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## Comort Cutting AMant

## Gomory cutting planes

$P=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid A x \leqslant b\right\}$ polyhedron, $c^{T} x \leqslant \delta, c \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ valid for $P$.
Then: $c^{T} x \leqslant\lfloor\delta\rfloor$ valid for integer hull $P_{I}$ of $P$. (Gomory 1958, Chvátal 1973)
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## Chvátal closure

Inequality $c^{T} x \leqslant \delta$ valid for $P=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid A x \leqslant b\right\}$ if and only if there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0}^{n}$ with $\lambda^{T} A=c$ and $\lambda^{T} b \leqslant \delta$

## Chvátal closure：

$$
P^{\prime}=P \bigcap_{\substack{\lambda \geq 0 \\ \lambda^{T} A \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}}} \lambda^{T} A x \leqslant\left\lfloor\lambda^{T} b\right\rfloor .
$$

（Chvátal 1973）
$P^{\prime}$ is polyhedron，if $P$ is rational Optimizing over $P^{\prime}$ is NP－hard
（Schrijver 1980），（Chvátal 1973）
（E．1999）

## Matching: A case where $P_{I}=P^{\prime}$

## Matching



Matching polytope $P(G)$ : Convex hull of incidence vectors of matchings of $G$.

Which kind of inequalities describe
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## The fractional matching polytope



- $\forall e \in E: x(e) \geqslant 0$
- $\forall v \in V: \sum_{e \in \delta(v)} x(e) \leqslant 1$


## The matching polytope

Theorem (Edmonds 65). The matching polytope is described by the following inequalities:
i) $x(e) \geqslant 0$ for each $e \in E$,
ii) $\sum_{e \in \delta(v)} x(e) \leqslant 1$ for each $v \in V$,
iii) $\quad \sum_{e \in E(U)} x(e) \leqslant\lfloor|U| / 2\rfloor$ for each $U \subseteq V$


## The matching polytope

Theorem (Edmonds 65). The matching polytope is described by the following inequalities:
i) $x(e) \geqslant 0$ for each $e \in E$,
ii) $\sum_{e \in \delta(v)} x(e) \leqslant 1$ for each $v \in V$,
iii) $\quad \sum_{e \in E(U)} x(e) \leqslant\lfloor|U| / 2\rfloor$ for each $U \subseteq V$ Gomory Cut!


## Stable Sets: A generalization of Matching

## Stable Sets

Stable set: Subset of pairwise non-adjacent nodes

A stable set of a line graph is a matching of the original graph.


## Claw-Free Graphs

Theorem (Minty 1980, Nakamura \& Tamura 2001). The maximum weight stable set problem of a claw-free graph can be solved in polynomial time.
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Theorem (Minty 1980, Nakamura \& Tamura 2001). The maximum weight stable set problem of a claw-free graph can be solved in polynomial time.


Which kind of inequalities describe $\operatorname{STAB}(G)$ if $G$ is claw-free ? (Gröschtel, Lovász \& Schrijver 1987)

As of today: There is even no conjecture!

## Quasi-Line Graphs

$G$ is quasi-line if neighborhoods of nodes decompose into two cliques
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## Quasi-Line Graphs

$G$ is quasi-line if neighborhoods of nodes decompose into two cliques


Line graphs $\subset$ quasi-line graphs $\subset$ claw-free graphs

Which kind of inequalities describe $\operatorname{STAB}(G)$ if $G$ is quasi-line ?

Gomory cuts are not enough!

## Bad news first: Inequalities are not 0/1

- For each natural number $a$, there exists a quasi-line graph, whose stable set polytope has a normal vector with coefficients $a / a+1$ (Giles \& Trotter 1981)
- Facets cannot be interpreted as subsets of nodes


## Clique family inequalities

- $F$ is family of cliques
- $\quad p \geqslant 1$ a natural number and $r$ remainder of $|F| / p$
- $V_{p-1}$ : Vertices contained in $p-1$ cliques of $F$
- $\quad V_{\geqslant p}$ : Vertices contained in at least $p$ cliques of $F$

$$
(p-r-1) \cdot \sum_{v \in V_{p-1}} x(v)+(p-r) \cdot \sum_{v \in V_{\geqslant p}} x(v) \leqslant(p-r) \cdot\left\lfloor\frac{|F|}{p}\right\rfloor
$$

## A generalization of Edmond's inequalities



$$
(2-1-1) \cdot \sum_{v \in \bullet} x(v)+(2-1) \cdot \sum_{v \in \bullet} x(v) \leqslant(2-1) \cdot\left\lfloor\frac{|F|}{2}\right\rfloor
$$

## Ben Rebea's conjecture

Conjecture. If $G$ is quasi-line, then $\operatorname{STAB}(G)$ can be characterized by positiveness inequalities, clique inequalitites and clique family inequalities.

