

=  
=  
=

C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, t. 327, Série I, p. 000–000, 1998

Problèmes mathématiques de la mécanique/*Mathematical Problems in Mechanics*

## Buckling of a tapered elastica <sup>1</sup>

Charles A. STUART

Département de Mathématiques, EPFL, CH-1015 Lausanne, Suisse

Courriel : charles.stuart@epfl.ch

(Reçu le 30 juin 2000, accepté ?)

---

**Abstract.** The nonlinear eigenvalue problem (1)-(3) below is a model for the buckling of a tapered elastic rod. The coefficient  $A \in C([0, 1])$  is such that  $A(s) > 0$  for  $s > 0$  and there exist  $p \geq 0$  and  $L \in (0, \infty)$  such that  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{A(s)}{s^p} = L$ . For  $0 \leq p < 2$ , there is bifurcation only at values of  $\mu$  in a discrete subset of  $(0, \infty)$  whereas for  $p = 2$  every point in the interval  $[L/4, \infty)$  is a bifurcation point. Furthermore, at  $p = 2$ , one observes a dramatic change in the shape of the equilibrium configurations. Let  $u_\mu \not\equiv 0$  be a configuration which minimizes the energy. For  $0 \leq p < 2$ ,  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} u_\mu(s) \in (-\pi, \pi)$ , whereas for  $p \geq 2$ ,  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} u_\mu(s) = \pm\pi$ . © Académie des Sciences/Elsevier, Paris

### *Flambage d'une barre élastique effilée*

**Résumé.** Le problème aux valeurs propres (1)-(3) ci-dessous est un modèle pour le flambage d'une barre élastique effilée. Le coefficient  $A \in C([0, 1])$  est tel que  $A(s) > 0$  pour  $s > 0$  et il existe  $p \geq 0$  et  $L \in (0, \infty)$  tels que  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{A(s)}{s^p} = L$ . Pour  $0 \leq p < 2$ , il y a bifurcation uniquement pour des valeurs de  $\mu$  d'un sous-ensemble discret de  $(0, \infty)$  tandis que pour  $p = 2$  chaque point de l'intervalle  $[L/4, \infty)$  est un point de bifurcation. De plus, pour  $p = 2$ , on observe un changement spectaculaire de la forme des configurations d'équilibre. Soit  $u_\mu \not\equiv 0$  une configuration qui minimise l'énergie. Pour  $0 \leq p < 2$ ,  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} u_\mu(s) \in (-\pi, \pi)$ , tandis que, pour  $p \geq 2$ ,  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} u_\mu(s) = \pm\pi$ . © Académie des Sciences/Elsevier, Paris

---

### Version française abrégée

<sup>1</sup>Note présentée par Philippe G. CIARLET.

L'étude du flambage d'une barre élastique effilée se réduit au problème aux limites (1)-(3) ci-dessous où le coefficient  $A \in C([0, 1])$  est tel que  $A(s) > 0$  pour  $s > 0$  et il existe  $p \geq 0$  et  $L \in (0, \infty)$  tels que  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{A(s)}{s^p} = L$ . Ce coefficient tient compte des variations des sections transverses de la barre élastique. Pour  $p = 0$ , il s'agit d'un problème classique qui remonte à D. Bernoulli et Euler, [1]. Dans les cas singuliers  $p > 0$ , l'aire des sections s'annule vers une extrémité libre, l'autre étant encastree. Pour  $0 \leq p < 2$ , la situation est semblable au cas  $p = 0$  et il y a bifurcation uniquement pour des valeurs de  $\mu$  d'un sous-ensemble discret de  $(0, \infty)$ , mais, à partir de  $p = 2$ , on observe un changement spectaculaire du diagramme de bifurcation ainsi que de la forme des configurations d'équilibre. Il existe un nombre  $\Lambda(A) \geq 0$  déterminé par la linéarisation, tel que, pour  $\mu \leq \Lambda(A)$ ,  $u \equiv 0$  est l'unique solution du problème et elle minimise l'énergie dans l'espace de toutes les configurations admissibles. Pour  $\mu > \Lambda(A)$ , l'énergie est minimisée par une solution non triviale.

(1) Pour  $0 \leq p < 2$ ,  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} u(s) \in (-\pi, \pi)$  pour toute solution non triviale tandis que  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} u(s) = \pm\pi$  si  $p \geq 2$ .

