$$h(\hat{\nu}) \leq h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma_{\alpha(\beta), \beta}) = \log \beta \quad \forall \beta > \beta_{\underline{u}}$$

and $\hat{\nu}$ is not a measure of maximal entropy, as well as $\nu_\beta := \hat{\nu} \circ (\varphi^{\alpha(\beta), \beta})^{-1}$ for all $\beta > \beta_{\underline{u}}$ (see [10]). \square

Recall that

$$\mathcal{N}(0) = \{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{R} : \text{the orbit of } 0 \text{ under } T_{\alpha, \beta} \text{ is } \mu_{\alpha, \beta}\text{-normal}\}.$$

By Theorem 3, $\mathcal{N}(0)$ has full Lebesgue measure. On the other hand, by Theorem 4, we can decompose \mathcal{R} into a family of disjoint analytic curves such that each curve meets $\mathcal{N}(0)$ in at most one point. This situation is very similar to the one presented in [12] by Milnor following an idea of Katok.

4. Normality in generalized β -transformations

In this section, we consider another class of piecewise monotone continuous maps, the generalized β -transformations. Introduced by Góra in [7], they have only one critical orbit like β -transformations, but they admit increasing and decreasing laps. A family $\{T_\beta\}_{\beta > 1}$ of generalized β -transformations is defined by $k \geq 2$ and a sequence $s = (s_n)_{0 \leq n < k}$ with $s_i \in \{-1, 1\}$. For any $\beta \in (k-1, k]$, let $a_j = j/\beta$ for $j = 0, \dots, k-1$ and $a_k = 1$. Then for all $j = 0, \dots, k-1$, the map $f_j = I_j \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is defined by

$$f_j(x) := \begin{cases} \beta x \pmod{1} & \text{if } s_j = +1 \\ 1 - (\beta x \pmod{1}) & \text{if } s_j = -1. \end{cases}$$

In particular when $s = (1, -1)$, then T_β is a tent map. Here we left the map undefined on a_j for $j = 1, \dots, k-1$.

Góra constructed the unique measure μ_β absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure (Theorem 6 and Proposition 8 in [7]). Using the same argument as Hofbauer in [9], we deduce that a measure of maximal entropy is always absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure, hence the measure μ_β is the unique measure of maximal entropy. Let $k = \lceil \beta \rceil$ and let us denote \mathbf{i}^β for the coding map under T_β , $\varphi^\beta := (\mathbf{i}^\beta)^{-1}$ for the inverse of the coding map, $\Sigma_\beta := \Sigma_{T_\beta}$ and $\underline{\eta}^\beta := \lim_{x \uparrow 1} \mathbf{i}^\beta(x)$. Now it is easy to check that formula (4) becomes

$$\Sigma_\beta = \{\underline{x} \in \Sigma_k : \sigma^n \underline{x} \preceq \underline{\eta}^\beta \quad \forall n \geq 0\} \quad (13)$$

and inequalities (5) become

$$\sigma^n \underline{\eta}^\beta \preceq \underline{\eta}^\beta \quad \forall n \geq 0. \quad (14)$$

It is known, in all cases treated below, that the dynamical system (Σ_β, σ) has topological entropy $\log \beta$ and, by general theory of Hofbauer in [12], it has a unique measure of maximal entropy $\hat{\mu}_\beta$ such that $\mu_\beta = \hat{\mu}_\beta \circ (\varphi^\beta)^{-1}$ (see [5]).

As in the previous section, we state two lemmas which we need for the proof of the main theorem of this section. We study the normality only of $x = 1$, so these lemmas are formulated only for $x = 1$. Let $S_n(\beta) \equiv S_n$ and $S(\beta) \equiv S$ be defined by (2).