Clique family inequalities are split cuts!

## Split Cuts

## Splits

Split: Tuple $\left(\pi, \pi_{0}\right), \pi \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}, \pi_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}$.
$P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ polyhedron: $P^{\left(\pi, \pi_{0}\right)}=\operatorname{conv}\left(P \cap\left(\pi x \leqslant \pi_{0}\right), P \cap\left(\pi x \geqslant \pi_{0}+1\right)\right)$.
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## Split closure

Split closure:

$$
P^{s}=\bigcap_{\left(\pi, \pi_{0}\right) \text { split }} P^{\left(\pi, \pi_{0}\right)} .
$$

$P^{s}$ is polyhedron if $P$ is rational
Split cut: Inequality valid for $P^{s}$
(Cook, Kannan \& Schrijver (1990)
Special case of disjunctive cut (Balas 1979)

AKA: Gomory mixed integer cut, Mixed integer rounding cut.
(Nehmhauser \& Wolsey 1988, 1990), (Cornuéjols \& Li 2001),
(Andersen, Cornuéjols \& Li 2002)

## Proving Ben Rebea's conjecture

## Outline

- Decomposition theorem for quasi-line graphs
(Chudnovsky, Seymour 04)
- Either a composition of fuzzy linear interval graphs (1)
- or a fuzzy circular interval graph (2)
- Edmonds' inequalities are enough for class (1)
(Chudnovsky, Seymour 04)
- Reduction from class (2) to the class of circular interval graphs A facet of a fuzzy circular interval graph $G=(V, E)$ is also a facet of $G^{\prime}=\left(V, E^{\prime} \subset E\right)$ with $G^{\prime}$ a circular interval graph
- Establish the conjecture for circular interval graphs


## Stable sets of circular interval graphs

- Circular interval graphs

- Packing problem

| $\max \quad \sum_{v \in V} c(v) x(v)$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\left(\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}1 & 1 & 1 & & & & & & & \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & & & & & \\ & & 1 & 1 & 1 & & & & \\ & & & 1 & 1 & 1 & & & & \\ & & & & & 1 & 1 & 1 & & \\ 1 & & & & & & 1 & \\ 1 & 1 & & & & & & & \\ \hline\end{array}\right.$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| s.t. | $A x$ | $\leqslant$ | 1 |  | e.g. $A=$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $x(v)$ | $\epsilon$ | $\{0,1\}$ | $\forall v \in V$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

where the matrix $A$ is a circular ones matrix

## Totally unimodular transformation

- Totally unimodular transformation (Bartholdi, Orlin, Ratliff 80)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=T y \text {, where } T=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
{ }_{-1} & 1 & & \\
& -1 & 1 & \\
& & \ddots & \\
& & & 1 \\
& & -11
\end{array}\right) \\
& \text { Let } P=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \left\lvert\,\binom{ A}{-I} x \leqslant\binom{ 1}{0}\right.\right\}, Q=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \left\lvert\,\binom{ A}{-I} T y \leqslant\binom{ 1}{0}\right.\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Effect of T on a circular ones matrix

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Slicing the polytope

- The structure of $Q$

$$
Q=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \left\lvert\,\binom{ A}{-I} T y \leqslant\binom{ 1}{0}\right.\right\}=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \left\lvert\,(N \mid v) y \leqslant\binom{ 1}{0}\right.\right\}
$$

where $N$ is "almost" a arc-node incidence matrix

- Slicing $Q$ and $P$
- $N$ totally unimodular $\Longrightarrow$
$\forall \beta \in \mathbb{N}, Q_{\beta}=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \left\lvert\,(N \mid v) y \leqslant\binom{ 1}{0}\right., y(n)=\beta\right\}$ is integral
- $\quad T$ totally unimodular $\Longrightarrow$
$\forall \beta \in \mathbb{N}, P \cap\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}=\beta\right\}$ is integral


