

1 Optical microscopy using a glass microsphere 2 for metrology of sub-wavelength nanostructures

3 Hui Yang* and Martin A.M. Gijs

4 Laboratory of Microsystems, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, CH-1015
5 Lausanne, SWITZERLAND

6

7 Abstract

8 A technique that allows direct optical imaging of nanostructures and determines quantitatively
9 geometric nanofeatures beyond the classical diffraction limit by using high-refractive index glass
10 microspheres is introduced. The glass microsphere is put on a nanostructure that is immersed in
11 oil and collects the sample's near-field evanescent wave and transforms it into a propagating one,
12 thereby generating a magnified image in the far-field which is recorded by a conventional oil-
13 immersion microscope objective. Experimental results on nanostructures demonstrates a
14 resolution of $\sim \lambda/4 - \lambda/7$, where λ is the illumination wavelength, by using a 60 μm glass
15 microsphere and a normal wideband halogen lamp as illumination source. A two-dimensional
16 numerical numerical study of the light propagation through a glass microsphere using finite
17 element method (FEM) is performed, providing key insight into the microsphere's superior
18 imaging capability.

1

2 **Keywords:** nanometrology, optical microscopy, super-resolution, microsphere

3 *Corresponding author. Tel: +41-21-6936815; fax: +41-21-6935950. *E-mail address:*

4 hui.yang@epfl.ch

5

6 Introduction

7 The conventional optical microscope is one of the most important scientific instruments; it has
8 been used extensively for research in different branches of science for decades. However, it has a
9 strict limitation in spatial resolution. Due to the diffraction of light, the minimum size that can be
10 resolved in a sample is around one half of the wavelength of illumination or a few hundred
11 nanometers in the visible(infrared) wavelength region, this constraint of optical microscopy is
12 well-known as Abbe's diffraction limit [1]. How to break the diffraction barrier has become the
13 vital concern for achieving super-resolution. It is well known that microspheres that are
14 significantly larger than the illumination wavelength can be treated as focusing lenses [2-4], as
15 the illumination light can be highly focused by the microsphere into an extremely small region,
16 i.e. a photonic nanojet. The photonic nanojet has high field enhancement, sub-wavelength
17 transverse dimension, low divergence, and is located in immediate vicinity of the rear surface of
18 the microsphere [5-9]. On a fundamental level, the focus spot size, i.e. the transverse dimension
19 of a photonic nanojet for a microsphere lens, is at the basis of the super-resolution imaging
20 capability of microsphere-based optical microscopy [10-13]. Therefore, microspheres hold great
21 potential to break the diffraction limit and achieve super-resolution [14-16]. The imaging
22 capability of a microsphere depends on parameters, including the refractive index ratio of the
23 microsphere and its surrounding medium, the illumination wavelength, and its size [17-19]. By
24 carefully tuning these parameters, the microspheres show the capability of imaging nano-objects


1 with super-resolution. Moreover, microspheres formed from melts yield exquisitely smooth
2 surfaces that provide superior optical characteristics. Such microspheres are inexpensive and are
3 available in a range of sizes and materials [20]. These properties make the microspheres suitable
4 for achieving super-resolution imaging. In our study, the use of barium titanate glass
5 microspheres for facile and affordable super-resolution imaging of nanometer size objects is
6 proposed and the resolution capability of the microsphere is studied numerically by a FEM
7 simulation of the photonic nanojet phenomenon.

