Optimization of Container Terminal Operations

Ilaria Vacca, Michel Bierlaire, Matteo Salani

Transport and Mobility Laboratory

École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne

5th Joint OR Days - Zurich 27.08.2007

TRANSP-OR

ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE FÉDÉRALE DE LAUSANNE

Outline

- Introduction
- Terminal overview
- Terminal operations
- Yard optimization
- Transshipment
- Conclusions

Introduction

- Growth of container sea-freight transportation
- Competition among terminals in terms of:
  - Service (ship's turnaround time)
  - Productivity (TEUs per year)
- Issues: traffic, congestion and capacity limits
- OR techniques to improve the efficiency of terminal operations

Terminal Overview



Terminal Operations

- Ship-to-Shore

  Berth Allocation; Quay Cranes Scheduling; Ship Loading Plan.

- Transfer

  Quay-Yard; Yard-Yard; Yard-Gate.

- Storage

  Yard Management (Block and Bay Allocation); Yard Crane Deployment

- Delivery and Receipt

  Gate management; Interface with trains and trucks.

In addition to the traditional flow: transshipment containers, empty containers and human resources management.

Vis and de Koster (2003); Steenken et al. (2004); Henesey (2006)

Yard Overview

The yard serves as a buffer for loading, unloading and transshipping containers.

The yard is separated into blocks. The position of the container inside a block is identified by bay, row and tier.



Yard Optimization

- Storage policies for groups of containers at block and bay level, in order to:
  - balance the workload among blocks;
  - minimize the total distance covered to shift containers from quay to yard.

  de Castilho and Daganzo (1993); Kim et al. (2000); Kim and Park (2003); Zhang et al. (2003); Kim and Hong (2006); Kang et al. (2006); Lee et al. (2006).
- Re-marshalling of containers according the ship loading plan, in order to:
  - speed-up loading operations and thus minimize ship's turnaround time.

  Kim and Bae (1998); Lee and Hsu (in press).
- Yard cranes deployment (allocation of cranes among blocks, routing and scheduling of operations), in order to:
  - minimize the completion time of jobs.

  Kim and Kim (1997); Linn et al. (2003); Zhang et al. (2002); Kim et al. (2003); Ng and Mak (2005); Ng (2005); Kim et al. (2006); Jung and Kim (2006).

Issues in Yard Management

The yard is usually the bottleneck of the terminal.

Traffic, congestion and capacity issues originate from here.

Main issue: the “schedule” of the outgoing flow is unknown to the terminal.

- Import/export terminals: yard management is strictly connected to gate operations (trucks and trains).
- Transshipment terminals: yard management is strictly connected to mother vessels and feeders.

Transshipment

- Players in transshipment: mother vessels and feeders;
- Peculiarities of the transshipment flow:
  - known arrival and departure positions;
  - known arrival and departure times;
  - concurrency of loading and unloading operations.
- Definition of new transshipment-related problems:
  - Service Allocation Problem *(Cordeau et al., 2007)*;
  - Group Allocation Problem *(Moccia and Astorino, June 2007)*.
  - Short Sea Shipping: recent study on barge rotation planning in the port of Rotterdam *(Douma et al., June 2007)*.

The Service Allocation Problem

Cordeau et al. (2007)

- Tactical problem (3-month horizon) arising in yard management of transshipment terminals (case study: port of Gioia Tauro, Italy);
- A service (also called port route) is the sequence of ports visited by a vessel;
- Services periodically call at the terminal: they need to be assigned a favorite area along the quayside and in the yard;
- Service allocation has an impact on the number of handling operations inside the yard (housekeeping).



The Service Allocation Problem

- N, the set of services, $|N| = n$;
- M, the set of bays, $|M| = m$;
- t_{ij}, the traffic intensity between service $i \in N$ and $j \in N$;
- q_i, the space requirement of service $i \in N$;
- Q_k, the space available at bay $k \in M$;
- c_i, the average number of crane moves required for service $i \in N$;
- C_k, the average number of crane moves allowed at bay $k \in M$;
- $M(i)$, the set of feasible bay assignments for service $i \in N$;
- d_{hk}, the distance between bay $h \in M$ and bay $k \in M$.

- $x_{ik} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if service } i \text{ is assigned to bay } k; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

The Service Allocation Problem

$$min \sum_{i \in N} \sum_{j \in N} \sum_{h \in M} \sum_{k \in M} t_{ij} d_{hk} x_{ih} x_{jk} \quad (1)$$

$$s.t. \sum_{k \in M(i)} x_{ik} = 1 \ \forall i \in N, \quad (2)$$

$$\sum_{i \in N} q_i x_{ik} \leq Q_k \ \forall k \in M, \quad (3)$$

$$\sum_{i \in N} c_i x_{ik} \leq C_k \ \forall k \in M, \quad (4)$$

$$x_{ik} \in \{0, 1\} \ \forall i \in N, \forall k \in M. \quad (5)$$

The Group Allocation Problem

Moccia and Astorino (June 2007).