## Slicing the polytope
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$$
Q_{\beta}=Q \cap y(n)=\beta
$$

## Slicing the polytope



$$
Q_{\beta}=Q \cap y(n)=\beta
$$

## Separation problem

- Separating a point $y$ lying between two slices $Q_{\beta}$ and $Q_{\beta+1}$.
- Reduce to a minimum circulation problem in the auxiliary graph defined by $(N,-N)$

- Detection of negative cost simple cycles


## The separation problem

- Given $y^{*}$ with $y^{*}(n)=\beta+(1-\mu), 0<\mu<1$
- $y^{*} \in Q_{I}$ if and only if there exist $y_{L} \in Q_{\beta}$ and $y_{R} \in Q_{\beta+1}$ such that

$$
y^{*}=\mu y_{L}+(1-\mu) y_{R}
$$

- If and only if following system is feasible:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{y^{*}} & =\overline{y_{L}}+\overline{y_{R}} \\
N \overline{y_{L}} & \leqslant \mu d_{L} \\
N \overline{y_{R}} & \leqslant(1-\mu) d_{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $d_{L}=\binom{1}{0}-\beta v$ and $d_{R}=\binom{1}{0}-(\beta+1) v$.

## Using duality

- If and only if the following flow problem has no negative solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
\min -f_{L} N \overline{y^{*}}+\mu & f_{L} d_{L}+(1-\mu) f_{R} d_{R} \\
f_{L} N & =f_{R} N \\
f_{L}, f_{R} & \geqslant 0
\end{aligned}
$$

## The structure of the facets

- Let $x^{*}$ in the relative interior of facet with $\sum x^{*}(i)=\beta+1 / 2$
- Facet is uniquely determined by cycle of zero weight with edge weights given by

$$
\left(s^{*}+\frac{1}{2} v\right) f_{L}+\left(s^{*}-\frac{1}{2} v\right) f_{R}
$$

where $s^{*}$ is the slack vector

$$
s^{*}=\binom{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{0}}-\binom{A}{-I} x^{*} \geqslant \mathbf{0} .
$$

## The network

- Row:


$$
A=\{i, i+1, \ldots, i+p\}
$$

$$
\text { Arc in } S_{L}:(i+p, i-1)
$$

Weight:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1-\sum_{j \in A} x^{*}(j) \text { if } n \notin A \\
& 1-\sum_{j \in A} x^{*}(j)+1 / 2 \text { if } \\
& n \in A
\end{aligned}
$$

- Lower bound: $x(l) \geqslant 0$

Arc in $\mathcal{T}_{L}:(l-1, l)$ Weight:
$x^{*}(l)$ if $l \neq n$
$x^{*}(l)-1 / 2$ if $l \neq n$

## The main lemma

Lemma. If there exists a simple cycle $C$ of cost 0 , then there exists a simple cycle $C^{\prime}$ of cost 0 such that it does not contain any arc stemming from a clique in the left derivation $f_{L}$.

## Sketch of proof



## SSP of quasi-line graphs

Theorem (E, Oriolo, Ventura, Stauffer 2005). The stable set polytope of quasi-line graphs can be characterized by:
(i) positiveness inequalities
(ii) clique inequalitites
(iii) clique family inequalities

SSP of quasi-line graphs is split closure of fractional SSP.

## Split-Cut faliftriel

## Number of facets

- Chvátal closure has polynomial number of facets in fixed dimension (Bockmayr \& Eisenbrand 2001)
- Separation of Gomory-Chvátal cutting planes is a MIP (Fischetti \& Lodi 2005)

> Is $P^{s}$ polynomial in fixed dimension?
> Can we efficiently separate split cuts in fixed dimension?

## Claw-Free graphs

Still there is no conjecture about the SSP of claw-free graphs.

What is the split-rank of claw-free graphs ? Is it one?

## More rank questions

Chvátal rank is finite (Chvátal 1973, Schrijiver 1980) but can be arbitrarily large; already in dimension 2
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## More rank questions

Chvátal rank is finite (Chvátal 1973, Schrijver 1980) but can be arbitrarily large; already in dimension 2


Are there such bad examples in dimension 2 for the split closure ?