(2) Pour  $0 \leq p \leq 2$ ,  $\Lambda(A) > 0$  tandis que  $\Lambda(A) = 0$  pour  $p > 2$ .

(3) Pour  $0 \leq p < 2$ , il y a bifurcation de la solution  $u \equiv 0$  uniquement aux points  $\mu_i$  de l'ensemble discret des valeurs propres du problème linéarisé, où  $\mu_1 = \Lambda(A)$  et  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu_i = \infty$ . Pour  $p = 2$ , il y a bifurcation en tous les points  $\mu \in [L/4, \infty)$ . Les propriétés du problème linéarisé où (1) est remplacé (5) changent également en  $p = 2$ . Pour  $0 \leq p < 2$ , le spectre essentiel est vide et toutes les fonctions propres n'ont qu'un nombre fini de zéros dans  $[0, 1]$ . Pour  $p = 2$ ,  $L/4$  appartient au spectre essentiel et, dans certains cas, il n'y a aucune fonction propre. De plus, pour  $p = 2$  and  $\mu > L/4$ , toute solution de (5) a un nombre infini de zéros dans  $[0, 1]$ , tandis que les solutions  $u \not\equiv 0$  du problème non linéaire (1)-(3) n'ont qu'un nombre fini de zéros dans cet intervalle.

---

## 1. Introduction

The study of buckling of a tapered rod reduces to the nonlinear eigenvalue problem

$$\{A(s)u'(s)\}' + \mu \sin u(s) = 0 \text{ for all } s \in (0, 1), \quad (1)$$

$$u(1) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} A(s)u'(s) = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$\text{and} \quad \int_0^1 A(s)u'(s)^2 ds < \infty \quad (3)$$

where  $A \in C([0, 1])$  is such that  $A(s) > 0$  for all  $s > 0$ . In the simplest interpretation, the variable  $s$  measures arc-length along an inextensible rod whose centre line is a plane curve  $\{r(s) : 0 \leq s \leq 1\}$  given by  $r(1) = (0, 0)$  and  $r'(s) = -(\sin u(s), \cos u(s))$ . A force  $f(0, -1)$  with  $f > 0$  is applied at the end  $r(0)$ . The dependent variable  $u(s)$  measures the angle between the tangent at  $r(s)$  and the direction  $(0, -1)$ . We assume that the rod obeys the Bernoulli-Euler bending law with stiffness  $E > 0$ , [1], and we use  $A(s)$  to denote the moment

of inertia of the cross-section at  $r(s)$  about its centroid, perpendicular to the plane of bending. Then the equation (1) with  $\mu = f/E$  expresses the mechanical equilibrium of the rod and (2) means that the lower end  $r(1)$  is clamped vertically upwards whereas the upper end  $r(0)$  is free. Since the energy of any configuration is  $EJ_\mu(u)$  where

$$J_\mu(u) = \int_0^1 \left[ \frac{1}{2} A(s) u'(s)^2 - \mu \{1 - \cos u(s)\} \right] ds \quad (4)$$

the condition (3) is just the requirement that an equilibrium configuration must have finite energy.

The more interesting problem of a heavy rod with variable cross-section buckling under its own weight can also be reduced to the above form by a change of variable. Then no force is applied at  $r(0)$  and  $\mu$  is proportional to the mass density of the rod. In that context we are particularly interested in singular cases where  $A(s) \rightarrow 0$  as  $s \rightarrow 0$ . With this in mind we introduce the following terminology.

A profile for an elastica with tapering of order  $p \geq 0$  is a function  $A \in C([0, 1])$  such that  $A(t) > 0$  for  $0 < t \leq 1$  and there exists  $L \in (0, \infty)$  such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{A(t)}{t^p} = L$ . For such a profile  $A$  a solution of Problem P is a function  $u \in C^1((0, 1])$  such that  $Au' \in C^1((0, 1])$ , and (1), (2) and (3) are satisfied.

This note summaries some of the results in [6] which show that in several respects (shape of the buckled configurations, nature of the bifurcation diagrams) tapering of order 2 plays a critical role, in the sense that the situation when  $p < 2$  is very different from what occurs when  $p \geq 2$ . I am grateful to Professor S.J. Cox for drawing my attention to the absence of results about bifurcation for tapered rods.