LEMMA 5. *For any family of generalized β -transformations defined by $(s_n)_{0 \leq n < k}$, the set $\{\beta \in (k-1, k] : 1 \in S(\beta)\}$ is countable.*

Proof: For a fixed $n \geq 1$, we study the map $\beta \mapsto T_\beta^n(1)$. This map is well defined everywhere in $(k-1, k]$ except for finitely many points and it is continuous on each interval where it is well defined. Indeed this is true for $n = 1$. Suppose it is true for n , then $T_\beta^{n+1}(1)$ is well defined and continuous wherever $T_\beta^n(1)$ is well defined and continuous, except for $T_\beta^n(1) \in S_0(\beta)$. By the induction hypothesis, there exists a finite family of disjoint open intervals J_i and continuous functions $g_i : J_i \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $(k-1, k] \setminus (\bigcup_i J_i)$ is finite and

$$T_\beta^n(x) = g_i(\beta) \quad \text{if } \beta \in J_i.$$

Then

$$\{\beta \in (k-1, k] : T_\beta^n(1) \text{ is well defined and } T_\beta^n(1) \in S_0(\beta)\} = \bigcup_{i,j} \{\beta \in J_i : g_i(\beta) = \frac{j}{\beta}\}.$$

We claim that $\{\beta \in J_i : g_i(\beta) = \frac{j}{\beta}\}$ has finitely many points. From the form of the map T_β , it follows immediately that each $g_i(\beta)$ is a polynomial of degree n . Since $\beta > 1$,

$$g_i(\beta) = \frac{j}{\beta} \iff \beta g_i(\beta) - j = 0.$$

This polynomial equation has at most $n+1$ roots. In fact, using the monotonicity of the map $\beta \mapsto \eta^\beta$, we can prove that this set has at most one point. The lemma follows, since $S(\beta) = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} S_n(\beta)$. \square

LEMMA 6. *Consider a family $\{T_\beta\}_{\beta > 1}$ of generalized β -transformations defined by a sequence $s = (s_n)_{0 \leq n < k}$. Let $1 < \beta_1 \leq \beta_2$ and $\underline{\eta}^j := \underline{\eta}^{\beta_j}$ for $j = 1, 2$; define $l := \min\{n \geq 0 : \underline{\eta}_n^1 \neq \underline{\eta}_n^2\}$.*

If $k \geq 3$, for all $\beta_0 > 2$, there exists K such that $\beta_1 \geq \beta_0$ implies

$$\beta_2 - \beta_1 \leq K\beta_2^{-l}.$$

If $s = (+1, +1)$, then

$$\beta_2 - \beta_1 \leq \beta_2^{-l+1}.$$

If $s = (+1, -1)$ or $(-1, +1)$, then for all $\beta_0 > 1$, there exists K such that $\beta_1 \geq \beta_0$ implies

$$\beta_2 - \beta_1 \leq K\beta_2^{-l}.$$

If $s = (-1, -1)$, then there exists $\beta_0 > 1$ and K such that $\beta_1 \geq \beta_0$ implies

$$\beta_2 - \beta_1 \leq K\beta_2^{-l}.$$

The proof is very similar to the proof of Brucks and Misiurewicz for Proposition 1 of [2], see also Lemma 23 of Sands in [16].

Proof: Let $\delta := \beta_2 - \beta_1 \geq 0$ and denote $T_j = T_{\beta_j}$ and $\mathbf{i}^j = \mathbf{i}^{\beta_j}$ for $j = 1, 2$. Let $a_1, a_2 \in [0, 1]$ such that $r := \mathbf{i}_0^1(a_1) = \mathbf{i}_0^2(a_2)$. Considering four cases according to the signs of $a_2 - a_1$ and s_r , we have

$$|T_2(a_2) - T_1(a_1)| \geq \beta_2|a_2 - a_1| - \delta.$$

Applying this formula n times, we find that $i_{[0,n]}^1(a_1) = i_{[0,n]}^2(a_2)$ implies

$$|T_2^n(a_2) - T_1^n(a_1)| \geq \beta_2^n \left(|a_2 - a_1| - \frac{\delta}{\beta_2 - 1} \right).$$