8

9 Experimental

10 The high-refractive index ($n_p = 1.92$) barium titanate glass microspheres with diameter of 60 μm
11 is used as microlenses to achieve imaging of nanostructures with super-resolution, and the
12 potential of the technique is demonstrated by resolving the sub-diffraction features of
13 nanopatterns. In the experiments, the microspheres are simply put on a sample, projecting the
14 sample's near-field nano-features into the far-field, generating a magnified virtual image. Both
15 the microsphere and the sample are immersed in oil. A halogen lamp with full visible spectrum
16 (400-750 nm) is used as the illumination source. A 63 \times oil immersion objective with numerical
17 aperture (NA) of 1.4 is used for illumination and observation at the same time. When looking
18 through the microsphere into its virtual image plane, sub-diffraction-limited features become
19 visible and can be imaged by using a conventional optical microscope mounted with a CCD
20 camera. A schematic of the microsphere combined with the microscope objective is illustrated in
21 Fig. 1. In this case, the optical super-resolution imaging can be achieved in a simple
22 implementation just by locating the microsphere on the object surface of interest.

23



1

2 **Figure 1.** Diagram of the virtual image formation by a microsphere nanoscope. A microsphere is
 3 located on top of the sample and generates a virtual image in the far-field.


4

5 Results and discussion

6 To demonstrate enhanced spatial resolution, we investigate the super-resolution capability of the
 7 microspheres with diameter of 60 μm on subdiffraction nanostructures. Fig. 2 shows scanning
 8 electron microscope (SEM) graph (see Fig. 2(a)) and optical images of 120 nm-wide silicon line
 9 structures, with 100 nm inter-spacing. For comparison, the optical images obtained by the oil
 10 immersion objective along and with a glass microsphere are shown in Fig. 2(b) and 2(c),
 11 respectively. The lateral resolution limit of a conventional optical microscope is expressed as
 12 Rayleigh resolution_{x-y} = $0.61\lambda/\text{NA}$ [21]. For the oil immersion objective used in the experiments,
 13 this limit is estimated to be 174 nm for the lowest visible wavelength $\lambda = 400$ nm. When no
 14 microsphere is used, the oil immersion objective alone cannot resolve line structures of Fig. 2(a)
 15 indeed (see Fig. 2(b)). On the other hand, when the microsphere is placed on top of the sample,
 16 the individual lines with width ~ 100 nm are clearly resolved (see Fig. 2(c)), demonstrating an
 17 experimental resolution between $\lambda/4$ ($\lambda = 400$ nm) and $\lambda/7$ ($\lambda = 750$ nm) in the visible spectrum

1 range. The virtual image is magnified by a factor of 2.8. A narrow bandwidth filter in front of the
 2 halogen lamp can actually help to narrow down the illumination spectral range, minimize the
 3 chromatic aberration and enhance the image contrast. It is envisaged that a resolution better than
 4 100 nm can be achieved with a band-pass filter in the blue wavelength region. Moreover, the sub-
 5 diffraction limited features are resolved the best at the center of the microsphere nanoscope. We
 6 define the diameter of the area on the image plane without clear distortion as the field-of-view
 7 (FOV), it increases with the feature size of the nanopatterns (see Fig. 3), for ~ 100 nm patterns,
 8 the FOV is $\sim 15 \mu\text{m}$, while it is $\sim 30 \mu\text{m}$ for 200 nm patterns. Since we obtain a magnified circular
 9 imaging area without distortion of typically $15 \mu\text{m}$ in diameter in Fig 3(a), this corresponds to a
 10 (non-magnified) sample area of $22.5 \mu\text{m}^2$. It should be noted that these images are taken using a
 11 commonly used $63\times$ oil immersion objective with $\text{NA} = 1.4$, which is able to monitor a sample
 12 over a FOV of $\sim 143 \mu\text{m} \times 107 \mu\text{m}$ for observing simultaneously an ensemble of 5 microsphere
 13 nanoscopes, this yields an effective sample super-resolution imaging area of $112.5 \mu\text{m}^2$.


