





Interchange motions and intermittent transport in TCV SOL plasmas

O. E. Garcia,^a R. A. Pitts,^b J. Horacek,^b A. H. Nielsen,^a W. Fundamenski,^c J. P. Graves,^b V. Naulin,^a J. Juul Rasmussen^a

^a Association EURATOM-Risø National Laboratory, OPL-128 Risø, DK-4000 Roskilde, Denmark

^b Centre de Recherches en Physique des Plasmas, Association EURATOM–Confédération Suisse, CRPP, EPFL, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

^c EURATOM/UKAEA Fusion Association, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, OX14 3DB, UK

TCV Experiment

Ohmically heated, 340 kA, density ramp pulses Single lower null magnetic configuration Two probe reciprocations for each experiment Line averaged densities of 4.5 and 11×10^{19} m⁻³



ESEL Turbulence Simulations

Two-dimensional domain at the outer midplane Vorticity, electron density and temperature evolution Collective motions driven by the non-uniform **B** field Linear SOL damping terms due to parallel transport Model parameters set by the high density TCV case Long time series recorded by an array of probes



Density and Vorticity Structures



Time-Averaged Density Profiles



Low density case (RCP1)

- steep profile in the vicinity of the separatrix
- broad profile in the outer half of the SOL

High density case (RCP2)

- broad profile throughout the main SOL region
- well matched by ESEL turbulence simulation

Exponential Density Scale Lengths



Exponential density profile scale length defined by

$$\lambda_n = -\frac{n}{\partial n/\partial r} = -\frac{1}{\partial \ln n/\partial r},$$

Low density case (RCP1)

- $\lambda_n \approx 0.5 \text{ cm}$ in near SOL and 2.3 cm in far SOL High density case (RCP2)
- $\lambda_n \approx 4.5 \,\mathrm{cm}$ for mid SOL and $3.8 \,\mathrm{cm}$ for all SOL

Relative Density Fluctuation Level



Relative fluctuation level of order unity in both cases The same radial variation in broad profile regions Well matched by ESEL turbulence simulation The same goes for skewness and flatness moments Even more goodies given in PPCF **48** L1 (2006)

Density Skewness and Flatness



Density Correlation Times



Correlation times increase radially outwards Also poloidal flow decreases radially outwards Consistent with blob dispersion and deceleration Well matched by ESEL turbulence simulation



Time series dominated by large-amplitude bursts Asymmetric shape with steep front and trailing wake Due to radial motion of blobs in the simulations Well matched by ESEL turbulence simulation

Turbulent Particle Flux Density



Factor 5 difference at LCFS between RCP1 & 2 Flux almost radially constant in high density case Well matched by ESEL turbulence simulation The same goes for the flux fluctuation statistics



Turbulent Flux Parameterization

Formally define an effective diffusion coefficient

$$\Gamma = -D_{\rm eff} \frac{\partial n}{\partial r} = \frac{n D_{\rm eff}}{\lambda_n}.$$

and an effective convective velocity

$$\Gamma = nV_{\text{eff}}.$$

Using the separatrix values for the high density case,

 $n\approx 2\times 10^{19}\,\mathrm{m}^{-3},\quad \Gamma\approx 3\times 10^{21}\,\mathrm{m}^{-2}\,\mathrm{s}^{-1}\quad \lambda_n\approx 4\,\mathrm{cm},$

yields the transport coefficients

$$D_{\rm eff} = 6 \,\mathrm{m}^2 \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}, \qquad V_{\rm eff} = 150 \,\mathrm{m} \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}.$$

In comparison, $D_{\text{Bohm}} = 1 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$.

Effective Diffusion



 D_{eff} defined by $\lambda_n \Gamma/n$

Strong radial variation of $D_{\rm eff}$ for both cases RCP1 & 2 differs both in shape and magnitude RCP2 well matched by ESEL turbulence simulation

Effective Convection



 $V_{\rm eff}$ defined by Γ/n

Strong radial variation for low density case $V_{\rm eff}$ roughly constant for the high density case Same value of $V_{\rm eff}$ in the region with broad profiles RCP2 well matched by ESEL turbulence simulation

Effective Diffusion and Convection

Assume a simple linear combination of diffusion and convection,

$$\Gamma = -\widehat{D}_{\rm eff}\frac{\partial n}{\partial r} + n\widehat{V}_{\rm eff}.$$

Ratio of the particle flux and number density becomes

$$\frac{\Gamma}{n} = \widehat{V}_{\text{eff}} - \frac{\widehat{D}_{\text{eff}}}{n} \frac{\partial n}{\partial r} = \widehat{V}_{\text{eff}} + \frac{\widehat{D}_{\text{eff}}}{\lambda_n}$$

For constant coefficients a plot of $\Gamma/n \text{ vs } 1/\lambda_n$ gives

- \widehat{D}_{eff} from the slope of the curve
- $\widehat{V}_{\rm eff}$ from the intersection with the ordinate

Effective Diffusion and Convection II



Experimental data reveal no linear curve

There even seems to be no functional dependence No reliable flux parameterization exists for these data Contradicts the traditional flux–gradient paradigm Not surprising given $n_{\rm rms} \sim n$ and $\lambda_{\rm correlation} \sim \lambda_n$ No theoretical foundation for effective diffusion

Conclusions

Time-averaged plasma profiles in the TCV SOL show a behavior with increasing line averaged density like many other experiments: The profile becomes broader in both scale length and radial extent.

Despite this, the fluctuation statistics in the region of broad plasma profiles remain the same, again manifesting the universal statistical properties seen in TCV probe time series.

Two-dimensional interchange turbulence simulations are in quantitative agreement with experimental measurements and reveal intermittent plasma transport due to radial motion of plasma filaments.

For the TCV SOL data analyzed here, there does not seem to be any reliable parameterization of the turbulent flux in terms of effective diffusion and convection coefficients.

References

TCV Experiments

Czech. J. Phys. **55** 271 (2005) Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion **47** L1 (2005) Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion **48** L1 (2006)

ESEL Simulations

Phys. Rev. Lett **92** 165003 (2004) Phys. Plasmas **12** 062309 (2005) Physica Scripta **T122** 89 (2006)

Isolated Blob Theory

Phys. Plasmas **10** 671 (2003) Phys. Plasmas **12** 090701 (2005)

Preprint Sign Up