Consider the case $k \geq 3$. Then $a_i = T_i(1)$ for $i = 1, 2$ are such that

$$|a_2 - a_1| = \delta > \frac{\delta}{\beta_0 - 1} \geq \frac{\delta}{\beta_2 - 1}.$$

Using $|T_2^n(a_2) - T_1^n(a_1)| \leq 1$, we conclude that for all $\beta_0 \leq \beta_1 \leq \beta_2$, if $\eta_{[0,n]}^1 = \eta_{[0,n]}^2$ then

$$\delta \leq \frac{\beta_0 - 1}{\beta_0 - 2} \beta_2^{-n+1}.$$

For the case $s = (+1, +1)$, we can apply Lemma 3 with $\alpha = 0$ and $x = 1$.

The case $s = (+1, -1)$ or $(-1, +1)$ is considered in Lemma 23 of [16].

For the case $s = (-1, -1)$: for a fixed n , we want to find β_0 such that for all $\beta_0 \leq \beta_1 \leq \beta_2$ we have

$$|T_2^n(1) - T_1^n(1)| > \frac{\delta}{\beta_2 - 1}. \quad (15)$$

Then we conclude as in the case $k \geq 3$. Formula (15) is true, if $|\frac{d}{d\beta} T_\beta^n(1)| > \frac{1}{\beta-1}$ for all $\beta \geq \beta_0$. When n increases, β_0 decreases. With $n = 3$, we have $\beta_0 \approx 1.53$. \square

In the tent map case, the separation of orbits is proved for $\beta \in (\sqrt{2}, 2]$ and then extended arbitrarily near $\beta_0 = 1$ using the renormalization. In the case $s = (-1, -1)$, there is no such argument and we are forced to increase n to obtain a lower bound β_0 . With the help of a computer, we obtain $\beta_0 \approx 1.27$ for $n = 12$. For more details, see [5].

Now we turn to the question of normality for generalized β -transformations. The structure of the proof is very similar to the proof of Theorem 2 and Corollary 1.

THEOREM 5. *Consider a family $\{T_\beta\}_{k-1 < \beta \leq k}$ of generalized β -transformations defined by a sequence $s = (s_n)_{0 \leq n < k}$. Let β_0 be defined as in Lemma 6. Then the set*

$$\{\beta > \beta_0 : \text{the orbit of } \eta^\beta \text{ under } \sigma \text{ is } \hat{\mu}_\beta\text{-normal}\}$$

has full λ -measure.

COROLLARY 2. *Consider a family $\{T_\beta\}_{\beta > 1}$ of generalized β -transformations defined by a sequence $s = (s_n)_{n \geq 0}$. Let β_0 be defined as in Lemma 6. Then the set*

$$\{\beta > \beta_0 : \text{the orbit of } 1 \text{ under } T_\beta \text{ is } \mu_\beta\text{-normal}\}$$

has full λ -measure.

Proof of Theorem: Let

$$B_0 := \{\beta \in (\beta_0, \infty) : 1 \notin S(\beta)\}.$$

From Lemma 5, this subset has full Lebesgue measure. To obtain uniform estimates, we restrict our proof to the interval $[\underline{\beta}, \overline{\beta}]$ with $\beta_0 < \underline{\beta} < \overline{\beta} < \infty$. Let $k := \lceil \overline{\beta} \rceil$ and $\Omega := \{\beta \in [\underline{\beta}, \overline{\beta}] \cap B_0 : \underline{\eta}^\beta \text{ is not } \hat{\mu}_\beta\text{-normal}\}$. As before, setting

$$\Omega_N := \{\beta \in [\underline{\beta}, \overline{\beta}] \cap B_0 : \exists \nu \in V_\sigma(\underline{\eta}^\beta) \text{ s.t. } h(\nu) < (1 - 1/N) \log \beta\},$$