14



15

16 **Figure 2.** Measurement of nanometer-size objects by both SEM (a) and by optical microscopy (b,
 17 c) using a microsphere and $63\times$ oil immersion objective ($\text{NA} = 1.4$). (a) The SEM image is
 18 obtained from an etched silicon nanostructure having a 120 nm-wide line pattern with 100 nm
 19 inter-spacing. (b) The focal plane of the oil immersion objective coincides with the nanopatterns.
 20 Hence, on this picture, the microspheres are out of focus. The insert is a $5\times$ magnified image on
 21 an area outside the microsphere, clearly showing that the conventional oil immersion objective
 22 cannot resolve the nanopatterns with a feature size of ~ 100 nm. (c) The corresponding optical
 23 image obtained by focusing through the microsphere onto the virtual image plane. It shows that
 24 objects are magnified $2.8\times$ due to the use of the microsphere and the ~ 100 nm features are best
 25 resolved in a central region of the microsphere ($\sim 15 \mu\text{m}$ range).

1



2

3 **Figure 3.** Measurement of nanopatterns with different feature sizes obtained by optical
4 microscopy using a 60 μm microsphere nanoscope and a 63 \times oil immersion objective (NA = 1.4),
5 showing that the FOV, over which the line pattern is visible without clear distortion, increases
6 with the feature size that is to be resolved. (a,b,c) Images obtained through a microsphere
7 nanoscope on 120 nm-wide lines with 100 nm interspacing, 150 nm-wide lines with 150 nm
8 interspacing, as well as 200 nm-wide lines with 200 nm interspacing, respectively. (d) The
9 experiment shows that the FOV linearly increases with the feature size of the nanopattern beneath
10 the microsphere. The data are obtained from 50 measurements, points represent the average and
11 error bars the variance, while dashed line is guide to the eye.

12

13 We further perform a FEM simulation to study the electromagnetic field distribution in the
14 vicinity of a dielectric microsphere and provide insight into the mechanism of its superior
15 imaging capability. In particular, the RF module in the FEM software COMSOL Multiphysics

1 (version 4.2) is used to study the electric fields from Maxwell's vector wave equation in the glass
2 microsphere ($n_p = 1.92$) and the surrounding oil ($n_m = 1.52$). Hence the governing equation is


3
$$\nabla \times \mu_r^{-1}(\nabla \times \mathbf{E}) - k_0^2 \left(\epsilon_r - \frac{j\sigma}{\omega\epsilon_0} \right) \mathbf{E} = 0 \quad (1)$$

4 where μ_r is the relative permeability, ϵ_r is the relative permittivity, ϵ_0 is the vacuum permittivity, σ
5 is the electric conductivity, ω is the angular frequency and k_0 is the free-space wave number and
6 $k_0 = \omega\sqrt{\mu_0\epsilon_0}$. Maxwell's equations are solved in all the sub-domains of different materials in the
7 model. For the refractive index model, the assumptions based on the material properties are $\mu_r =$
8 1 , $\sigma = 0$ and $\epsilon_r = n^2$. Equation (1) is reduced to

9
$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{E}) - k_0^2 n^2 \mathbf{E} = 0 \quad (2)$$

10 where n is the refractive index of the material. No electromagnetic loss in the media further
11 constrains the index from having an imaginary part. A harmonic propagation analysis with free
12 space wavelength of 600 nm is used to solve the dependent variable E_z . The mathematical model
13 is incomplete without adding constraints that actually introduce continuity or discontinuity in the
14 electric field solutions at the mesh nodes in the interface between two media. In the model, the
15 scattering boundary condition is used at all exterior boundaries, except an electric field is set on a
16 boundary 2 μm away from the microsphere surface as the illumination source. All the interior
17 boundaries are of course mandate continuous solutions. The point on the rear-surface of the
18 microsphere which is furthest away from the illumination source is set as the origin of the model.
19 To solve the wavelength finely enough with the mesh, the maximum element size of the
20 triangular mesh is chosen as one eighth of the wavelength in the medium. After the model is
21 solved, the distribution of the light intensity is obtained by multiplying the electric field by its
22 complex conjugate, giving the result of Fig. 4(a). From the simulation result, the microsphere
23 holds the capability to focus the radiation into an extremely small region with a waist smaller than
24 the diffraction limit and enhances the signal on the rear-side of the microsphere, effectively