## 2. Energy space and linearized problem

For  $p \in [0, \infty)$ , let

$$H_p = \left\{ u \in L^1_{loc}((0, 1]) : \int_0^1 s^p u'(s)^2 ds < \infty \text{ and } u(1) = 0 \right\}.$$

If  $A$  is a profile for an elastica with tapering of order  $p \geq 0$ , then

$$\int_0^1 s^p u'(s)v'(s)ds \text{ and } \langle u, v \rangle_A = \int_0^1 A(s)u'(s)v'(s)ds$$

are scalar products on  $H_A = H_p$  and they induce equivalent norms. Thus the space  $(H_A, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A)$  of all configurations with finite energy is a Hilbert space. Solutions of Problem P are stationary points of the energy (4) in the following sense. A function  $u$  is a solution of Problem P if and only if  $u \in H_A$  and

$$\int_0^1 A(s)u'(s)v'(s)ds = \mu \int_0^1 v(s) \sin u(s)ds \text{ for all } v \in H_A \cap L^1(0, 1).$$

For large  $p$ , the energy  $J_\mu$  is not differentiable. However, for  $p \in [0, 2]$ ,  $J_\mu \in C^1(H_A)$  and there exists  $G_A \in C(H_A, H_A)$  such that

$$J'_\mu(u)v = \langle u, v \rangle_A - \mu \int_0^1 v(s) \sin u(s) ds = \langle u - \mu G_A(u), v \rangle_A \quad \text{for all } u, v \in H_A.$$

Furthermore  $G_A : H_A \rightarrow H_A$  is compact and the Problem P can be written as

$$F(\mu, u) = 0 \quad \text{where } F = I - \mu G_A : \mathbb{R} \times H_A \rightarrow H_A.$$

We now consider the differentiability of  $G_A$  for profiles with tapering of order  $p \in [0, 2]$ . For each  $u \in H_A$ , there is a unique bounded linear operator, denoted by  $L_A(u) : H_A \rightarrow H_A$  such that

$$\langle L_A(u)w, v \rangle_A = \int_0^1 w(s)v(s) \cos u(s) ds \quad \text{for all } v, w \in H_A.$$

Clearly  $L_A(u) : H_A \rightarrow H_A$  is self-adjoint.

- (i) For  $0 \leq p < 2$ ,  $L_A(u)$  is the Fréchet derivative of  $G_A$  at  $u$ ,  $J_\mu \in C^2(H_A)$  and  $G'_A(u) = L_A(u) : H_A \rightarrow H_A$  is a compact linear operator.
- (ii) For  $p = 2$  and all  $u \in H_A$ ,  $L_A(u)$  is the Gâteaux derivative of  $G_A$  at  $u$ , but  $L_A(0)$  is not a compact linear operator and  $G_A$  is not Fréchet differentiable at  $u = 0$ . Thus  $J_\mu \notin C^2(H_A)$ .

Along with (1) we consider its linearization

$$\{A(s)u'(s)\}' + \mu u(s) = 0 \quad \text{for all } s \in (0, 1] \quad (5)$$

and the infimum of its Rayleigh quotient

$$\Lambda(A) = \inf \left\{ \int_0^1 A(s)u'(s)^2 ds / \int_0^1 u(s)^2 ds : u \in H_A \text{ with } u \not\equiv 0 \right\}.$$

We find that  $\Lambda(A) = 0$  if  $p > 2$  and  $\Lambda(A) \geq L/4 > 0$  if  $p \in [0, 2]$ .

Indeed, for  $p \in [0, 2]$ , we set  $T = L_A(0)$  and observe that  $1/\Lambda(A)$  is the infimum of the spectrum of  $T$ . Furthermore the non-trivial solutions of (5), (2) and (3) are precisely the eigenfunctions of the bounded self-adjoint operator  $T : H_A \rightarrow H_A$ . Let  $\sigma_e(T)$  denote its essential spectrum.

For  $p \in [0, 2]$ ,  $u$  is a solution of the linear problem (5), (2) and (3) if and only if  $u \in H_p$  and  $u = \mu T u$ . Furthermore, all eigenvalues of  $T$  are simple.

For  $0 \leq p < 2$ ,  $\sigma(T) = \{\lambda_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{0\}$  and  $\sigma_e(T) = \{0\}$  where  $\lambda_{i+1} < \lambda_i$ ,  $\lambda_1 = \Lambda(A)^{-1}$  and  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_i = 0$ . If  $\varphi_i$  is an eigenfunction of  $T$  associated with  $\lambda_i$  then  $\varphi_i \in C^1((0, 1]) \cap C([0, 1])$ ,  $\varphi_i(0) \neq 0$  and  $\varphi_i$  has exactly  $i$  zeros in  $(0, 1]$  and all of which are simple.