we have $\Omega = \bigcup_{N \geq 1} \Omega_N$. We prove that $\dim_H \Omega_N < 1$. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$ fixed, define $\varepsilon := \frac{\beta \log \beta}{2N-1} > 0$ and L such that $\underline{\eta}_{[0,L]}^\beta = \underline{\eta}_{[0,L]}^{\beta'}$ implies $|\beta - \beta'| \leq \varepsilon$ (see Lemma 6). Consider the family of subsets of $[\underline{\beta}, \overline{\beta}]$ of the following type

$$J(\underline{w}) = \{\beta \in [\underline{\beta}, \overline{\beta}] : \underline{\eta}_{[0,L]}^\beta = \underline{w}\}$$

where \underline{w} is a word of length L . $J(\underline{w})$ is either empty or it is an interval. We cover the non-closed $J(\underline{w})$ with countably many closed intervals if necessary. We prove that $\lambda(\Omega_N \cap [\beta_1, \beta_2]) = 0$ where $\beta_1 < \beta_2$ are such that $\underline{\eta}_{[0,L]}^{\beta_1} = \underline{\eta}_{[0,L]}^{\beta_2}$.

Let $\underline{\eta}^j = \underline{\eta}^{\beta_j}$. Let

$$D^* := \{z \in \Sigma_{\underline{\eta}^2} : \exists \beta \in [\beta_1, \beta_2] \cap B_0 \text{ s.t. } z = \underline{\eta}^\beta\}.$$

Define $\rho_* : D^* \rightarrow [\beta_1, \beta_2] \cap B_0$ by $\rho_*(z) = \beta \Leftrightarrow \underline{\eta}^\beta = z$. As before, from formula (13) and strict monotonicity of $\beta \mapsto \underline{\eta}^\beta$, we deduce that ρ_* is well defined and surjective. We compute the coefficient of Hölder continuity of $\rho_* : (D^*, d_{\beta_*}) \rightarrow [\beta_1, \beta_2]$. Let $z \neq z' \in D^*$ and $n = \min\{l \geq 0 : z_l \neq z'_l\}$, then $d_{\beta_*}(z, z') = \beta_*^{-n}$. By Lemma 6, there exists C such that

$$|\rho_*(z) - \rho_*(z')| \leq C \rho_*(z)^{-n} \leq C \beta_1^{-n} = C (d_{\beta_*}(z, z'))^{\frac{\log \beta_1}{\log \beta_*}}.$$

By the choice of L and ε , we have

$$\frac{\log \beta_1}{\log \beta_*} \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2N},$$

thus ρ_* has Hölder-exponent of continuity $1 - \frac{1}{2N}$. Define

$$G_N^* := \{z \in \Sigma^* : \exists \nu \in V_\sigma(z) \text{ s.t. } h(\nu) < (1 - 1/N) \log \beta_*\}.$$

As before, we have $\Omega_N \cap [\beta_1, \beta_2] \subset \rho_*(G_N^* \cap D^*)$ and $h_{\text{top}}(G_N^*) \leq (1 - 1/N) \log \beta_*$. Finally $\dim_H(\Omega_N \cap [\beta_1, \beta_2]) < 1$ and $\lambda(\Omega_N \cap [\beta_1, \beta_2]) = 0$. \square

Proof of the Corollary: The proof is similar to the proof of Corollary 1. Equation (3) is true, since we work on B_0 . \square

In particular, when we consider the tent map ($s = (1, -1)$), we recover the main Theorem of Bruin in [3]. We do not state this theorem for all $x \in [0, 1]$ as for the map $T_{\alpha, \beta}$, because we do not have an equivalent of Lemma 3 for all $x \in [0, 1]$. This is the unique missing step of the proof.