1 producing the photonic nanojet. On a fundamental level, the strongly reduced transverse
2 dimension of the photonic nanojet directly indicates the super-resolution capability of the
3 microsphere nanoscope. Fig. 4(b) shows the calculated focal waist of the photonic nanojet
4 normalized by the wavelength, as function of the microsphere diameter. When the size of the
5 microsphere decreases, the focal waist of the nanojet decreases as well, and the photonic nanojet
6 moves to the rear-surface of the microsphere. When the nanojet is formed close to the
7 microsphere surface, i. e. close to the sample, this will be beneficial for the resolution and
8 magnification. The position of the photonic nanojet is decided by the relationship between the
9 size of the microsphere and the refractive indices of the dielectric materials. By properly selecting
10 the optical properties of the microsphere material and its size, it is possible to optimize the setup
11 and find conditions that lead to the minimum focal waist of the nanojet, which corresponds to
12 optimum super-resolution capability. In the conventional optical microscopy, the Rayleigh
13 resolution can be calculated by $1.22\lambda/2NA$, our super-resolution imaging setup (microsphere
14 together with the 63 \times oil immersion objective) verifies a resolution of 100 nm with illumination
15 wavelength 400-750 nm and a magnification factor of 2.8 \times . Therefore, our setup would permit a
16 resolution that would be provided by a hypothetical (as non-existing) 176 \times microscope objective
17 with NA of 2.4-4.6.



1

2 **Figure 4.** FEM simulation of the light propagating through a microsphere which is immersed in
 3 oil. (a) Optical intensity distribution clearly indicates a photonic nanojet generated by the
 4 microsphere which is illuminated by a plane wave. (b) The focal waist of the photonic nanojet
 5 normalized by the illumination wavelength as function of the microsphere diameter. The squares
 6 are values obtained from the simulation and the dashed line is guide to the eye. The dotted line
 7 corresponds to the normalized focal spot size of an oil immersion objective with NA of 1.4.

8

9 When a microsphere is put on top of a sample, the illuminating light passes through the
 10 microsphere and is focused by the microsphere. During this process, a photonic nanojet with
 11 width small than the diffraction limit is generated. The nanojet arrives on the sample surface and
 12 illuminates the area below the microsphere at a high intensity and a high resolution, beyond the
 13 diffraction limit. Moreover, from the Maxwell's equations, the wave vector equation for the light
 14 propagating in a microsphere with refractive index n_p can be expressed as

1
$$k_x^2 + k_y^2 + k_z^2 = \frac{4\pi^2 n_p^2}{\lambda^2} \quad (3)$$

2 When the microsphere is used as a solid immersion lens, the resolution defined by the classical
3 Abbe's diffraction limit is

4
$$\Delta \approx \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2 + k_z^2}} = \frac{\lambda}{2n_p} \quad (4)$$

5 for the glass microsphere with $n_p = 1.92$, the resolution Δ of a solid immersion lens is $\lambda/3.8$.
6 However, a resolution between $\lambda/4$ ($\lambda = 400$ nm) and $\lambda/7$ ($\lambda = 750$ nm) was obtained from
7 previous experimental study when using white-light illumination, indicating that when the
8 focused incident light illuminates the sample surface, the microsphere re-collects the light
9 scattered or reflected by the sample and converts the high spatial frequency evanescent waves
10 into propagating waves that can be collected by far-field imaging. The nanojet generated via the
11 microsphere provides enough energy coupling to enable far-field imaging [14, 22]. Therefore, the
12 microsphere plays a dual role for super-resolution imaging, which is (i) to generate the photonic
13 nanojet by the microsphere for super-resolution sample illumination and (ii) to convert the high
14 spatial-frequency evanescent waves into magnified propagating waves for far-field detection.