- Operational problem arising in yard management of transshipment terminals (case study: port of Gioia Tauro, Italy);
- A container group is a set of container of same type, same origin, same destination;
- Arrival/departure times and arrival/departure positions along the quay are known in advance (input: Berth Allocation Plan);
- Objective: minimize housekeeping.

Transshipment: A New Approach

- Several players: terminal, mother vessels and feeders;
- Negotiation between terminal and feeders on the arrival time;
- Integration of berth and block allocation;
- Objectives: minimize total distance quay-yard; minimize congestion in yard blocks; balance workload among blocks.

Research plan on 2 levels:

1. Optimization framework for the simultaneous assignment of berths and blocks with feasible scheduling of feeders;
2. Definition of ad-hoc pricing policies to support the terminal in the negotiation with feeders.

Conclusions

- OR techniques are worth being applied to improve the efficiency of terminal operations.
- Focus on yard management and its interactions with:
  - gate operations;
  - transshipment flow.
- A new approach in the management of transshipment operations.
- Investigation of possible negotiation and cooperation between the terminal and the other market players.

References

Cordeau, J., Gaudioso, M., Laporte, G. and Moccia, L. (2007). The service allocation problem at the gioia tauro maritime terminal, *European Journal of Operational Research* **176**: 1167–1184.

de Castilho, B. and Daganzo, C. (1993). Handling strategies for import containers at marine terminals, *Transportation Research Part B* **27**: 151–166.

Douma, A., Schuur, P. and Schutten, M. (June 2007). Barge rotation planning and quay scheduling in the port of rotterdam, *TRISTAN VI - Sixth Triennal Symposium on Transportation Analysis*.

Henesey, L. (2006). *Multi-agent Container Terminal Management*, PhD thesis, Karlshamn, Blekinge Institute of Technology.

Jung, S. and Kim, K. (2006). Load scheduling for multiple quay cranes in port container terminals, *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing* **17**: 479–492.

Kang, J., Ryu, K. and Kim, K. (2006). Deriving stacking strategies for export containers with uncertain weight information, *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing* **17**: 399410.

Kim, K. and Bae, J. (1998). Re-marshaling export containers in port container terminals, *Computers and Industrial Engineering* **35**: 655–658.

Kim, K. and Hong, G.-P. (2006). A heuristic rule for relocating blocks, *Computers and Operations Research* **33**: 940–954.

Kim, K. and Kim, K. (1997). A routing algorithm for a single transfer crane to load export containers onto a containership, *Computers and Industrial Engineering* **33**: 673–676.

Kim, K., Lee, K. and Hwang, H. (2003). Sequencing delivery and receiving operations for yard cranes in port container terminals, *International Journal of Production Economics* **84**: 283–292.

Kim, K., Lee, S.-J., Park, Y.-M., Yang, C. and Bae, J. (2006). Dispatching yard cranes in port container terminals, *TRB Transportation Research Board Annual Meeting*.

Kim, K. and Park, Y.-M. (2003). A note on a dynamic space-allocation method for outbound containers, *European Journal of Operational Research* **148**: 92–101.

Kim, K., Park, Y.-M. and Ryu, K. (2000). Deriving decision rules to locate export containers in container yards, *European Journal of Operational Research* **124**: 89–101.

Lee, L., Chew, E., Tan, K. and Han, Y. (2006). An optimization model for storage yard management in transshipment hubs, *OR Spectrum* **28**: 539–561.

Lee, Y. and Hsu, N.-Y. (in press). An optimization model for the container pre-marshalling problem, *Computers and Operations Research* .

Linn, R., Liu, J., Wan, Y.-W., Zhang, C. and Murty, K. (2003). Rubber tired gantry crane deployment for container yard operation, *Computers and Industrial Engineering* **45**: 429–442.

Moccia, L. and Astorino, A. (June 2007). The group allocation problem in a transshipment container terminal (working paper), *World Conference on Transportation Research, Berkeley*.

Ng, W. (2005). Crane scheduling in container yards with inter-crane interference, *European Journal of Operational Research* **164**: 64–78.

Ng, W. and Mak, K. (2005). Yard crane scheduling in port container terminals, *Applied Mathematical Modelling* **29**: 263–276.

Steenken, D., Voss, S. and Stahlbock, R. (2004). Container terminal operation and operations research - a classification and literature review, *OR Spectrum* **26**: 3–49.

Vis, I. and de Koster, R. (2003). Transshipment of containers at a container terminal: An overview, *European Journal of Operational Research* **147**: 1–16.

Zhang, C., Liu, J., Wan, Y.-W., Murty, K. and Linn, R. (2003). Storage space allocation in container terminals, *Transportation Research Part B* **37**: 883–903.

Zhang, C., Wan, Y.-W., Liu, J. and Linn, R. (2002). Dynamic crane deployment in container storage yards, *Transportation Research Part B* **36**: 537–555.