5. Appendix

Let \mathcal{G} be an oriented labeled right-resolving graph and denote by \mathbf{V} the set of vertices of \mathcal{G} . We assume that \mathcal{G} has a root $\mathbf{v}_0 \in \mathbf{V}$. Let $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$, the **level** of \mathbf{v} is the length

of the shortest path on \mathcal{G} from \mathbf{v}_0 to \mathbf{v} . For $K \in \mathbb{N}$, the graph \mathcal{G}_K is the subgraph of \mathcal{G} whose set of vertices is

$$\mathbf{V}_K := \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V} : \text{the level of } \mathbf{v} \text{ is at most } K\}.$$

We set

$$\ell(n, \mathcal{G}) := \text{card}\{\text{paths of length } n \text{ in } \mathcal{G} \text{ starting at } \mathbf{v}_0\}.$$

Since the graph is right-resolving, a path in \mathcal{G} is uniquely prescribed by the initial vertex of the path and the (ordered) set of labels of its edges. The right-resolving rooted graph \mathcal{G} has the property \mathcal{P} , if *for any path starting at \mathbf{v} there is a unique path starting at the root \mathbf{v}_0 with the same set of labels*. If \mathcal{G} has the property \mathcal{P} , then

$$\ell(n+m, \mathcal{G}) \leq \ell(n, \mathcal{G})\ell(m, \mathcal{G}).$$

It follows that

$$h(\mathcal{G}) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \ell(n, \mathcal{G}) = \inf_n \frac{1}{n} \log \ell(n, \mathcal{G}). \quad (16)$$

The quantity $h(\mathcal{G})$ is the **entropy** of \mathcal{G} .

LEMMA 7. *Let \mathcal{G} be a right-resolving rooted graph which has the property \mathcal{P} . For all $\delta > 0$, there exists $L(\mathcal{G}, \delta)$ such that for all $L \geq L(\mathcal{G}, \delta)$ and for all right-resolving rooted graph \mathcal{G}' satisfying the property \mathcal{P} , we have $\mathcal{G}_L = \mathcal{G}'_L$ implies that*

$$h(\mathcal{G}') \leq h(\mathcal{G}) + \delta.$$

Proof: Given \mathcal{G} and $\delta > 0$, choose $L(\mathcal{G}, \delta)$ such that, for all $L \geq L(\mathcal{G}, \delta)$, we have

$$\frac{1}{L} \log \ell(L, \mathcal{G}) \leq h(\mathcal{G}) + \delta.$$

Let \mathcal{G}' be a right-resolving rooted graph with the property \mathcal{P} such that $\mathcal{G}'_L = \mathcal{G}_L$. Then using (16) and the fact that a path of length L in \mathcal{G} (or in \mathcal{G}') remains in \mathcal{G}_L (or in \mathcal{G}'_L), we get

$$\begin{aligned} h(\mathcal{G}') &\leq \frac{1}{L} \log \ell(L, \mathcal{G}') = \frac{1}{L} \log \ell(L, \mathcal{G}'_L) \\ &= \frac{1}{L} \log \ell(L, \mathcal{G}_L) = \frac{1}{L} \log \ell(L, \mathcal{G}) \leq h(\mathcal{G}) + \delta. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Let $(\underline{u}, \underline{v})$ satisfy (9); we define a labeled graph $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(\underline{u}, \underline{v})$. A vertex \mathbf{v} of the graph is a couple $(p, q) \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \times \mathbb{Z}_+$. We define the out-going labeled edges from $\mathbf{v} = (p, q)$ to $\mathbf{v}' = (p', q')$, the successors of \mathbf{v} .

1. If $u_p = v_q$, then there is a unique out-going edge labeled by u_p from \mathbf{v} to $\mathbf{v}' = (p+1, q+1)$.
2. If $u_p < v_q$, then there is an out-going edge labeled by u_p from \mathbf{v} to $\mathbf{v}' = (p+1, 0)$, and an out-going edge labeled by v_q from \mathbf{v} to $\mathbf{v}' = (0, q+1)$. Furthermore, if there exists a , $u_p < a < v_q$, then there is an out-going edge labeled by a from \mathbf{v} to $\mathbf{v}' = (0, 0)$.