For  $p = 2$ ,  $\max \sigma_e(T) = \frac{4}{L}$  and, for some profiles,  $T$  has no eigenfunctions in  $H_A$ .

### 3. Energy minimizing solutions

The energy minimizing solutions  $u_\mu$ , defined below, form a continuous curve in  $H_A$  which bifurcates to the right from the solution  $u \equiv 0$  at  $\mu = \Lambda(A)$ . For  $0 \leq p < 2$ , this curve also bifurcates from 0 in  $L^\infty(0, 1)$ , but this is no longer true for  $p \geq 2$ . Indeed for  $p \geq 2$ , there is a boundary layer phenomenon at  $s = 0$  as  $\mu \rightarrow \Lambda(A)^+$  since  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} u_\mu(s) = \pi$  for all  $\mu > \Lambda(A)$  but  $u_\mu(s) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\mu \rightarrow \Lambda(A)^+$  uniformly on  $[t, 1]$  for all  $t \in (0, 1)$ .

However for all  $p \geq 0$ , we observe that the curve of centroids of the sections,  $r_\mu(s)$ , generated by the solution  $u_\mu$  converges uniformly on  $[0, 1]$  to the straight configuration  $(0, 1 - s)$  as  $\mu \rightarrow \Lambda(A)^+$ .

**THEOREM 3.1.** – *Let  $A$  be a profile with tapering of order  $p \geq 0$  and, for  $\mu > 0$ , set*

$$m(\mu) = \inf \{J_\mu(u) : u \in H_A\}.$$

(a)  $m : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a non-increasing Lipschitz continuous function with  $\lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0} m(\mu) = 0$  and  $\lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} m(\mu) = -\infty$ .

(b) There is an element  $u_\mu \in H_A$  such that  $J_\mu(u_\mu) = m(\mu)$  and  $u_\mu(s) \geq 0$  for all  $s \in (0, 1]$ .

(c)  $u_\mu$  is a solution of Problem P.

(d) For  $0 < \mu \leq \Lambda(A)$ ,  $m(\mu) = 0$ ,  $u_\mu \equiv 0$  and  $J_\mu(u) > 0$  for all  $u \in H_A \setminus \{0\}$ . For  $\mu > \Lambda(A)$ ,  $m(\mu) < 0$ ,  $0 < u_\mu(s) < \pi$  for all  $s \in (0, 1)$ ,  $u'_\mu(s) < 0$  for all  $s \in (0, 1]$  and  $\{u \in H_A : J_\mu(u) = m(\mu)\} = \{\pm u_\mu\}$ . Thus in both cases,  $u_\mu$  is uniquely determined by  $\mu$  for all  $\mu > 0$ .

(e) For  $\lambda > \mu > \Lambda(A)$ ,  $u_\lambda(s) > u_\mu(s)$  for all  $s \in (0, 1)$ .

(f) Setting  $U(\mu) = u_\mu$ , the function  $U : (0, \infty) \rightarrow H_A$  is continuous.

(g) If  $\{v_n\}$  is a solution of Problem P for  $\mu_n > \Lambda(A)$  where  $J_{\mu_n}(v_n) \leq 0$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n = \Lambda(A)$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_{\mu_n}(v_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v_n\|_A = 0$  and  $v_n \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $(0, 1]$ . In particular,  $\lim_{\mu \rightarrow \Lambda(A)^+} \|u_\mu\|_A = 0$ .

(h) If  $p \geq 2$ , then  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} u_\mu(s) = \pi$  for all  $\mu > \Lambda(A)$ .

(i) If  $0 \leq p < 2$ , then  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} u_\mu(s) < \pi$  for all  $\mu > \Lambda(A)$ , and  $\|u_\mu\|_{L^\infty(0,1)} \rightarrow 0$  as  $\mu \rightarrow \Lambda(A)^+$ .

(j) For any  $t \in (0, 1)$ ,  $u_\mu(s) \rightarrow \pi$  as  $\mu \rightarrow \infty$ , uniformly on  $(0, t]$ .