15 The object-microsphere distance plays a very important role in the super-resolution imaging [23].

16 The z-component of the wave vector k_z can be expressed as follows

17
$$k_z = \sqrt{n^2 \omega^2 c^{-2} - k_x^2 - k_y^2} \quad (5)$$

18 which becomes imaginary, leading to exponential damping, when $k_x^2 + k_y^2 > n_p^2 \omega^2 c^{-2}$ in the
19 microsphere material with refractive index n_p , and when $k_x^2 + k_y^2 > n_m^2 \omega^2 c^{-2}$ in the liquid with
20 refractive index n_m . A wave that is propagating in the microsphere can be evanescent in the liquid,

1 and in this case the distance d between the object and the microsphere needs to be limited,
2 resulting in the condition

3
$$|d| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2 - n^2 \omega^2 c^{-2}}} \quad (6)$$

4 From Equation (6) it becomes clear that, even if there is a distance between the sample and the
5 microsphere, some of the evanescent waves that contain high spatial-frequencies can still be
6 collected by the microsphere. However, the sub-diffraction-limit frequencies that can be collected
7 by the microsphere are dramatically reduced as the distance d increases. In practice the distance
8 between sample and microsphere surfaces should be on the same order as the light wavelength to
9 obtain a significant image resolution improvement.

10

11 Conclusion

12 We have reported super-resolution imaging of nanostructures with sub-diffraction feature sizes by
13 using a microsphere nanoscope in combination with a conventional immersion objective. The
14 experiments performed in oil with white-light illumination demonstrate an experimental
15 resolution between $\lambda/4$ ($\lambda = 400$ nm) and $\lambda/7$ ($\lambda = 750$ nm) in the visible spectrum range with a
16 magnification factor of 2.8. A large FOV (30 μm when using a 60 μm microsphere for imaging of
17 nanostructure with feature size of 200 nm) is obtained as well. A numerical study using FEM is
18 performed to study the light propagation through the microsphere nanoscope. The results shows
19 that the microsphere highly focuses the light into the photonic nanojet and the super-resolution
20 capability of the microsphere is dependent on the focal waist of the nanojet, correspondingly,
21 dependent on the size of the microsphere itself. Due to the straightforwardness of this approach,
22 the glass microsphere together with a conventional optical microscope can be widely used for

1 nanometrology and imaging nano-objects of biological importance, such as viruses and localized
2 proteins in cells.

3

4

5 Acknowledgement

6 The funding of this project is provided by the European Research Council (ERC-2012-
7 AdG-320404) and the Swiss National Science Foundation (Grant 200021-152948).

8

9 References

- 10 [1]. L. Novotny, B. Hecht, Principles of Nano-Optics, second ed., Cambridge University Press,
11 2012.
- 12 [2]. H. Yang, M. A. M. Gijs, Microtextured substrates and microparticles used as *in situ* lenses for
13 on-chip immunofluorescence amplification, Anal. Chem. 85 (2013) 2064-2071.
- 14 [3]. J. P. Brody, S. R. Quake, A self-assembled microlensing rotational probe, Appl. Phys. Lett. 74
15 (1999) 144-146.
- 16 [4]. H. Yang, M. Cornaglia, M. A. M. Gijs, Photonic nanojet array for fast detection of single
17 nanoparticles in a flow, Nano Lett. (2015) doi: 10.1021/nl5044067.
- 18 [5]. A. Heifetz, S.-C. Kong, A. V. Sahakian, A. Taflove, V. Backman, Photonic nanojets, J.
19 Comput. Theor. Nanosci. 6 (2009) 1979-1992.
- 20 [6]. M.-K. Kim, T. Scharf, S. Mühlig, C. Rockstuhl, H. P. Herzig, Engineering photonic nanojets,
21 Opt. Express 19 (2011)10206-10220.
- 22 [7]. A. V. Itagi, W. A. Challener, Optics of photonic nanojets, J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 22 (2005) 2847-

1 2858.

2 [8]. P. Ferrand, J. Wenger, A. Devilez, M. Pianta, B. Stout, N. Bonod, E. Popov, H. Rigneault,
3 Direct imaging of photonic nanojets, *Opt. Express* 16 (2008) 6930-6940.