The graph \mathcal{G} is the minimal graph containing $(0, 0)$, the root of \mathcal{G} , such that if \mathbf{v} is a vertex of \mathcal{G} , then all successors of \mathbf{v} are vertices of \mathcal{G} . All vertices of \mathcal{G} are of the form (p, q) with $p \neq q$, except for the root. Furthermore, (p, q) is a vertex of \mathcal{G} with $p > q$ if and only if the longest suffix of $u_0 \cdots u_{p-1}$, which is a prefix of \underline{v} has length q . Using the map from the vertices of \mathcal{G} to the subsets of $\Sigma_{\underline{u}, \underline{v}}$,

$$(p, q) \mapsto [\sigma^p \underline{u}, \sigma^q \underline{v}] := \{\underline{x} \in \Sigma_{\underline{u}, \underline{v}} : \sigma^p \underline{u} \preceq \underline{x} \preceq \sigma^q \underline{v}\},$$

and the results of section 3.1 of [6], one checks that \mathcal{G} has property \mathcal{P} , $h(\mathcal{G}) = h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma_{\underline{u}, \underline{v}})$ and the level of $\mathbf{v} = (p, q)$ is $\max\{p, q\}$. This last result implies that for $(\underline{u}', \underline{v}')$ satisfying (9), if \underline{u} and \underline{u}' have a common prefix of length L and \underline{v} and \underline{v}' have a common prefix of length L , then $\mathcal{G}_L = \mathcal{G}'_L$. Therefore Lemma 7 implies Proposition 1.

Acknowledgements: We thank H. Bruin for correspondence about Proposition 1 and for communicating us results before publication.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Bowen, *Topological entropy for noncompact sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **184** (1973), 125-136.
- [2] K. Brucks and M. Misiurewicz, *The trajectory of the turning point is dense for almost all tent maps*, Ergod. Th. and Dynam. Sys., **16** (1996), 1173-1183.
- [3] H. Bruin, *For almost every tent map, the turning point is typical*, Fund. Math., **155** (1998), 215-235.
- [4] K. Falconer, *Fractal geometry*, Wiley, Chichester, 2003.
- [5] B. Faller, PhD thesis, EPF-L, 2008.
- [6] B. Faller and C.-E. Pfister, *Computation of Topological Entropy via φ -expansion, an Inverse Problem for the Dynamical Systems $\beta x + \alpha \pmod{1}$* , arXiv:0806.0914v1 [mathDS].
- [7] P. Góra, *Invariant densities for generalized β -maps*, Ergod. Th. and Dynam. Sys., **27** (2007), 1-16.
- [8] S. Halfin, *Explicit construction of invariant measures for a class of continuous state Markov processes*, The Annals of Probability, **3** (1975), 859-864.
- [9] F. Hofbauer, *Maximal measures for piecewise monotonically increasing transformations on $[0, 1]$* , in Ergodic Theory, vol. 729 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1979, 66-77.
- [10] F. Hofbauer, *On intrinsic ergodicity of piecewise monotonic transformations with positive entropy*, Israel J. Math., **34** (1979), 213-237.
- [11] F. Hofbauer, *Maximal measures for simple piecewise monotonic transformations*, Z. Wahrschein. Gebiete, **52** (1980), 289-300.
- [12] J. Milnor, *Fubini foiled: Katok's paradoxical example in measure theory*, The Math. Intelligencer, **19** (1997), 30-32.
- [13] W. Parry, *Representations for real numbers*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung., **15** (1964), 95-105.
- [14] C.-E. Pfister and W. Sullivan, *On the topological entropy of saturated sets*, Ergod. Th. and Dynam. Sys., **27** (2007), 929-956.
- [15] A. Rényi, *Representations for real numbers and their ergodic properties*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung., **8** (1957), 477-493.
- [16] D. Sands, *Topological conditions for positive Lyapunov exponent in unimodal maps*, PhD thesis, University of Cambridge, St John's College, 1993.
- [17] J. Schmeling, *Symbolic dynamics for β -shifts and self-normal numbers*, Ergod. Th. and Dynam. Sys., **17** (1997), 675-694.
- [18] P.C. Shields, *The Ergodic Theory of Discrete Sample Paths*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics Volume **13**, American Mathematical Society (1996).