### 4. Subcritical tapering

We discuss all bifurcations from the trivial solution  $u \equiv 0$  in the case  $p < 2$ . In this case,  $\sigma(T) = \{\lambda_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{0\}$  and the singularity at  $s = 0$  is sufficiently weak so that we obtain global branches (in the sense of [5]) emanating from all of the eigenvalues  $\left\{ \mu_i = \frac{1}{\lambda_i} : i \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$  of the linearized problem.

Let

$$E = \{(\mu, u) \in \mathbb{R} \times H_A : u \neq 0 \text{ and } F(\mu, u) = 0\}.$$

and for  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , consider  $E_i = E \cup \{(\mu_i, 0)\}$  with the metric inherited from  $\mathbb{R} \times H_A$ . Let  $C_i$  denote the maximal connected subset of this space that contains the point

$(\mu_i, 0)$ . Let

$$C_i^+ = \{(\mu, u) \in C_i : u'(1) < 0\} \text{ and } C_i^- = \{(\mu, u) \in C_i : u'(1) > 0\}.$$

Clearly  $C_i^+ \cap C_i^- = \emptyset$  and  $C_i = C_i^+ \cup C_i^- \cup \{(\mu_i, 0)\}$ . We set  $P(\mu, u) = \mu$ .

**THEOREM 4.1.** – *Let  $A$  be a profile with tapering of order  $p < 2$  and let  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then*

(a)  $C_i^+$  is a connected subset of  $\mathbb{R} \times H_A$  and of  $\mathbb{R} \times C([0, 1])$ . Furthermore

$$C_i^- = \{(\mu, -u) : (\mu, u) \in C_i^+\} \text{ and } C_i^+ = \{(\mu, -u) : (\mu, u) \in C_i^-\}.$$

(b)  $u(0) \neq 0$  and  $u$  has exactly  $i$  zeros in  $[0, 1]$  for all  $(\mu, u) \in C_i^+$ .

(c)  $PC_i^+ = (\mu_i, \infty)$ .

(d)  $\|u\|_{L^\infty(0,1)} \in (0, \pi)$  for all  $(\mu, u) \in C_i^+$ .

(e) There is a function  $U \in C^1((\mu_1, \infty), H_A)$  such that

$C_1^+ = \{(\mu, U(\mu)) : \mu_1 < \mu < \infty\}$ . Furthermore  $U(\mu)$  is the energy minimizing solution  $u_\mu$  discussed in Theorem 3.1.

## 5. Critical tapering

For profiles with tapering of order  $p = 2$  the relationship between Problem P and its linearization is weaker than when  $p < 2$  since the function  $F : \mathbb{R} \times H_A \rightarrow H_A$  is not Fréchet differentiable at  $(\mu, 0)$  for any  $\mu > 0$ . Using a well-known result of Clark [4] concerning the genus of sets of critical points we find that every point in  $[L/4, \infty)$  is a bifurcation point. This kind of conclusion was first observed in [2,3] for a semilinear elliptic equation on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .

**THEOREM 5.1.** – *Let  $A$  be a profile with tapering of order 2 and consider  $\mu > L/4$ . For this value of  $\mu$ , there are infinitely many solutions  $\{u_k\}$  of Problem P with the property that  $|u_k(s)| < \pi$  for all  $s \in (0, 1]$ . Furthermore,  $\|u_k\|_A \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and the number of zeros of  $u_k$  tends to infinity as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . If the profile  $A$  is differentiable near 0 and  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} A'(s)/s$  exists, all non-trivial solutions of Problem P have only a finite number of zeros. On the other hand, for  $\mu > L/4$  all solutions of the linearized equation (5) have infinitely many zeros in  $[0, 1]$ .*

## Références bibliographiques

- [1] Antman S.S., Nonlinear Problems of Elasticity, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995
- [2] Benci V., Fortunato D. Does bifurcation from the essential spectrum occur ?, Comm. P.D.E., 6(1981), 249-272
- [3] Bongers A.L., Heinz H.P., Küpper T. Existence and bifurcation theorems for nonlinear elliptic eigenvalue problems on unbounded domains, J. Diff. Equat. 47(1983),327-357
- [4] Clark D.C. A variant of the Ljusternik - Schnirelman theory, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 22(1972), 65-74
- [5] Rabinowitz P.H. Some global results for nonlinear eigenvalue problems, J. Funct. Anal., 7(1971), 487-513
- [6] Stuart C.A. Buckling of a tapered Euler column, preprint