4 [9]. H. Rigneault, J. Capoulade, J. Dintinger, J. Wenger, N. Bonod, E. Popov, T. W. Ebbesen, P.-F.
5 Lenne, Enhancement of single-molecule fluorescence detection in subwavelength apertures, *Phys.*
6 *Rev. Lett.* 95 (2005) 117401.

7 [10]. Z. Wang, W. Guo, L. Li, B. Luk'yanchuk, A. Khan, Z. Liu, Z. Chen, M. Hong, Optical
8 virtual imaging at 50 nm lateral resolution with a white-light nanoscope, *Nat. Commun.* 2 (2011)
9 doi:10.1038 / ncomms1211.

10 [11]. Z. B. Wang, N. Joseph, L. Li, B. S. Luk'yanchuk, A review of optical near-fields in
11 particle/tip-assisted laser nanofabrication, *J. Mech. Eng. Sci.* 224 (2010) 1113-1127.

12 [12]. A. Darafsheh, G. F. Walsh, L. D. Negro, V. N. Astratov, Optical super-resolution by high-
13 index liquid-immersed microspheres, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 101 (2012) 141128.

14 [13]. Y. E. Geints, E. K. Panina, A. A. Zemlyanov, Control over parameters of photonic nanojets
15 of dielectric microspheres, *Opt. Commun.* 283 (2010) 4775-4781.

16 [14] L. Li, W. Guo, Y. Yan, S. Lee, T. Wang, Label-free super-resolution imaging of adenoviruses
17 by submerged microsphere optical nanoscopy, *Light Sci. Appl.* 2 (2013) doi:10.1038/lssa.2013.60.

18 [15]. S. Lee, L. Li, Y. Ben-Aryeh, Z. Wang, W. Guo, Overcoming the diffraction limit induced by
19 microsphere optical nanoscopy, *J. Opt.* 15 (2013) 125710.

20 [16]. H. Yang, N. Moullan, J. Auwerx, M. A. M. Gijs, Super-resolution biological microscopy
21 using virtual imaging by a microsphere nanoscope, *Small* 10 (2014) 1712-1718.

22 [17]. C. Kuang, Y. Liu, X. Hao, D. Luo, X. Liu, Creating attoliter detection volume by
23 microsphere photonic nanojet and fluorescence depletion, *Opt. Commun.* 285 (2012) 402-406.

24 [18]. D. Gérard, J. Wenger, A. Devilez, D. Gachet, B. Stout, N. Bonod, E. Popov, H. Rigneault,
25 Strong electromagnetic confinement near dielectric microspheres to enhance single-molecule
26 fluorescence, *Opt. Express* 16 (2008) 15297-15303.

- 1 [19]. J. J. Wang, D. McCloskey, J. F. Donegan, Optimization of parameters of photonic nanojet
2 generated by dielectric microsphere for "laser nanojet" SNOM, Proc. SPIE 8321 (2011) 83213Z.
- 3 [20]. D. C. Kim, K. P. Armendariz, R. C. Dunn, Integration of microsphere resonators with
4 bioassay fluidics for whispering gallery mode imaging, Analyst 138 (2013) 3189-3195.
- 5 [21]. P. J. Shaw, D. J. Rawlins, The point-spread function of a confocal microscope: its
6 measurement and use in deconvolution of 3-D data, J. Microsc. 163 (1991) 151-165.
- 7 [22]. X. Hao, C. Kuang, X. Liu, H. Zhang, Y. Li, Microsphere based microscope with optical
8 super-resolution capability, Appl. Phys. Lett. 99 (2011) 203102.
- 9 [23]. S. Lee, L. Li, Z. Wang, W. Guo, Y. Yan, T. Wang, Immersed transparent microsphere
10 magnifying sub-diffraction-limited objects, Appl. Opt. 52 (2013) 7265-7270.

11